

Ceramic  
Society



PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

WH-1077

February 15, 1993

I hereby certify that the attached copies of Bulletin of the American Ceramic Society, Volume 20, 1941, are true and accurate copies, which are maintained in the normal course of business at the American Ceramic Society, 735 Ceramic Place, Westerville, Ohio 43081.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Christine Schnitzer". The signature is fluid and extends to the right with a long, sweeping tail.

Christine Schnitzer  
Product Manager  
Ceramic Information Center

735 Ceramic Place  
Westerville, Ohio 43081-8720  
614-890-4700  
FAX 7101109409

# THE BULLETIN

OF THE  
AMERICAN CERAMIC SOCIETY

Volume 20

APRIL, 1941

Number 4



WALTER JODOK KOHLER, 1875-1940



BELL  
TELEPHONE  
SYSTEM

*System is doing the  
Country's program  
-onal Defense.*

For story see page 134

beams, rafters, or other inaccessible places is also helpful in solving this problem.

### III. Isolation of Dust-Producing Processes

The isolation of dust-producing processes limits the exposure to a few men who may be protected by respirators. The inclosure of these processes in dust-tight chambers or rooms, such as abrasive blasting cabinets, is effective. The chambers and dust-control devices, however, must be kept in good working condition to maintain their effectiveness.

### IV. Substitution of Less Hazardous Materials

The substitution of less hazardous materials offers an absolute method of dust control, but extensive changes in the manufacturing process are often required which may not be practicable. This method should not be recommended unless or until it is established that the quality of the manufactured item will not suffer by such change. The replacement of sandstone grinding wheels by artificial abrasive wheels and sand by steel shot in abrasive blasting has effected a substantial reduction in the silicosis rate of the pertinent industries.

### V. Use of Respirators for Reduction of Dust in Inspired Air

Respirators are misused and abused more than any other dust-control device.

The amount of dust in the inspired air may be reduced to a safe value by suitable respiratory protective devices. These alone are not the solution to many of the dust-control problems in industry, but they are a necessary and helpful adjunct to other methods. Although there are a number of different types of respirators, the two types which are used most commonly in dust control are (1) the mechanical filter or dust respirator and (2) the supplied-air or air-line respirator and abrasive blasting helmet. These respirators are used extensively on jobs such as abrasive blasting, paint chipping, handling used storage battery plates, cadmium oxide manufacture, welding operations, spraying of paints and glazes, and manufacture and use of pigments and dyes. Their most important use is found under conditions where protection is required intermittently, as in cleaning-out operations; sweeping; after blasting; removing cores from large foundry castings; shoveling, screening, and handling of materials; and the operation and maintenance of processing equipment.

Respirators should not be considered to be part of the worker's wearing apparel. They are safety devices and should be supplied by the employer. The employer, moreover, must see that they are distributed to all employees who need them, and he must tell the workers when and how to use them, which is a difficult task and is accomplished only by incessant instruction.

#### (1) Mechanical Filter Respirators

When the mechanical filter respirator is worn on a man's face, a certain percentage of the dust is filtered out from the inspired air. The air movement through the filter is produced by the respiratory action of the

wearer. A filter respirator which is to be accepted by industry as satisfactory should (1) be comfortable and fit the face well, affording an air-tight contact between the face and the mask, (2) have little or no interference with vision and permit the use of glasses or goggles, (3) have a highly effective filter which is not bulky, (4) have low resistance to breathing over a period of use, (5) have small dead air space, and (6) have both inhalation and exhalation valves.

Dust respirators are usually constructed of a rubber or part-rubber facepiece and a filtering medium of felted or paperlike material. Exhalation valves are now almost universal, and inhalation or check valves are supplied in most respirators. Inhalation valves are intended to prevent (1) rebreathing exhaled air and (2) the humid exhaled air from getting into and fouling the filter. Rebreathing exhaled air, which has a high carbon dioxide content, induces panting and causes the wearer to think that there is a lack of air or that the resistance to breathing is high. He will attempt many solutions to eliminate these difficulties, all of which will seriously impair the performance of the respirator.

