

INTERVIEW WITH

FRED RISTOW

SHERWIN-WILLIAMS
CLEVELAND, OHIO

FEBRUARY 11, 1991

INTERVIEWED BY

PATRICIA ELDREDGE
SHERWIN WILLIAMS COMPANY

lt/2/28/91
JG/Edited/5-14-91

Beginning of Side A

INT: Fred, could you start from the beginning when you joined the company? What were you doing?

FR: I started with Sherwin Williams back in 1950, ¹⁹⁵² ~~I had been~~ I started with the company, hired by a fellow named Walt ~~MASTERS~~ ^{MAYNOR}. He was then the labor relations director for the corporation. He hired me for a project called Applique which was a new venture that we set up a separate laboratory for here on High Street. About six chemists and myself did all the experimental work to get ^{THIS VENTURE} ~~that~~ ready for the marketplace.

INT: So you came from a chemistry background.

FR: No. I came from a brush factory. I worked for Cleveland Brush Factory out of high school and ^{WALT} ~~he~~ hired me into this ^{PROJECT} I was the security person on this Applique project to make sure that all the ^{CONFIDENTIALLY} ~~security~~ was maintained. I worked for Walt in that regard. About two months later, they drafted me. I went into the draft during the Korean War. ~~and~~ ^{SW} they flipped the coin and I ended up in Germany as Chaplain's Assistant for two years rather than go over to Korea. I came out and ^{THE} ~~they~~ didn't know what to do with me. So I went to ^{THE} Newark plant under Bill Graham, who was then plant manager. ^{SUPERVISING} ~~And~~ I started out there as a shipping floor foreman. I worked in various positions in the warehouse, order-

filling foreman, warehouse superintendent, up ^{to} ~~from~~ what they called in those days stock-keeper in the office.

INT: This would be about 1953-54?

FR: Yes. And I stayed there in various positions about seven years. So most of the fifties I would say I spent ~~my career~~ in the Newark facility. ^{DON BENFER} ~~Spencer~~ and Joe ^{RINKOSKI} ~~[Rankowski]~~ needed a store assistant stock-keeper in Cleveland to work with a fellow called Cliff ^{JAVCH} ~~[Yock]~~ who was responsible for ^{1500 +} ~~all~~ the replenishing of the ~~however many~~ stores there were in those days. We force fed the stores rather than have the stores do their own ordering of replenishment. ~~So I was brought back into Cleveland and I worked for Cliff [Yock] who worked for Don [Benfer]. I was called the~~
AS assistant branch stock-keeper. We were instrumental in mechanizing the ordering procedures and putting all those procedures on computer back in the sixties. ~~so~~ The first computerization of the stock-keeping system started with the stores in 1960.

INT: But the computerization was here in Cleveland. It was not at terminals in the stores.

FR: Here in Cleveland. And we used scanners. We used to send the stores scanner sheets and they would just fill ^{ED} out the ~~scanners, come back in, and we'd scan them and then we'd do the~~
^{SHEETS SENT} ^{AND CALCULATE}

A NEW REPLENISHMENT ORDER ACCORDINGLY.

INITIATED

~~process that way.~~ It wasn't from terminals like they have in the stores today. That was the first ^{EFFORT AT} semi-mechanization, if you'd like to call it that.

INT: How did it work that you ordered for the store?

FR: We kept track by item, by store, of their movement. We set what we called in those days order points and order up to levels. And based on the seasonality of the product we would then

determine what ~~we shipped them~~ ^{TO SHIP} the calculation of what the beginning inventory was, ^{THE SEASONAL FORECAST ON ORDER,} ~~the physical inventory time, then we~~ ^{THEN A NEW ECONOMIC ORDER QUANTITY.} ~~knew how much they sold.~~ And then based on order point we knew how much more to give them. ~~That's how we did that.~~

INT: Were they allowed to send back what they didn't sell? Or did they keep it for the next year?

FR: One of the problems with that system, especially on low volume items, was that often we made wrong decisions of what we put ~~in there~~ ^{STOCK}. A lot of it was one time customers coming in for a product, especially in industrial maintenance and the low volume painter items. ~~And then we'd have to go through a quarterly~~ ^{OFTEN} balancing between stores and ~~then even a return to the factory~~ ^{WHSE.} to make sure that they always had the proper stock without a lot of ^{LONG TIME SUPPLIES (OLD AGE).} ~~old age~~ ~~so it was with some problems.~~ But we did a fairly good job of managing the volume items and keeping those in stock for

all the promo's we had in those days. But the lower volume items it was difficult to force feed what the stores needed *WITH A REASONABLE DEGREE OF ACCURACY.*

INT: This would be in the early sixties?

FR: Early sixties. It was our first attempt at managing store inventories which were historically always out of control from the standpoint of ~~long inventories~~, long time supplies, and imbalanced materials.

INT: How was it done before this?

FR: Before then it was done by the stores making their own decisions on how much to order without a lot of guidelines, without a lot of tools. So basically a person would look on the shelves, look in his back room, and by virtue of ^{HIS} ~~the~~ business *EXPERIENCE* say, "I need something." It was kind of a catch as you catch can approach prior to that.

INT: The store manager was essentially sort of entrepreneur-ish at that time.

FR: Yes.

INT: Did your stock-keeping consolidation, by taking away that option for them, did that make them less entrepreneurs and more

branches of the company?

FR: No, because ^{CENTRALLY} once a year we would set store limits, ^{BASED ON} ~~is what~~
~~RECENT PAST HISTORY,~~
~~we called them in those days,~~ by units. We would send that
information to the individual store to make sure, because of
~~LOCAL~~ ^{THAT ANY} knowledge, ~~that they didn't have some~~ adjustments they
~~were taken into account.~~
wanted to make to that seasonality, to that history, and to the
limits that we established. [^] So we always tried to keep the store
manager in the loop so he felt, even though we were doing the
ordering for him, ^{THAT} we were just kind of an ^{SUPPORT} appendage helping him
do his job better.

INT: Were they also allowed to buy their own specials at this
time? Seasonal furniture and stuff like that?

FR: In those days we didn't have as good a control on the
associated products area. ^{STORES} ^{SELECT} They could buy a lot of the materials
and they could get into what I called the "gimmick" area [^] of other
than paint, the basic core business, ~~and got all enamored with~~
~~something and didn't really focus on selling paint.~~ We had a lot
of control problems in those days in that area. ~~and~~ Our function
wasn't for that area; It was just for the paint side. I just
want to clarify that. All the ordering we did was ~~just~~ for the
paint products, not for the associated products, wall covering,
^{FURNITURE} floor covering, razor blades, whatever.
[^]

INT: Is this that big mainframe computer that I remember hearing about?

