

TO
LLOYD
FV
AM

To J. D. McFenley

Preprint from the 1986

BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS YEARBOOK

Asbestos



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

CT-775

CTD007088



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR • Donald Paul Hodel, Secretary

BUREAU OF MINES • David S. Brown, Acting Director

This publication is a chapter from the current Bureau of Mines Minerals Yearbook, comprising *Volume I, Metals and Minerals; Volume II, Area Reports: Domestic; Volume III, Area Reports: International*. The separate volumes of the Yearbook are sold by the Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D.C. 20402.

CTD007089

Asbestos

By Robert L. Virta¹

U.S. apparent consumption of asbestos declined in 1986. Shipments from domestic mines decreased 11% and imports for consumption decreased 24% from those of 1985. Adverse publicity on asbestos-related health risks and a proposed Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) ban of certain asbestos products contributed to the poor market conditions in the United States.

Domestic Data Coverage.—Domestic production data for asbestos are developed by the Bureau of Mines by means of a voluntary industry survey. Of the three canvassed operations to which a survey request was sent, all responded, representing 100% of the total production data shown in table 1.

Table 1.—Salient asbestos statistics

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
United States:					
Production (sales):					
Quantity..... metric tons.....	63,515	69,906	57,422	57,457	51,437
Value ¹ thousands.....	\$24,917	\$27,866	\$24,238	\$20,485	\$17,367
Exports and reexports (unmanufactured):					
Quantity..... metric tons.....	58,771	54,634	39,919	45,656	47,281
Value..... thousands.....	\$19,713	\$19,683	\$18,346	\$16,489	\$14,520
Exports and reexports of asbestos products:					
Value..... do.....	\$127,867	\$129,582	\$163,347	\$193,765	\$163,896
Imports for consumption (unmanufactured):					
Quantity..... metric tons.....	241,737	196,387	209,963	142,431	108,352
Value..... thousands.....	\$64,925	\$57,956	\$64,749	\$44,093	\$26,537
Consumption, apparent ² metric tons.....	246,500	217,000	226,000	162,000	119,627
World: Production..... do.....	³ 4,559,495	³ 4,728,867	4,659,115	³ 4,678,287	³ 4,522,045

¹Estimated. ²Preliminary. ³Revised.

¹F.o.b. mine.

²Production, plus imports, minus exports, plus adjustments in Government and industry stocks.

Legislation and Government Programs.—On January 29, 1986, the EPA announced a proposed ruling that would immediately ban the manufacture, importation, and processing of certain asbestos construction materials (asbestos-cement pipe and fittings, roofing felt, flooring felt, felt-backed sheet flooring, vinyl asbestos floor tile, and asbestos clothing) under section 6 of the 1976 Toxic Substances Control Act.³ In addition, the mining and importation of asbestos and the importation of asbestos products not directly banned would be placed under a permit system. The permit system would limit the mining and

importation of asbestos to 30% of the 1981, 1982, and 1983 average values and would phase out asbestos use within 10 years.

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) enacted a ruling, effective July 21, that limits worker exposure to asbestos, tremolite, anthophyllite, and actinolite to 0.2 fiber per cubic centimeter per 8-hour work period.⁴ OSHA also required employee training and medical surveillance when exposure levels exceed 0.1 fiber per cubic centimeter. A 9-month administrative stay was placed on that portion of the ruling covering the nonasbestiform varieties of tremolite, anthophyllite, and actinolite.⁴

Worker exposure to the nonasbestiform varieties was limited to 2.0 fibers per cubic centimeter by the administrative stay.

The EPA enacted a ruling, effective June 9, that limits asbestos exposures for State and local government employees who were not protected by OSHA regulations or by State plans approved under the Occupational Safety and Health Act.⁸ Exposures for workers engaged in the removal, enclosure, or encapsulation of any friable material containing more than 1% asbestos are limited to 2.0 fibers per cubic centimeter per 8-hour period.

The Consumer Product Safety Commission issued an enforcement policy for household products to which asbestos is intentionally added.⁹ The policy, effective December 23, requires warning labels to be placed on asbestos-containing products that are likely to release asbestos fibers when handled or used.

