

neer, industrial executive, public health officer, city planning commission, and the community at large. Electrification of industry and railroads, increases in the use of domestic oil and gas furnaces, and segregation of industrial districts are gradually providing effective aid in the solution of this problem. In the large cities where nuisance from smoke, fly-ash, and cinders is more serious, limited areas obtain some relief by the use of district heating. (See Chapters 33, 34, and 35 for further discussion on fuel-burning technic.)

Many present ordinances limit the number of minutes in any one hour that smoke of a specified density (determined by comparison with a Ringelmann Chart which is described in Chapter 44) may be discharged.

There is now considerable interest and activity in the control of air pollution factors in addition to smoke. Difficulty in the establishment of acceptable criteria for certain corrosive and irritant gases, such as fluorides and the oxides of sulfur and nitrogen discharged with the gases of combustion, and the frequently complicated technical and economic problems encountered in control, have delayed the drafting and enforcement of legislative measures. Recent reports of an increased incidence of diseases, such as pneumonia and lung cancer, in areas high in certain air contaminants, require further critical investigation before acceptance. The values finally adopted will undoubtedly be lower than the MAC (Maximum Allowable Concentration) limits for use in industry, because the exposure is continuous compared with the 8-hour day, 5- or 6-day week upon which MAC values are based, and because the exposed population contains individuals with greater variation in age and health status.

In foggy weather, or during an inversion of atmospheric conditions, accumulation of gaseous contaminants may cause irritation of eyes, nose, and respiratory passages, and possibly cause even more serious physiological effects. The Meuse Valley fog disaster (Belgium 1930) and the Donora smog (Pennsylvania 1948) are classic examples in the history of gaseous air pollution. In both instances it is believed that irritant gases, principally from industrial plants, accumulating during periods of exceptionally prolonged meteorological inversion and fog, contributed to the illness of many persons, and to the death of some who were especially susceptible.

Absorption of Solar Radiation

Absorption of solar ultra-violet light by smoke and soot is recognized as a health problem in many industrial cities. Measurements of solar radiation in Baltimore* by actinic methods demonstrated that ultra-violet light intensity in the country was 50 percent greater than in the city. In New York City* a loss as great as 50 percent in visible light was found by photoelectric measurements.

ODOR NUISANCE

A problem companionate with smoke abatement is the control of odor nuisance in the neighborhood of industrial plants discharging noxious or offensive air contaminants. Community planning and zoning will avoid much of the difficulty in the future, but meanwhile many industrial cities must resort to corrective measures by requiring installation of air cleaning devices, alteration of manufacturing processes, or termination of the offensive operation in residential or commercial districts.

Control of outdoor odor nuisance is especially troublesome because of the extremely minute quantities of contaminant

that are capable of offending through a wide area. New industrial chemicals with strange or unfamiliar odors tend to receive more attention from the neighborhood than the customary odors generated by well-known processes and raw materials. Methods of odor control currently in use include charcoal adsorption, scrubbing towers and air washers, chlorination, condensation, masking, passage of the odorous air through combustion chambers, dispersion through a tall stack, and best of all, substitution of less offensive materials whenever possible.*

Control of air quality within buildings ventilated for human occupancy is discussed in Chapter 6. Tobacco smoke odors, cooking odors, and body odors are air contaminants of the nuisance type which now command a decisive position in the standards of air quality for indoor comfort. However, the engineer will find, at times, that odors originating outside buildings in industrial or business districts may determine the kind and capacity of equipment he must provide for a high quality air-supply installation.

INDUSTRIAL AIR CONTAMINANTS

Many industrial processes are sources of contaminants. Their control is an important function of the ventilating or air-conditioning engineer, because the atmosphere within buildings is the medium whereby such finely divided matter is dispersed and transported from the source to remote locations where it may cause property damage, nuisance, fire, explosion, disease, and even death.

Tables 3, 4, and 5 give maximum allowable concentration values for many industrial air contaminants. Some of these values have been proposed by ASA Sectional Committee Z37 on Allowable Concentrations of Toxic Dusts and Gases, and others by the Committee on Threshold Limits of the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists. The values have been adopted by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists and by many of the official industrial hygiene agencies.

It must be emphasized that these limits in the great majority of instances are only suggested maximum working levels since they are estimates, based in many cases upon incomplete environmental and medical studies. Where there is agreement between the ASA Standard Z37 and ACGIH values, reliability of the ASA Standard is increased. The values are not fixed, but are subject to revision, upward or downward, with the development of new information. There is by no means complete agreement regarding these values among responsible industrial hygienists.

In applying these guide limits, the following factors must be considered:

1. The duration of exposure is 8 hr a day for 5 or 6 days a week.
2. The measurements are indicative of the concentration in the breathing zone of the exposed person.
3. The MAC is usually accepted as the average exposure value when the upper limits do not greatly exceed the MAC value. For example, it cannot be assumed that if 100 ppm is considered safe for 8-hour exposure, that 800 ppm for one hour will be permissible.
4. Two substances with similar MAC values may be quite different as to physiological effects at other concentrations. In one instance the selection of a limit may be predicated upon a discomfort factor, with a wide margin of safety before systemic effects would be encountered, while in another case the value represents an appreciable fraction of the concentra-