FR: Back in those days I can't even remember what the name of that computer would be. It was a mainframe, but I don't recall the type of computer it was anymore.

INT: How long were you on that job?

FR: I would say probably 1966 to 1967. Then I transferred to the other side of the business, which is what they called factory stock-keeping in those days. ~~and~~ I worked for a fellow called ^{RINKOSKI} Joe ~~[Rinkowski]~~, who was the factory stock-keeper for the corporation. In those days, plant managers or production managers controlled what they made, when they wanted to make it, and how much they wanted to make. ~~and~~ ^{historically} it was ^{VERY} interesting. During the off-season months, October through ~~February and~~ March, our plants, especially up north, always looked for work. ^{KEEPING} ~~so~~ Our plant managers had a good way of ~~saying~~ ^{PRODUCTIVE BY} ~~I'm going to keep~~ making all the whites. And in those days we ^{DURING THE OFF-SEASON} could force feed the stores with those products, ~~so~~ ^{we} would dump on the stores the volume items during the off-season months just to keep our factories at ^{A GIVEN} ~~some~~ production level. It was not a very good system, but that's how we operated in those days. So in the late sixties under Luther Schroeder we became more concerned about the growing inventory and the lack of velocity in

our working capital. Our inventory was growing disproportionately as a corporation to our sales growth. In other words, ~~MR. SCHROEDER~~ ^{MR. SCHROEDER} was looking for ways to get our inventory turns improved. So we said, the first thing we have to do is ~~we have~~ ~~to~~ get control over what the factories make. ~~And~~ That's a traumatic experience when you have a plant manager out there pretty much making what he wants, when he wants, and then have a method of getting rid of it by force-feeding it through the store system ~~that's~~ ^{an} ideal from a manufacturing standpoint. A strictly manufacturing philosophy in those days. [^] The more you make the better, lower that cost per gallon, and somehow the consumer out there is going to buy the product. It was ~~the~~ ^{THAT} kind of mentality. ~~PAROCHIAL~~ ^{THAT NEEDED CHANGING.} I've got to be real honest, [^] For many years it worked. We were the biggest; We were the best; We were ~~NUMBER ONE;~~ ^{NUMBER ONE;} ~~quality.~~ We were known for our quality. Our ~~pricing~~ ^{RISING COSTS COULD} wasn't that ~~important~~ ^{E PASSED ON;} And pretty much what we pushed out there we were able to sell. That's in the sixties. That changed in the seventies, but ~~in the sixties that was pretty much true.~~ So we had to get a handle on ~~our~~ ^{OUR TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL (WHOLESALE LEVELS & STORE LEVEL} ~~our~~ [^] first job was to get ~~a~~ control ~~over~~ [^] because in those days distribution centers were all attached to plants, for the most part. We might have had a few regional distribution centers around the country, ^{BUT NOT MANY.} They were more sales ~~STOCKING~~ ^{STOCKING} centers, under the control of the sales department, ~~and~~ when they felt they needed a regional location to ~~have some product in~~ ^{WHERE SERVICE FROM A} ~~FACTORY DISTRIBUTION CENTER WAS NOT ADEQUATE,~~ ^{FACTORY DISTRIBUTION CENTER WAS NOT ADEQUATE,} ~~where they didn't have time to get it from the factory~~ ^{THEY} ~~PUT ONE IN.~~ ^{PUT ONE IN.} But basically, most of our product was kept

at what we called factory warehouses. So the first thing we had
 to do was get some type of forecasting system that mirrored what
 our shipments and sales were going to be. So my first job was to
^{DEVELOP & PUT IN}
~~get a~~ forecasting system in place. ^{NOW,} I'm going to jump to the
¹
 early seventies. ~~Walt Spencer came into view here in 1970 from~~
~~Chicago. He got to be president. And he hired a fellow called~~
^{WALT SPENCER, PRESIDENT, NAMED}
~~Milt Allen.~~ ^{WHO} ~~Milt Allen~~ was then my boss. And it was ~~his~~ ¹ MILTS
 charge to take us, from a computerized standpoint, from only looking
 at accounting applications, ~~as far as the computer is concerned,~~
^{TO PUTTING OUR ENTIRE}
~~as to put~~ operations on the computer. ~~So~~ ¹ My first job with ~~him~~ ^{MILT}
 was to get control of ~~the~~ ^{THE} whole factory, ~~existing~~ distribution
 center ordering routines. ~~And~~ I helped develop the first
^{AND THE}
 forecasting system, ~~the~~ first production control system for the
^{AND FACTORY DISTRIBUTION CENTERS.}
 plants, ¹ ~~so we know how much to order, when to order, what the~~
~~capacity planning as far as different plants, how much they could~~
~~make on one ship, two ships, three ships by work center. All~~
~~these types of activities. I probably did that until the mid-~~
^{I STAYED WITH THIS PROJECT UNTIL}
¹
 seventies. And we got all these systems up in place under the
~~leadership of Milt Allen.~~ Then in the mid-seventies they wanted
^{THE CORPORATE FUNCTION.}
 me to take a look at data processing. ¹ In those days data
 processing was corporate and all centralized. But as we got
^{SR. MGT.}
 bigger and bigger ~~they~~ ¹ wanted to take a look at accountabilities, ^{INTO}
~~And there were various schemes of breaking operations under~~
^{SR. MGT.}
~~Tschannen. And then they brought Herb Swift from Canada.~~ ¹ ~~And~~
^{WE}
 they said, "Freddie, ¹ want you to work with Herb Swift from
^{WE}
 Canada," who was then the corporate controller, "and ¹ want you

to take a look at breaking up corporate data processing," for what we now call the consumer division. It wasn't called that then. It was the coatings group. "I want you to form an MIS group." So the first management information systems department broken away from corporate data processing was under my control. ~~And what we tried to do in those days was what we called~~ ^{MY FIRST MAIN MISSION WAS TO}

^{DEVELOP} integrated systems. In those days every application had their own master files and their own data ^{UNIQUE MAINTAINABLE} ~~maintained~~. But when you brought information together across functional lines, from personnel, to operations, to accounting, to material management, to distribution, everybody had their own systems applications and their own data files. But a lot of the data is common across functional lines. So what we would try to summarize data up to a division level, the timeliness and the consistency and the accuracy of that data was terrible. So my first job was to do what we called in those days integrated systems. If there's a data element needed for the ^{DIVISION} ~~corporation~~, that data element is maintained on one database and used by any application, regardless of the functional area. ~~We called that integrated systems.~~ So the first thing that I did was develop a common product file and customer file for ^{THE CORPORATION} ~~this corporation~~. So we had consistency. ~~We had accuracy across all functional areas, whoever needed and used that data for their applications. And that was my first job.~~ So I formed the first management ^(MIS) information systems group for the corporation.

