

26

f Sciences

Britain are far from com-  
pliance of asbestos exposure  
pleura and peritoneum as

ed that the association is  
asbestosis. In a number  
one case three months of  
ial exposure and diagnosis

as diagnosed six cases of  
tients have been followed  
ie's Epidemiological Unit,  
finite history of asbestos

essor A. G. Heppleston's  
ed cases, and evidence of  
lay has found evidence of

st, Tyneside, Merseyside,  
deside but two cases have  
The most disturbing fact  
ncreasing numbers have

sitive, clear evidence im-  
frica, since the majority  
asbestos were used. How-  
as been established in a  
e chrysotile exposure has

## EPIDEMIOLOGY OF MESOTHELIAL TUMORS IN THE LONDON AREA

Muriel L. Newhouse and Hilda Thompson

*Department of Occupational Health & Applied Physiology,  
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine,  
London, England*

### INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the association between exposure to asbestos dust and cancer of the lung, and other malignant neoplasms has been the subject of much research (Brit. Med. Journal, 1964). Wagner, Sleggs and Marchand (1960) described the occurrence of mesothelioma of the pleura in those exposed to crocidolite asbestos in the mining districts of South Africa, and have stimulated further studies of patients suffering from this tumor (Glyn Owen, 1964; Fowler *et al.*, 1964).

The present investigation concerns patients in whom a diagnosis of mesothelioma had been made at the London Hospital. All the post-mortem and biopsy specimens held in the Pathology Department in which a diagnosis of mesothelioma had been made in the past 50 years have been reviewed by Hourihane (1965). In 83 cases the diagnosis was confirmed. The series consists of 41 men of whom 31 had pleural and 10 peritoneal tumors, and 42 women of whom 25 had pleural and 17 peritoneal tumors. The aim of this study has been to establish the occupational histories of these patients and to trace any other possible exposure to asbestos.

There were four surviving patients at the outset of the investigation, but these have subsequently died. The earliest date of death in the series was 1917, 10 died before 1950, 33 between 1950 and 1959 and the remaining 40 in the past four and a half years. The youngest died at the age of 33 and nearly half were dead before the age of 55 (TABLE 1).

The ward notes of 65 of these patients were available; they give a picture of a disease with a consistent symptomatology. Among those with pleural tumors, the commonest symptom present was the rapid onset of extreme shortness of breath due to the formation of a massive pleural effusion. Pain was a prominent feature, either described as a dull ache, sometimes due to invasion of ribs or vertebrae, or sometimes sharp and radiating, suggesting nerve involvement. Tumors in the chest wall were not uncommon, occurring either in previous operation scars or by direct invasion of the chest wall. The symptomatology of the peritoneal tumors was more varied. In some patients the presenting symptom was pain on defecation or micturition; diffuse upper abdominal pain was very common and swelling of the abdomen due to ascites was always present terminally. The treatment was varied; pneumonectomy, decortication of the lung, deep

TABLE 1  
AGE AT DEATH OF PATIENTS WITH MESOTHELIAL TUMORS

| Age  | Males      | Females    |
|------|------------|------------|
| <34  | 1 (2.4%)   | 1 (2.4%)   |
| 35 + | 9 (21.4%)  | 5 (12.2%)  |
| 45 + | 12 (29.3%) | 12 (29.3%) |
| 55 + | 11 (26.2%) | 16 (37.0%) |
| 65 + | 8 (19.0%)  | 7 (17.1%)  |

X-ray therapy, instillation of radioactive gold and cytotoxic drugs were used alone or in combination, but appeared to have little effect on the course of the disease. Half of the patients suffering from pleural mesothelioma died within one year of the onset of symptoms, a further third within two years and only one survived for more than three years. The course of those with peritoneal tumors appeared to be even more rapid; 14 of the patients died within six months of the onset of symptoms.

