

FILE NAME: General Electric (GE)

DATE: 1942

DOC#: GE027

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Transactions of the National Safety Council

TRANSACTIONS

31st NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



General Subject and
Industrial Sessions

CHICAGO

October 27-29, 1942

National Safety Council, Inc.
20 North Wacker Drive, Chicago

Copyright, 1942, National Safety Council, Inc.

Printed in U.S.A.

Sampling
dusts Cd
H₂S Hg
HCN Pb
SO₂ CS₂
NOx Acids
Benzene, toluene, xylene,
chlorinated hydrocarbons

Foreword

VOLUME I

THE Transactions of the 31st National Safety Congress and Exposition of the National Safety Council are published in two volumes. Volume I contains the General Subject and Industrial Section sessions. Volume II contains the Public Safety, Child Education, Home Safety and Farm Safety sessions.

Volume I is distributed to all members of the Council. Volume II is sent to those members who are believed to be interested chiefly in the sessions it contains, to other members on request.

The Transactions are a record of the proceedings of the Congress, condensed and edited for reference purposes. They are therefore an abridged version of the papers and discussions. The original manuscripts, some of which have drawings and charts too expensive to reproduce here, are available in the files of the National Safety Council.

The National Safety Council, at its Congresses, seeks to eliminate from discussion matters which are not pertinent to the aims of the Congress, or which may be contrary to the Council's policies. However, it cannot accept responsibility for all views expressed, either in the discussions of the Congress itself or in this record of them.

Extra copies of Volume I may be obtained by Council members at the following rates: 1 to 10 copies at \$2.00 each; 11 or more copies at \$1.75 each. Extra copies of Volume II are 75 cents each.

THE NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
20 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois

Contents VOLUME

Council Officers ...
Using the Transactions ...
The Council's Program ...
Annual Meeting of ...
Congress Banquet ...

Subject Sessions—

Aircraft Manufacture
Air Raid Protection
Dusts, Fumes, and Gases
Fire Safety and Prevention
Fundamental Principles
Gas and Electricity
Government Safety
Industrial Health
Industrial Nursing
Occupational Injuries
Safety Engineering
Safety in Foreign Countries
War Safety Precautions
War Safety Training

Industrial Section A

Aeronautical Section
ASSE-Engineers
Automotive and Transportation
Cement and Quarries
Chemical Section
Construction Section
Food Section
Marine Section
Meat Packing, Poultry, and Fish
Leather Industry
Metals Section
Mining Section
Paper and Pulp
Petroleum Section
Power Press Section
Public Utilities
Refrigeration Section
Rubber Section
Steam Railroad
Textile Section
Wood Products

Exposition Exhibitors ...
Index

National Safety Council, Inc.

Honorary Members

ASSOCIATION OF IRON AND STEEL ENGINEERS
W. H. CAMERON
ROBERT W. CAMPBELL
LEW R. PALMER

Officers (1942-1943)

COL. JOHN STILWELL, President
I. W. MILLARD, Vice-President for Finance and Treasurer
G. T. HELLMUTH, Asst. Vice-President for Finance and Asst. Treasurer
B. B. McCULLOCH, Assistant Treasurer
WALTER S. PAINE, Vice-President for Industrial Safety
LEW R. PALMER, Vice-President for Transportation
A. V. ROHWEDER, Vice-President for Home and Farm Safety
JUDGE LEE E. SKEEL, Vice-President for Community Councils
R. T. SOLENSTEN, Vice-President for Membership
LESLIE J. SORENSON, Vice-President for Public Safety
DR. LEWIS A. WILSON, Vice-President for Education
NED H. DEARBORN, Executive Vice-President, Managing Director and Secretary
R. L. FORNEY, Assistant Secretary

Executive Committee (1942-1943)

J. I. BANASH, Past President
WALLACE N. BARKER, Pullman-Standard Car Manufacturing Company
C. W. BERGQUIST, Past President
ROBERT W. CAMPBELL, Past President
D. B. CHANT, Ontario Pulp & Paper Makers' Safety Association
R. G. CREVISTON, Crane Company
A. J. R. CURTIS, Portland Cement Association
NED H. DEARBORN, National Safety Council, Inc.
LEWIS A. DEBLOIS, Past President
R. E. DONOVAN, Standard Oil Company of California
MARCUS A. DOW, Past President
G. W. ELSTE, JR., Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company
HAROLD F. ENLOWS, The American National Red Cross
D. D. FENNELL, Past President
R. H. FERGUSON, Metals Section
ROBERT F. GILMOUR, Utica Safety Council
E. E. GROVER, Columbus & Southern Ohio Electrical Company
JULIEN H. HARVEY, Greater New York Safety Council
W. A. HAZARD, Construction Section
DR. T. LYLE HAZLETT, Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Company
G. T. HELLMUTH, Chicago Rapid Transit Company
G. A. HEUSER, Louisville Safety Council
CARL E. HOLMES, Marine Section
W. A. JARVIS, Chase Brass & Copper Company, Inc.
W. DEAN KEEFER, Lumbermen's Mutual Casualty Company
WALTER G. KING, Past President
JOHN E. LONG, Past President
J. WILLARD LORD, Atlantic Refining Company
THOS. H. MACDONALD, U. S. Public Roads Administration

Officers (contd.)

WILLS MACLACHLAN,
Hon. CARROLL E. ME
I. W. MILLARD, Indus
HAROLD L. MINER, E
PHILIP M. MORGAN,
Council
CLARENCE J. MUTII,
ELIOT NESS, U. S. Of
WALTER S. PAINE, Ac
LEW R. PALMER, Past
C. E. PETTIBONE, Past
R. J. REIGELUTH, New
COL. HENRY A. RENIN
A. V. ROHWEDER, Duli
CARL J. RUTLAND, Str
HENRY G. SCHAFNER
JUDGE LEE E. SKEEL,
CARL L. SMITH, Great
THEODORE F. SMITH,
R. T. SOLENSTEN, Elli
LESLIE J. SORENSON, C
COL. JOHN STILWELL, C
MAJOR R. C. STRATTO
C. P. TOLMAN, Past P
DR. C. H. WATSON, Pa
DR. LEWIS A. WILSON,
C. E. WOOLIEVER, Auto
ARTHUR H. YOUNG, Pa
V. A. ZIMMER, U. S. D

Board of Truste

WINTHROP W. ALDRICH
National Bank, New
SEWELL L. AVERY, Pre
Chicago, Ill.
MORGAN B. BRAINARD,
Hartford, Conn.
HOWARD COONLEY, Cha
Company, New York
BENJAMIN F. FAIRLESS,
Pittsburgh, Penn.
* W. S. FARISH, Preside
York City
WALTER GIFFORD, Preside
pany, New York City
E. R. HARRIMAN, Direc
York City
WILLIAM A. IRVIN, Mem
Corporation, New York
THOMAS I. PARKINSON,
ciety of the U. S., New

* Deceased

Officers (contd.)

ROBERT C. STANLEY, President, International Nickel Company of Canada, Ltd., New York City
 COL. JOHN STILWELL, Vice-President, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, New York City
 THOMAS JOHN WATSON, President, International Business Machines Corporation, New York City
 CHARLES E. WILSON, President, General Electric Company, New York City

Directors (1942-1943)

H. J. ALDRICH, Spencer Kellogg & Sons, Inc.
 T. O. ARMSTRONG, Springfield Safety Council; Hampden County Safety Council
 W. C. BAKER, Power Press Section
 J. I. BANASH, Past President
 WALLACE N. BARKER, Pullman-Standard Car Manufacturing Company
 ERNEST W. BECK, United States Rubber Company
 C. W. BERGQUIST, Past President
 MISS FRANCES BETHUNE, R.N., Textile Section
 W. F. BIGGS, Peoria Safety Council
 H. R. BIXLER, Mutual Life Insurance Company of New York
 H. W. BOGESS, Sinclair Prairie Oil Company
 F. A. BOGUE, Steam Railroad Section
 E. G. BORSELINE, Kansas City Safety Council
 C. B. BOULET, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation
 EMERSON A. BRANDT, Refrigeration Section
 EDWARD H. BRINK, Grand Rapids Safety Council
 JOSEPH A. BROPHY, Elizabeth Safety Council
 W. F. BROWN, Commercial Vehicle Section
 SAM W. BURCHIEL, Automobile Club of Rhode Island, Safety Department
 W. H. CAMERON, Evanston, Ill.
 ROBERT W. CAMPBELL, Past President
 RAYMOND A. CAREY, Evanston Safety Council
 RAY CARR, Portland Traffic Safety Commission
 D. B. CHANT, Ontario Pulp & Paper Makers' Safety Association
 CHARLES A. CHAPPELL, Safety Division, Syracuse Chamber of Commerce
 LAMMOT DU PONT COPELAND, Delaware Safety Council
 C. S. CRAIGMILE, Greater Chicago Safety Council
 R. G. CREVISTON, Crane Company
 W. H. CRISMAN, Seattle Traffic & Safety Council
 J. E. CULLINEY, Bethlehem Steel Company
 A. J. R. CURTIS, Portland Cement Association
 JOHN D'AMBROSA, Sr., Rahway Safety Council
 NED H. DEARBORN, National Safety Council, Inc.
 W. N. DEATHERAGE, Long Beach Traffic Safety Council
 LEWIS A. DEBLOIS, Past President
 C. W. DEMPSEY, The Liquid Carbonic Corporation
 S. T. DIBSDALE, Wood Products Section
 MILTON W. DOBRZENSKY, East Bay Safety Council
 R. E. DONOVAN, Standard Oil Company of California
 JAMES B. DOUGLAS, The Philadelphia Gas Works Company
 MARCUS A. DOW, Past President
 D. C. DUNCAN, Public Utilities Section

Officers (contd.)

