FILE NAME: Westinghouse (WH)

DATE: 1977 May

DOC#: WH501

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Journal Article - The Konicide Club

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT WH-759

The Konicide Club (1932-1940)

A Brief History

Theodore F. Hatch, Sc.D. and Eugene P. Pendergrass, M.D.

In January, 1932, a small group of physicians, scientists and engineers met informally at the Pittsburgh Laboratories, U.S. Bureau of Mines to exchange experiences and views concerning the problem of silicosis in U.S. mines and factories. No list of the participants is now available but the number probably did not exceed 20, representing essentially all the research institutions and field investigative groups in the country at that time. Particular interest centered around standardization of atmospheric dust sampling and assessment of dust exposures and the parallel medical procedures for evaluating the respiratory injury found in exposed individuals. There was great need for such standardization to insure comparability of results, derived in the same manner by all investigators. One recommendation coming from the meeting was to develop a sturdy, all-glass impinger designed to be easily duplicatable, and to settle on a standard method of dust counting. The modified Greenberg-Smith impinger resulted and is still in use, as are the counting techniques delineated at that time. Beyond these specifics there were wide-ranging discussions of the many other aspects of the silicosis problem. Great enthusiasm over this two-day conference and the desire to continue such meetings were expressed by all the participants with the further wish to keep the meetings small and informal to insure lively debate and exchange of views. At the end of the meeting, Drinker proposed a scheme for the calling and conduct of the meetings. He suggested the name "Konicide Club" and on February 12, 1932, he sent the following letter to all participants, plus a few additional individuals. Because of its typical Drinker style, the letter is given in full, followed by the names on the first mailing list together with their respective institutional affiliations.

Konicide Club

February 12, 1932

At a recent meeting at the Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh a number of us decided to start an informal, small, and very select (?) club with the above name and with the purpose of having occasional meetings to discuss research problems on the relation of dust to health. The club will have no dues, will meet anywhere or any time any member desires and can persuade at least one other member *not in his own* organization to meet with him. The meeting will select its own chairman as the club has no president and does not propose electing one. If the members present see fit, they will send a notice or minute of their meeting to the secretary, who will pass it along to the other members. Any member publishing an article on any phase of the dust question will either send through the secretary a reprint to each member or mail the reference to his paper.

The membership will be kept small and is to be elected from time to time by a committee of Messrs. Yant and Leake and the secretary.

Until February 1, 1933, Drinker will serve as secretary, which means attempting to do the dirty work a secretary should do, such as arranging meetings and attending to correspondence. On February 1, 1933 Drinker will appoint his successor.

Your suggestions as to other members would be appreciated, but bear in mind that we do not want members not now engaged in investigative work on dust. We want the membership kept small and above everything to be informal and no precedents to be established.

Attached is a list of proposed members. Please be good enough to send the secretary word as to whether or not you wish to become a member.

Philip Drinker, Secretary
55 Van Dyke Street
Boston, Mass.

Konicide Club Members

(First Mailing List — about March 1932)

Bureau of Mines, Dept. of Interior, Washington, D.C.: Mr. Daniel O Harrington; Mr. F. B. Meriwether; and 4800 Forbes Street, Pittsburgh, Pa.: Mr. W. P. Yant; Dr. C. E. Brown.

Chicago, Ill., 330 South Wells St.: Dr. C. O. Sappington.

Employers Mutual Insurance Co., 800 Empire Building, Milwaukee, Wis.: Mr. E. G. Meiter.

Harvard School of Public Health, 55 Shattuck St., Boston, Mass.: Mr. Philip Drinker; Mr. Theodore Harch; and Dr. W. I. Clark, The Norton Co., Worcester, Mass.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., 1 Madison Avenue, New York, N.Y.: Dr. A. J. Lanza; Dr. W. J. McConnell; Mr. J. W. Fennel.

Northwestern University, Medical School, Chicago, Ill.: Dr. James A. Britton.

Owens-Illinois Glass Co., Toledo, Ohio: Mr. W. G. Hazard.

Public Health Service, Treasury Department, Washington, D.C.: Dr. R. R.

From the Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh (Dr. Hatch, Professor Emeritus) and the School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia (Dr. Pendergrass, Professor Emeritus).

Sayers; Dr. Albert E. Russell; Dr. R. R. Jones; Mr. J. J. Bloomfield; Dr. J. M. Dallavalle.

Saranac Laboratory, Box 551, Saranac Lake, N.Y.: Dr. L. U. Gardner; Mr. Donald Cummings; Dr. Homer L. Sampson; Mr. Andrew Redlin; Mr. Thomas Durkan.

Toronto, Ontario, Parliament Buildings: Dr. George Blair Brink; Dr. John Grant Cunningham; Dr. A. R. Riddell.