#### Sources of Information

In addition to the ward notes, some of which gave good occupational histories, there were three other sources of information: (1) the patient's general practitioner; (2) the records of a large asbestos factory making textiles, insulating materials, and other goods, where it was known that some of the patients had been employed; and (3) personal interviews with patients or their surviving relatives.

As a first step, the general practitioners were contacted with an explanatory letter asking them to complete a form giving details of the patients and their immediate relatives' occupations. Within two months 65 per cent of the doctors had replied. In two cases a hitherto unknown exposure to asbestos was revealed, in others the name and address of a surviving relative was given, but in the majority the doctor was unable to give information as, on the death of the patient, the notes had been returned to the local executive council of the National Health Service, where they were destroyed within a period of three years.

The asbestos factory keeps a file with detailed records of all employees since it started to operate in 1913. The names of all patients were checked with these files. Nine men were identified without difficulty and nine married women after their maiden names had been ascertained from relatives. The exact dates of employment of these 18 patients, and the jobs they had done, were obtained from the factory files.

Newhouse & Thor

The four patients alive viewed personally. A few of 68 patients were mostly in the East End of London, an occupational history of father taken, but past address for at least an hour, as to often necessary to explore illnesses and difficulties.

A control series of patients, portion of in-patients of hospital, expected to be exposed at the hospital during the early series who had been traced same sex, born in the same patients over 75 years of

#### TYPES OF EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS

| Type of exposure                     |
|--------------------------------------|
| Employed at one asbestos factory     |
| Delivered goods to factory           |
| Employed at other asbestos factories |
| Insulators and ladders               |
| Relative worked with asbestos        |
| Dockers handling asbestos            |
| No history of exposure to asbestos   |

\* 7 cases of mesothelioma table.

† Positive exposures to asbestos

‡ Positive histories.  $\chi^2 = 1.2$  series.

## MESOTHELIAL TUMORS

| Females |         |
|---------|---------|
| 1       | (2.4%)  |
| 5       | (12.2%) |
| 12      | (29.3%) |
| 16      | (37.0%) |
| 7       | (17.1%) |

and cytotoxic drugs were  
have little effect on the  
suffering from pleural meso-  
symptoms, a further third  
more than three years. The  
ed to be even more rapid;  
e onset of symptoms.

h gave good occupational  
rmation: (1) the patient's  
e asbestos factory making  
where it was known that  
personal interviews with

contacted with an explana-  
ing details of the patients  
in two months 65 per cent  
erto unknown exposure to  
ddress of a surviving rela-  
as unable to give informa-  
d been returned to the local  
ice, where they were de-

d records of all employees  
f all patients were checked  
out difficulty and nine mar-  
ascertained from relatives.  
ents, and the jobs they had

The four patients alive at the beginning of the investigation were inter-  
viewed personally. A few relatives were contacted by post, but the rela-  
tives of 68 patients were interviewed by one of us at their homes situated  
mostly in the East End of London, Barking, or Dagenham. Not only was  
an occupational history of the patient, the spouse, sons and daughters and  
father taken, but past addresses were also recorded. The interviews lasted  
for at least an hour, as to recall events of thirty or forty years ago it was  
often necessary to explore the histories of all members of the family, their  
illnesses and difficulties.

*A Control Series*

A control series of patients was also examined to determine the pro-  
portion of in-patients of the London Hospital who may normally be ex-  
pected to be exposed at their work or in other ways to asbestos dust. They  
were selected from the patients in the medical and surgical wards of the  
hospital during the early summer of 1964. Each patient in the mesothelioma  
series who had been traced was matched with a control patient of the  
same sex, born in the same five year period. As there was a dearth of male  
patients over 75 years of age in the hospital, a sample of six patients of