INT: It had been so autonomous that there was not a common product?

FR: Not a common product database. You could have a product called something different on ^{SEVERAL} ~~A~~ databases. You could have a different number identifying that product on a different database. You could even have a different price. We had a real pricing-billing control issue. We had no control over consistency across all distribution centers of what they charge a customer. Any customer, depending on the sales person out there, could change that database. So you had no control of your margins; ~~or middle control~~. All was after the fact. ~~some~~ ^{we} had to centralize that to get control and consistency of what we were charging customers, the discounts we were using, etc. That was a tremendous undertaking that we ^{SUCCESSFULLY} accomplished.
^

INT: Fred, that sounds so important and elementary. How did the company get by without something like that before?

FR: In my view, and not being critical, because I've been through the good times and the bad times with this company, you get so caught up I think in being the biggest, in being the best, and always being able to sell your product ^{AT ANY PRICE} in the marketplace. But that changed in the seventies. The OPEC crisis came up on us ^{WITH A VENGEANCE}.

INT: Excuse me, I want to come back to that.

FR: ~~That came upon us.~~ ^{and} A lot of our raw materials are derivatives from ~~that~~. ^{BASIC OIL FEED STOCK.} Our raw materials costs started to escalate. We thought we were on an expansion plane, that we were going to all grow at some phenomenal rate. — We built plants accordingly. We put a lot of additional asset into our total system with that anticipation of excellent sales growth. All of a sudden, we woke up one day in the seventies. Our costs were out of control. Our competition was much smarter. They were looking at the marketplace, seeing the changes out there. Consumers' buying preferences weren't the same. We lost touch with that. And all of a sudden, I would say by the mid-seventies, we woke up one day and said, "My God, we can not just pass on our cost increases to the consumer and have them take it." They're not taking it because our competition is finding ways to control their costs. The Gliddens of the world, the Benjamin Moores of the world, the PPGs of the world, in those days the Du Ponts of the world -- they were finding ways to keep their costs under control. Yet, we were not. We were thinking we could just pass that on to the stores divisions -- our saviours always -- and somehow they're going to get that from the consumer. No longer was that happening. That's when the crunch started. So we borrowed. We've ^{HAD} ~~not~~ to borrow ^{EXTENSIVELY} for long term ^{PAYMENTS} ~~to~~ OF OUR STRATEGIC PLANT INVESTMENT. ^{OUR CO. HISTORICALLY} pay for our clients that we're investing in. ^{ITSELF} ~~We always prided~~ ^{PHILOSOPHY} ~~ourselves~~ on Luther Schroeder [^] ~~was~~ a very conservative

~~— who~~
financial guy, always knew how to manage cash and always could
make payroll. ^{IN THE LATE 70'S SHORT-TERM DEBT} We didn't have to borrow for payroll normally.
~~ALSO BECAME A REAL PROBLEM — WE EXTENDED OURSELVES~~
~~But now we had to borrow short-term. — and~~ I guess we got to the
point when Mr. Breen took over in 1979 that this company was just
about ready to go under. If it wasn't for insurance companies ^{NOT}
wanting to call in the ^{IR}covenants, ~~I would~~ they could have
brought us down in 1979 if they really wanted to do that. They
could ^{HAVE MADE} ~~take~~ us go ^{INTO} Chapter 11. We were in serious trouble! If you
ask me why that happened, I just think we got caught up on not
recognizing what our competition was doing, ^{NOT CONTROLLING COSTS,} not recognizing the
changing buying habits as far as the consumer is concerned, and
the competition ^{WAS} becoming much sharper and our consumer ~~is~~ much
more aware of looking for value. We got caught up in all that.
It's unfortunate. A lot of good people got caught up ^{IN DOING THE} ~~in that~~
~~Does it make sense?~~
^{DOES ALL THIS MAKE SENSE?}

INT: Oh yes, it really makes sense. It ties in to what other
people have been saying. You've said it very well. The last
time you were putting together the integrated systems.

FR: The MIS. So then they wanted to break up in divisions. I
forget the timing on that.

INT: It was 1977.

FR: 1977. So under Bob Tschannen they wanted to take away what

we now call material management, or distribution, from factory operations. So I was brought back to work on that assignment, to develop a material management, distribution organization separate from the traditional manufacturing organization. That was fun. And if you can appreciate, when you take something away and you make vast organization changes like that, from the top right down to the plants, it was a tough job. But we did it. We formed the material management/distribution organizations separate from the reporting chain through to the operations factory side. ~~and the~~
we wanted to get a focus. We thought it was so critical to get a focus on the logistical, material type of operations, because we are a very material intensive company. The cost of our product, ^{from} just ~~by~~ distribution ~~center~~ alone, just from a handling ~~standpoint~~ and storage standpoint, in those days was like thirty cents a gallon -- that's a big number -- in addition to the transportation costs, which could be another fifty cents a gallon. So ~~you could be~~ ^{WE WERE} fast approaching a ~~what I call~~ logistical related cost of a dollar a gallon; And ^{THAT} ~~they~~ needed focus, ^{AND CONTROL.} ~~We needed to get a way to get that under control.~~ We needed to find a way ^{TO BRING LOGISTICAL COSTS UNDER CONTROL} ~~to save some money~~. And more importantly, in the early eighties now, if I can just jump ahead, it was the time of customer service. That was where the consumers wanted more than just a product on time, ^{QUALITY} ~~a quality product on time~~, but they wanted value added types of ^{SERVICES, OUR PRODUCT IS REALLY,} ~~things~~. And ~~were a commodity~~.
We like to think that Sherwin-Williams is a wonderful brand, and it is. But still, by and large, paint is a commodity, low margin