University of Pennsylvania, University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pa.: Dr. H. K. Pancoast; Dr. E. P. Pendergrass.

State Department of Health, Hartford, Conn.: Dr. A. S. Gray; Mr. Warren A. Cook.

Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., Medical Dept., East Pittsburgh, Pa.: Dr. T. Lyle Haziett; Mr. Edgar C. Barnes.

Six additional names were on the membership list in the last days of the the Club: Manfred Bowditch, W. C. Dressen, Leonard Greenburg, Oscar Sander, H. H. Schreuk and Charles R. Williams.

The first meeting of the new club was held at the Saranac Laboratory on April 2, 3, 1932, attended by Messrs. Gardner, Clark, Pancoast, Pendergrass, Clifford (guest), McConnell, Leake, Brown, Meiter, Fehnel, Hatch, Bloomfield and Cummings plus other members of the Saranac Staff. The first day was devoted to the pathology of silicosis and the second to dust sampling and counting. Some of the highlights of the meeting were summarized as follows and give an idea of the state of knowledge of silicosis in 1932: the hazard from a silicious dust is in proportion to its free-silica (SiO_2) content, provided that no inhibitory substance is present; other compounds of silicon have not produced fibrosis in experimental animals (except magnesium silicate which produces a different kind of fibrosis); intravenous injection of SiO_2 produces fibrosis in the suprahepatic lymph node followed by fibrotic nodules in the liver; no similar fibrosis is produced by aluminum oxide. Respecting dust counting, there was lively discussion of dark-field vs. light-field microscopy, especially with reference to ease of particle counting in the $<1\ \mu$ range. To change from light-field, however, would entail a considerable series of comparative counts. There was no agreement on such a change.

A second meeting was held in conjunction with the National Safety Congress in Washington on October 3-5, 1932. Messrs. Gardner, Russell, Bloomfield, Hatch and Drinker presented a series of "dusty addresses" (Drinker's wording) to the congress. This was, perhaps, the first large gathering from U.S. industry before which representatives of the small group of pneumoconiosis specialists presented the dimensions of the silicosis problem and the needs for its control. Messrs. Thompson, Leake, Russell, Bloomfield, Yant, Brown, Gray, Cook, Cunningham, Gardner, Hatch and Drinker were present at the club meeting on October 4th. The relative merits of dark and light-field illumination in dust counting were further discussed with a final conclusion to continue with the latter. All agreed, however, that an easier method would be welcome. An important contribution was made by Dr. Clark, who outlined recommended procedures for a continuing medical control program in the dusty industries. The application of these procedures, so well understood and commonplace now, was only beginning in the early 30's.

For the 1933 meeting (November 11th and 12th), Drs. Pancoast and Pendergrass were the hosts at the X-ray Department, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa. With their colleagues they prepared for this meeting a very comprehensive series of subjects for discussion. The first day was devoted to clinical examination in silicosis and the importance of associated diseases, especially tuberculosis, pneumonia and other respiratory diseases. During the second day, discussion was directed mainly to radiological techniques and differential diagnosis between silicosis and silico-tuberculosis and lesions simulating silicosis. At the end of the second day, Dr. Gardner spoke briefly on "What is Known About Asbestosis", an early contribution to a subject that looms so large today in the occupational health field. It is not too much to say that this was the most detailed seminar on the medical and radiological aspect of the pneumoconiosis problem presented in the United States up to that time (1933).

The next meeting was held in Washington, January 13-14, 1935, under the auspices of Dr. Sayers and associates, Office of Industrial Hygiene, U.S.P.H.S. Among the topics discussed were: recognition of the roentgenologic changes, etc., described at the earlier Philadelphia meeting, as significant diagnostic events in the development of silicosis and the essential procedures to be recommended in both medical and environmental control of dust hazards. Dr. Sayers and associates reported on the findings of the recent PHS study of the health hazards in anthracite coal mining and Savers and Miller described their findings from intraperitoneal injection of dusts in experimental animals. In the course of this meeting, as in earlier ones, there was much discussion of the need to establish limits of permissible dustiness to serve as guideposts for effective dust control efforts. Out of these came Cummings' suggestion of the formula used for many years subsequently to calculate acceptable levels of dustiness in proportion to the free silica content of the airborne dust, starting with 5×10^6 mppcf for pure quartz. This formula, with some modification, is still widely used in other countries as well as the U.S. We recall also his view, shared by Dr. Lanza, that no "safe" level of dust exposure could be set for individuals with any degree of tuberculous infection. This dictum was laid down, of course, to emphasize the absolute necessity for tuberculosis prevention along with control of the industrial dust hazard.