TABLE 2  
TYPES OF EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS OF 76 PATIENTS WITH MESOTHELIOMA AND  
76 CONTROLS\*

| Type of exposure                                               | Mesothelioma<br>series† | Control<br>series‡ |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Employed at one asbestos factory<br>Delivered goods to factory | 18)<br>1) (25.0%)       | 1 (1.3%)           |
| Employed at other asbestos<br>factories                        | 5 (6.6%)                | 1 (1.3%)           |
| Insulators and ladders                                         | 7 (9.2%)                | 4 (5.3%)           |
| Relative worked with asbestos                                  | 9 (11.8%)               | 1 (1.3%)           |
| Dockers handling asbestos cargo                                | 0                       | 2 (2.6%)           |
| No history of exposure to asbestos                             | 36 (47.4%)              | 67 (88.2%)         |

\*7 cases of mesothelioma could not be traced and are omitted from this  
table.

† Positive exposures to asbestos.

‡ Positive histories.  $\chi^2 = 27.11$ ,  $P < .001$  for Mesothelioma versus Control  
series.

this age and older was taken from a neighboring geriatric hospital. The same interviewer and techniques were used for the mesothelioma and control series but for the latter, in which the patient rather than a relative was seen, it was much easier to obtain detailed and accurate histories. Subsequent analysis of the jobs showed that, according to the Registrar General's classification, there was no significant difference in the social classes of the two series.

#### RESULTS

No information about past domestic and occupational histories was available in seven patients with mesothelial tumors, six of whom had died before 1950, one as early as 1917 and another in 1922. Among the remaining 76, 40 (52.6 per cent) gave a history of exposure to asbestos, compared with only nine (11.8 per cent) of the control series (TABLE 2). This difference is statistically highly significant ( $\chi^2=27.11$ ,  $P<.001$ ).

#### *Occupational Exposures (Mesothelioma Series)*

There are particularly accurate details available of the 18 mesothelioma patients who had worked at a large asbestos factory. Eleven started work before 1933 (the date when the asbestos regulations controlling the manufacture of asbestos goods and the protection of asbestos workers became effective) and seven later, but none after 1943. This factory originally used crocidolite asbestos with small amounts of chrysotile; amosite was first introduced in 1926.

Among the nine women the period of employment was usually short, varying between six months and two years, with only one working as long as six years. Among the nine men the period of employment was usually much longer, only three were employed for less than two years, three for between five and 14 years and three for more than 20 years. In one woman it was not possible to verify the actual job. The occupations of the remaining 17 employees and the type of asbestos used are shown in TABLE 3. All had used crocidolite asbestos. Only the first four occupations listed are scheduled as requiring medical supervision under the Asbestos Regulations of 1931.

Four patients in the mesothelioma series were working at other factories, making all types of asbestos goods. One patient had been employed in a wagon works sawing asbestos sheets for partitions. Except for one patient, a woman employed in insulating electrodes with crocidolite, the types of asbestos used in these factories are not known.

The heating engineers and ladders were all men: five had been employed in dockyards on ship repairs, one in a power station, and one specialized in installing hospital sterilizing equipment. All were consistently employed

#### JOBS OF 17\*

| Statutory obligations      |                |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Subject to regulations     | Spi            |
|                            | Ca             |
|                            | Cle<br>w       |
|                            | Dis<br>an      |
| Not subject to regulations | Fil<br>Al      |
|                            | Ma<br>pr<br>in |
|                            | Ma<br>br       |
|                            | Rub<br>co      |
|                            | Gen            |

\*Employment history

in this type of work for was intermittent.

#### *Exposure*

The group of nine, with asbestos, are of p of the wife who was in instance we were told asbestos" every evening down. The two men in had sisters who were v series were employed.

ring geriatric hospital. The  
r the mesothelioma and con-  
nient rather than a relative  
iled and accurate histories.  
according to the Registrar  
ant difference in the social

occupational histories was  
toms, six of whom had died  
in 1922. Among the remain-  
posure to asbestos, compared  
eries (TABLE 2). This differ-  
1,  $P < .001$ .