#### (2) Supplied-Air Respirator or Helmet

The air-line respirator and the abrasive blasting helmet are the supplied-air devices most commonly found in industry. The respirator consists of a face mask with an attached hose or rubber tube through which air is supplied, and the air is pumped or blown from a source of clean air to men in the various exposures. These devices afford positive protection if they are properly installed and maintained. The intake to air-supply systems used for this purpose must be located at a point where the air is clean and where it will not be contaminated by dust from nearby buildings. For the removal of oil, water, and odors from the air, a good air cleaner or purifier should be installed in the supply line. The cleaners, however, do not remove carbon monoxide which may be given off in antiquated or worn compressors. To avoid the possibility of carbon monoxide poisoning in men wearing supplied-air respirators, it is imperative that the air pump or blower should receive adequate routine inspection and periodic overhauling.

The abrasive blasting helmet completely incloses the wearer's head, forming a seal at the neck and shoulders or having a directly connected cape which may be tucked in under an over-all jacket or may be even extended to the waist. Blasters move about very little, but the helmet should be light in weight and the window or port which affords vision should be large and correctly placed. If the helmet is too small, a large volume of air must be supplied to prevent an undesirable decrease in the pressure within the helmet when the wearer inspires. The volume of air supplied to a helmet should be sufficient to provide a continuous leakage outward. Some helmets require only about 100 liters per minute; others require twice this amount. The air should be wasted through the collar or down around the neck and out through the clothing. Collars should be permeable to air to eliminate the possibility of building up a dangerous pressure within the helmet

Vol. 20, No. 1

Exposed to  
from a bl  
against a s

(3) Sele

The haz  
tors in ind  
to recomm  
it is to exp  
for each di  
same respi  
wear if be  
of quartz.

any partic  
conditions  
recommend  
U. S. Bure

(4) Care

Because  
methods o  
thought is  
quantity ge  
carefully, a  
are dirty a

The resp  
property in  
protection,  
only. A c  
nose beca  
security sh  
be would t

Individu  
eral system  
In the inc  
upkeep of  
wash, ster  
tral mainte  
at one cent

The cen  
out some  
respiratory  
which are  
user by st  
metal num  
laundry ta  
in the mor  
boles in o  
number) h  
the close o  
tor in the  
collected b  
room laid  
worker is  
between si  
however, s  
man on th  
respirator  
worker. F  
or any inc  
tenance o  
with that  
data. In :

(1941)

be accepted by comfortable and contact between no interference ves or goggles, is not bulky, (4) a period of use, are both inhala-

ted of a rubber ing medium of von valves are or check valves halation valves ing exhaled air etting into and l air, which has as panting and a lack of air or -le will attempt iculties, all of ornamce of the

hats

vasive blasting most commonly consists of a face or tube through umped or blown be various expo- rotection if they he intake to air- must be located ere it will not be ildings. For the be air, a good air e supply line. carbon monoxide x worn compres- -bon monoxide respirators, it is or should receive dic overhauling, pletely incloses at the neck and cted cape which sket or may be ers move about : light in weight vision should be met is too small, ed to prevent an within the helmet e of air supplied ide a continuous re only about 100 ice this amount. e collar or down clothing. Collars te the possibility within the helmet.

Exposed escape valves are unsatisfactory because dust from a blast may easily be driven into the helmet against a strong air current.

### (3) Selection of Respirator

The hazards as well as conditions of use of respirators in industry are many and varied. It is as illogical to recommend the same respirator for all purposes as it is to expect to find a respirator specifically designed for each different operation. No worker would use the same respirator for hay-fever prevention that he would wear if he were exposed to a dangerous concentration of quartz. In the selection of the proper respirator for any particular operation, the buyer should specify the conditions as fully as possible when asking for recommendations from respirator manufacturers or from the U. S. Bureau of Mines.