G. W. ELSTE, JR., B.
 HAROLD F. ENLWS,
 D. D. FENNELL, Past
 R. H. FERGUSON, Me
 DR. HART E. FISHER,
 VICTOR B. FITZPATRICK
 HOWARD B. FONDA, B
 RAY GILLET, Meat I
 ROBERT F. GILMOUR,
 JOHN L. GRIDER, Rubi
 W. A. GRIFFIN, Amer
 H. J. GRIFFITH, Jones
 E. E. GROVER, Columb
 HARRY GUILBERT, Th
 A. J. HAGER, Lansing
 D. T. HARRINGTON, C
 FRANK H. HARRISON,
 JULIEN H. HARVEY, G
 W. A. HAZARD, Const
 DR. T. LYLE HAZLETT
 pany
 G. T. HELLMUTH, Chi
 G. A. HEUSER, Louisv
 H. W. HIRSHEIMER, C
 CARL E. HOLMES, Mac
 DR. RALPH H. HOUGH
 STEPHEN J. HURLEY, I
 WM F. JAMES, Philade
 E. A. JARVIS, Chase Br
 THOMAS P. KEARNS, I
 W. DEAN KEEFER, Lun
 WALTER G. KING, Past
 C. L. LAFONTAINE, G
 GEORGE LAMAIR, Des M
 ROY LEE, Contra Costa
 WALLACE O. LEE, Safet
 JOHN E. LONG, Past Pr
 C. H. LONGMAN, Chicag
 J. WILLARD LORD, Atlai
 R. A. MCARTHUR, Pub
 B. B. McCULLOCH, Bur
 THOS. H. MACDONALD,
 WILLS MACLACHLAN, /
 ALFRED E. MAFFLY, Be
 H. T. MARKFE, Petroleu
 HON. CARROLL E. MEALI
 I. W. MILLARD, Industri
 HAROLD L. MINER, E. I.
 H. H. MOHLER, St. Jose
 PHILIP M. MORGAN, Me
 R. B. MORLEY, Industrial
 C. L. MURRAY, Mason C
 CLARENCE J. MUTH, Saf
 merce
 ELIOT NESS, U. S. Office

Officers (contd.)

FRANK S. NEWELL, Toledo Safety Council
 F. EDWARD O'NEIL, The Safety Council of Greater St. Louis
 GEORGE C. A. OPP, The Detroit Edison Company
 WALTER S. PAINE, Aetna Life and Affiliated Companies
 LEW R. PALMER, Past President
 DAVID A. PATTON, Newark Safety Council
 R. E. PERKEY, South Bend Safety Council
 C. E. PETTIBONE, Past President
 G. H. PFEIF, General Electric Company
 D. W. PONTIUS, Greater Los Angeles Safety Council
 W. M. POWELL, Cement and Quarry Section
 ALBERT S. REGULA, General Time Instruments Corporation
 R. J. REIGELUTH, New Haven Trap Rock Company
 COL. HENRY A. RENINGER, U. S. Office of Civilian Defense, 3rd Region
 J. W. REYNOLDS, United Pacific Insurance Company
 ROLAND W. RICHARDSON, Paper & Pulp Section
 CLIFFORD T. RIPLEY, Superior & Douglas County Safety Council
 MISS SADIE ROGERS, Employees' Publication Section
 A. V. ROHWEDER, Duluth, Missabe & Iron Range Railway Company
 CARL J. RUTLAND, Street & Highway Traffic Section
 HENRY G. SCHIAFFNER, Erie Safety Council
 FRANK T. SHEETS, Portland Cement Association
 JOHN R. SHERWOOD, Baltimore Safety Council
 DR. L. A. SHOUDY, Bethlehem Steel Company
 JUDGE LEE E. SKEEL, Appellate Court, Cleveland
 CARL L. SMITH, Greater Cleveland Safety Council
 THEODORE F. SMITH, Oliver Iron & Steel Corporation
 R. T. SOLENSTEN, Elliott Service Company
 LESLIE J. SORENSON, City Traffic Engineer, Chicago
 JOHN L. SPENCER, Blackstone Valley Safety Council
 E. C. SPRING, Philadelphia, Pa.
 E. B. STAHLMAN, Safety Dept., Nashville Chamber of Commerce
 COL. JOHN STILWELL, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
 MAJOR R. C. STRATTON, C.W.S., Chemical Section
 H. B. TAYLOR, Food Section
 C. P. TOLMAN, Past President
 JOHN TREWEEK, Mining Section
 R. A. TUCKER, Western Pennsylvania Safety Council
 J. A. VINCENT, Madison County Safety Council
 DR. C. H. WATSON, Past President
 M. F. M. WERTH, Lehigh Valley Safety Council
 H. W. WHITCOMB, Transit Section
 T. ANGLIN WHITE, Safety Div., Birmingham Chamber of Commerce
 A. W. WHITNEY, National Conservation Bureau
 C. E. WILSON, Detroit Industrial Safety Council
 DR. LEWIS A. WILSON, The University of State of New York
 C. E. WOOLIEVER, Automotive and Machine Shop Section
 ROY V. WRIGHT, Simmons-Wardman Publishing Corporation
 MISS MARY MAY WYMAN, Child Education Section
 ARTHUR H. YOUNG, Past President
 M. W. YOUNG, Sacramento Safety Council
 WALTER S. YOUNG, Worcester Safety Council
 E. J. ZAUF, Safety Bureau, Duluth Chamber of Commerce
 IRVING R. ZERZAN, Omaha Safety Council
 V. A. ZIMMER, U. S. Department of Labor

Each edition
 library of safety
 men and women
 portation, insu
 agencies—give t
 and background
 vention at the A

This knowledg
 ing year after y
 gressive industri

Plan

Safety ideas,
 as personalities i
 ty, can be found
 Table of Contents
 at the end of the

The Table of
 Sessions, (b)
 problems commu
 Industrial Secti

Subject Ses
 are arranged at
 the book the s
 trial Section, a
 the daily time
 gram.

The detailed
 easy to find s
 hundreds of i
 jects discussed
 shoes, third s
 in the case of
 are printed in
 tions, i. e., C
 Marine, etc.
 are listed the
 gram.

Dust, Fumes, Gases and Vapors

WEDNESDAY MORNING SESSION

October 28, 1942

Presiding:—STUART F. MEEK, M.D., Asst. Medical Dir., Chrysler Corp., Detroit

Maintenance of Exhaust Systems An Important Safety Measure

By JOHN M. KANE

Engineer, American Air Filter Company, Inc., Louisville, Kentucky

In recent years the safety engineer's responsibilities have increased by leaps and bounds. Under his widening control logically comes the checking of exhaust systems for the control of hazardous dusts and fumes.

This discussion will assume that such hazards are evaluated by the industrial hygienist or safety engineer. Where exhaust ventilation is required, the ventilation engineer makes recommendations for exhaust capacities, system and hood design to control the condition. It will be further assumed that this coordination results in an effective exhaust system removing the dust or fumes at the points of generation and preventing their dispersion to the workroom. Consequently, unless the process is changed, the hoods or enclosures altered, or the method of material handling revised, the hazard should remain controlled as long as the exhaust system functions *properly*. The word "properly" can rightly be underscored because in many cases little attention is given to such an installation after the project has been completed. Yet mechanical exhaust equipment and dust collectors require the same attention that machine tool and other plant equipment require and usually receive.

If this precept is correct, a discussion of fundamentals may assist the safety engineer

in quickly setting up a routine check on the performance of an exhaust system and catching any reduction in the system's effectiveness. The tools required under most cases are a manometer (U-Gage), and a short piece of rubber tubing. The engineer must also have an understanding of static pressure losses and hood suction.

While hood suction readings have rightfully fallen into a state of ill repute as a means of measuring air flow, they do offer a quick and accurate method of measuring relative air flow. If the hood suction is known while an exhaust system is functioning properly, its continued effectiveness can be assured as long as the hood suction does not reduce from its original value. An expansion of this statement should indicate why this simple measurement can be used as a ready check.

The Exhaust System

Exhaust ventilation is by far the most predominant means of controlling dust hazards. The fundamental elements and the design are not altered, whether the purpose of the exhaust system is to remove toxic or explosive particles, or simply to prevent dispersion for reduction in workman fatigue, improvement of visibility or general betterment of working conditions. In most cases the system will

include hoods or enclosures that surround dust producing areas as completely as possible and as close to the source of dust generation as feasible and branch ducts that are connected to the hood so an indraft of air can be maintained through the necessary hood openings—the velocity of the incoming air sufficiently high to prevent material flying outward. These velocities vary from 50 to 100 fpm for fumes and fine dusts, to 2000 fpm for large particles forcefully thrown toward openings in the enclosure.

The size of the duct connection is determined by the cubic feet of air to be exhausted and the velocity necessary to prevent settling in the ducts. Such velocities vary from 1000 fpm for fumes to 5000 fpm for heavy loads of coarse material.