#### *elioma Series)*

able of the 18 mesothelioma  
actory. Eleven started work  
lations controlling the man-  
of asbestos workers became  
This factory originally used  
hrysotile; amosite was first

loyment was usually short,  
ith only one working as long  
of employment was usually  
ss than two years, three for  
than 20 years. In one woman  
e occupations of the remain-  
d are shown in TABLE 3. All  
four occupations listed are  
ler the Asbestos Regulations

were working at other fac-  
e patient had been employed  
r partitions. Except for one  
todes with crocidolite, the  
not known.

men: five had been employed  
station, and one specialized  
l were consistently employed

TABLE 2  
JOBS OF 17\* PATIENTS EMPLOYED AT ONE ASBESTOS FACTORY

| Statutory obligations      | Job                                        | Males | Females | Material                             |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------------------------------------|
| Subject to regulations     | Spinning                                   | 0     | 4       | Crocidolite                          |
|                            | Carding                                    | 1     | 1       | Crocidolite                          |
|                            | Clothing and weaving                       | 1     | 0       | Crocidolite<br>Chrysotile<br>Amosite |
|                            | Disintegrating and opening                 | 2     | 1       | Crocidolite<br>Chrysotile<br>Amosite |
| Not subject to regulations | Filter making for ARP masks                | 2     | 0       | Crocidolite                          |
|                            | Manufacturing of preformed pipe insulation | 1     | 1       | Crocidolite                          |
|                            | Manufacturing of brake linings             | 1     | 0       | Crocidolite                          |
|                            | Rubber compounding                         | 0     | 1       | Crocidolite<br>Chrysotile            |
|                            | General laborer                            | 1     | 0       | Crocidolite<br>Chrysotile<br>Amosite |

\*Employment history not available for one female patient.

in this type of work for more than 20 years, but their exposure to asbestos was intermittent.

#### *Exposure of Relatives (Mesothelioma Series)*

The group of nine, seven women and two men, whose relatives worked with asbestos, are of particular interest. The most usual history was that of the wife who washed her husband's dungarees or work clothes. In one instance we were told that the husband, a docker, came home "white with asbestos" every evening for three or four years and she brushed him down. The two men in this group, when boys of eight or nine years old, had sisters who were working at the asbestos factory where others of this series were employed. One of these girls worked as a spinner from 1925

to 1936. In 1947 she died of asbestosis. The press report of the inquest states, "she used to return home from work with dust on her clothes." Her brother had no other exposure to asbestos, he started work as a shop assistant, then became a sawyer of iron girders until 1948 when he worked as a loader of groceries in the docks for five years (but never on dusty cargoes) and then returned to sawing iron girders. He died in 1956.

#### *Types of Exposure of Control Series*

Two of the control series had worked in asbestos factories; four as ladders; the husband of another was employed at the large asbestos factory for three years, and two dockers gave histories of handling asbestos cargoes from time to time throughout their working life.

The diagnoses of these patients have been grouped into eight categories (TABLE 4). The patients with a positive history of exposure are scattered throughout the various diagnostic groups. The individual diagnoses of these patients do not suggest that exposure to asbestos could in any way be related to the disease which had caused their admission.

TABLE 4  
DISEASE GROUPS OF PATIENTS IN CONTROL SERIES

| Disease group                                   | Number of patients | Number with exposure to asbestos |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cardiovascular disease                          | 21                 | 3                                |
| Metabolic disease                               | 9                  | 0                                |
| Reticuloendothelial disease (including anemias) | 8                  | 2                                |
| Gastrointestinal disease (excluding cancers)    | 6                  | 2                                |
| Respiratory disease (excluding cancers)         | 3                  | 0                                |
| All neoplasms                                   | 18                 | 1                                |
| Other diseases                                  | 11                 | 1                                |
| Total                                           | 76                 | 9                                |

Among the 36 affected who had neither an occu working with asbestos, posed to asbestos dust l asbestos factory.