### (4) Care and Maintenance of Respirators

Because the use of respirators, compared to other methods of dust control, is inexpensive, little or no thought is given to their maintenance. Only too frequently good respirators are purchased, distributed carelessly, and then forgotten, and in a short time they are dirty and function ineffectively.

The respirator will soon become defective if it is not properly maintained and will fail to give the necessary protection, even if it is worn correctly and continuously. A defective respirator, moreover, is worse than none because the wearer is given a false feeling of security and will not exercise the precaution which he would take if he had no respirator.

Individual and central maintenance are the two general systems of respirator maintenance in use today. In the individual maintenance system, the care and upkeep of the respirator is left to the user, who must wash, sterilize, and repair the respirator. In the central maintenance system, all respirators are assembled at one central point for cleaning and repairing.

The central maintenance system usually is worked out somewhat as follows. Each worker who needs respiratory protection is supplied with two respirators which are marked with the employment number of the user by stamping the number on metal parts, riveting metal number plates on the rubber masks, or sewing laundry tabs on the headbands. When he goes to work in the morning, the worker passes by a row of pigeonholes in one of which (indicated by his employment number) he finds a clean and well-kept respirator. At the close of the shift, he again places the dirty respirator in the pigeonhole. All of the dirty respirators are collected by one man who cleans and repairs them in a room laid out for this purpose. One respirator per worker is sufficient if the maintenance is carried out between shifts. Two respirators usually are supplied, however, and the repairs and cleaning are done by one man on the regular shift. The worker in charge of the respirator maintenance need not be a new or special worker. He may be the man in charge of the stock room or any individual whose work will permit. The maintenance of respirators may frequently be combined with that of such equipment as goggles and protective hats. In some industries where relatively few respira-

(2941)

tors are in use, the maintenance is one of the duties of the nurse.

The advantages of the central over the individual system are obvious. Each worker has a clean, well-kept respirator daily. He will object less to wearing it and will get real protection at all times. The monetary saving effected by the increased life of the parts and decreased need for new respirators usually will more than offset the additional cost (if any) of setting up and maintaining the central system. The idea of a central maintenance bureau is not a new one. Such bureaus have been in use in a number of industries for several years. The results produced are remarkable, as evidenced by the condition of the respirators and the apparent satisfaction of the users.

Respirator maintenance usually consists of cleaning, sterilizing, and repairing. Effective methods of cleaning and sterilizing are (1) wash in warm water with a brush and soap; (2) immerse for ten minutes in a solution of formalin, made by placing 1 part of 40% formaldehyde solution into 9 parts of water; (3) dip in a 2% solution of carbolic acid, a 2% solution of lysol, or a 70% solution of denatured alcohol; or (4) subject the respirator to sterilization by a moist atmosphere of antiseptic gas, preferably formaldehyde, for a period of 10 minutes at room temperature. After following any one of these procedures, the respirator should be rinsed with water and hung up to dry. The filters, felt screens, and headbands (if elastic) must be removed before cleaning or sterilizing the respirator.

Many dust respirators employ so-called "long-life" filters which are intended to be used over and over. Such filters are cleaned by blowing the dirt or dust off with compressed air, by brushing the surface, or by tapping the filter. If the filters are moist, they must be dried before the dust can be removed effectively. The filters may be dipped in a dry-cleaning solution for a short time to remove grease and the like. This process, however, should be carried out only infrequently because it decreases the life of the filter.

## VI. Bureau of Mines Approval

Dust respirators are tested and approved by the U. S. Bureau of Mines under Schedule No. 21 entitled "Procedure for Testing Filter-Type Dust, Fume, and Mist Respirators for Permissibility," and supplied-air respirators are tested and approved under schedule No. 19A entitled "Procedure for Testing Supplied-Air Respirators for Permissibility."

The only important difference between the air-line respirator and the abrasive blasting helmet is that the latter provides protection to the face and head of the wearer from the rebounding abrasive.

Seven supplied-air respirators of this type are approved; two are air-line respirators and five are abrasive blasting helmets.