Note that velocities have been gaged by smallness of particles and method of generation. Dust and fume particles are too small and have too great surface area to be influenced greatly by the specific gravity of the material. The same velocities are generally needed to confine or convey the same size particle of wood or steel.

An exhaustor is the usual air moving equipment that maintains the flow of air through hood and branches. It must have sufficient capacity to maintain the indraft at the hoods, develop enough pressure to move that volume, overcoming the resistance to flow of air from inertia, turbulence, duct resistance and collector loss. A dust collector removes entrained material from the exhaust system, concentrating the material for disposal, preventing its re-entry to the workroom.

Definition of Hood Suction

In a branch duct close to the hood, a static pressure reading is called the hood suction. It is a measure of the pressure required to move air flow at the required velocity in the duct (velocity pressure) plus the pressure lost in overcoming the resistance to air flow offered by the hood (entrance or acceleration loss).

Hood suction therefore is a function of the velocity of the air in the branch duct and the effect of getting that volume of air into the duct. (Effective dust control however, is a function of the indraft velocity at the hood

and not a function of the hood suction except that velocities in the branch must be sufficient to convey the material without settling and obstructing the air flow by such accumulations).

An analogy and some illustrations may help to clarify this fundamental concept of exhaust system design:

An automobile requires more gas to accelerate from rest to 40 miles an hour than it does to travel the same distance at 40 miles per hour. Much of the power has been used to overcome inertia and give the auto a velocity pressure (energy not used in overcoming friction but available to coast after the engine is stopped or to be expended by braking if the car is stopped sooner). Similar energy is required to move air from relative rest in a workroom to the specified velocities in an exhaust duct. An automobile requires less gas to accomplish this acceleration on a smooth hard surfaced road than on a rough gravel or dirt road.

In like manner less energy (entrance loss) is required to move air through a flared hood which gradually changes its shape than through a hood with abrupt changes in shape or direction of air travel.

The actual static pressure reading (the hood suction) can vary widely dependent on the branch pipe velocities and hood entrance loss. However, once the hood design has been established and the branch pipe determined, *any change from the original hood suction can only indicate a change in velocity in the branch and consequently a change in air volume removed from the hood.* This relation will be true unless hood design has been changed which would effect the ease of exhausting the air volume (entrance loss), or obstructions or accumulations in hood or branch ahead of the point of hood suction reading. Restriction of the cross sectional area will reduce the air volume, although hood suction may even increase, dependent on location and degree of accumulation.

Measurement of Hood Suction

At the time of exhaust system installation, a $\frac{1}{8}$ " hole should be drilled in each branch connection for static pressure (hood suction) readings. The hole should be perpendicular to the duct, in a straight section (4 to 6 diam-

eters long if possible) at least one diameter from hood or connecting elbow. Such small holes will be in addition to larger pitot tube holes for actual measurement of air flow.

A vertical U-Gage is satisfactory for static pressure readings above 0.8". Use an inclined design for lower values. Both designs are inexpensive. The vertical type can be made with a scale divided into tenths of an inch and a bent glass tube approximately $\frac{1}{8}$ " inside diameter mounted on a support.

The gage is leveled and zeroed and the length of rubber hose attached to the proper side (either side of the conventional U-Gage).

Reading consists of measuring the distance in inches between the top of the water columns in both legs of the U-Gage. Most manufactured gages use a special gage oil with scales calibrated for the specific gravity and with the reading indicated on only one leg of the gage. Be certain that the end of the rubber tubing is held tightly over the hole in the duct while the reading is taken. Folding over the end of the rubber hose assures a tighter fit. Check tubing for kinks or sharp bends that would close the passage.

The easily obtained static pressure reading not only furnishes an accurate check on performance, but the amount of change can be quickly calculated. It has been previously shown that hood suction is a static pressure equivalent to the velocity pressure in the branch plus the entrance loss which can be stated in terms of percentage of velocity pressure. Velocity pressure, entrance loss and most pressure losses vary as the square of the velocity of air flow.

if CFM_a = cubic feet of air per minute originally exhausted

CFM_b = cubic feet of air per minute exhausted during test.

SP_a = hood suction originally noted.

SP_b = hood suction during test

$$CFM_b = CFM_a \sqrt{\frac{SP_b}{SP_a}}$$

or in terms of conveying velocities in the branches—

FPM_a = feet per minute originally maintained

F
app
ed:
rior
esti
Unl
able
able
be:
unm
rest
volt

1
of:
for
stat
cith
is:
che
assi
pitc
rea
cati
air.
me
unc
/
of
cha
val
vel
to
sys
red
to

C

1

oft
ing
hai
slip
lat
air

1

the
br:
ve:
va

of material exhausted, or leakage losses caused by loose cleanout doors, broken joints, holes worn in duct (most frequent in elbows), poor connection to exhaust inlet.

Losses in suction can also be charged to additional exhaust points added to the system (sometimes systems are designed for future connections and more air than required is handled by present branches until future connections are made), change of setting of blast gates in branch lines (blast gates

adjust the air distribution between the various branches. Tampering with blast gates can seriously affect such distribution and therefore gates should be locked in place immediately after system has been installed and its effectiveness checked). Increased pressure loss through dust collector due to lack of maintenance, improper operation, wear, etc. vary with the collector design. Refer to operation and maintenance instructions furnished with the collector or consult the equipment manufacturer.

Testing Toxic Atmospheres

By N. R. BERNZ

Chief Industrial Hygienist, The Fidelity & Casualty Co. of N. Y., New York, New York

In manufacturing, many operations and processes of one industry are common to those of another, although the two industries may be engaged in the production of entirely different types of goods. For example, welding is used very extensively throughout the aviation, shipbuilding, automobile and steel industries; this applies also to spray painting, electroplating, buffing, grinding, and many other operations. Similarly with raw materials, various kinds of acids, organic solvents, etc., are found in almost any type of plant. For this reason there are certain industrial poisons which appear much more frequently than others and which may be found throughout the entire defense industry. Some of these are:

Trinitrotoluene (dust and vapors)
Ammonium Picrate (dust)
Mercury (vapors)
Aniline
Radon
Thoron

As will be seen by examination of the above list, some of these substances are present as raw materials; others are final products, while a third group occurs as by-products generated in the various operations. Typical of the first group are the various acids, organic solvents, chlorinated hydrocarbons, ammonia, and carbon disulfide. In the second class we have TNT and ammonium picrate, while the remainder are commonly occurring dusts, fumes, gases and vapors of a toxic nature generated in various industrial processes. While the theoretical possibilities for exposure to toxic substances in the above industries are far too numerous for tabulation, this list represents those most commonly encountered.

Dusts

Silica-bearing inorganic dusts are probably found in all of the above industries. For example, mining of the iron ore in the steel industry presents exposures to free silica dust in considerable quantities. Buffing, polishing, and grinding operations done very

extensively in a great many industries, generate inorganic dusts, which for the most part consist of aluminum oxide and silicon carbide, but also include free silica in amorphous and crystalline forms.

For the purpose of quantitative determination, dusts may be divided roughly into three classes, as follows:

1. The inorganic type such as free silica and asbestos, which is collected and the number of particles per unit volume of air determined microscopically.

2. Organic dusts of natural origin, the amount of which is usually determined by weight. Typical of these are tobacco and flour dusts.

3. Synthetically manufactured organic compounds which may occur in the form of dusts, such as TNT and ammonium picrate. These substances when present as air contaminants in low concentrations are generally evaluated quantitatively by chemical means.

Besides above types, there are also metallic dusts such as lead, zinc, cadmium, manganese, etc., some of which will be discussed under another heading.

Inorganic Dusts

The most common method for evaluation of this type of dust is to collect air samples in liquid solutions by means of an impinger (1) and to make the quantitative determination microscopically. When calculating the dust concentration, usually expressed in number of particles per cubic foot of air, the microscopic calibration factor, the volume of the sampling liquid, and the size of the air sample are taken into consideration.

The sampling procedure, microscopic arrangement, and calculations are extremely simple, but the actual counting is a tedious job associated with severe eye strain and requires considerable experience before dust counts can be relied upon. In recent years the microprojection method (2) has been used for counting of impinger samples. With this method in which the microscopic field is projected on a translucent screen, the eye strain experienced in direct microscopic work, is eliminated.

Other methods for evaluation of this type

Dusts	Toluene
Carbon Monoxide	Xylene
Hydrogen Sulfide	Trichloroethylene
Hydrogen Cyanide	Carbon tetrachloride
Sulfur Dioxide	Carbon Disulfide
Oxides of Nitrogen	Nitric Acid
Ammonia	Chromic Acid
Oxygen	Hydrofluoric Acid
Acid	Hydrochloric Acid
Aluminum	Sulfuric Acid
Benzene	

Others which are more directly associated with specific industries are:

o
c
s
t
w
c
pl
oi
ce
th
th
ca
cc

m
du
in
ef
pr
as
up
di
th
sa
of
ha

ple
in
of
ple
do
Ag

iur
wa
rav
ou
qu
sul
are
an
poi
ha
dei

of
Fa
10

impinger. The chemical determination is based on the reduction of TNT to triamino-toluene, and finally, a colorimetric comparison with known standards.

Ammonium picrate is highly soluble in water which therefore can be used as the collection medium in an impinger when sampling for this material in industrial atmospheres. The deep color which results upon solution of ammonium picrate in water is also evident in extremely low concentrations and for this reason a direct colorimetric comparison of the sample with known standards can be made. With this method there is a possibility of interference from dinitrophenol, the extent of which has not been determined (6).