The EPA announced a final ruling establishing effluent limitation guidelines for the

discharge of conventional pollutants into navigable waters by specified industrial dischargers.⁷ No changes were made to previous effluent limitation guidelines for asbestos manufacturing. Previous guidelines set no limitations on effluent discharge with regard to best conventional pollutant control technology except for solvent recovery, where the best conventional technology is required to control total suspended solids and pH.

On October 22, the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act of 1986 was signed into law.¹ The act requires EPA to develop regulations for inspecting public and private school buildings for asbestos-containing materials, evaluating the risk that these materials represent, recommending corrective action and an air monitoring protocol, and issuing disposal guidelines for asbestos-containing materials.

Stockpile goals for asbestos were unchanged from those of 1985.

Table 2.—Stockpile goals and Government inventories for asbestos as of December 31

(Metric tons)

	Stockpile goals	Total inventories		
		1984	1985	1986
Amosite	15,422	30,855	30,855	30,853
Chrysotile	2,722	9,754	9,772	9,711
Crocidolite	--	33	33	33
Total	18,144	40,642	40,660	40,597

Source: General Services Administration, Federal Property Service.

Environmental Impact.—The International Labor Organization at its 1986 conference voted to support the controlled use of asbestos.⁶ Its recommendations would require ratifying countries to enact legislation that would protect the worker from asbestos exposure; provide for safe, proven asbestos substitutes if the use of asbestos would represent an unacceptable hazard to the worker; ban the use of crocidolite and the use of sprayed asbestos except under special conditions; and establish worker exposure limits.

EPA announced the availability of a regu-

latory determination for solid waste from the extraction and beneficiation of ores and minerals as required by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).¹⁰ The report discussed wastes from asbestos, phosphate, uranium, and several metal mining operations. Approximately 5 million metric tons of waste containing greater than 5% chrysotile were generated from asbestos production according to the EPA report. EPA concluded that current RCRA criteria could not be used to evaluate asbestos waste sites and that different criteria would have to be developed.

DOMESTIC PRODUCTION

Mine shipments decreased 11% in quantity and 15% in value from those of 1985. The decreased activity was due primarily to adverse publicity on asbestos-related health risks.

Three mines in California and Vermont marketed asbestos. Calaveras Asbestos Ltd. in California led in asbestos sales in the United States, followed by KCAC Inc. and Vermont Asbestos Group Inc.

Table 3.—Asbestos producers in the United States in 1986

State and company	County	Mine	Type of asbestos
California: Calaveras Asbestos Ltd	Calaveras	Copperopolis	Chrysotile
KCAC Inc	San Benito	Santa Rita	Do
Vermont: Vermont Asbestos Group Inc	Orleans	Lowell	Do

CONSUMPTION AND USES

Total U.S. asbestos consumption decreased 26%. Approximately 98% of the asbestos consumed was chrysotile and 2% was crocidolite. Chrysotile grade 7 was most commonly used, followed by grades 4, 6, and 5. Spinning grades 1, 2, and 3 represented approximately 1% of the total consumption.

The packing and flange manufacturers

increased their use of asbestos substitutes because of stricter asbestos regulations and increased concern over asbestos-related health risks.¹¹ Traditionally 80% to 90% of their products contained asbestos. In 1986, slightly over 50% of the products contained asbestos.

Table 4.—U.S. asbestos consumption by end use, grade, and type

(Thousand metric tons)

End use	Chrysotile ¹							Amo-site	Crocidolite	Other ²	Total asbestos ³
	Grades 1 and 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Total				
1985 total	0.1	1.7	18.8	12.1	13.7	104.0	150.4	0.3	4.8	7.3	155.5
1986:											
Asbestos-cement pipe			12.7	1.5	3.4		17.6		2.0		19.6
Asbestos-cement sheet				(⁴)	2.9	2.0	5.0				5.0
Coatings and compounds						17.4	17.4				17.4
Flooring products						5.3	5.3				5.3
Friction products			1	4	5.0	3.0	17.1				25.6
Insulation:											
Electrical				(⁴)		2	3				3
Thermal				(⁴)			(⁴)				(⁴)
Packing and gaskets		.1	5	2.5	2	1.5	4.8				4.8
Paper					4	12.3	12.7				12.7
Plastics				(⁴)		5	6				6
Roofing products				(⁴)	4	19.4	19.8				19.8
Textiles			8	(⁴)			9				9
Other		(⁴)	6	2	(⁴)	2.8	3.6				3.6
Total ⁵	1.1	14.2	9.3	10.3	78.6	113.7			2.0	3.9	115.7

¹Estimated distribution based upon data provided by the Asbestos Institute, Montreal, Canada, and the Bureau of Mines, asbestos producer survey.