business. And ^{WHILE} ~~when~~ price is very important, ~~and~~ ~~if~~ you can't
always sell on price, which we don't, then what other values can
you give to that customer for buying your product ~~vis-à-vis~~ ^{VRS,}
^{COMPETITION,} ^{PROBABLY} ~~somebody else~~ who has an equally good product quality-wise,
etc. ^{ALSO} ~~and~~ [^] we wanted to get more of a focus on this nebulous thing
called customer service. How do we, from the customer's
viewpoint, get them to perceive ~~at least~~ that we ^{OFFER} ~~have~~ value added
service⁵ to them. ^(HAS) ~~and~~ One of the real benefits this corporation
had, and there's a lot of forefathers that had foresight on this,
is our stores distribution network. Thank God for that base of
2,000 stores! ~~and~~ I call them from a logistical point of view ^{STORES}
2,000 mini-warehouses. And that's why ^{75% OF OUR} ~~we got 75% of that~~ ^{SALES,}
contractor ^{BUSINESS.} ~~market through our stores.~~ That's one of the big
advantages we have that helps us compete with the local grinder
and the regional guy. ~~and~~ ^{STONE} If we didn't have that network we
couldn't do it. Strategically we have distribution centers
across the country that are well positioned which can take care
of the direct business, and can also make sure that we, on a
consistent basis, deliver on a schedule ^{ARRANGEMENT} to our stores. So I think
the ^{BIG} ^{OVER OUR COMPETITION,} advantage we have, from a material/logistics point of view,
~~bar none to any competitor,~~ is our logistical/material management
capability today. I think that's recognized by our competition.
I know it's recognized by a lot of our customers. When Scott
King today goes out and tries to sell a Wal-Mart, tries to sell ^{CLUB} ~~Home~~
~~123456789~~, tries to sell ^A K-Mart or getting all the Sears
business because of the acquisition [^] all of these top retailers

in the country ~~they~~ demand service, they demand consistency,
And they look to us to help them solve their ~~distribution~~ ^{TOTAL SUPPLY}
^{THESE CUSTOMER} problems. So how we receive material in ~~these~~ stores, how we get
that material put away, how we display that material on the
shelves, they're looking to us for value-added service, to be the
experts on how to do that. And that's some of the way we're
trying to focus on what I call today ^{LOGISTICS} material management,
customer service [^] to try to differentiate ourselves in the
marketplace.

INT: When you were doing this back in...

FR: I jumped in. Back in the late seventies...

INT: This is the late seventies when you were doing this
materials management...

FR: Right. We formed the first material management/distribution
organization back then when we had ^{to} a focus. And I ended up being
the distribution operations manager. The analogy today would be
Bill ^{ANTONALE OR DON JAMES} ~~(Henderson)~~, the vice president of operations for ~~the~~ ^{TSD} -
~~division~~ That's the job I had in those days.

INT: How did you get that approximately one dollar a gallon
materials handling cost down?

FR: Well, the first thing we did is get a separate distribution organization and we brought people in with the skill of material ^{MANAGEMENT} ~~handling~~, that had material handling ^{EXPERTISE} ~~capability~~. So, they could look at alignment, They could look at the utilization of racks, They could be unique on looking at batch picking systems which are semi-mechanized systems for ~~placing orders and~~ receiving, ^{PICKING} ~~orders~~ and shipping orders, ^{ALSO} ~~and~~ the type of equipment we use in the warehouse for getting us more productive. So we had a professional ^{CLARE} ~~force~~ of people who understand ^{OOD} the physical handling of products. ~~That was one of the (unclear). So bringing the right people is different.~~ See, historically, stock-keeping in the old days ^{WAS A "DUMPING GROUND" FOR PEOPLE WHO WERE} ~~it's called, or distribution today, it was kind of the dumping ground.~~ When we had people that we didn't know what to do with, whether ^{THEY WERE FROM} ~~they're~~ marketing or came from accounting or they were an alcoholic or whatever, a good place to put them historically was in stock-keeping. ~~We could find a job for them.~~ ^{DID.} ~~We~~ We had to change that mentality. And that's what we ~~tried to~~ ^{ON DISTRIBUTION} ~~do~~ So bringing in the right people and focusing I think, are the two key issues.

INT: Then after this were you doing that when Jack Breen came in?

FR: Yes. So after that we were divisionalized. And then I think the first division manager we had in consumer -- I worked for him -- was Wendell Gillen.

A TERRIBLE MISTAKE.

INT: So you reported to Wendell.

FR: Yes. ~~Then he~~ ^{WENDELL} changed. [^] He put another fellow in charge of the operations and he put me in charge of what we called then material management. So I had all the customer service, ^{OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS,} order entry, ordering on the plants, ^{ETC..} ~~because we were centralized.~~ [^] All the material that we would order on plant, a batch size of when to make and how much was under my control. So I did that side of it. ~~And he~~ ^{WENDELL} hired another fellow to do the operational side of running the ~~plant~~ ^{ADSC}. We kind of switched ^{RESPONSIBILITIES.} [^] Then ~~we~~ ^I ~~tried to~~ developed systems in the eighties that would improve our forecasting capability with marketing and improve our production planning capability with the plants. So we did a lot of enhancements of systems, ~~computerization systems~~ ^{IMPROVED} and procedures, to make sure we ~~MANAGED OUR WORKING CAPITAL BETTER.~~ ^{MANAGED OUR WORKING CAPITAL BETTER.} ~~do a better job of that~~ And just to give you some idea, in the early eighties we were giving service I would say for the stores division, which is just an example, that were in the low eighties percent. Our product availability were running in the low eighties. Our inventory, velocity for finished goods, or inventory turns, probably ~~wasn't~~ ^{WAS NOT} any better than four ~~and a half~~ ^{THREE TO} turns a year. ~~That means we're turning that inventory, from a finished goods standpoint, four and a half turns.~~ [^] What we've done from then to now, if I can just jump ahead, our service levels in 1990 ~~from the low eighties~~ for the stores were running 96%. Our inventory velocity from that ^{3.0 TURNS IS} ~~4.5 that I gave you were~~ ^{ARE} now turning at better than six times a year. So we're getting a

lot more use and goodness out of our inventory with a lot less investment. ~~That's an example.~~

INT: Okay. That is merely by fine tuning the systems?

FR: Getting better input from marketing, doing a better job of forecasting your product needs with marketing, having systems in place that allow us to do that, doing a much better job of...

And a lot of it I have to give credit to the plants for. If you

go back into the sixties and seventies when we had that

manufacturing mentality, "we're going to make what we want to make

and they're going to find a way to sell it," since the early

eighties we've been desperately trying to change our focus to be

a marketing pull company rather than a manufacturing push

company, ^{WE HAVE} And ~~that's~~ come a long way! ⁵⁰ ~~So far~~ and part of this ¹ CHALLENGE

is what I call attitudinal in the plants, where no longer is

their number one goal, cost per gallon, where bigger is better.

Now their number one goal is customer service. ^{PLANTS} ~~And they~~ have to

make the mix of products, whether it's a little batch of sky-blue

pink that might be only 500 gallons, as opposed to a 10,000

gallon batch of ^{PRO-MAN} ~~premium~~ 700 white, which they love to make.