Carbon Monoxide

This is a very toxic gas encountered in various industrial activities. The toxic limit for this gas is generally accepted as 100 p.p.m. for prolonged exposure. To the public in general its presence is most commonly associated with automobile exhaust and gas burning appliances utilizing manufactured gas with a high percentage of carbon monoxide. As a result of incomplete combustion it may also be present when burning natural gas or other fuels. In industrial operations involving baking ovens, drying kilns, coke ovens, blast furnaces, etc., carbon monoxide is frequently encountered. In industrial processes in general, and particularly where chemical reactions are involved, carbon monoxide may be generated as a dangerous by-product. In prism grinding, which is closely allied with the defense industry, a high quality iron oxide rouge is required. Occasionally this material is manufactured on the premises as an adjunct to the prism grinding work. This involves the use of oxalic acid for production of iron oxalate which is later decomposed by heat to yield iron oxide rouge. In this process carbon monoxide as well as other gases are generated.

Several methods have been developed for the quantitative determination of carbon monoxide, but only the two most expedient procedures employed in industrial hygiene work will be discussed here. One of these is the carbon monoxide indicator based on the catalytic reaction of hopcalite which oxidizes carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide.

The small electric current produced by the heat of the reaction through a thermocouple is calibrated in terms of carbon monoxide concentration which is read directly on the dial of a milliammeter. The source of suction in this instrument is a small centrifugal blower, the motor of which is operated either from a built-in 6 volt battery or from a 110 volt lighting circuit through a transformer.

Another convenient method for estimation of carbon monoxide though less sensitive and not so accurate is the use of palladium chloride ampoules. In this reaction the palladium chloride is reduced to metallic palladium thereby producing a stain, the intensity of which is in direct proportion to the amount of carbon monoxide in the atmosphere under observation. The stain produced is compared to known color standards for evaluation of the carbon monoxide present. This method is not specific for carbon monoxide, however; three are other reducing gases which also will produce a stain in the presence of palladium chloride. Incidentally, the standard method for carbon monoxide evaluation, adopted by the British Department of Industrial Research (7) consists of a palladium chloride test paper through which the air to be sampled is drawn by means of a handpump. The stain thus produced is compared with a standard color chart.

Hydrogen Sulfide

Hydrogen sulfide is a very toxic gas with a disagreeable odor. It is found in many industrial operations where sulfur or sulfur compounds are used, but primarily it is encountered in the artificial silk, chemical and petroleum industries. Safe limits varying between 20 and 50 p.p.m. by volume have been suggested by various State authorities in this country.

The most rapid method for determination of hydrogen sulfide is undoubtedly the use of a hand operated instrument consisting essentially of an aspirator bulb and a detector tube through which the air sample is drawn. The detector tube contains a chemical substance which when in contact with hydrogen sulfide produces a discoloration the length of which is in proportion to the amount of hydrogen sulfide present and can be measured on an attached scale. It can also be determined iodometrically, either by bubbling

through a sodium hydroxide solution an titrating with iodine, or by bubbling through potassium iodide starch solution and a measured amount of standard iodine in which reaction the disappearance of the color indicates the end point (8).

Hydrogen Cyanide

This gas for which the industrial hygienic bureaus of California, Connecticut and Massachusetts have established a safe limit of 20 p.p.m. by volume, is found in electroplating operations, heat treating, etc.

A portable instrument of the type discussed in connection with hydrogen sulfide has been developed for hydrogen cyanide also. I have been informed, however, that the hydrogen cyanide detector in its original form proved less satisfactory and that it has been withdrawn from the market temporarily pending further research.

Chemical tests are available for detection of hydrogen cyanide, such as the prussian blue and thiocyanate reactions, both of which are specific for this gas but allegedly not sensitive enough for concentrations encountered in industrial hygiene work. Other methods suitable for determination of this gas in small concentrations are the Congo red-silver nitrate and the benzidine-copper acetate test papers. These methods, which have been adopted as standard tests by the British Department of Industrial Research, are non-specific, however, so that the possible interference of other gases such as HCL, H_2N , SO_2 and H_2S should be taken into consideration.

In a method suggested by the Air Hygiene Foundation (A.H.F.) the air sample is bubbled through 0.5 per cent potassium hydroxide after which the determination is made by titrating with silver nitrate (9).

Sulfur Dioxide

Exposures to sulfur dioxide gas are common in the petroleum industry, rubber works, and sulfuric acid manufacturing. Sulfur dioxide is also encountered in bone and glue works, magnesium foundries, and other industries where sulfur compounds are used. It is an extremely irritating gas for which a threshold limit of 10 p.p.m. has been suggested.

flow over the wooden floor producing the brown nitrogen dioxide gas in great volumes. The operator not being familiar with the toxicity of this gas and experiencing no immediate physiological warning, remained on the job attempting to clean the floor by mopping up the acid, instead of leaving the work place for fresh air. As a result a considerable portion of this gas was probably inhaled. He became seriously ill a few hours later and died within 48 hours. Cases of men becoming sick while doing electric welding inside tanks or in other confined spaces are often referred to in the literature. Many of these cases are undoubtedly due to oxides of nitrogen generated in the electric arc.

While there are several methods known for the quantitative determination of oxides of nitrogen, testing for these air contaminants is not so simple as that for some of the others discussed in this paper. Incidentally, in view of the cumbersome procedures involved in testing for these gases, the amount of ventilation required for their control after blasting in mining and tunnel work is sometimes based on the amount of carbon monoxide present, which can readily be determined. With explosives designed for a low oxygen balance resulting in a relatively high carbon monoxide concentration and correspondingly low volumes of oxides of nitrogen, this is a safe and expedient procedure.

For actual detection of nitrogen dioxide, the method employing starch-potassium iodide test paper is probably the best known. This paper when damp is colored blue in the presence of nitrogen dioxide. The method is non-specific, however. Other, specific methods for evaluation of nitrogen dioxide, and sensitive at low concentrations are the Griess-Ilosvay and the Bismarck Brown tests. With both methods, the air sample is bubbled through chemical solutions after which the quantitative determinations are made in the laboratory by colorimetric analysis. Although physiological safe limits up to 40 p.p.m. have been proposed for these gases, atmospheres which by odor or color indicate the presence of nitrous fumes should always be viewed with suspicion.

Ammonia

As stated elsewhere, ammonia is an important raw material throughout the chem-

ical industry. It is a strong respiratory irritant for which safe limits ranging between 50 and 85 p.p.m. have been recommended (Russian investigators 13-39 p.p.m.; State of Massachusetts 50 p.p.m.; Flury and Zernik 85 p.p.m.).

Ammonia vapors can be evaluated directly in the field by bubbling the air sample through a measured volume of 0.1 N hydrochloric acid in water solution using phenolphthalein as an indicator; the appearance of a pink color indicates the end point. From the amount of acid used and the size of the air sample, the concentration of ammonia vapors can be calculated (8).

Sulfuric acid can also be used with above method. In another method, recommended by the A.P.H.A., the air sample is collected in sulfuric acid, but the final evaluation is made in the laboratory by standard analytical means.

Lead

Exposure to this metal occurs very extensively in nearly all types of manufacturing. The most frequently encountered forms of lead are fumes, dust and dispersed pigments. These occur around lead melting operations, soldering, welding of metals covered with lead-bearing paints, and in spray painting work.

Sampling of lead-contaminated atmospheres is usually done either by means of the impinger or by the electrostatic precipitator. When the impinger is used, as is frequently the case when investigating spray painting and many other types of work involving lead dispersion, the sampling liquid may be either distilled water or dilute nitric acid.

Regardless of the collection method used, the final evaluation of the amount of lead present is done in the laboratory by various analytical methods, the description of which is beyond the scope of this paper. It probably should be emphasized, however, that although some of these methods are highly sensitive and the experienced analysts usually well-trained to handle minute quantities of lead in their work, it is preferable to take large air samples wherever possible to facilitate the laboratory work. With the large impinger and the electro-static precipitator

with sampling rates of 1 and 3 cubic feet of air per minute respectively, this is not a problem. With the midjet impinger, on the other hand, with a sampling rate of only 0.1 cubic foot per minute, this becomes a tiresome task, especially if the handcranked instrument is used. Let us take, for example, a case in which the atmospheric lead dispersion is just about on the border line of the safe limit, i. e. 1.5 mg. per 10 cubic meters of air. For a 30 minute sample with the midjet impinger, only 3 cubic feet of air have been handled. This represents only 0.013 mg. of lead in the air sample, which evidently requires a fairly sensitive analytical method and careful handling for a successful completion of the test.

Cadmium

The United States Public Health Service (U.S.P.H.S.) (16) suggests as a safe limit with respect to toxicity of cadmium fumes a concentration of 1 mg. per 10 cubic meters of air.

The metal is used extensively as a coating of iron and steel for rust prevention, as well as in structural steel to impart certain properties. Exposures to cadmium and cadmium fumes may, therefore, be encountered in such operations as electroplating, welding of cadmium-bearing iron and steel as well as many other processes where work involves cadmium-plated articles. Some of you are probably familiar with the cases of cadmium intoxication in a plant in Ontario, Canada, a few years ago, when 14 persons were poisoned—two fatally—during annealing of cadmium plated rivets (17).