²Bureau of the Census.

³Does not include "Other" category in total. "Other" contains unspecified fiber type and end use.

⁴Less than 1/10 unit.

⁵Data may not add to totals shown because of independent rounding.

PRICES

The average unit value of domestically produced asbestos in 1986 was \$338 per metric ton. The average unit value of exported asbestos was \$307 per ton.

Table 5.—Customs unit values of imported asbestos

(Dollars per metric ton)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
Canada					
Chrysotile					
Cement	234	257	284	376	547
Crude	390	199	1,084	731	507
Spinning	917	932	609	731	507
Other	334	384	431	293	229
South Africa, Republic of					
Amosite	771	840	869	830	
Crocidolite	646	629	705	569	582

Source: Bureau of the Census

FOREIGN TRADE

There was a 16% decrease in the total value of asbestos fibers and asbestos products exported from the United States. The asbestos fiber portion was 8% of total value. Exports of brake linings and disc pads accounted for 76% of the value of all asbestos products exported. Canada remained the largest importer of U.S. asbestos fibers and products, followed by Japan, Mexico, the

Federal Republic of Germany, Brazil, and Venezuela.

Canada provided 96% of the asbestos imported into the United States, and the Republic of South Africa provided 4%. Several other countries provided minor amounts. Approximately 95% of asbestos fiber imports was chrysotile. The value of imported asbestos fiber decreased 40%.

Table 6.—Countries importing U.S. asbestos fibers and products

(Thousand dollars)

Country	1985			1986		
	Unmanu- factured fibers	Manu- factured products	Total	Unmanu- factured fibers	Manu- factured products	Total ¹
Australia	1	1,379	1,380	17	1,341	1,358
Brazil	251	3,392	3,643	467	2,555	3,022
Canada	714	148,663	149,377	605	123,819	124,424
Germany, Federal Republic of	75	1,948	2,023	300	2,746	3,047
Japan	3,294	10,140	13,434	2,981	7,867	10,848
Korea, Republic of	190	1,814	2,004	624	825	1,449
Kuwait	31	437	468	-	221	221
Mexico	6,261	6,483	12,744	2,667	3,593	6,260
Saudi Arabia	2	1,409	1,411	-	1,062	1,062
Thailand	2,330	332	2,662	2,490	354	2,844
Turkey	-	544	544	-	361	361
United Kingdom	50	926	976	166	1,313	1,479
Venezuela	267	3,487	3,754	218	2,688	2,906
Other	2,900	12,325	15,225	3,864	12,108	15,972
Total ¹	16,366	193,479	209,845	14,401	162,851	177,252

¹Data may not add to totals shown because of independent rounding.

Source: Bureau of the Census.

Table 7.—U.S. exports and reexports of asbestos and asbestos products

Products	1984		1985		1986	
	Quan- tity	Value (thou- sands)	Quan- tity	Value (thou- sands)	Quan- tity	Value (thou- sands)
EXPORTS						
Unmanufactured						
Crude, fibers, stucco	metric tons					
Sand and refuse	do.					
Total	do.					
	39,779	18,221	45,075	16,366	46,897	14,401