It's as important now that they make on time that 500 gallon

batch of sky-blue pink to service that customer as it is to make

the 10,000 gallon batch of that white paint. ~~and~~ that's a

tremendous cultural change, ^{WHICH} ~~that~~ also had to take place in the

plants, ~~to get them to look at their job differently. It's the~~

~~service totality, that product mix to that customer base.~~ So I have to give the plants a lot of credit too from the standpoint of flexibility and capability.

INT: How did that cultural change happen? Do you have any idea?

FR: It didn't come easy. I think it starts -- I'll go back to Wendell Gillen⁷ and then ~~we went through~~ Ted Hopkins. ~~I probably have the order wrong.~~ I worked for all of them. Ted Hopkins. Then we had Tom Byrd. Every one of ^{THESE} ~~these players~~ and general managers had a philosophy of the customer is king. They all had a different approach to it, but their basic philosophy was that the customer is king. And every one of them -- even though we change⁹ guards and a lot, ^{ALONG WITH} ~~of times you get~~ a changing ^{BUSINESS} philosophy, ~~but not in that regard~~ every one of them made sure that the organization understood strategically their direction and ^{THIS IS GO ON} ~~had~~ ^{THE} ~~plans in place operationally or tactically that made that happen.~~ ~~the customer is king philosophy.~~ ^{ANOTHER} And ~~one of the~~ key elements was the measurement system ^{AND} ~~or~~ incentive system for ^{THE KEY MANAGEMENT TEAM - (HQ & FIELD} ~~the people that are incentive, the key~~ ^{management staff, whether it's (unclear).} If you incent them ^{COMMON GOALS & ACCOUNTABILITY HELPED ACCENTUATE} ~~differently, in my opinion, of what the real goals are and~~ ^{THE TREMENDOUS CHANGE WHICH WAS TAKING PLACE.} ~~whether they're going to get paid in the pocketbook, that's going to slowly change the attitude.~~ I'm not saying that's the only thing. We ^{ALSO} ~~had~~ a personnel philosophy, ^a ~~human resource philosophy,~~ that as we looked for different people to go in the plants as plant managers, and the first level underneath ~~that, which is~~

~~probably more important than the plant manager~~, we were looking

for people that had a business point of view as opposed to the
old technical guy ^{THAT STARTED AS A BENCH CHEMIST} ~~the stint from years on the bench because he's~~

you got to be a technical guy to be a plant manager, ~~not~~

~~necessarily so~~. We experimented. Take Bill Antonase. We got

Bill Antonase from the accounting side of the business. He was a
controller. And we put him as ^{MORROW PLANT} ~~a plant manager~~, ^{UNHEARD OF} ~~unheard of~~
~~BEFORE 1970.~~

~~unheard of in the early 1980s.~~ An accountant? He did a heck of

a job because he had a business perspective. And ~~we did more in~~

~~that.~~ ^{TODAY} We're looking for the business perspective in people as
^{"PURE"} opposed to the technocrat, ~~and I'm not poo-peeing that~~

~~still. I'm just saying it's a different approach to how we look~~

~~at the business.~~ I think that selection of people again was

critical ^{IN} ~~that~~ caused that change to happen.

INT: Just to finish off your career, has your job right now
changed?

FR: Yes. Last year, in 1990, I was put on the acquisition team
for Desoto. So about August, Frank Butler said, "Fred, you're
going to work for me and you're going to be my facilitator to
cause this integration to happen once we sign on the dotted
line," which we did in October. So my full-time job just about,
since last summer, has been to integrate the operations of Desoto
into Sherwin-Williams, ~~systems and procedures and culture and all~~
~~that.~~ And ~~I'm going to be doing that for the most part this~~

~~year, too. I'll make sure all that happens and we get our return on investment the way that this has to be. That's what I'm doing now.~~

INT: Could you just elaborate a little bit on that? How does one integrate something that had its own identity?

FR: You have to make some basic decisions up front. During the process of due diligence, which went on I would say since April of last year, we were fortunate with Desoto in that most of their line operating people were very open with us. So we got a jump

~~THE INTEGRATION.~~
on ~~it~~ ^{THIS} and some of the other ~~potential~~ ^{ALWAYS} acquisition teams that I worked on, ~~that~~ ^{IN THIS INSTANCE} was not the case. But in this ~~case~~ ^{THE LINK} because ~~they~~ ^{WAS} were very disenchanted ~~being~~ ^{WITH SR.MCT} Desoto ~~people~~ ^{THEY LOOKED} with ~~maybe~~ ^{AT SW WAS A "WHITE KNIGHT" - A "SAVIOR"} their management and what's happened with that fine organization and the loss of Sears business and lack of attention to why that was lost from their viewpoint, they were very open for a savior. ~~And~~ ^{" "} They could see Sherwin-Williams as being just a wonderful savior that would get ~~that~~ ^{RESTORE THEIR} company back up to what it used to be. ~~So~~ ^{" WE WERE} the first ~~was being~~ ^{ALSO,} able to get real good information of how they operate, from a systems point of view, a financial point of view, an operating point of view, ~~and~~ ["] we had a very good feel then of their people. I spent a lot of time in their plants. I spent a lot of time in Chicago with their headquarters people. A lot of us did. ~~And we had a good feeling for their people.~~ ^{WE HAD A GOOD FEELING FOR THEIR PEOPLE,} We had a good feeling for their systems, We had a good feeling for their

operating policies and procedures, and from a marketing viewpoint of what they did not do, that they should have done, to let this business deteriorate as badly as it did. So with ^{THIS} ~~that~~ background and knowledge, we could say, "Okay, we're going to have to make some hard decisions." And Butler said, "Okay, this isn't just going to be a one person problem." He focused himself and his staff, ~~about six people on his staff~~, and we set up starting September -- it was my suggestion -- that the staff must meet weekly for one hour. "So at eight o'clock on Monday mornings I don't care what ^{YOU MAY WANT TO DO, BUT} ~~you're going to be~~, you're going to be here," Butler said. ["] ~~we~~ we're going to review all the issues surrounding the Desoto integration. Fred's going to be the facilitator. Fred's going to bring the issues to light. He's going to develop the plans. But we're all going to ^{LIVE FRED} input ^{AND SUPPORT} ~~input~~ together. And we're all going to have our organizations then do what they have to do to make things happen on schedule." The first major decision we made was systems. We decided that their systems were so antiquated, ^{OFFERED LITTLE OR} ~~had~~ no control for the ~~rest of the~~ business, that it would be senseless for us to try to bring those systems into ^{S-W} ~~the acquisition~~. So the first major decision we made, and it's major, was we're going to put all of that two hundred million dollar business on Sherwin-Williams systems. ^{OPERATING & FINANCIAL} ~~That means their operation planning systems, the order entry and billing systems, just the whole business. Stand cross systems, MRP systems, just the whole business systems that we use to run a company.~~ ^{WITH THE} So ~~that~~ decision, then, that I have the ^{INTEGRATION RESPONSIBILITY} ~~job~~ I pulled

together about eight people, full-time, reporting to me from various disciplines, ~~from~~ financial, ~~from~~ material management, ~~from~~ distribution, ~~from~~ accounting, personnel. Full-time, ~~working on this integration project.~~ And they all had,