As in the case of lead, sampling for cadmium fumes or dust may be done either with the impinger (with water as collector medium—A.P.H.A.) or by the electrostatic precipitator and the samples evaluated in the laboratory by gravimetric or colorimetric analytical methods.

At this point it probably should be brought out that for sampling of metal fumes, the impinger has a lower collecting efficiency than the electrostatic precipitator, which should be taken into consideration when comparing results obtained on samples collected with the two instruments (18).

subject to other light intercepting media such as smoke and fog.

There are also other methods for determinations of mercury, entailing condensation of the vapors and subsequent electrolytic deposition of the metal, chemical reaction methods, etc. The first two mentioned above, however, are the most expedient and yield immediate results during the field investigations.

Benzene (Benzol), Toluene and Xylene

These three aromatic hydrocarbons are widely used as industrial solvents and ingredients in a number of chemical reactions. Toluene, for example, is an important raw material in our ammunition manufacturing, especially in the production of trinitrotoluene. With the increased demand for toluene and the corresponding scarcity of this material, benzene is rapidly taking its place as a solvent in many industries. Xylene, which besides being an important solvent, also does enter as a raw material in certain chemical processes, at present associated with the defense industry and therefore used in great quantities. For instance, in a plant I visited recently xylene is used in the production of a certain type of resin, which in turn is employed in an article manufactured for the United States Army.

All these three materials are classified as industrial poisons, although there appears to be some confusion as to the relative toxicity of each. Benzene is generally considered as the worst offender, although this may not be due to a greater toxicity of the material *per se* but to the fact that it has a higher vapor pressure than the other two so that for a given temperature more of it will be found in the air. However that may be, the toxic effect of all of them, once dispersed in the air, is generally recognized and therefore indicates the need for strict control wherever they are used.

For the evaluation of these materials some chemical as well as physical methods are available. Most of these, however, are complicated and cumbersome, involving such operations as nitration by bubbling the air through nitric acid with subsequent colorimetric determination, or condensation of the vapors followed up by laboratory analytical procedures for the final evaluation. While methods of this type may be satisfactory for scientific purposes, for routine sampling in control work in industrial plants, a combust-

ible gas indicator is far more expedient. Most of these devices, used primarily for fire prevention work, are designed to read to within 1% of the lower explosive limit of the gas or vapor under test. For above substances with lower explosive limits around 1% by volume, this means that concentrations down to 100 p.p.m. can be detected, which is approximately the accepted toxic limit for these solvents. For benzene with a safe limit of 75 p.p.m. (19) a modified combustible gas indicator has been placed on the market, which measures concentrations ranging between 0 and 1000 p.p.m. A spectroscopic method for determination of benzene and toluene in air has been described by Cole (20).

Chlorinated Hydrocarbons

Of these, trichloroethylene and carbon tetrachloride are probably most frequently found. They are used extensively as metal cleaning agents; the former in regular degreasing machines on a production basis and the latter for work of a more occasional nature. These materials with their grease dissolving properties are regarded by medical authorities as very injurious to the fatty tissues of the human body.

As in the case of other substances referred to above, there are chemical methods for quantitative determination of vapors from these materials, but they are mostly too cumbersome for routine sampling. Optical instruments are available, however, for rapid evaluation of such vapors dispersed in the air. The best known of these is probably the portable interferometer in which the difference between the refraction of air (the comparison substance) and that of the gas mixture to be examined is measured. This difference is calibrated in terms of concentration of the gas or gases under observation. Naturally the same readings will be obtained for all gas mixtures resulting in the same refractive index, so the instrument is therefore not specific. With information, however, as to the type of air contaminant concerned in a particular problem it is an exceedingly useful instrument applicable to the investigation of a great variety of gases and vapors.

Carbon Disulfide

This substance which at ordinary temperatures occurs as a liquid with a high vapor pressure, generates toxic vapors for which

relatively low safe limits have been suggested by various authorities. Russian investigators have recommended a threshold limit of 3 p.p.m. for prolonged exposures, while the British Department of Scientific and Industrial Research has suggested a value of 10 p.p.m. and our own State of Massachusetts 20 p.p.m. While there is a wide divergence between these values, they all tend to indicate the potential dangers connected with exposures to vapors of carbon disulfide.

Although this solvent may be encountered in a great many industrial operations, found primarily in the viscose—and rubber—industries where it constitutes an important raw material.

For evaluation of these vapors, the Hygiene Foundation recommends drawing the air through an alcoholic potassium dichromate solution to form potassium ethyl chromate which is determined iodometrically. The British Department of Scientific and Industrial Research uses a colorimetric method consisting of bubbling the air through ethylamine and copper acetate forming a colored compound the intensity of which is compared with standards. In view of the immobility of these vapors, a rapid method for their evaluation in high concentrations may be by means of a combustible gas indicator. Unfortunately, however, the sensitivity of these instruments as built at present, are not low enough to get within the physiological safety range of this material.

Acids

In this group probably nitric, hydrofluoric, hydrochloric and sulfuric acids are those most commonly encountered. Of these, nitric acid has been discussed under another heading. Exposures to chromic acid resulting in chrome ulcers and the perforation of the nasal septum are frequently found around chromium plating tanks. A safe limit of 10 mg. per 10 cubic meters of air has been established for chromic acid which in electrolytic work occurs as a mist over the plating bath. Hydrofluoric and hydrochloric acids also exist in gaseous form as hydrogen fluoride and hydrogen chloride respectively. A safe limit of 3 p.p.m. has been suggested for the former while it has been set at 10 p.p.m. for the latter.

Sampling for nitric, chromic, and sulfuric acids is usually done by bubbling through a sodium hydroxide solution; hydrofluoric

Contaminants. pp. 80-85, 1935-1936 Year Book, American Public Health Association.

11. Fieldner et al. *Jo. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry* 11:519, 1919.

12. Evans, R. D. Apparatus for the Determination of Minute Quantities of Radium, Radon and Thoron in Solids, Liquids and Gases. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 6:99-112 (1935).

13. Brown, S. C.; Elliott, L. G.; and Evans, R. D. Detection of Radon by Means of A Proportional Counter. *Review of Scientific Instruments* 13: 147-151 (1942).

14. Evans, R. D. and Goodman, C. Determination of The Thoron Content of Air and Its Bearing on Lung-Cancer Hazards in Industry. *Jo. of Industrial Hygiene & Toxicology*, 22, 3, pp. 89-99 March, 1940.

15. Evans, R. D.; Nilson, S. J.; Goodman, C.; and Bernz, N. R. Industrial Application of a Method for Determining the Thoron Content of Air. *Proceedings of the VIII International Congress on Occupational*

Diseases and Preventive Medicine, held at Frankfurt a. M. Germany, September 26-30, 1938.

16. United States Public Health Service, Division of Industrial Hygiene, National Institute of Health, Washington, D. C. Cadmium Poisoning. *Public Health Reports* 57, 17 April 24, 1942.

17. Bulmer, F. M. R.; Rothwell, H. E.; and Frankish, E. R. Industrial Cadmium Poisoning. A report of fifteen cases, including two deaths. *Canadian Public Health Jo.* 1938, V.29, 19-26.

18. Littlefield, J. B.; Feicht, Florence, L. and Schrenk, H. H. Efficiency of Impingers for Collecting Lead Dusts and Fumes. *R.I.* 3401, U. S. Bureau of Mines, May, 1938.

19. American Standard Association. Benzene, allowable concentration. *Z* 37.4, 1941.

20. Cole, Peter A. Determination of the Concentration of Benzene and Toluene in Air by a Spectroscopic Method. *Jo. Optical Soc. Am.* 32, 304-306, May, 1942.

Selection, Use and Maintenance of Respiratory Protective Devices*

By H. H. SCHRENK

Chief Chemist, Health Division, Bureau of Mines
and

S. J. PEARCE

Associate Chemist, Gas and Dust Section, Central Experiment Station,
Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa.

*Published by permission of the Director, Bureau of Mines, U. S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D. C.

H. H. Schrenk, chief chemist, Health Division, Bureau of Mines and

S. J. Pearce, associate chemist, Gas and Dust Section, Central Experiment Station, Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa.

There are several well-recognized procedures for controlling exposure to hazardous atmospheres in industry. They include (a) substitution of less toxic material, (b) enclosure of processes, (c) wet methods, (d) local exhaust, (e) general ventilation, and (f) use of respiratory protective devices. In addition to their employment as a control measure in occupational exposures, respiratory protective devices also are essential in emergencies that may arise from fail-

ure or improper use of other control equipment.

Respiratory protective devices usually are employed to supplement other methods of control, as a temporary expedient, or when other methods are not readily applicable or practicable. It seems reasonable to assume, however, that where respirators are used, consideration has been given to the factors involved, and that the devices can provide satisfactory protection if used properly. Their use should not be arrived at simply by a process of elimination of other methods that are more difficult to apply.

Atmospheric contaminants include gases, vapors, dusts, fumes, mists, and fogs. Respiratory protection against these contaminants may be required for concentrations that are immediately dangerous to health or life

after comparatively short exposures, or may produce injury only after prolonged repeated exposures. Also, it may be necessary to use such devices against a single of contaminant, against a combination of various types, or in atmospheres deficient in oxygen.