The U. S. Bureau of Mines, through these approval schedules, has done a great deal to raise the standards of the respiratory protective devices manufactured in the United States and has been of great service to industry in the selection of the proper device.

WILSON PRODUCTS, INCORPORATED  
LEADERS, PENNSYLVANIA

PAPERS

Description of Hot Modulus of Rupture and Hot Crushing Strength Tests and Discussion of Results—  
E. B. Hunt and R. S. Bradley..... 267-69

Multiple-Tunnel Kilns—M. S. Nelson and Hewitt Wilson..... 270-74

Dairing Fine Clay Bodies in the Plastic Form—R. A. Hawk..... 274-78

Prefabricated Structural Clay Tile Floor Systems—E. F. Wamper..... 276-80

Experimental Study of the Inhibitory Effects of Aluminum Compounds in Enzymes—Leroy U. Gardner,  
Morris Dworski, and Anthony B. Deinhart..... 281, 284

Industrial Health Service for the Small Plant in the Ceramic Industry—Huntington Williams, W. H.  
Schulze, and J. M. McDonald..... 285-87

Discussion on "Symbolism in Chinese Porcelain Decoration"—Lawrence E. Barringer..... 287-88

Advertisers' Index..... 6

Index Guide..... 12, 16, 18, 20

List of Corporation Members..... 294-95

LEM ?

SERVICE ON

as  
 Main Station  
 system Colors  
 as  
 Medicine  
 and Lecture  
 as  
 Chemistry  
 Equipment  
 of Linings  
 and M.E. Linings  
 as  
 in the Ceramic

INDEX TO CERAMIC HISTORY, ACTIVITIES, NOTES

Ceramic history, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Company, pp. 288-90.

Common schools, New York State College of Ceramic, Electro Refractories & Alloys Corp. fellowship, p. 296; Student Branch activities during 1940-1941, p. 296.

Enamel Division, annual business meeting, p. 291.

Fellowships at Edward Orton, Jr. Ceramic Foundation for 1941-1942, p. 296.

Glaze Refractories & Alloys fellowship at E. T. State College of Ceramic, p. 296.

Joint photo-glass manufacture by Pittsburgh Plate Glass Company, pp. 288-90.

Meeting of Ceramic Engineers, joint meeting with Industrial Minerals Division of A.I.M.M.E., p. 296.

new members, p. 291.

Members, membership workers' record, p. 292.

new, for July, p. 292.

paid membership record, p. 292.

renewal charges during July, p. 292.

Metal & Thermo Corporation, new research laboratory, photo, p. 296.

National Ceramic Exhibition (tenth annual) at Syracuse Museum of Fine Arts, p. 297.

Orton, Edward, Jr. Ceramic Foundation, fellowships for 1941-1942, p. 296.

Photographs, Brown, Charles, W., p. 289.

Class, Robert L., p. 290.

Class, W. L., p. 290.

new research laboratory of Metal & Thermo Corporation, p. 296.

Phonon, John, August cover.

Wherry, E. S., p. 296.

Phonon, John, pioneer in photo-glass manufacture, p. 288; photo, August cover.

Pittsburgh Plate Glass Company, history, pp. 288-90.

Research, Metal & Thermo Corp. research laboratory, photo, p. 296.

Syracuse Museum of Fine Arts sponsors (tenth annual) National Ceramic Exhibition, p. 297.

X-ray analysis in industry, p. 297.



Publication Office: 20th & Northampton Sts., Easton, Pa.  
 Editorial, Executive, and Advertising Office: 2123 N. High St., Columbus, Ohio.  
 Committee on Publications: J. D. SULLIVAN, Chairman; D. E. SHARP, E. E. MARRASER, J. E. AUSTIN, ROSE C. FURST.

Entered as second-class matter at the post office at Easton, Pa., under the act of March 3, 1879. Published monthly.  
 Subscription \$1.50 a year. Single numbers twenty-five cents.  
 (Foreign and Canadian postage, 10¢ additional on subscriptions)  
 (Copyright 1941, American Ceramic Society, Inc.)



