

Message

From: Malcolm Burnley [Ex. 6]
Sent: 11/9/2017 1:24:35 PM
To: Wilcox, Jahan [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=88fd588e97d3405d869bcae98d391984-Wilcox, Jah]
CC: Abboud, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b6f5af791a1842f1adcc088cbf9ed3ce-Abboud, Mic]
Subject: Clarification for the Record
Attachments: AG-LICRAT-Tom Gruber-MaintainConfidentialityOfWorkPapers080317-2.pdf

Hi Jahan,

Listening back to the interview Monday, at a couple junctures of talking about the Tar Creek buyout, Administrator Pruitt referred to "the grand jury" in Oklahoma ...

"There was a decision made. The grand jury looked at it. There was nothing actionable."

"The investigative audit didn't yield anything to the grand jury, and as such, it was important to protect the individuals' reputation that were in that investigation."

For the record, did he mean the grand jury *unit* in the AG's office or an actual grand jury? Because it's been my understanding that the investigation into Tar Creek contracts was sent to the grand jury *unit* — which doesn't necessarily mean there was a grand jury — but I've been told there was no actual grand jury. If there was, that's important to know.

(See attached the letter I received from the AG's office referencing the unit.)

Thanks, please let me know.

- Malcolm

--

Malcolm Burnley
Freelance writing / researcher
Philadelphia