To meet the conditions mentioned, various types of respirators have been developed. Two basic principles have been utilized in their development—purification of the inhaled air by removal of the contaminant (purifying respirators) and supplying a replaceable atmosphere to the wearer from a contaminated source (atmosphere—oxygen-supplying respirators).

Air-Purifying Respirators

Air-purifying respirators include canister gas masks and chemical cartridge respirators for removing gaseous contaminants and mechanical-filter respirators for removing particulate matter or dispersoids. Canister masks and chemical cartridge respirators are equipped with filters for removing persoids, thereby giving protection against both gaseous and particulate contaminants. As these devices provide protection against removing contaminants, it is obvious that sufficient oxygen must be present in the atmosphere to support life.

A canister gas mask usually consists of a full facepiece connected by a flexible breathing tube to a canister that may be carried in a harness on the chest, under the arm or on the back. The canister contains materials for removing the contaminants and purifying the air. These materials r

Canister, type letter	Contaminants protected
A	Acid gases
B	Organic vapors
C	Ammonia
D	Carbon monoxide
AE, etc.	Dusts, fumes, mists, fogs, and combinations with any of the above
AB	Acid gases and organic vapors
ABC	Acid gases, organic vapors
N	All of the above atmospheric contaminants except acid gases and organic vapors

*Canisters for a single gas or vapor only are marked with a colored stripe around the canister near the bottom.

Canister gas masks have been developed primarily for protection against atmospheres immediately dangerous to life and are used mainly in emergencies. Therefore, first consideration has been given to complete respiratory protection to prevent even momentary failure that might result fatally. These masks, however, are not designed for protection against extremely high concentrations, and in Bureau of Mines Approval Schedule 14E concentrations are limited to two per cent by volume, with the exception of ammonia, for which the limit is three per cent. The service life of the canister depends on the individual characteristics and the amount of absorptive material used. Although these masks are designed primarily for emergency use, they will, of course, give good protection for non-emergency situations, and filters may be incorporated to remove particulate matter.

Chemical cartridge respirators usually consist of a small cartridge or canister attached directly to a half-mask facepiece. The materials for removing the contaminants are the same or similar to those used in canister gas masks, and filters may be incorporated to remove particulate matter. These devices may be considered small gas masks and are designed for protection in atmospheres that could be breathed by workmen without protection, but that might be irritating or disagreeable or produce injury to health after prolonged or repeated daily exposures; hence, primary consideration has been given to lightness, compactness, and comfort.

Mechanical-filter respirators usually consist of a filter arrangement of some fibrous material such as felt or paper, in a holder connected directly to a half-mask facepiece. The filter consists of fine fibers criss-crossed to form a maze or intricate network of tortuous passages. The dust, fume, mist, and fog are removed by physical trapping when the air is drawn through this material. The filtering efficiency increases as the dust load on the filter increases. The service life of the filter, therefore, does not depend on recognizable leakage, as does that of the gas masks, but on increase in resistance of the filter to a point where resistance to inhalation becomes excessive or uncomfortable. Although the filters will remove all types of particulate matter, the extent to which the material is removed depends on the characteristics of the particles, such as size and state. Also,

the amount of permissible leakage depends on the toxicological significance of the material. Therefore, various types of filters are used; that is, a filter may be satisfactory for silica dust and not satisfactory for a toxic dust such as lead or cadmium, owing to the greater toxicity of the latter. A filter that is satisfactory for dust (mechanically generated, as by grinding) may not be satisfactory for fume produced by heating or burning, as in lead burning, owing to particle-size differences. On the other hand, a filter satisfactory for silica dust is considered satisfactory for all other fibrosis-producing dusts and nuisance dusts; and a filter considered satisfactory for lead dust is considered satisfactory for other toxic dusts, the toxicity of which does not exceed significantly that of lead.

Mechanical-filter respirators have been designed for protection against atmospheres that are not immediately harmful; therefore, primary consideration has been given to lightness, compactness, and comfort.

Atmosphere-Supplying Respirators

Respiratory protective equipment that supplies a respirable atmosphere from a source independent of the wearer's immediate atmospheric environment is of two basic types—a hose type, in which air from an uncontaminated source is conveyed to the wearer through a hose or tube, and a self-contained type, in which a supply of oxygen is carried by the wearer.

The air-supplying respirators commercially available may be divided into three types: Hose masks, air-line respirators, and abrasive blasting helmets, hoods, or masks.

Hose masks consist of a tight-fitting, full facepiece, breathing tube or tubes, a harness, a comparatively large-diameter, noncollapsible hose line, and a hand-operated blower. The harness and hose are strong enough for retrieving the wearer in an emergency, and the hose must not collapse under heavy weight and must not kink. The maximum length of hose approved by the Bureau of Mines is 150 feet. The use of a hand-operated blower assures that a second person will be present in the event of difficulty. Also, the attachment of the hose to the blower and the large diameter of the hose permit breathing without undue resistance, even though the blower is not operated. The

intake to the blower must be in uncontaminated air.

Hose masks are sturdily constructed, they are designed to be worn in atmospheres immediately dangerous to life and to afford protection against all types of atmospheric contaminants and atmospheres deficient in oxygen.

Special-type hose masks without blower and generally used with about 25 feet of hose also are available. Although the breathing tubes, and facepieces are essentially the same as those for hose masks with blower, the harness is much lighter and the device is designed for lightness, compactness, economy, and simplicity of operation. Such masks are designed for non-emergency or for use in atmospheres not immediately dangerous to life.

Air-line respirators usually consist of a high-pressure air-supply system, a hose of relatively small internal diameter, a detachable coupling, and either a full or half-mask facepiece, or even a helmet or a hood. As the air for this type of respirator usually is supplied from a high-pressure compressed air system, it must be checked to see that it does not contain objectionable or harmful contaminants. Dust, oil mists, odors, and even carbon monoxide may be present if the compressor becomes too hot; therefore, critical attention should be given to the air supplied to these devices.

Although this respirator will give respiratory protection against all types of contaminants, it is designed for routine protection against concentrations of contaminants that are not immediately dangerous to life or health. It is thus limited, owing to the possibility of leakage around the half-mask facepiece and also to the fact that no provision is made so that the wearer can breathe respirable air, should the air supply fail.

Abrasive blasting respirators are essentially air-supplying respirators that have been modified by the addition of a suitable covering to protect the head and shoulders against impact and abrasion by rebounding material. They are designed primarily for use in abrasive blasting operations and not for use in atmospheres that are immediately dangerous to life.

The most common devices for supplying oxygen to the wearer are self-contained

e. the nature of the duties to be performed by the wearer of protective devices as they relate particularly to restriction of movements

f. an understanding of the principles, design, scope of use, limitations, advantages, and disadvantages of the respiratory protective equipment available.

Virtually all applications of respiratory protective devices are specific and require individual attention. Examples of typical conditions with indication of choice of appropriate respiratory protection are given.

Atmospheres deficient in oxygen may be extremely hazardous and immediately dangerous to life. Only oxygen breathing apparatus or a hose mask with blower should be chosen for protection under such conditions. Final choice between these two devices will depend on working conditions.

For example, for rescue work in mines, the men must proceed for considerable distances from a source of fresh air. Obviously, hose masks would not be satisfactory, as wearers are limited to a distance of 150 feet; therefore, oxygen breathing apparatus must be used. However, for use in entering confined spaces, such as tanks and manholes, hose masks are generally preferred because they are easier to maintain and relatively little training is required to use them. Air-purifying devices should never be worn in atmospheres deficient in oxygen.

By very high concentrations is meant those exceeding two or three per cent. Either oxygen breathing apparatus or hose masks with blowers are the logical choice, and again final selection will depend on working conditions. Although gas masks might afford some protection, they would not be a good choice, because very high concentrations indicate a confined space with possibility of low oxygen; moreover, the absorbents would be used up rapidly. The marked discomfort produced by such irritating gases as ammonia and sulfur dioxide and the danger of poisoning through the skin by absorption of hydrogen cyanide limit the concentrations that can be entered.

Concentrations referred to here are those not exceeding approximately two per cent but nevertheless immediately dangerous to life. Oxygen breathing apparatus would, of course, be applicable, as would hose masks

with blowers and gas masks. Owing to their weight and maintenance and training requirements, oxygen breathing apparatus probably would not be used. The hose mask would be satisfactory and might be chosen if the encumbrance of the hose line were not too great. The most probable choice would be a gas mask, because of its light weight, ease of maintenance, and the small amount of training required by the wearer. The appropriate canister should, of course, be chosen.

Low concentrations are atmospheres that can be breathed without protection but that will produce discomfort and possible chronic injury after repeated exposure to them. Hose masks and oxygen breathing apparatus would give satisfactory protection, but they would be given little consideration for such a situation for the reasons already outlined. Gas masks, chemical cartridge respirators, or air-line respirators would be satisfactory. Final choice would depend on actual conditions and freedom of movement required by the worker.

For pneumoconiosis-producing and nuisance dust, air-line respirators and pneumoconiosis-producing- and nuisance-dust respirators or all-dust respirators could be chosen. If the dust concentration is exceedingly high, air-line respirators would be preferable. If the conditions of work are such as to interfere with the use of an air-line, mechanical-filter respirators will serve satisfactorily, even though they are awkward to wear for many kinds of work.

For toxic dust, air-line respirators, toxic-dust respirators, or all-dust respirators would be a logical choice. The final decision will depend on local conditions of work and the materials being used. Knowledge of the concentrations likely to be encountered and of the safe or permissible concentration is necessary in evaluating the protection afforded.

