

Message

From: Beck, Nancy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=168ECB5184AC44DE95A913297F353745-BECK, NANCY]
Sent: 6/24/2017 9:36:36 PM
To: lbergeson@lawbc.com [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=9530e97746d74c8484fd5469fbf432e1-lbergeson@lawbc.com]
Subject: Re: EII event

Of course! It was never mentioned in proposal and few comments = no logical outgrowth.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

On Jun 24, 2017, at 5:33 PM, Lynn L. Bergeson <lbergeson@lawbc.com> wrote:

Yes, our OECD colleague noted that

LYNN L. BERGESON
BERGESON & CAMPBELL P C
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 100W | Washington, D.C. 20037
T: 202-557-3801 | F: 202-557-3836 | Ex. 6 | [lawbc.com](mailto:lbergeson@lawbc.com)

On Jun 24, 2017, at 5:29 PM, Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Ahhh. The rules are silent on that as well.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

On Jun 24, 2017, at 5:12 PM, Lynn L. Bergeson <lbergeson@lawbc.com> wrote:

Mutual acceptance of data. Sorry.

LYNN L. BERGESON
BERGESON & CAMPBELL P C
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 100W | Washington, D.C. 20037
T: 202-557-3801 | F: 202-557-3836 | Ex. 6 | [lawbc.com](mailto:lbergeson@lawbc.com)

On Jun 24, 2017, at 5:09 PM, Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Ok thanks. MAD?? I hope we didn't codify anger. ☺

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

On Jun 24, 2017, at 4:42 PM, Lynn L. Bergeson <lbergeson@lawbc.com> wrote:

The usual - changes in new risk evaluation, the new guidance (which is ver good), Section 26 issues, MAD, EPA capacity. I will ask Jim- hood point.

On Jun 24, 2017, at 4:29 PM, Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Ha! I will try to be short and sweet.

When the panel met to plan was there any discussion of what they wanted to focus on? Since this is the science policy section I could do a focus on the definitions if that would be helpful. Just let me know.

Btw, In googling some stuff, I saw that Jim Jones is consulting for EDF. I see that the agenda states that on July 5 he will be with SOCMA. If he is still consulting for EDF, should that also be disclosed on the agenda?

<https://morningconsult.com/opinions/congress-shouldnt-make-mistake-twice-dangerous-chemicals/>

thanks.
Nancy

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Lynn L. Bergeson [mailto:lbergeson@lawbc.com]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 7:37 PM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: ELI event

As long as you wish. EPAers get more time. Mere mortals are being asked to limit their remarks to 3-5 minutes -the rest us discussion among panelists and the audience.

On Jun 23, 2017, at 7:32 PM, Beck, Nancy
<Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Lynn,
How long would you like my remarks to be on Tuesday?
Thanks.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy \(PP\)](#)
Beck.Nancy@epa.gov