

SECOND AMENDED ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 89:

Abex objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, compound, vague and ambiguous and calls for speculation.

Abex also objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is premature, overly broad, oppressive, harassing and unduly burdensome in that, to date, plaintiffs have failed to proffer any evidence showing the requisite nexus between plaintiffs and/or plaintiffs' decedents and any Abex product.

Abex further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it purports to seek information or materials regarding time periods, products and medical conditions that are not at issue in these cases, on the grounds that such information or materials lack relevance and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. To the extent it purports to seek information or materials regarding the working conditions of Abex employees, this interrogatory is objected to on the grounds that such information or materials lack relevance to the issues arising in these cases and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Abex also objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the information or materials it purports to seek lack relevance to the issues arising in these cases and are not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Abex further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that plaintiffs do not allege plaintiffs and/or plaintiffs' decedents were employees of Abex or ever present at any Abex facility.

Abex objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is speculative inasmuch as it fails