^{FUNCTION}
~~application-wise~~, specific responsibilities. And ~~then~~ they each developed their own plans. We just developed an overall perk chart of all the activities ^{ALONG WITH KEY RESPONSIBILITIES} ~~that had to be done, who had to do~~

~~them.~~ And when we said we needed support from the organization, ~~I~~ ^{WOULD} ~~then~~ ^{STAFF, FRANK} would feed back to the weekly meeting with Butler, ~~and~~ look to the functional heads and say, "We're going to have to give Fred the support in this area. We'd better provide three people for the next month to do such-and-such." -- AS AN EXAMPLE.

INT: Those people have moved in here have they not? Desoto is slowly moving in?

^{REALLY}
FR: Yes. We didn't bring that many people from Desoto. We brought the marketing and sales people intact, for the ones that would move, and most of them did. We brought all the plant and distribution center field people intact. But most of the ^{DESOTO} headquarters are people we did not bring. We didn't bring any of the R&D people, didn't bring any of the accounting and support people. ~~The only thing we did was bring three people into the material management operation side of the business from Desoto. That's all we brought.~~

INT: Now, by not bringing them, the ones who were not brought are gone?

FR: Yes. They were separated. ~~With Desoto and Sherwin-Williams~~

we had a separation package for all those people. But they are gone. They're out of the system. The other thing that I think

was important as far as how this integration happened was focus ~~by the staff~~

^{SR.}
by the staff and Butler's ongoing concern weekly that everything was on schedule. It was interesting to note that the

organization in total was able to take this on in addition to

keeping the regular business running. And do we have people

stressed right now? ~~I live in a drum.~~ ^{YES!} ⁰¹⁰ we see a little emotion

once in awhile? Absolutely! But as of January 1 we were off ~~DESOTO~~

~~their~~ systems, which was ~~their~~ ^{OUR} goal. ~~And they're~~ ^{DESOTO BUSINESS IS} fully

operational in ~~the~~ Sherwin-Williams methodology ^{procedures} ~~that's~~

that's plants, that's headquarters, that's the totality of the

business. It's going to take us this year now to look at the

^{"LITTLE"} ~~read~~ things that have to be done to make ~~that~~ ^{THIS} integration a 100%

success. ~~They~~ ^{EXAMPLES} are: we have to get formulas compatible to ^{A TECHNOLOGY AND RAW MATERIALS} Sherwin-Williams ~~formulas~~ and we have to be careful who we say

~~a lot of this stuff to~~ ~~to our raw materials and to our~~ ^{"BIG"} technology. Because that's where we're going to get the leverage

and the bang for the buck. And we've got to do this in a way

that we ~~don't hurt~~ ^{WON'T JEOPARDIZE} the quality. Because Sears is very, very

concerned about their quality levels, So ^{IS} Home Depot ^{DEPOT.} ~~It's~~

~~all the same major customers that we acquired.~~ And we're going

~~to do this.~~ We're going to make these changes, ~~and we're going to~~
~~hopefully,~~ ^{AND} they're going to be transparent to the customer, ~~where~~
~~their performance specifications are still going to be met.~~ But
~~we're still going to get the bang for the buck is getting common~~
~~with our raw materials and our technology.~~ That's the biggest
~~hurdle.~~ ^{ALSO} I'm working on a logistics plan to take advantage of
^A bringing together all of ~~their~~ ^{DESOTO} products with ^{SW} ~~our~~ products and
~~that we~~ distribute them in a way that optimizes all logistical
^{WE HAVE A LOGISTICAL MODEL USED FOR THIS PURPOSE.}
~~costs.~~ ~~So I have a model that I use, a logistical model.~~ ~~And I~~
^{WE DETERMINE WHERE}
~~say what~~ we need are distribution centers, where those customers
^{WILL} ~~would~~ be serviced from ~~what distribution centers~~, and then what
^{WHAT}
~~plants~~ are going to make ~~the~~ products for what distribution
^{LOGISTICAL}
~~centers.~~ ~~And~~ ^A that plan is already finished. We were able to
close the Berkeley plant. We didn't buy the Berkeley plant of
Desoto. We didn't buy the Garland plant. I moved all the ^{DESOTO}
Garland distribution ~~center~~ from Desoto to Waco. We moved the
Berkeley distribution into a new facility in Hayward. We have a
Bakersfield center in California that handles Home Depot and PE.
~~So~~ ^{WE} had that all completed last year. This year we're going to
close Chicago Heights, which is another ^{DESOTO} ~~one of their~~ plants. And
that will be accomplished, including the distribution, by the end
of the first quarter, ~~because we do not need that asset base~~
^{THE CHICAGO HEIGHTS}
~~And that~~ ^A asset base was in turn sold to ~~our~~ Rohm & Haas, one of
our major raw materials suppliers, because they needed resin
capability in that area. ~~So that will be done by the end of the~~
^{ALSO}
~~first quarter.~~ ^A We're going to change the mission of the

Greensboro plant, which is architectural broad based today, to
~~make that~~ ^{OF} ~~only~~ a small package / ^{COLORANT} ~~color~~ ^{SPECIALITY PLANT.} ~~manufacturing facility,~~
~~because again, we do not need all that additional asset base for~~
~~architectural coatings.~~ ^{WE WANT TO LEARN FROM PAST MISTAKE.} ~~And one of the things we don't want to~~
~~do is the mistakes we made in the seventies.~~ We had too much
~~fixed assets sitting out there for the capability that we had~~ ^{HAND OUR PLANTS WERE}
~~from a sales standpoint.~~ ^{GREATLY UNDER UTILIZED.} We don't want to ~~do that~~ and make that
mistake again!

INT: So that will be tinting or that will be small batch?

FR: It will be small batch, small size, quarts and less. I call
it small package. And it will be ^{COLORANT} ~~color~~ manufacturing, ~~or~~
~~tinting.~~ ~~That's what the new mission of that will be by the end~~
~~of the year.~~ In addition, we're going to open up a new facility
in Orlando. We have a wonderful plant that we bought in Orlando
which is strategically located, just perfect for us. And we're
going to put a new distribution center down there and begin
service ^{IN THE FLORIDA MARKET,} ~~in our stores~~ products, which is just going to be
tremendous capability for Wilson of the Southeastern Stores ^{DIVISION.}
~~region so he can really go after that marketplace.~~ And he's
very, very excited about ^{THE} ~~that~~ possibility ^{OF EXCELLENT}
^{GROWTH IN THE FLORIDA MARKET.}

INT: Orlando was a Desoto plant?