Air Contaminants

Table 3.... Maximum Allowable Concentration of Gases and Vapors

Substance	ASA Standard MAC ppm ^b by volume	Threshold Limit Values ACGIH* 1958		Substance	ASA Standard MAC ppm ^b by volume	Threshold Limit Values ACGIH* 1958	
		ppm ^b	g/cu m or oz/1000 cu ft			ppm ^b	g/cu m or oz/1000 cu ft
Acetaldehyde.....	200	0.360		Dichlorotetrafluoroethane.....	1000	7.00	
Acetic acid.....	10	0.025		Diethylamine.....	25	0.075	
Acetic anhydride.....	5	0.020		Diffuorodibromomethane.....	100	0.860	
Acetone.....	1000	2.400		Diisobutyl ketone.....	50	0.290	
Acrolein.....	0.5	0.0012		Dimethylaniline (N-dimethyl-aniline).....	5	0.025	
Acrylonitrile.....	20	0.045		Dimethylsulfate.....	1	0.005	
Allyl alcohol.....	5	0.012		Dioxane (diethylene dioxide).....	100	0.360	
(x) Allyl chloride.....	5	0.015		Ethyl acetate.....	400	1.400	
Allyl propyl disulfide.....	2	0.012		(x) Ethyl acrylate.....	25	0.100	
Ammonia.....	100	0.070		Ethyl alcohol (ethanol).....	1000	1.900	
Amyl acetate.....	200	1.050		Ethylamine.....	25	0.045	
Amyl alcohol (iso).....	100	0.360		Ethyl benzene.....	200	0.870	
Aniline.....	5	0.019		Ethyl bromide.....	200	0.890	
Arsine.....	0.05	0.0002		Ethyl chloride.....	1000	2.600	
Benzene (benzol).....	100	0.080		Ethyl ether.....	400	1.200	
Benzyl chloride.....	1	0.005		Ethyl formate.....	100	0.300	
Bromine.....	1	0.007		Ethyl silicate.....	100	0.850	
Butadiene (1,3-butadiene).....	1000	2.200		Ethylene chlorhydrin.....	5	0.016	
Butanone (methyl ethyl ketone).....	250	0.740		Ethylenediamine.....	10	0.030	
Butyl acetate (n-butyl acetate).....	200	0.950		Ethylenedibromide (1,2-dibromethane).....	25	0.190	
Butyl alcohol (n-butanol).....	100	0.300		Ethylene imine.....	5	0.009	
Butylamine.....	5	0.015		Ethylene oxide.....	50	0.090	
* Butyl cellosolve (2-butoxy-ethanol).....	50	0.240		Fluorine.....	0.1	0.0002	
Carbon dioxide.....	5000	9.000		Fluorotrichloromethane.....	1000	5.600	
Carbon disulfide.....	20	0.060		Formaldehyde.....	10	0.006	
Carbon monoxide.....	100	0.110		(x) Furfural.....	5	0.020	
Carbon tetrachloride.....	25	0.160		Gasoline.....	500	2.000	
Cellosolve (2-ethoxyethanol).....	200	0.740		Heptane (n-heptane).....	500	2.000	
Cellosolve acetate (2-ethoxyethyl acetate).....	100	0.540		Hexane (n-hexane).....	500	1.80	
Chlorine.....	1	0.003		Hexanone (methyl butyl ketone).....	100	0.410	
Chlorine trifluoride.....	0.1	0.0004		Hexone (methyl isobutyl ketone).....	100	0.410	
Chlorobenzene (monochlorobenzene).....	75	0.350		Hydrazine.....	1	0.0013	
Chloroform (trichloromethane).....	100	0.490		Hydrogen bromide.....	5	0.017	
1-Chloro-1-nitropropane.....	20	0.100		Hydrogen chloride.....	5	0.007	
(x) Chloropierin.....	1	0.007		Hydrogen cyanide.....	10	0.011	
Chloroprene (2-chloro-1,3-butadiene).....	25	0.090		Hydrogen fluoride.....	3	0.002	
Cresol (all isomers).....	5	0.022		Hydrogen peroxide, 90%.....	1	0.0014	
Cyclohexane.....	400	1.400		Hydrogen selenide.....	20	0.030	
Cyclohexanol.....	100	0.410		Hydrogen sulfide.....	20	0.001	
Cyclohexanone.....	100	0.400		Iodine.....	25	0.140	
Cyclohexene.....	400	1.350		Isophorone.....	25	0.012	
Cyclopropane.....	400	0.690		Isopropylamine.....	5	0.012	
(x) Decaborane.....	0.05	0.0003		* Mesityl oxide.....	25	0.100	
Diacetone alcohol (4-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone).....	50	0.240		Methyl acetate.....	200	0.610	
Diborane.....	0.1	0.0001		Methyl acetylene.....	1000	1.650	
o-Dichlorobenzene.....	50	0.300		(x) Methyl acrylate.....	10	0.035	
Dichlorodifluoromethane.....	1000	4.95		Methyl alcohol (methanol).....	200	0.260	
1,1-Dichloroethane.....	100	0.400		Methyl bromide.....	20	0.080	
1,2-Dichloroethane (ethylene dichloride).....	100	0.400		Methyl cellosolve (2-methoxyethanol).....	25	0.080	
1,2-Dichloroethylene.....	200	0.790		Methyl cellosolve acetate (ethylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate).....	25	0.120	
Dichloroethyl ether.....	15	0.090		Methyl chloride.....	100	0.210	
Dichloromonofluoromethane.....	1000	4.200		Methylal (dimethoxymethane).....	1000	3.100	
1,1-Dichloro-1-nitroethane.....	10	0.060					

* ACGIH = American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists. Reprinted by permission from *AMA Archives of Industrial Health*, September 1957, Vol. 18, pp. 261-265.

^b Parts of vapor or gas per million parts of air by volume.

(x) These values appeared in ACGIH tentative list for 1954.

* These items include changes made by ACGIH Committee on Threshold Limits in 1958.