Fluoropolymers for medical use are essential

The draft restriction on the use of PFAS is causing concern. It is feared that surgical meshes made of PVDF could be banned after a short transition period.

For 30 years, the investigation of textile implants for the treatment of tissue defects has been a central focus of clinical and experimental research in the Department of Surgery at RWTH Aachen University. Within this framework, I have worked intensively with my colleagues on improving implant safety and have acquired numerous third-party funded research projects. With this research activity, which has now lasted 30 years, with more than140 publications (see Appendix 2) on the subject of mesh implants, more than 250 invited lectures on implants and numerous book contributions, it was possible to significantly improve the previously predominant oversized materials by introducing the concept of large-pored lightweight meshes. I was able to contribute this expertise as an expert witness for courts in the USA and Australia in numerous proceedings.

Medical implants must basically have a high stability and resistance to degradation if they have to function for years or decades in the tissue and the aggressive cellular environment of the biological foreign body reaction. This is all the more true if they have a large contact surface area, as is the case with "Surgical Meshes". These textile implants made of different materials are recommended for tissue reinforcement by all professional societies [Appendix 1: 1-4] as the therapy of choice and are routinely used as standard worldwide.

Among the currently used polymers, PVDF fulfils the requirements for textile medical implants in an outstanding way as it shows no signs of degradation after implantation and induces a low inflammatory and fibrous reaction due to its hydrophobic surface. In contrast, the alternative polymers available show dramatic disadvantages in some cases, which have led to over a hundred thousand documented court cases worldwide [Appendix 1: 6]. Among other things, the hydrophilic polymers made of polyester are subject to rapid hydrolytic degradation, while those made of polypropylene are subject to oxidative surface decay with the release of micro-particles and increased cellular activation of the inflammation and scarring processes [Appendix 2: 1,29].

In the past 30 years of intensive research activity with more than 140 publications (see Appendix 2) on the subject of "Mesh" it has become clear that PVDF is currently the best polymer for use in textile fabric reinforcement [Appendix 2: 1-140].
The production of PVDF mesh implants is currently a core technological competence built up over decades within the EU, a migration of production facilities to non-EU countries would be regrettable. A ban on PVDF as an implant material in the EU would mean an increased use of polypropylene (PP) or polyester (PET) meshes along with the increase of associated risks for thousands of patients if they do not have the option of obtaining PVDF implants outside the EU.

The development of hernia meshes made of PVDF began more than 20 years ago in 1998 which, with an increasing number of experimental and clinical studies (see ref. list Appendix 2 with the published results of our own studies), became increasingly clear when comparing implant

materials that the conventional mesh implants made of polypropylene (PP) and polyester (PET) are associated with a pronounced inflammatory foreign body reaction, and with the millions of mesh implants in use, new, more compatible polymers were required. Initial trials confirmed the possibility of using PVDF for mesh constructions and also confirmed the superior compatibility after insertion into the tissue (see e.g. [Appendix 2: 118]). In the following years, more and more surgeons were convinced to the use of PVDF implants through publications and presentations at national and international conferences, even though large mesh manufacturers continued to stick to their standard materials of polypropylene (PP) and polyester (PET) against better knowledge and in part against the warning of the polymer manufacturer [Appendix 1: 7].

The positive experience of surgeons, as well as first long-term registry studies with indisputable benefits for PVDF meshes in the long-term course [Appendix 1: 5] currently support the increasing use of PVDF mesh implants, and give hope that implants made of PVDF will increasingly replace implants made of risky polypropylene (PP) and polyester (PET) in the coming years.