Table 7.—U.S. exports and reexports of asbestos and asbestos products—Continued

Products		1984		1985		1986	
		Quantity	Value (thou- sands)	Quantity	Value (thou- sands)	Quantity	Value (thou- sands)
EXPORTS—Continued							
Manufactured:							
Asbestos fibers	metric tons	958	\$5,067	607	\$4,793	723	\$3,982
Brake linings and disk brake pads	do	NA	103,303	NA	144,262	NA	123,515
Clutch facings and linings	number	NA	23,296	NA	20,718	NA	16,187
Gaskets	metric tons	275	1,815	78	900	266	1,285
Insulation	do	NA	5,720	NA	4,566	NA	1,889
Packing and seals	do	1,150	9,063	1,192	6,716	820	6,373
Shingles and clapboard	do	2,098	1,615	984	893	840	805
Other articles of asbestos	do	1,759	2,595	1,521	2,437	1,614	1,553
Other articles, n.s.p.f.	do	NA	10,306	NA	9,191	NA	7,342
Total		XX	162,690	XX	193,476	XX	162,851
REEXPORTS							
Unmanufactured:							
Crudes and fibers	metric tons	140	125	369	71	329	98
Sand and refuse	do.	--	--	212	52	54	20
Total ¹		140	125	581	123	384	119
Manufactured:							
Asbestos fibers	do.	1	5	(²)	3		
Brake linings and disk brake pads	do.	NA	47	NA	103	NA	222
Clutch facings and linings	number	NA	194	NA	73	NA	604
Gaskets	metric tons	46	136	1	18	6	65
Insulation	do.	(²)	10	NA	(²)	NA	23
Packing and seals	do.	(²)	1	4	63	(²)	50
Other articles of asbestos	do.	NA	264	NA	20	NA	3
Other articles, n.s.p.f.	do.	--	--	NA	1	NA	78
Total		XX	657	XX	283	XX	1,045

¹Revised. NA Not available. XX Not applicable.²Data may not add to totals shown because of independent rounding.³Less than 1/2 unit

Source: Bureau of the Census.

Table 8.—U.S. imports for consumption of asbestos fibers, by type, origin, and value

Type	Canada		South Africa, Republic of		Other		Total ¹	
	Quantity (metric tons)	Value (thou- sands)	Quantity (metric tons)	Value (thou- sands)	Quantity (metric tons)	Value (thou- sands)	Quantity (metric tons)	Value (thou- sands)
1984	195,651	\$56,267	13,912	\$8,033	400	\$449	209,963	\$64,749
1985:								
Amosite	—	—	121	100	—	—	121	100
Chrysotile:								
Crude	94	86	174	100	—	—	268	187
Spinning fibers	1,990	1,454	—	—	90	110	2,080	1,564
All other	127,307	36,045	522	301	37	16	127,866	36,362
Crocidolite (blue)	—	—	4,794	2,726	—	—	4,794	2,726
Other (unspecified asbestos type)	1,728	962	5,374	1,997	200	196	7,302	3,155
Total ¹	131,119	38,547	10,985	5,224	327	322	142,431	44,093
1986:								
Chrysotile:								
Crude	20	12	192	104	—	—	212	116
Spinning fibers	598	304	—	—	—	—	598	304
All other	101,273	23,065	227	139	107	94	101,607	23,291
Crocidolite (blue)	—	—	1,968	1,154	20	4	1,988	1,158
Other (unspecified asbestos type)	1,626	434	2,068	1,109	253	119	3,947	1,662
Total ¹	103,517	23,814	4,455	2,506	380	217	108,352	26,537

¹Data may not add to totals shown because of independent rounding.

Source: Bureau of the Census.

WORLD REVIEW

World production of asbestos decreased slightly from that of 1985. The major production change occurred in Canada, where shipments were down 110,000 tons. The U.S.S.R. remained the largest producer of asbestos, followed by Canada, Brazil, Zimbabwe, China, the Republic of South Africa, and Italy.

Canada.—Three Canadian asbestos producers, Asbestos Corp. Ltd., Bell Asbestos Mines Ltd., and Lac d'Amiante du Quebec, formed a partnership, effective July 1, 1986, that strengthened their resources and improved their position in domestic and export markets.¹² The new partnership was governed by a board of directors, although each facility operated under local management. The partnership controlled approximately 50% of the asbestos production in the Province of Quebec.

Carey Canada Inc., a subsidiary of the Jim Walter Corp., permanently closed its chrysotile mine in the Beauce Mountains, near East Broughton, Quebec.¹³ Economic conditions made the mine unprofitable. Carey Canada produced chrysotile grades 4D to 7W for asphalt compounds, friction materials, asbestos paper, vinyl asbestos tile, gaskets, phenolic compounds, and caulking compounds. The company marketed asbestos primarily in North America and Asia.

