

Message

From: Robinson, Lisa [robinson@hsph.harvard.edu]
Sent: 12/8/2017 2:40:22 PM
To: Joe Arvai [jlarvai@umich.edu]; Sally M. Kane [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]
CC: Beck, Nancy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=168ecb5184ac44de95a913297f353745-Beck, Nancy]; F Boudier [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Terje Aven [terje.aven@uis.no]
Subject: RE: Tuesday Plenary / Re: updates slides from Terje Panel SRA 2017 Tuesday

Thanks Sally et al.! I also feel like I am not the right person to talk about society-wide trends. On Joe's second point -- in my experience, which is primarily in US regulatory policy and analysis, "facts"/analysis/science have always played somewhat of a subsidiary role to other concerns, and their importance has waxed and waned -- varying by policy issue as well as over time. I agree with a point Terje makes in his slides: this is not necessary a problem, it often reflects (legitimate) disagreements about how to deal with uncertainty as well as value judgments.

I've been working on some slides which I will send later, and plan to discuss (1) the role of economic analysis in the (U.S.) regulatory decisionmaking process; (2) how judgment permeates the conduct of regulatory analysis and benefit-cost analysis more generally; and (3) some recommendations for what we (SRA and risk analysts more generally) can do to improve the use and usefulness of analysis. I also expect to talk for about 10 minutes, if that is OK with you.

From: Joe Arvai [mailto:jlarvai@umich.edu]
Sent: Friday, December 08, 2017 7:45 AM
To: Sally M. Kane [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]
Cc: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Robinson, Lisa <robinson@hsph.harvard.edu>; F Boudier [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Terje Aven <terje.aven@uis.no>
Subject: Re: Tuesday Plenary / Re: updates slides from Terje Panel SRA 2017 Tuesday

Thanks, Sally.

My talk, which should be about 10 minutes long, will address all but one of the questions that Terje originally sent in the plenary abstract:

1. How has the post-truth society (in which objective facts are become less influential in shaping public opinion) been able to develop?
 - o *I won't be able to answer this one; it's too far outside my expertise.*
2. Why are "alternative facts" and "fake news" becoming household names?
 - o *They've always been there, they simply get updated tables from time-to-time.*
3. Has science sought to stretch its domain too widely and denied uncertainties?
 - o *No. And yes.*
4. Or, is the truth not comfortable enough for those in power or seeking power?
 - o *It has more to do with efficacy and less to do with comfort.*

Best, Joe

Dr. Joe Arvai ■ Director, Erb Institute ■ Max McGraw Professor of Sustainable Enterprise ■ School for Environment & Sustainability and Ross School of Business ■ [Twitter](#) ■ [Google Scholar](#) ■ +1 734 647 38 91 ■ [Decisions4Good](#) ■ [Erb Institute | Business for Sustainability](#)

On Dec 8, 2017, at 2:30 AM, Sally M. Kane [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] wrote:

Hi. I am delighted to be working with Terje on the Tuesday AM Plenary. The topic is timely and broad. Later today I will be sending out the order of speakers and off offer some comments. Thank you

Sally

Sally M. Kane, Ph.D.

Email: [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy \(PP\)](#)

Cell: [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy \(PP\)](#)

On Dec 7, 2017, at 8:39 PM, Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Attached are some draft slides I am considering. I will essentially focus on how the new TSCA statute requires a transparent accounting of uncertainties in our risk evaluations.

Please let me know if this is not what you were looking for.
Safe travels to those of you that are heading our way!

Nancy

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy \(PP\)](#)
beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Terje Aven [<mailto:terje.aven@uis.no>]
Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2017 11:38 AM
To: F Boudier [[Ex. 6 Personal Privacy \(PP\)](#)]
Cc: Joe Arvai <jarvai@umich.edu>; Kane, Sally [[Ex. 6 Personal Privacy \(PP\)](#)]; robinson@hsph.harvard.edu; Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>
Subject: SV: updates slides from Terje Panel SRA 2017 Tuesday

Thanks, sorry here is the correct email address for Nancy
Beck.Nancy@epa.gov;

Best
Terje

Sendt fra [E-post](#) for Windows 10

Fra: F Boudier [[Ex. 6 Personal Privacy \(PP\)](#)]
Sendt: Sunday, November 26, 2017 5:24:06 PM
Til: Terje Aven
Kopi: Joe Arvai; Kane, Sally; Nancy Beck; robinson@hsph.harvard.edu
Emne: Re: updates slides from Terje Panel SRA 2017 Tuesday

Dear Terje ,
I think this is very straight forward !
Best regards
Frederic

On Sat, 25 Nov 2017 at 10:17, Terje Aven <terje.aven@uis.no> wrote:
Hi

I have simplified my slides and message a little – see e.g. slide 7 leading to two key questions.

Best
Terje

Sendt fra E-post for Windows 10

<TSCA Implementation.SRA.12.12.17v2.pptx>