The factory where mo in 1913, having been sit seven years. There were mile of the factory durin site. At the time it open old. At the present site, radius of the factory. On the factory in 1922 and other seven were female opened. They remained i except for one who rema later.

Among the control se seven and 14 lived near and one man who lived ne of age when she moved i when interviewed, comple factory and the rats in the Thus, among those wi bestos there are 11 (30. series and five (7.6 per c a mile of the factory at its ence in the proportion of

#### RESIDENCE OF PATIENTS

| Category            |
|---------------------|
| Mesothelioma series |
| Control series      |

$$\chi^2 = 7.85, P < .01$$

press report of the inquest "th dust on her clothes." Her started work as a shop assistant until 1948 when he worked years (but never on dusty ders. He died in 1956.

#### Control Series

asbestos factories; four as at the large asbestos factories of handling asbestos working life.

grouped into eight categories of exposure are scattered. The individual diagnoses of asbestos could in any way their admission.

#### CONTROL SERIES

| Number of patients | Number with exposure to asbestos |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| 21                 | 3                                |
| 9                  | 0                                |
| 8                  | 2                                |
| 6                  | 2                                |
| 3                  | 0                                |
| 18                 | 1                                |
| 11                 | 1                                |
| 76                 | 9                                |

#### Neighborhood Exposures

Among the 36 affected patients, and the 67 patients in the control series who had neither an occupational exposure nor a relative living in the home working with asbestos, there is a further group who could have been exposed to asbestos dust because they lived in the immediate vicinity of an asbestos factory.

The factory where more than a fifth of the series were employed opened in 1913, having been situated nearer the City of London for the previous seven years. There were three affected female patients living within half a mile of the factory during the seven years it was in production at its first site. At the time it opened they were children between five and seven years old. At the present site, there were eight patients living within a half mile radius of the factory. One, a male, was born within a quarter of a mile of the factory in 1922 and remained at the same address for 16 years. The other seven were females and aged between six and 13 when the factory opened. They remained in the area for only between three and seven years, except for one who remained at the same address until she died 48 years later.

Among the control series, there is one man who between the ages of seven and 14 lived near the factory at its previous site, and three women and one man who lived near its present site. One of the women was 22 years of age when she moved into the neighborhood in 1915. She disliked it and, when interviewed, complained impartially about the dust from the asbestos factory and the rats in the house.

Thus, among those with no occupational or domestic exposures to asbestos there are 11 (30.6 per cent) of the patients in the mesothelioma series and five (7.6 per cent) in the control series who lived within half a mile of the factory at its present and previous sites (TABLE 5). The difference in the proportion of the patients in the two series who lived in the

TABLE 5  
RESIDENCE OF PATIENTS WITH NO OCCUPATIONAL OR DOMESTIC EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS

| Category            | Lived within 1/2-mile of asbestos factory | Lived more than 1/2-mile from asbestos factory | Total |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Mesothelioma series | 11 (30.6%)                                | 25 (69.4%)                                     | 36    |
| Control series      | 5 (7.5%)                                  | 62 (92.5%)                                     | 67    |

$$\chi^2 = 7.85, P < .01$$

vicinity of the factory and had no other exposure to asbestos is statistically significant ( $\chi^2 = 7.85$ ,  $P < .01$ ).

Including the 11 patients who lived near the asbestos factory there are 51 who had been exposed to asbestos. In 39, exposure first occurred before 1930, in the remaining 12, before 1943. The interval between first exposure and onset of symptoms varied between 16 and 55 years (mean 37.5). The duration of exposure also varied widely, ranging from five weeks to over 50 years.

