

Section	Rule
212.443.....	203(d)(5)(B)
212.444.....	203(d)(5)(C)
212.445.....	203(d)(5)(D)
212.446.....	203(d)(5)(E)
212.447.....	203(d)(5)(F)
212.448.....	203(d)(5)(G)
212.449.....	203(d)(5)(H)
212.450.....	203(d)(5)(I)
212.451.....	203(d)(5)(J)
212.452.....	203(d)(5)(K)
212.455.....	203(f)(3)(E) Exception
212.456.....	203(d)(6)
212.457.....	203(d)(9)
212.461(a).....	203(d)(8) Preamble
212.461(b).....	203(d)(8)(A)
212.461(c).....	203(d)(8)(D)
212.461(d).....	203(d)(8)(E)
212.461(e).....	203(d)(8)(H)
212.461(f).....	203(d)(8)(I)
212.461(g).....	203(d)(8)(K)
212.461(h).....	203(d)(8)(L)
212.462(a)-(d).....	203(d)(8)(B)
212.462(e).....	203(d)(8)(F)
212.463(a)-(c).....	203(d)(8)(C)
212.463(d).....	203(d)(8)(G)
212.681.....	203(d)(4)
Appendix A.....	Added in Codification
Appendix B.....	Added in Codification
Appendix C.....	202(e), 203(c), 203(d)(3)(A) & (B), 203(d)(5)(L) & (M), 203(d)(8)(J), 203(f)(3) Preamble, 203(f)(3)(F) Preamble, 203(i)
Illustration A.....	203(g)(1)(B)
Illustration B.....	203(a)
Illustration C.....	203(b)

Appendix C Past Compliance Dates

Rule 202(e)

Owners or operators of new emission sources were required to comply with the emission standards and limitations of Rule 202 by April 14, 1972.

Owners or operators of existing emission sources were required to comply with the emission standards and limitations of Rule 202 by December 31, 1972; except that owners or operators of emission sources subject to Rule 203(g) were required to comply with the emission standards and limitations of Rule 202 by May 10, 1975.

Rule 203(c)

Except as otherwise provided in Rule 203, every existing process emission source which was not

in compliance with Rule 203(b) as of April 14, 1972, was required to comply with Rule 203(a), unless both of the following conditions were met:

- The source was in compliance, as of April 14, 1972, with the terms and conditions of a variance granted by the Board, or, by June 13, 1972, the source was the subject of a variance petition filed with the Board, which variance was subsequently granted; and,
- As of April 14, 1972, construction was commenced on equipment or modifications sufficient to achieve compliance with Rule 203(b).

Rule 203(d)(3)(A) and (B)

Corn wet milling processes subject to Rule 203(d)(3) were subject to a standard of 0.3 gr/scf of effluent gas from April 14, 1972 to May 10, 1975.

Rule 203(d)(5)(L) and (M)

Every owner or operator of an emission source the construction or modification of which was commenced after September 6, 1979, was required to comply with the emission standards and limitations of Rule 203(d)(5) upon commencement of operation.

Every owner or operator of an emission source the construction or operation of which was commenced prior to September 6, 1979, was required to comply with the emission standards and limitations of Rule 203(d)(5) no later than December 31, 1982.

From the effective date of this Rule 203(d)(5) through December 31, 1982, full compliance with an approved compliance program and project completion schedule pursuant to Rule 104 for all sources of particulate emissions subject to Rule 203(d)(5) and 203(f) as amended under common ownership or control in the same air quality control region constituted compliance with the emission standards and limitations contained in Part II if such compliance program and project completion schedule:

- Provided for compliance by all sources of particulate matter subject to Rule 203(d)(5) and 203(f), as amended, under common ownership or control in the same air quality region, as expeditiously as practicable, considering what was economically reasonable and technically feasible; and
- Provided for reasonable further progress in achieving the reductions in particulate emissions required by Rule 203(d)(5) and 203(f), as amended, including annual increments of reductions such that at least one-third of the total reductions were achieved by December 31, 1980 and at least two-thirds of the total reductions were achieved by December 31, 1981, unless the owner or operator demonstrated in a hearing before the Board that such increments were technically infeasible or economically unreasonable or unless the owner or operator demonstrated in a hearing before the Board