China.—Approximately 100 asbestos-cement (A-C) manufacturing plants operated with 200 production lines.¹⁴ Production of shingle and board were operating below capacity because of shortages of asbestos and cement. Expansion was anticipated to meet increasing demands for new markets such as in power station cooling towers,

ventilation and roofing needs in textile mills, guard structures in fertilizer plants, and replacements for traditional clay brick structures. Plans were made to increase asbestos production and upgrade existing mill and plant equipment.

Italy.—Asbestos was produced by only one company, Amiantifera di Balangero S.p.A., from a surface mine at San Vittore.¹⁵ The crushed rock was processed in an automated plant where the fibers were removed from the host rock, dried in static vertical and revolving horizontal driers, and graded. Automated sampling was performed every 6 minutes to determine fiber quality. Approximately 70% of the asbestos was used by the A-C industry. Other uses included gaskets, brake linings, paint filler, and insulation material. Over 50% of manufactured products was exported.

Morocco.—Although chrysotile deposits occur near Bou Azzer and Inguiem, no domestic production was undertaken.¹⁶ Demand for asbestos was met by imports, primarily from Canada and Botswana. Asbestos was consumed primarily by the domestic A-C industry for building and irrigation projects. Despite domestic demand, 30% to 50% of A-C products was exported to neighboring countries.

South Africa, Republic of.—Griqualand Exploration and Finance Co. Ltd. implemented an environmental program to revegetate old mine dumps.¹⁷ The mine dumps, which had been previously covered by soil and grass, were exposed owing to the grazing of livestock. The companies began planting vegetation that provides a dense soil cover and is impenetrable by animals.

Table 9.—Asbestos: World production, by country¹

(Metric tons)

Country ²	1982	1983	1984	1985 ³	1986 ⁴
Argentina	1,218	1,240	1,093	*1,200	1,100
Australia	18,587	*3,909	—	—	—
Brazil (fiber)	145,998	158,885	134,788	172,027	175,000
Bulgaria	600	700	500	400	500
Canada (shipments)	834,000	858,000	837,000	750,000	*640,000
China ⁵	110,000	160,000	135,000	*150,000	150,000
Colombia	*5,400	*5,400	9,982	12,435	13,000
Cyprus	18,952	17,288	7,429	16,360	16,000
Egypt	424	245	*325	*325	300
Greece	17,016	31,811	45,376	46,811	48,000
India	26,761	24,873	25,450	30,183	30,000
Indonesia ⁶	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
Italy	116,410	139,054	147,272	136,006	*115,208
Japan ⁷	*4,135	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Korea, Republic of	13,933	12,506	8,062	4,703	5,000
Mozambique	852	*600	*400	55	—
South Africa, Republic of	211,860	221,111	167,389	163,574	140,000
Swaziland	30,145	26,287	25,832	25,130	25,000

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 9.—Asbestos: World production, by country¹—Continued
(Metric tons)

Country ²	1982	1983	1984	1985 ³	1986 ³
Taiwan	2,392	2,819	1,355	625	
Turkey	958	1,510	1,499	*1,500	1,500
U.S.S.R. ⁴	[†] 2,700,000	[†] 2,800,000	[†] 2,850,000	[†] 2,900,000	2,900,000
United States (sold or used by producers)	63,515	69,906	57,422	57,457	[‡] 51,437
Yugoslavia	11,657	10,502	8,556	6,916	7,000
Zimbabwe	[†] 197,682	[†] 153,221	165,385	173,580	174,000
Total	[†] 4,559,495	[†] 4,728,867	4,659,115	4,678,287	4,522,045

^{*}Estimated [†]Preliminary [‡]Revised.

¹Table includes data available through Apr. 28, 1987.

²In addition to the countries listed, Afghanistan, Czechoslovakia, North Korea, and Romania also produce asbestos, but output is not officially reported, and available general information is inadequate for the formulation of reliable estimates of output levels.

³Reported figure

TECHNOLOGY

A semiquantitative method for distinguishing between asbestiform and nonasbestiform crystals was developed.¹⁸ The flexibilities of several asbestiform and nonasbestiform tremolite crystals were measured and their bending strengths were calculated. The asbestiform and nonasbestiform varieties of tremolite could be differentiated using this technique.