#### DISCUSSION

Among those traced in the mesothelioma series there are 15 men and 10 women in whom no evidence could be found of an exposure to asbestos. A chief source of information was a history taken from a surviving relative. A surprising amount of information was obtained, but in some of those interviewed the memory may have been defective, or they may not have known of short periods of exposure during the youth of the deceased. One of the patients was eventually identified as having worked at the large asbestos factory for five weeks in 1941, but this was before he married and his widow did not know of this episode. A colored man born in South Africa worked as a merchant seaman for much of his life; he may have been exposed in the asbestos mines or to cargoes carried at sea, but it was not possible to get any details of his life in South Africa or his work at sea. There is also evidence that some of these 25 patients may have lived close to other asbestos factories in the London area.

It is of interest that both industrial and nonindustrial exposures were recognized. Among the men the exposure was predominantly industrial: 22 worked in asbestos factories or as lagers, two were exposed at home, and one lived near the asbestos factory; whereas among the women only 10 worked in asbestos factories and a further 17 had nonindustrial exposures, seven in the home and 10 living near asbestos factories.

In this series there is no evidence that the patients with peritoneal tumors differed in the type of exposure they experienced from those with pleural tumors. A higher proportion of women were affected by peritoneal tumors, but the difference was not statistically significant.

The recent increase in the number of cases diagnosed at the hospital may be partly due to mounting interest in the disease and partly to the long interval between first exposure and development of the tumor. Those exposed between 1915 and 1925 might be expected to die from about 1950 onwards. Asbestos imports in the United Kingdom have mounted steeply since 1932 (Leathart, 1964) and its uses are becoming very diversified in industry. The increasing proportion of the population exposed to asbestos during the past 30 years may be expected to give rise to an increasing occurrence of mesothelial tumors.

There seems little exposure of asbestos other exposure except A high incidence of population living near More evidence is re in the neighborhood yards, where asbestos The occurrence of strongly suggests th this disease. There is is known about the dose-response relation

Two groups of patients End of London have b

The first consisted of had been confirmed a pational and domestic was peritoneal in ori 1917, but only 10 of ti males and 42 per cent between first exposure 16 and 55 years (mea

The second group co by sex and date of bir

Of the patients suff exposed to asbestos, as difference in the propo series is highly signif exposure were recogniz tiles, insulating materi insulators; and exposu asbestos. Eighteen of th tives were working wit tory opened in 1913 and all those whose records

Among the 36 patien tional history and no re there were 11 who lived the control series also l

to asbestos is statistically  
 asbestos factory there are  
 sure first occurred before  
 tal between first exposure  
 years (mean 37.5). The  
 from five weeks to over

there are 15 men and 10  
 an exposure to asbestos.  
 n from a surviving rela-  
 obtained, but in some of  
 fective, or they may not  
 ig the youth of the de-  
 sed as having worked at  
 , but this was before he  
 ade. A colored man born  
 much of his life; he may  
 goes carried at sea, but  
 in South Africa or his  
 f these 25 patients may  
 London area.

ustrial exposures were  
 edominantly industrial:  
 were exposed at home,  
 among the women only  
 7 had nonindustrial ex-  
 astos factories.  
 atients with peritoneal  
 rienced from those with  
 e affected by peritoneal  
 gnificant.

agnosed at the hospital  
 sease and partly to the  
 ent of the tumor. Those  
 to die from about 1950  
 n have mounted steeply  
 ning very diversified in  
 tion exposed to asbestos  
 e rise to an increasing

There seems little doubt of the risk of both occupational and domestic exposure of asbestos. Wagner *et al.* (1950) described patients with no other exposure except living as a child in the vicinity of the asbestos mines. A high incidence of asbestos plaques of the pleura has been found in the population living near an anthophyllite mine in Finland (Kiviluoto, 1960). More evidence is required of an increased risk to the population living in the neighborhood of asbestos factories or other areas, such as dock-yards, where asbestos is used in quantity.

The occurrence of tumors after exposure of less than a year's duration strongly suggests that personal factors are important in the etiology of this disease. There is a need for studies of whole populations where more is known about the duration and type of exposure of all at risk, so that dose-response relationships can be evaluated.