This gathering was of particular significance as a preparatory session for two meetings shortly to be held: one at the Mellon Institute, out of which came the "Air Hygiene Foundation" (now Industrial Health Foundation) and the other, the 1936 Silicosis Conference, sponsored by Miss Perkins, the Secretary of Labor. Konicide club members played leading parts in the planning and conduct of both of those meetings.

In January, 1936, a club meeting took place at Harvard, organized by Drinker and Hatch. The importance of particle size in the etiology of pulmonary dust disease was emphasized. Brown discussed respiratory dust deposition and retention; Hatch reported on the striking variations in the composition of mineral dust as particle size is reduced, with particular reference to the decreasing SiO_2 content with particle size for dusts from granite, sandstone and other silicious minerals. Professor Larsen of Harvard's Geology Department demonstrated petrographic techniques for dust analysis and discussed size limitation in such analysis. Dr. C. K. Drinker described the lymphatic system and discussed its importance in the development of silicosis and P. Drinker reported on nasal dust filtration.

Later in 1936 (November 23rd and 24th), Drs. Lanza and McConnell and Bill Fehnel offered a program at the headquarters of Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York City. The first day was devoted to medical questions and progress in animal studies. Speakers included Drs. Gardner, Sampson, Lanza, Pendergrass. During the second day Dr. Greenburg described a New York state program of industrial hygiene and Fehnel discussed a dust control program in an asbestos plant. Decrease in SiO_2 content with particle size found in industrial dusts, notably in foundries, was also a topic of continued interest.

On July 12th and 13th, 1937, the club enjoyed the hospitality of the Montreal Mining Co., Montreal, Wis., with whom the Saranac

Laboratory had close association. The program, arranged by Dr. Ringo, Medical Director, was focused on the health problems of the ferrous mining industry, with detailed discussion of the problems encountered in the Montreal and neighboring mines. Speakers included Drs. Gardner and Sampson who emphasized the significant differences in the pathological and roentgenological changes seen in the iron miners, compared, for example, with granite cutters. The medical facilities and dust monitoring equipment employed at the Montreal mine were inspected and club members enjoyed an underground inspection trip. The meeting concluded with a general review and summary of the medical and engineering problems encountered in the industry.

On January 22, 1939 another meeting was held at the University of Pennsylvania under arrangements made by Dr. Pendergrass. The program was devoted to the health problems of the asbestos industry. Titles of separate lectures were: General Survey of the Asbestos Industry and Its Engineering Problems; Experimental Pathology Concerning Asbestosis; Clinical and Roentgenological Survey of the Asbestos Industry; Pathology in Patients Dying with Asbestosis; Roentgen Studies in Industries Having Asbestosis. Speakers included Drinker, Gardner, Sayers, Dreesen and Drs. James Bullett and Rush Shull, guest speakers.

Over the years there had been frequent inquiries by nonmembers about the club activities and increasing pressure to include in its deliberations individuals not engaged in pneumoconiosis research, especially by lawyers concerned with compensation claims. These widening interests and more particularly, the organization of the American Industrial Hygiene Association in 1939 suggested to the members that the "exclusive" Konicide Club had served its purpose and its activities should be concluded. There were no more meetings.

It is difficult at this late date to recapture the excitement and stimulation that one found in the club meetings. In the course of the successive conferences, new findings and new research and investigative procedures were quite regularly brought to our attention. In many instances these required abandoning old ideas and adopting new ones, but these changes did not come easily for the proponents had to defend their proposals against much (constructive) criticism by their colleagues. One of the fortunate contributions was to bring together from the start the scientists and practitioners from both sides of the problem, respecting both man and environment — biological science and medicine together with physical science and engineering. The collective responsibility of such teams of specialists for the development and application of preventive measures for the control of silicosis and other disabling occupational dust diseases was constantly emphaszied. Not the least accomplishment was learning to talk to each other and each to recognize the essential need for the skills of the others in the joint solving of important health problems. The expansion of this concept in dealing with other job-related diseases has given special strength and effectiveness to the whole occupational health effort in the United States.

Regulated Progress

Scholarly review is such an effective barrier to novelty that a new idea can seldom be announced to the world until it has first been sold to the establishment. Most innovators are ill-suited to promotional work, and begrudge the time and effort. Many ideas die at this stage. The innovator must buttonhole important people to enlist their support, or perhaps apply for a grant to study his innovation. If successful, this gets the idea on to the grapevine which is its announcement to and acceptance by the world. Publication is a formality, like a letter confirming a telephone call. By thus standing astride the channel of communication, the establishment maintains its rule and regulates progress for its own convenience.

— From "Evolved Conspiracy" by Charles McCutchen in *The Sciences*, July/August 1976.