Appendix 1

1: The HerniaSurge Group (2018) International guidelines for groin hernia management. Hernia. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10029-017-1668-x

2: Bittner R, Bingener-Casey J, Dietz U, et al (2014) Guidelines for laparoscopic treatment of ventral and incisional abdominal wall hernias (International Endohernia Society [IEHS])—Part 1. Surg Endosc 28:2–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-013-3170-6

3: Henriksen NA, Montgomery A, Kaufmann R, et al (2020) Guidelines for treatment of umbilical and epigastric hernias from the European Hernia Society and Americas Hernia Society: Guidelines for treatment of umbilical and epigastric hernias. Br J Surg. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.11489

4: SAGES Guidelines Committee, Kohn GP, Price RR, et al (2013) Guidelines for the management of hiatal hernia. Surg Endosc 27:4409–4428. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-013-3173-3
Simons MP, Aufenacker T, Bay-Nielsen M, et al (2009) European Hernia Society guidelines on the treatment of inguinal hernia in adult patients. Hernia 13:343–403. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10029-009-0529-7

5: Baker JJ, Öberg S, Rosenberg J. Reoperation for Recurrence is Affected by Type of Mesh in Laparoscopic Ventral Hernia Repair: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Ann Surg. 2023 Feb 1;277(2):335-342. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000005206

6: https://www.meshmedicaldevicenewsdesk.com/articles/100-thousand-mesh-defective-product-cases-now-filed-as-mesh-makers-assure-shareholders

7 https://www.meshmedicaldevicenewsdesk.com/articles/mesh-polypropylene-resin-not-

meant-human-implants

[illegible]

[illegible] PFAS [illegible] PVDF [illegible]

30 [illegible] 30 [illegible] 140 [illegible] 2 [illegible] 250 [illegible]

[illegible] “[illegible]” [illegible] [[illegible] 1 [illegible] 1-4] [illegible]

□□□□□□□PVDF □□□[□□ 1□6]□□□[□□ 2□1□29]□

□□□ 30 □□□□□□□□□□ 140 □□□“□□”□□□□□□□□□ 2□□□□□PVDF □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ [□□ 2□1-140]□
PVDF □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ PVDF □□□□□□□□□□□PP□□□□PET□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ PVDF □□□□□□□□□□

□ PVDF □□□□□□□□□ 20 □□□ 1998 □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ 2 □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□PP□□□□PET□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ PVDF □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□[□□ 2□118]□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ PVDF □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□PP□□□□PET□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□[□□ 1□ 7].

□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ PVDF □□□□□□□□□□[□□ 1□5]□□□ PVDF □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ PVDF □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□PP□□□□PET□□□□□

U. □□□□□

□□ 1

1: The HerniaSurge Group (2018) International guidelines for groin hernia management. Hernia. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10029-017-1668-x

2: Bittner R, Bingener-Casey J, Dietz U, et al (2014) Guidelines for laparoscopic treatment of ventral and incisional abdominal wall hernias (International Endohernia Society [IEHS])—Part 1. Surg Endosc 28:2–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-013-3170-6

3: Henriksen NA, Montgomery A, Kaufmann R, et al (2020) Guidelines for treatment of umbilical and epigastric hernias from the European Hernia Society and Americas Hernia Society: Guidelines for treatment of umbilical and epigastric hernias. Br J Surg. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.11489

4: SAGES Guidelines Committee, Kohn GP, Price RR, et al (2013) Guidelines for the management of hiatal hernia. Surg Endosc 27:4409–4428. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-013-3173-3
Simons MP, Aufenacker T, Bay-Nielsen M, et al (2009) European Hernia Society guidelines on the treatment of inguinal hernia in adult patients. Hernia 13:343–403. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10029-009-0529-7

5: Baker JJ, Öberg S, Rosenberg J. Reoperation for Recurrence is Affected by Type of Mesh in Laparoscopic Ventral Hernia Repair: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Ann Surg. 2023 Feb

1;277(2):335-342. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000005206

6: https://www.meshmedicaldevicenewsdesk.com/articles/100-thousand-mesh-defective-product-cases-now-filed-as-mesh-makers-assure-shareholders

7 https://www.meshmedicaldevicenewsdesk.com/articles/mesh-polypropylene-resin-not-meant-human-implants

□□□□□□□□□□ECHA □□□ XV □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ 9000□□□□□□□ 2 □□□□□□□□□□ECHA □□□ XV □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□

Due to the limited number of characters (max. 9000) in the submission under Section III (ECHA Comments for Annex XV restriction report), the complete Appendix 2 is only included in the uploaded attachment under Section IV (ECHA Comments for Annex XV restriction report).