Fume is particulate matter formed by volatilization and condensation, as in lead burning. Fume is defined because it is a term used loosely to refer to gases, vapors, and particulate matter. Such usage is confusing and may lead to serious injury if a mechanical-filter fume respirator is used for protection against some toxic gas or vapor against which it affords no protection. For fume as defined above, air-line respirators or mechanical-filter fume respirators would be the logical choice, and final decision would depend on local working conditions.

Frequently protection must be against a combination of gases and particulate matter (dust, fume, mist, and fog). If the atmosphere is not immediately dangerous to life, air-line respirators are generally recommended. However, gas masks equipped with a suitable filter or cartridge-type respirators equipped with a suitable filter may be used. Final decision not only would depend on the local conditions of work as they relate to freedom of movement but also on thorough consideration to ascertain what type of gas masks or cartridge respirators would give the desired protection.

Use of Respirators

Although choice of a suitable respirator is important, other considerations are also important. Obviously, if a respirator is to do its job for which it is chosen, it must be properly and be kept in good condition. Frequently persons do not know how to use a respirator, and if it is not clean it is unpleasant to wear. Better protection and cooperation doubtless would be obtained if the respiratory protective devices were kept under the supervision of a responsible person who would see to it that the user is properly instructed in the use of the device, that the device is cleaned and disinfected regularly, and that it is maintained in good condition.

No instructions are necessary when using hats or hard-toed shoes are given to men, as they are used to wearing such articles. Too frequently respirators are put out in a similar manner. Even the simplest respirator is comparatively much more complicated than protective hats or shoes and is a device that most workers are not accustomed to wearing. The principles on which each device is based, its field of use, its limitations, as well as the essential instructions should be explained to the wearer in a manner he can understand. Detailed instruction practice should be given to all persons expected to wear such devices. Finally, an explanation of the importance of wearing the respirator should be helpful in obtaining more complete cooperation.

For example, in the use of mechanical-filter respirators attention should be given to the importance of a good facepiece and proper but comfortable adjustment of the headband, and also to the fact that inc

skin should be rinsed thoroughly with water, as some persons are highly sensitive to such materials.

Cleaning and disinfecting afford an opportunity for cleaning or replacing filters, inspecting valves, headbands, facepieces, and metal parts that might be distorted.

Maintenance and Storage

A most important phase of any control procedure is maintenance. Choice of proper equipment and adequate instruction are completed projects, but maintenance is a continuous problem requiring constant attention. As such it should be supervised by someone thoroughly familiar with the apparatus being used.

Washing and disinfecting the device affords an opportunity to inspect it for minor repairs. In addition, it should be inspected thoroughly at monthly or longer intervals, depending on the apparatus, conditions of use, and storage. Devices for emergency purposes should be inspected after each use to assure that they will be in good condition and immediately available if an emergency arises. After the equipment has been cleaned and inspected and any necessary repairs

made, it should be stored properly.

A good procedure is to have a central place of storage, possibly with sub-stations at strategic points if the plant is large. Storage should be in essentially dustproof containers away from sunlight and in a cool place (not near radiators or steam pipes). Small devices, such as dust or chemical cartridge respirators, can be kept in paper or cellophane bags. These devices may be numbered and assigned to some one person, who returns them at the end of the shift to the central station for cleaning and replacement of filter elements, and for repairs if necessary. Thus, wearers are assured clean devices in good working order for each day's use. This program may be facilitated by assigning two respirators to each person, one being used while the other is being serviced.

Larger devices, such as gas masks and hose masks, usually come equipped with a sturdy case or trunk that can serve as a storage place. If they are stored at strategic points for emergency use, they might be placed in a case with a glass window that requires breaking of a seal to assure that they have not been used or tampered with. The seal should bear the date of the last inspection.

Pr

im-
cal
of
rec
wh
tiogoi
of
greand
for
opt
offget
Hi
pro
isHa
tha
cor
equ
ofl
day
bro

ganization represented
 stly bigger today, five
 enty times bigger than
 eo. The safety super-
 ot that many problems
 at many problems again,
 stily in greater propor-
 personnel involved.

Planning Job

Supervisors in general take
 his point: that the bigger
 ts to be, the less their
 g, which is perfectly all
 organization, and vastly
 ning, training, coordinat-
 er people who in turn
 es to all levels of super-
 doesn't it? And yet how
 ily changed our thinking

not only safety men but
 in a position of where
 ing miracles every day,
 regular standards, and
 be balked by the little
 has hit a point here—
 miraculous operation yet
 at little key spot there.
 granted.

take away the thought
 re, through all this dis-
 me, put it together, can
 nanning, of thinking, of
 little things in principle
 m. If we cannot get away
 that so many personnel
 other people concerned
 aspects of industry are
 usually, and that is of
 to beg for the little

big things that must be
 that we become enough
 se little details, which
 have not thought to go
 plan a program such as
 s talked about here in
 as Miss Norton has gone
 h links the problem once
 ing the idea to manage-
 ves you a policy and a

Steam Railroad Section

Officers 1941-1942

General Chairman—O. F. GNADINGER, Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Railway Co., Joliet, Ill.

Vice-Chairman—F. A. BOGUE, Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Co., Chicago, Ill.

Secretary and News Letter Editor—H. A. DAAKE, Erie Railroad Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Program Committee—F. A. BOGUE, (Chairman), Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Co., Chicago, Ill.

P. F. BUCKLE, Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad Co., Chicago, Ill.

G. W. ELSTE, JR., Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co., Baltimore, Md.

Membership Committee—ROBERT SCOTT (Chairman), Atlantic Coast Line Railroad Co., Wilmington, N. C.

A. V. ROHWEDER, Duluth, Missabe & Iron Range Railway Co., Duluth, Minn.

Statistics Committee—T. H. CARROW (Chairman), The Pennsylvania Railroad, Philadelphia, Pa.

F. R. BRADFORD, Boston & Maine Railroad, Boston, Mass.

D. G. PHILLIPS, Wabash Railway Co., St. Louis, Mo.

Contest Committee—C. E. HILL (Chairman), New York Central System, New York, N. Y.

L. G. BENTLEY, The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Co., Richmond, Va.

L. R. PALMER (Secretary), Equitable Life Assurance Society of the U. S., New York, N. Y.

J. J. SNAVELY, New York, New Haven & Hartford Railroad Co., New Haven, Conn.

Poster Committee—W. R. LOFGREN (Chairman), Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha Railway Co., St. Paul, Minn.

G. M. DEMPSEY, Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific Railroad Co., Chicago, Ill.

O. ASHWORTH, The Alton Railroad Co., Bloomington, Ill.

Safe Practices Pamphlet Committee—W. J. FLANNIGAN (Chairman), Northern Pacific Railway Co., St. Paul, Minn.

J. R. TENNEY, Western Maryland Railroad Co., Hagerstown, Md.

R. C. HELWIG, The Delaware & Hudson Corp., Oneonta, N. Y.

Nominations and Elections Committee—E. L. HENRY (Chairman), Chicago & North Western Railway Co., Chicago, Ill.

M. T. FULTON, Kansas City Southern Railway Co., Kansas City, Mo.

M. A. LEAHY, Chicago, West Pullman & Southern Railroad Co., Chicago, Ill.

Health Committee—DR. I. S. CUTTER (Chairman), Chicago & North Western Railway Co., Chicago, Ill.

DR. HARVEY BARTLE, The Pennsylvania Railroad, Philadelphia, Pa.

Officers 1942-1943†

General Chairman—F. A. BOGUE, Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Co., Chicago, Ill.

Vice-Chairman—H. A. DAAKE, Erie Railroad Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Secretary—M. T. FULTON, Kansas City Southern Railway Co., Kansas City, Mo.

News Letter Editors—M. T. FULTON, Kansas City Southern Railway Co., Kansas City, Mo.
H. G. TOWNSEND, Kansas City Southern Railway Co., Kansas City, Mo.

Program Committee—J. R. TENNEY (*Chairman*), Western Maryland Railway Co., Hagerstown, Md.

P. F. BUCKLE, Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad Co., Chicago, Ill.

H. A. DAAKE, Erie Railroad Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Membership Committee—G. W. ELSTE, JR. (*Chairman*), Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co., Baltimore, Md.

J. E. LONG, The Delaware & Hudson Railroad Corp., Albany, N. Y.

Statistics Committee—T. H. CARROW (*Chairman*), The Pennsylvania Railroad, Philadelphia, Pa.

F. R. BRADFORD, Boston & Maine Railroad, Boston, Mass.

D. G. PHILLIPS, Wabash Railway Co., St. Louis, Mo.

Contest Committee—C. L. LAFONTAINE (*Chairman*), Great Northern Railway Co., St. Paul, Minn.

O. F. GNADINGER, Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Railway Co., Joliet, Ill.

L. R. PALMER (*Secretary*), Equitable Life Assurance Society of the U. S., New York, N. Y.

Foster Committee—E. L. HENRY (*Chairman*), Chicago & North Western Railway Co., Chicago, Ill.

G. M. DEMPSEY, Chicago, Milwaukee St. Paul & Pacific Railroad Co., Chicago, Ill.

J. J. SNAVELY, New York, New Haven & Hartford Railway Co., New Haven, Conn.

Safe Practices Pamphlet Committee—W. J. FLANNIGAN (*Chairman*), Northern Pacific Railway Co., St. Paul, Minn.