A process was developed to synthesize zeolites using chrysotile as a starting material.¹⁹ The magnesium was stripped from the chrysotile structure. The remaining siliceous material was mixed with alumina and heated under pressure to synthesize zeolite crystals. Production of 1 ton of zeolite consumes 2 tons of chrysotile. If economically feasible, this synthesis process will create a new market for chrysotile.

Asbestos is used in pressure pipe to provide reinforcement strength, improve the drainage characteristics, and provide dimensional stability to uncured pipe. With concerns over asbestos-related environmental issues, asbestos used in A-C pipe and sheet was facing competition from a variety of artificial and natural fibers. In general, these substitute fibers did not have the same reinforcing properties, did not bind as well with the cement and silica particles, and did not disperse in the cement matrix as well as asbestos.²⁰

A study of A-C pipe, polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe, and ductile iron (DI) pipe indicated that A-C pipe was more cost effective over a wide range of diameters, strength classes, and applications than either PVC or DI pipe.²¹ A-C pipe is more versatile because interior and exterior coatings are not required for corrosion resistance; for a given

diameter, A-C pipe has higher crush and burst strengths; use of rubber sealing rings provides joint flexibility, shock resistance, pipe-expansion and pipe deflection; A-C pipe has a smooth bore, which results in a low coefficient of friction; and a single manufacturing facility can make all forms of A-C pipe.

The packing and flange manufacturers investigated the use of asbestos substitutes in packings and gaskets.²² The major replacements were flexible graphite, carbon and graphite yarn, glass fibers, aramid, polybenzimidazole, polytetrafluoroethylene, ceramics, mica, and metals. Although none of these substitutes possessed all of the desirable properties of asbestos, packings and gaskets of equal or superior quality were produced using a combination of asbestos substitutes. Asbestos substitutes, however, were still not adaptable to many severe use applications and were more costly than asbestos.

The Chlorine Institute estimated that replacing asbestos diaphragm cells with nonasbestos membrane cells would cost the U.S. chlor-alkali industry \$2 million.²³ Approximately 97% of the chlorine produced in the United States was generated using diaphragm cells. No substitute had the strength, physical structure, and chemical resistance to acids and alkalis of asbestos. An alternative to replacing asbestos with asbestos substitutes was converting diaphragm cells to membrane cells. Membranes consisting of carboxylic-sulfonic compounds were developed to fit around the anodes of the diaphragm cell. Use of these membranes was reported to reduce electricity costs by 20% and produce high-purity

caustic soda of 30% to 35% concentration.

Techniques for evaluating and controlling asbestos exposures were developed.²⁴ All of these techniques focus on evaluating the health risk posed by asbestos materials in the workplace. Detailed information on the type of asbestos, condition of the asbestos material, and activity levels are a few of the considerations of these risk management programs.

A technique for encapsulating asbestos in buildings using a low-density cellular polymer insulation was developed.²⁵ The polymer insulation formed a durable coating over the asbestos that was more abrasion and impact resistant than resin coatings. The durable coating reduced the risk of asbestos release through abrasion. Encapsulation using the cellular polymer insulation costs from 50% to 75% less than asbestos removal and eliminates the potential for fiber release that may occur during removal operations.

E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. Inc., Standard Oil Co., Rhodes-American, Ashland Oil Inc., and Union Carbide Corp. formed a coalition to promote the use of asbestos substitutes.²⁶ The coalition, called Alternative Materials Institute (AMI), reported that substitutes such as aramid fibers, carbon fibers, graphite, and other mineral and artificial fibers are available and that the transition to asbestos alternatives has already begun for some products. AMI will focus on providing up-to-date information on the availability and use of safe and effective alternatives to asbestos.

Several products that could replace asbestos in hot glass handling processes were developed.²⁷ Using glass fiber fabrics impregnated with fluorocarbon resins, materials with high temperature capabilities, and a dry lubricated wear surface were produced. Several of these materials could be molded to shape and had applications up to 1,000° F.

²⁴Physical scientist, Division of Industrial Minerals.

²⁵Federal Register, Environmental Protection Agency, Asbestos: Proposed Mining and Import Restrictions and

Proposed Manufacturing, Importation, and Processing Prohibitions V. 51, No. 19, Jan. 29, 1986, pp. 3739-3759.