FR: Yes, Orlando was a Desoto plant. And the other Desoto plant

that is strategically well placed for us is Columbus. ~~And~~ ^{BOTH} that's a plant that has ^{PIGMENTED NON-EMULSION} emulsion and ~~pigment emulsion, solvent based~~ capability of manufacture. ~~And~~ ^{GROWING THE} we're going to be looking at that

whole facility to really help us out with industrial maintenance ^{SIDE OF}

~~Because one of the problems, from a capacity standpoint, that we~~

~~have had in recent years is our ability to respond to a very~~

~~progressive active marketplace on industrial maintenance~~

~~business. This is excellent.~~

End of Side A

Beginning of Side B

FR: So with that Columbus facility, that will give us additional capacity for industrial maintenance type products. And we're going to really look forward to having that additional

capability. The other thing we're rationalizing is our east coast distribution. We have a distribution ^{CENTER} ~~there~~ in Hunt Valley

that is getting crowded. And with the acquisition we have a ^{SHORT-TERM} lease at Lancaster, ^{WHICH WE WILL CLOSE BY END OF 1ST QTR.} ~~And they distributed private label business~~

~~out of there, they being Desoto.~~ We're going to close that

facility and we are in the process of taking over a York facility formerly used by the automotive division. ^{THIS WILL GIVE US} ~~But they had found~~ ^{ADDITIONAL SQUARE FOOTAGE TO ALLEViate THE SPACE} ~~they had too much asset base for their distribution. They wanted~~ ^{PROBLEM AT HUNT VALLEY.} ~~to get out of the York facility. We needed more room in that~~

~~area. So with the transportation services division we're going~~

~~to take over the York facility, close Lancaster. I'm going to~~

~~take Sears Product that was never distributed in the east. It was always distributed out of Columbus and Greensboro at high transportation costs to get back east. We need distribution of Sears Product in the east. So I'm also putting Sears business~~
^{WE ARE}
~~then~~ into this York facility, which is going to be a great
^{IN BRINGING}
advantage ~~to bring~~ down our logistical costs. So in that sense,
~~what we're trying to do in 1990, and started, but really finish~~
^{OUR 1991 GOAL}
~~up in 1991~~ is to get our distribution straightened out so we
optimize all our ~~total~~ logistical costs. ~~Then once we have the formulas changed, then I can in the end of the year, second and third quarter, I have all the distribution in place right now.~~

~~Then I can sort products right from a manufacturing standpoint.~~

^{BY YEAR-END ALL}
~~And the S-W products that should be made in Desoto plants will be made in Desoto plants, like Orlando, because I'm going to be~~
^{ALL DESOTO PRODUCTS THAT SHOULD}
~~BE MADE IN S-W PLANTS WILL BE MADE IN S-W PLANT.~~
~~distributing Stores business in Orlando. And I'm going to make~~

~~products in Orlando, Sherwin-Williams products. Conversely, in Columbus they're not only going to make Desoto products called Sears, they're going to make industrial maintenance, and they can also make K-Mart products, (unclear) products, where it belongs~~
^{WE WILL}
~~for distribution back into the midwest. So I let their plants,~~

where it makes sense, to make our products and our plants to make their products. ~~And~~ ^{OUR} that's ~~my~~ plan for the third and fourth quarter. Because again, we'll ~~save big money in getting~~ ^{WE NEED TO GET} these costs down and make this acquisition a real winner for us. ~~And~~

~~These are the things we have to do to make it a winner. That's~~
^{AN} going to be ^{CHALLENGE} exciting for 1991 to accomplish all ^{THIS} ~~that~~

INT: That's right. You're a busy man.

FR: But we have fun.

INT: Fred, you have gone through Steudel, Baldwin -- you didn't say much about him.

FR: I didn't know him that much.

INT: Okay. Steudel, Baldwin, Spencer and Breen. Do you see any differences -- was your job affected by the different administrations?

FR: I have to get personal a minute so you understand what I'm going to say. I'm a late bloomer. It took me awhile to really get going in this company. I got my education the hard way, at night. I was ~~about~~ ^{OVER} 40 when I got my undergraduate degree. I went back for my MBA when I was 48 and graduated ~~with it~~ when I was 50. Significant jobs and really being able to contribute, in looking back, probably didn't happen to me until the seventies. I started on a ^{"REAL"} career in the seventies. I'm 59 years old, so I was in my forties before I could see myself ^{MOVING CAREER-WISE}. And ~~with Allen~~ I've got a lot to thank for, ^{MILT ALLEN} ~~that~~ because he gave me ^{THE} ~~the~~ opportunities back in the seventies that allowed me to grow, ~~too~~ and encouraged me. So with that as a perspective, yes, I see a big change. ~~I see that~~ ^{To} contrast the Breen era, which is the last

ten years, versus the Spencer era, which I really would be knowledgeable about, I think in the Spencer era -- and I don't mean to be critical -- but it was tough to break through and to see clear paths for people. It was fraught, in my mind, with cronyism. When you had people that grew up over the years, that went through Chicago over the years, you almost had only one channel you could go through. You had to wait for your boss to die and hope that ^{YOU WOULD GET HIS JOB.} ~~you're going to get that~~ There wasn't a lot of cross-fertilization. There wasn't a lot of opportunity. Now, Spencer used to have what he ~~used to call~~ ^{CALLED} a junior achievement ^{GROUP}, where he tried to take key people and do some cross-fertilization. I suppose if you asked one of them they would say ~~THIS WAS A TERRIFIC IDEA.~~ ~~the direct opposite.~~ But that was a very select few number of people that had ~~that~~ ^{A REAL PLANNED OUT CAREER} opportunity. The masses of people didn't know what channels were available to them for promotion. The other problem was that we got too paternal and we got too ingrained. There wasn't a lot of outside people coming into this organization. I don't mean there has to be ~~a lot~~ ^{MASSIVE UPHEAVAL}. But I think you've got to have a balance because you can get stodgy. You can get stale; You don't see the forest for the trees. So I think ~~that~~ ^{we} got caught up in what was happening ^{INTERNALLY} which prevented us from really understanding what was going on in the marketplace, in my opinion. We got caught up in thinking that we're going to weather any storm and we ~~can~~ ^{COULD CONTINUE TO} just pass those ~~prices~~ ^{COSTS} on to the consumer and they're going to keep accepting it. ~~I think that's all parcel and part of what happened to us.~~ Spencer was a

brilliant man. He was a visionary in a lot of ways.