S. C. FLAGLER, Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Co., Topeka, Kansas.

H. C. HELWIG, The Delaware & Hudson Railroad Corp., Oneonta, N. Y.

Nominations and Elections Committee—W. R. LOFGREN (*Chairman*), Chicago & North Western Railway Co., St. Paul, Minn.

C. M. KIMBALL, Southern Railway System, Washington, D. C.

L. B. HARPER, Illinois Central System, Chicago, Ill.

Health Committee—DR. I. S. CUTTER (*Chairman*), Chicago & North Western Railway Co., Chicago, Ill.

DR. HARVEY BARTLE, The Pennsylvania Railroad, Philadelphia, Pa.

†As elected by delegates in Congress session.

Steam 1

TUESDAY AF

Octo

Presiding:—O. F. GNADINGER, Supvr. of
Joliet, Ill.

Greetings From

By P.

Supt. of Safety, Chicago, Burli

It is, of course, an honor and a privi-
to be able to bring to this meeting greeti-
from the Safety Section of the Association
American Railroads.

There never has been a time when it
so important that those of us who are
gaged in accident prevention endeavor
consolidate our positions, to do everyth-
within our power to promote harmoni-
relations between all safety agencies in
interest of producing the maximum of co-
erative effort in this most worthwhile
activities.

Whatever may have taken place in the
that brought about disunity or lack of
mony between these two important agen-
should now be forgotten, and it should be
duty of each and everyone of us to m-
these two organizations as effective as
sible, above everything else, in the inte-
of winning this war, never forgetting
humanitarian aspect of our responsibili-
We can only bring these things to pass
subordinating our personal prejudices or
sires for the common good. I hope I s-
always be able to do this and I need
help of every one of my associates in bri-
ing this to pass. Whatever accomplish-
has come to the Safety Section during

He attempted to govern solely for 60 days every one of his employees working at cross purposes—but not because no matter what he was not satisfied. It was necessary to remove him of the headship of his group to accomplish the assigned task, delaying the work of other employees. Desirous of saving him for immediate use he was made an assistant to a very old chief. His shortcomings were pointed out to him and he was smartened up by his mistakes. Today he is a good worker. He has learned to know the value of production.

They are justified in taking on these things, if arrangements can be made for re-examinations. In addition, they may correct procedures that are needed that will render one thorough enough to take a job for which he would be rejected. Infections of the cavity may be eliminated, and guarded with proper spectacles. There is no indication for non-removal, nevertheless the adjustment of the ear to a badly depressed long-arched tarsal arch may be followed by improvement in general health, and for work instead of the use of abscessed tonsils may be a pre-employment hernia may

we have what is known as the soldier. It would be a not-very industry if we could revive interest in the school of the very supervisor could be in important physical deficiencies in a position to help preserve these men. There are a few simple things which his employees would take more than any one else. Furthermore, he should heed. He can emphasize the importance of excess weight, the importance of proper clothing, of proper food, of prompt attention to the carefully controlled use of force. He cannot gainsay the fact that overwork predisposes to accidents, and should be used to excess.

Many organizations grade from the lowest to the highest ranking general, there are a number of companies at the same time teachers

and leaders. In fact, it is a well recognized concept that the sergeants, from buck to master, run the army. In like fashion, the foremen run the industry. They know their men. But they can become mentors not only in technical procedures but in all the factors which make for well being. They can learn and pass on simple first aid regulations which are safe as well as numerous precautions which will reduce hazards. A few hours of instruction to the members of this group (foremen) will be fertile seed sown upon rich soil.

The output of many a plant can be gauged by the active integration of the organization coupled with the good health of the employees. But every task does not require a Hercules. In fact, there are many jobs that can be performed with dispatch by a man with one leg, one eye, etc. It becomes then the task of fitting the qualities, attributes, and skills of the applicant to the duties to be accomplished. It is well known that handicapped men and women are among our most loyal, faithful, and conscientious workers.

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 28, 1942

Presiding:—O. F. GNADINGER, Supvr. of Safety, Elgin, Joliet and Eastern Railway Co., Joliet, Ill.

Safety and Labor Relations

By H. J. HOGLUND

Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad, Chicago

Labor relationship has much to do with the attitude of mind of the worker, and upon this attitude of mind hinges the thinking before acting which is so fundamental to safety.

Because of lack of control of action, because of thoughtlessness or because of mental laziness, needless accidents occur, and prevention constitutes a real problem to perfect the attitude of mind.

Good housekeeping, keeping tools and machinery in order eliminates much exposure to hazard, and its importance cannot be over-emphasized, but despite these precautions accidents occur unless there is good labor relationship.

For years safety officers have been preaching safety, and the results speak for themselves; they are reflected in the record of the American railroads. You started way back in the early 20's talking about the three E's of safety—cardinal principles of great im-

portance—yet consciously or otherwise, you added a fourth E which stands for the enthusiasm you have steadfastly maintained. Safety is the work of morale builders and morale cannot be built without the Nth degree of enthusiasm. Our supervisors should keep this in mind.

Primarily the supervisor is responsible for the safety of his workers, and he should devote a great deal of effort to the art of handling or leading men. Generally speaking, this skill can be acquired only through leadership training. The worker's attitude toward his job, his company, his fellow workers and toward his supervisor is, to a greater extent than some realize, the essence of good labor relations.

Everything possible should be done to avoid irritating workers. Irrespective of all other conditions surrounding a man's job, if he has an irritating type of supervisor, he will not perform his work cheerfully, and he is not, under such conditions, likely to be as

THURSDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 29, 1942

Presiding:—O. F. GNADINGER, Supervisor of Safety, Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Railway Co., Joliet, Ill.

Notes By W. R. LOFGREN

Ass't. Supt. of Safety, Chicago & North Western System, St. Paul, Minn.

A highlight of the entire program was that portion conducted by the inquisitive Mr. W. J. Flannigan, Superintendent of Safety, Northern Pacific Railway, who desired to know so many things. This type of program is outstanding and reminds us very much of the lively "rump" sessions of 1939 at Atlantic City and last year at Chicago. The delegates also requested a great deal of information and the response was energetic.

Mr. Flannigan's first interrogation dealt with the involvement of hand signals in a large number of train accidents and the fact that failure in this respect could probably be charged to the new employee—the rules providing that utmost care must be exercised by train, engine and yardmen in order to prevent taking signals that may be intended for other trains. The question in connection with supervision of older employees and likewise the training of new employees to see that proper signals are always given was answered by Mr. Cates of the Seaboard Air Line, who stated the practices in effect on the SAL.

A number of delegates participated in answering the next item which covered the causes accounting for the largest group of train accidents, i. e., overrunning meeting point, disregard of stop and caution signals, which would mostly be chargeable to the older employees, and how they were being prevented by (a) physical examination, (b) rules examination, (c) surprise test and (d) checking dispatchers and operators for accuracy and compliance with the rules providing for issuance of train orders. Other subjects covered were higher speed, advance yard limit boards, proper respacing of automatic block signals and checking of brakemen on assistance given to conductors and engineers in watching train order signals,

time and meets. Messrs. Hellweg (D&H), Phillips (Wabash) and Lofgren (CSTPM & O) responded to questions.

Train Accidents

The inquisitor called attention to the increase in train accidents per million locomotive miles run in 1941 over 1940, and in connection with checking speed restrictions, observance of block signals, not permitting second or other sections of regular trains to proceed on yellow block, strict compliance with Rule 99 instead of merely "decorating" and other means of preventing collisions. Mr. Allison of the Baltimore and Ohio was called upon and gave a very good description of plans employed to train and supervise employees to comply with the rules.

Mr. Washburn of the Milwaukee Road made an excellent response to the question of manner of preventing hand brake accidents by describing the CMS&P hand brake instruction car which has been on the road since last February. (See *News-Bulletin* Number 3 of March 31st). Mr. Lowe likewise described the plan of the Southern Pacific Company of having the different types of hand brakes mounted on a platform at two locations—Klamath Falls and Dunsmuir—where train and yardmen are hired and given complete instruction in operating hand brakes safely. In this connection, Mr. Collett of the Santa Fe suggested that a 16 mm. sound film be prepared on the proper operation of hand brakes, etc., Chairman Gnadinger advising that preparations for a sound slide film were being made by the Committee on Education, Safety Section, AofAR.

Question if constant checks were made to make certain that proper elevation of curves and other track maintenance stand-

production schedules in
ted delivery. Existing
sted at 9:25 A. M. . . .
parts will begin to be
ight so they will arrive
9:15 A. M. No loss of
no lapse of time that
the bullets out of Allied

All laid plans, but, then a
nders aimlessly through
The fast freight loaded
ials bears down on him,
Perhaps that train is de-
because of that accident.
to be arranged to give
en light that will once
the rails at full speed.
its destination at 11:08
two hours late, and the
ed parts arrive at the
25 A. M., which is al-
hours of war production

ce 8,000 persons in that
material to start down
That's a total of more
man-hours lost because
wandered into the path
Sounds a little fantastic,
might agent or someone
ent of a railroad com-
be surprised to learn this
all too often. But, then
of this nature would be

lice officers of the rail-
ing because they come
the trespass problem.
d 1,781,398 trespassers
ad property, some of
ords. Railroad officers
een of great assistance
persons who have no
e railroad and will con-
vely.