²⁶Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Occupational Exposure to Asbestos, Tremolite, Anthophyllite, and Actinolite: Final Rules V. 51, No. 113, June 20, 1986, pp. 22612-22790.

²⁷Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Occupational Exposure to Asbestos, Tremolite, Anthophyllite, and Actinolite V. 51, No. 201, Oct. 17, 1986, pp. 37002-37007.

²⁸Environmental Protection Agency, Asbestos Abatement Projects V. 51, No. 80, Apr. 25, 1986, pp. 15722-15733.

²⁹Consumer Product Safety Commission, Labeling of Asbestos-Containing Household Products: Enforcement Policy V. 51, No. 185, Sept. 24, 1986, pp. 33910-33912.

³⁰Environmental Protection Agency, Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology: Effluent Limitations Guidelines V. 51, No. 131, July 9, 1986, pp. 24974-24987.

³¹Environmental Protection Agency, Regulations Required by the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act of 1986 V. 51, No. 250, Dec. 31, 1986, pp. 47265-47266.

³²Asbestos: The Convention of Asbestos V. 2, No. 1, 4th quarter 1986, pp. 8-9.

³³Federal Register, Environmental Protection Agency, Regulatory Determination for Wastes From the Extraction and Beneficiation of Ores and Minerals V. 51, No. 128, July 3, 1986, pp. 24496-24502.

³⁴Parkinson, G. Makers of Gaskets, Packings Try Asbestos Replacements. Chem. Eng., v. 93, No. 20, Oct. 27, 1986, pp. 23-26.

³⁵Asbestos, Thetford Mines Asbestos Mining Activities Now Pooled V. 1, No. 4, 2d quarter 1986, p. 12.

³⁶Industrial Minerals (London), Carey To Close Asbestos Mine, No. 223, Apr. 1986, p. 15.

³⁷Griffiths, J. First International AC Conference, Ind. Miner. (London), No. 226, July 1986, pp. 69-71.

³⁸Robbins, J. Italy's Industrial Minerals, Ind. Miner. (London), No. 231, Dec. 1986, pp. 19-50.

³⁹Power, T. Morocco, Ind. Miner. (London), No. 225, June 1986, pp. 43-44.

⁴⁰Engineering and Mining Journal, An Environmental Clean-Up Program V. 187, No. 12, Dec. 1986, p. 68.

⁴¹O'Hanley, D. S., and T. Zoltai, A Semi-Quantitative Method for Distinguishing Asbestiform and Nonasbestiform Acicular Crystals: Data for Tremolite, Paper in Proceedings of the International Mineralogical Association Meeting, Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA, 1986, p. 189.

⁴²Mining Journal, Zeolite Research Offers Hope V. 307, No. 7897, Dec. 26, 1986, p. 458.

⁴³Clarke, G. Certainized in Profile, Ind. Miner. (London), No. 223, Apr. 1986, pp. 68-75.

⁴⁴Chevalier-Bultel, J. P. A-C Pipe has the Competitive Edge, Asbestos, v. 2, No. 1, 4th quarter 1986, p. 6.

⁴⁵Work cited in footnote 11.

⁴⁶Chemical Engineering, Asbestos Users Step Up Search for Substitutes V. 93, No. 20, Oct. 27, 1986, pp. 18-21.

⁴⁷Paull, J. M., M. Corn, P. S. J. Lees, and P. N. Breysse, Nonoccupational Exposure to Asbestos in Buildings: A Practical Risk Management Program, Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., v. 47, No. 8, Aug. 1986, pp. 497-504.

⁴⁸Toxic Materials News, New Asbestos Encasement System Found Safer, Less Costly Than Removal V. 13, No. 41, Oct. 15, 1986, p. 325.

⁴⁹Toxic Materials News, Firms Form Coalition To Promote Alternatives To Asbestos V. 13, No. 51, Dec. 31, 1986, p. 404.

⁵⁰Glass, Replacing Asbestos in the Handling of Hot Glass V. 63, No. 3, Mar. 1986, p. 95.

RECEIVED
AUG 10 REC'D
PVC MKTG.

CTD007098