Republic Steel Corp., Youngstown, Ohio  
 Rickman & Raspe, Köln-Kalk, Germany  
 Riddell, W. A., Co., Bucyrus, Ohio  
 Rossville Pottery Co., Zanesville, Ohio  
 Ross-Tacony Crucible Co., Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Rundle Manufacturing Co., Milwaukee, Wis.  
 Ruxton Iron Co., Ltd., Kighley, England  
  
 Safety Grinding Wheel & Machine Co., Springfield, Ohio  
 San Miguel Brewery, Inc., Manila, P. I.  
 Schneider, F. K., & Co., Inc., Joliet, Ill.  
 Seagram, Joseph E., & Sons, Inc., Louisville, Ky.  
 Shenango Pottery Company, New Castle, Pa.  
 Simons & Warden White Co., Dayton, Ohio  
 Smith & Stone, Ltd., Georgetown, Ontario, Canada  
 Solvay Process Company, Syracuse, N. Y.  
 Spinks, H. C., Clay Co., Newport, Ky.  
 Square D Company, Detroit, Mich.  
 Standard Brick and Tile Corp., Evansville, Ind.  
 Standard Lime & Stone Co., Baltimore, Md.  
 Standard Sanitary Mfg. Co., Louisville, Ky.  
 Star Porcelain Co., Trenton, N. J.  
 Stark Brick Co., Canton, Ohio  
 Struffer Chemical Co., Inc., New York, N. Y.  
 Steele, J. C., & Sons, Statesville, N. C.  
 Sterling Grinding Wheel Co., Tiffin, Ohio  
 Structural Clay Products Institute, Washington, D. C.  
 Stropakoff Laboratories, Inc., Latrobe, Pa.  
 Summitville Face Brick Co., Summitville, Ohio  
 Sur Enamel & Shaping Works, Ltd., Calcutta, India  
 Surface Combustion Corp., Toledo, Ohio  
 Swindell Brothers, Baltimore, Md.  
 Swindell-Dressler Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.  
  
 Taylor, Smith, & Taylor Co., Chester, W. Va.  
 Texas Mining & Smelting Co., Laredo, Texas  
 Titanium Alloy Mfg. Co., Niagara Falls, N. Y.  
 Toyo Taki Kaisha, Kokura City, Japan  
 Trenton Pottery Co., Trenton, N. J.  
 Twyford, Limited, Stoke-on-Trent, England  
 Tyler, W. S., Company, Cleveland, Ohio  
  
 Union Electrical Porcelain Works, Inc., Trenton, N. J.  
 United Clay Mines Corp., Trenton, N. J.  
 United Glass Bottle Mfrs., Ltd., London, England  
 United States Gypsum Co., Chicago, Ill.  
 Universal Clay Products Co., Sandusky, Ohio  
 Universal Dental Co., Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Universal Sanitary Mfg. Co., New Castle, Pa.  
  
 Vanderbilt, R. T., Company, New York, N. Y.  
 Verceniging Brick & Tile Co., Ltd., Verceniging, Transvaal, South Africa  
 Verresius Crucible Co., Swisvale, Pa.  
 Victor Insulators, Inc., Victor, N. Y.  
 Vitrefrax Corporation, Los Angeles, Calif.  
 Vitreous Steel Products Co., Cleveland, Ohio  
 Vitro Manufacturing Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.  
  
 Wallace China Co., Ltd., Huntington Park, Calif.  
 Waltham Grinding Wheel Co., Waltham, Mass.  
 Washington Porcelain Co., Washington, N. J.  
 Wayne Laboratories, Waynesboro, Pa.  
 Western Brick Co., Danville, Ill.  
 Western Electric Co., Chicago, Ill.  
 Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., Derry, Pa.  
 West Virginia Brick Co., Charleston, W. Va.  
 Wheeling Steel Corp., Yorkville, Ohio  
 Wisconsin Porcelain Co., Sun Prairie, Wis.

**CORPORATION MEMBERSHIPS  
ARE PROFITABLE INVESTMENTS**