#### SUMMARY

Two groups of patients who have attended a large hospital in the East End of London have been examined to determine their exposure to asbestos.

The first consisted of 83 patients in whom the diagnosis of mesothelioma had been confirmed at autopsy or by biopsy. In all but seven, past occupational and domestic histories were obtained. In 22 patients the tumor was peritoneal in origin, in 61 pleural. The earliest recorded death was 1917, but only 10 of the series died before 1950. Fifty-five per cent of the males and 42 per cent of the females died under the age of 55. The interval between first exposure and development of terminal illness ranged between 16 and 55 years (mean 37 years).

The second group consisted of 76 patients of the same hospital, matched by sex and date of birth with those traced in the first series.

Of the patients suffering from mesotheliomata 52.6 per cent had been exposed to asbestos, as compared to 11.8 per cent of the control series. The difference in the proportion of patients with known exposures in the two series is highly significant ( $\chi^2 = 29.1$ ,  $P < .001$ ). Three main types of exposure were recognized: work in factories manufacturing asbestos textiles, insulating materials, and other products; employment as ladders or insulators; and exposure to dust brought home by relatives working with asbestos. Eighteen of those employed in factory work and four whose relatives were working with asbestos were employed at one factory. This factory opened in 1913 and was, until recent years, a heavy user of crocidolite; all those whose records could be traced worked with this type of asbestos.

Among the 36 patients with mesotheliomata, with no positive occupational history and no relatives living at home who worked with asbestos, there were 11 who lived within half a mile of an asbestos factory; five of the control series also lived in the same area. The difference in the pro-

portion of patients in the two series is also statistically significant ( $\chi^2 = 7.85$ ,  $P < .01$ ).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our thanks are due to Professor C. Wilson, Professor V. W. Dix, Dr. N. L. Rusby and Mr. G. Flavell, F.R.C.S., of the London Hospital, and Dr. C. P. Silver of St. Matthew's Hospital for permission to interview their patients; to Dr. W. J. Smither for his assistance and for arranging access to records, and to Dr. J. C. Gilson and Professor R. S. F. Schilling for their help and encouragement throughout the investigation.

#### REFERENCES

- EDITORIAL. 1964. *Brit. Med. J.* 2: 202.  
FOWLER, P. B. S., J. C. SLOPER & E. C. WARNER. 1964. *Brit. Med. J.* 2: 211.  
GLYN OWEN, W. 1964. *Brit. Med. J.* 2: 214.  
KIVILUOTO, R. 1960. *Acta Radiologica, Sup.* 194.  
HOURIHANE, D. O'B. 1965. *This Annal.*  
LEATHART, G. L. 1964. *Occ. Health* 16: 119.  
WAGNER, J. C., C. A. SLEGGS & P. MARCHAND. 1960. *Brit. J. Ind. Med.* 17: 260.

THOMAS F. MARCHAND  
Dr. Newhouse's work  
out a striking resemblance  
those on beryllium. Ye  
disease) were identified  
mind by recent obser  
torium in South Africa.  
Hardy observed beryll  
lium workers, and subs  
in the laundering and  
larily, Dr. Newhouse  
the household of asbes  
The resemblance conti  
osis was observed amo  
of beryllium manufact  
Newhouse and Wagner  
plaques, of residents liv  
mining operations.

The second point I s  
Newhouse and Dr. Wag  
period between initial  
theliomas and lung car  
extend to 60 years. Th  
of employment.

In view of these obser  
mortality rates upon a  
such as recording the  
25-64. It would be mor  
least in the U. S. and  
this into consideration.

In Dr. Newhouse's st  
accomplished by retrosp  
even though many year  
long latent period, much  
will follow a similar appr

I should also like to e  
of asbestos, not just the  
bestosis, but other relat  
physema, cor pulmonale  
out the advisability of  
health studies of asbesto