Strategically, when you talked to him, I think he knew the right things to do. I just don't think he knew maybe how to get them to happen. So when Breen took over, the radical change that

everybody saw immediately was accountability. And he broke the pieces up a little differently. He said, "~~I'm going to break up~~

^{and} I'm going to really hold these divisions accountable." We

had the automotive division, the consumer division, the stores

^{SPECIALITY PRODUCTS DIVISION} division, international division. "~~I'm going to break these up~~

~~and I'm going to hold these people accountable."~~ And I think the

testimonial to that is Tom Byrd. He was very close to Mr. Breen.

^{THEY BOTH} ~~And he~~ came from Gould, ~~I think, where Mr. Breen was at.~~ And ~~everybody thought~~ ^{IN} the troops ^{TAUGHT} and the ranks, fair-haired boy, Tom

^{COULD} Byrd ~~can~~ do no wrong. But ~~Tom Byrd didn't follow strategy~~ ~~WHEN THE CONSUMER DIVISION DID NOT FOLLOW THEIR~~ ~~apparently and got caught up in his own way of doing things~~ ~~STRATEGICALLY AND MAKE PLAN, MR. BREEN HAD THE~~ ~~apparently, and Mr. Breen had the ability to make that hard~~ ~~ABILITY TO MAKE THE "HARD" DECISION - CHANGE~~ ~~decision.~~ Now, that's an example. So I think when people know

that they're going to be held accountable, and they ^{ALSO} ~~often~~ know

that they're going to be rewarded, ^{FOR MEETING GOALS,} ~~you know,~~ that's the good

side. ~~It's not only a bad side.~~ And ~~they're rewarded if they do~~

~~well.~~ And ~~he does that well.~~ ^{MR. BREEN} ~~He~~ rewards people well, but he

also carries the big stick and makes sure that you know, in doing your function, you're going to be held accountable, And that has

permeated down throughout the organization. ~~And I think that~~ ^{THIS SINGLE} ~~culture change that was dramatic for this company that has~~ ^{PHILOSOPHY, COUPLED WITH SELECTING GOOD PEOPLE,}

^{HAS} allowed us to be as successful as we have in the last ten years,

~~the (unclear) stock plan. He made a lot of wealthy people. He's~~
~~making a lot of wealthy people. Thank God for him. So that's~~
MAJOR
the change ~~as far as I've seen.~~

^ FROM MY PERSPECTIVE.

INT: You don't remember Baldwin at all.

FR: In those days we used to say that Schroeder really ran the
company. ~~It was (unclear).~~ ~~and~~ Schroeder was really the power ["] BEHIND
HE THROWN " ~~in the company back in the sixties~~ ^{ABOUT 50'S & 60'S} ~~and then~~ Baldwin -- I don't
^ really have any clear vision.

INT: Fred, what haven't I asked you? What haven't we covered
that we should?

FR: I talked too much about myself.

INT: No, no. We got a whole different perspective from that
that's very valuable.

FR: I think one of the key things is that the traumatic ^{DAYS} ~~change~~
OF ^{TO A PATH OF PROFITABLE GROWTH IN THE}
~~from the seventies, and~~ ~~transition to the eighties on a path of~~
EIGHTIES
growth that... had to be attributed to a lot of good people that
ALREADY
were here. That might be self-serving from my standpoint, but I
truly believe that there was a middle management cadre that was OF
" " CALIBER.
good management people. And I heard Breen say that one time, He
ACKNOWLEDGED
even ~~recognized~~ that that's one of the reasons he took the job.

~~He was debating whether to take the job. He ascertained that it~~
~~wasn't the whole organization screwed up right down to the, you~~
~~know, it was maybe some issues on top.~~ And I really believe
 that, because a lot of the people are the same from the
 seventies. They're still here today. There are a lot of new
 people that have come into the ranks and I think that's healthy,
 But I think it's that mesh ^{"A COLD & NEW"} and ~~that~~ ability to work together with ^{"THE, THAT HAS ALLOWED US TO ACHIEVE"}
~~the~~ common goals and objectives ~~and, as I said, being held~~
~~accountable and willing to be held accountable to achieve what we~~
~~can achieve.~~ We've done tremendous things. It just started. We
^{TO GROW THE} wanted ~~an~~ architectural business. With ^{THE DESOTO} ~~this~~ acquisition we're
 about 19% market share. We want to be 30%, 35%. There's no
 reason we can't be. There is nothing that can stop us from doing
 that. And that's what's exciting to me. Winning only breeds
 what? Winning. It makes people feel good. What's the
 alternative? ^I ~~we~~ don't like the alternative. ^I ~~we~~ don't like to
 lose. ~~I don't like to lose.~~ I like to come to work and be
^{"OTHERS"} excited, get ~~the people~~ excited, Let's get on with it. ~~We can~~
~~solve that problem and do something else.~~ And that's the
 atmosphere I think you find around here today. So, winning is
 wonderful. Because there is ^{REALLY NO} ~~an~~ alternative. ~~I don't want to be~~
~~around anymore if we go down again.~~ I've ^{SEEN} ~~been~~ too many ^{"DOWN"} cycles;
~~down.~~ I want to stay on the track going up. And I think there's
 no reason why this corporation can't do that. It's all people.
 It's people and it's trust, ^{AND IT'S BELIVING IN YOURSELF AND} ~~and it's sometimes knowing that if a~~
^{YOUR COMPANY} ~~job isn't done it's not personal.~~ If you can't get ^{THE} ~~that~~ job done

then sometimes you've ^{HAVE} ~~got~~ to make the hard decisions. I don't like to make those decisions. I don't think anybody likes to make those decisions because you're effecting people's lives and their families ~~and all the good things~~. But, for the entity and the perpetuation of the entity and for the good of every^{ONE} ~~body~~, sometimes you have to make hard decisions. And I think we're willing to make those hard decisions ~~with people~~ today, where I don't think we were willing to do that in the sixties and seventies.

INT: Do you have any pictures? We've got the book coming out too. Do you have anything? Pictures of meetings? How about the setting up of the management information system? Do you have any candid photos or something like that that we can show some of these people?

FR: I could look through my scrapbook.

INT: You've got scrapbooks?

FR: I don't know. There may be some work-connected photos I can pull out. I'll take a peek at them.

INT: Good. Then we could copy them and give them back to you.

FR: Let me look. I'll be glad to look.

INT: Great. Fred, this was really helpful.

FR: Was it really helpful?

INT: It really was.