

MONTANA TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

FERGUS COUNTY

Cause No. DV-2004-55

MARTY PAULSON, WILLIAM J. HAUGEN, HARRY FELTON,)

RAY ROBISON and WARD BURLEIGH, President;)

BURLEIGH ANGUS RANCH, INC., Individually and)

For all Persons Similarly Situated,)

Plaintiffs,)

)

V.)

)

MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY n/k/a PHARMACIA,)

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND PARKS,)

and JOHN DOE PAINT COMPANIES and DISTRIBUTORS I)

through X,)

Defendants.)

Deposition of MICHAEL ANTHONY PIERLE, taken
July 10th, 2006 by the Plaintiffs, at the Antler
Motel, 43 West Pearl, Jackson, Wyoming.

JACKSON HOLE COURT REPORTING

307 733-2637

1 APPEARANCES

2 For the Plaintiffs:

3 TORGER S. OAAS

4 Post Office Box 76

5 Lewistown, Montana 59457

6

7

8 For the Defendants:

9 ADAM MILLER

10 HUSCH & EPPENBERGER

11 190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600

12 St. Louis, MO 63105

13

14 CATHERINE A. LAUGHNER

15 BROWNING, KALECZYC, BERRY & HOVEN

16 P.O. Box 1697

17 Helena, MT 59624

18

19 STEPHEN R. BROWN (Telephonic)

20 GARLINGTON, LOHN, ROBINSON

21 P.O. Box 7909

22 Missoula, MT 59807

23

24

25

MAXON R. DAVIS

DAVIS, HATLEY, HAFFEMAN & TIGHE

P.O. Box 2103

Great Falls, MT 59403

WITNESS INDEX

Examination by Mr. Oaas Page 4, 50

Examination by Mr. Miller Page 41, 51

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Reference

#1 (Solutia Web site, Monsanto Pledge) Page 13

#2 (Monsanto Policy Statement) Page 28

#3 (The Monsanto Pledge) Page 41

1 Jackson, Wyoming, July 10th, 2006, 8:00 a.m.

2

3 MICHAEL ANTHONY PIERLE,

4 a witness herein, being first duly sworn, testifies

5 as follows:

6

7 EXAMINATION BY MR. OAAS:

8 Q. Would you state your name please.

9 A. Michael Anthony Pierle.

10 Q. Would you spell your last name please.

11 A. P-i-e-r-l-e.

12 Q. Mr. Pierle, we met just shortly before the

13 deposition. I'll introduce myself again for the

14 record. My name is Torger Oaas. And I'm an

15 attorney in Lewistown, Montana. I represent the

16 plaintiffs in this suit that's been certified as a

17 class action in Fergus County, Montana, in which

18 Monsanto or Pharmacia/Monsanto -- and I'll just

19 refer to those entities just as Monsanto, if that's

20 all right with you?

21 A. Monsanto and who?

22 Q. Pharmacia.

23 A. Pharmacia.

24 Q. Is one of the defendants. And so you know

25 that, and I don't know what you know about that

1 particular case. But I just want to make sure you
2 are the person being produced by Pharmacia/Monsanto
3 pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) on the Monsanto Pledge.

4 MR. OAAS: Is that correct?

5 MR. MILLER: That's correct.

6 MR. OAAS: And just for the record there
7 isn't an attorney here for the Montana Department
8 of Fish, Wildlife and Parks. They have indicated
9 to me that they're not going to appear at this
10 deposition. And Steve Brown, the attorney
11 representing Columbia, is appearing by conference
12 call.

13 Can you hear us, Steve?

14
15 Discussion off the record.

16 MR. OAAS: Let me put on the record we
17 tried to get Steve Brown, but we couldn't. We
18 hooked up the phone, but it wouldn't work.

19 MR. MILLER: I confirm that. I saw
20 every piece of that effort, Herculean.

21 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Mr. Pierle, would you state
22 your address and occupation please.

23 A. My address is 770 Gulf Shore -- that's two
24 words -- Gulf Shore Drive, Unit 701, Destin,
25 Florida 32541. Currently I am retired from Solutia

1 and formally Monsanto, and I'm doing some
2 consulting work at this time under my company,
3 Pierle Consulting, Incorporated.

4 Q. Mr. Pierle, why don't you just give us a
5 rundown of your employment history after you
6 finished your formal education.

7 A. After graduating with a bachelor's degree in
8 1966 I began working at Monsanto Company at a plant
9 in Illinois. And I stayed with Monsanto and then
10 Solutia until retiring in 1999. I spent part of my
11 time working at a plant in New Jersey and the
12 balance of the time working at corporate
13 headquarters in St. Louis. For one year during
14 that period I did work as a loaned executive to the
15 Department of Commerce in Washington DC.

16 Q. Okay. Would you describe just generally,
17 and if you can in a chronological fashion, the
18 course of employment with Monsanto and then Solutia
19 during that period of time in reference to what
20 your job duties and responsibilities are?

21
22 Mr. Brown joins deposition via phone.

23
24 A. Certainly. As I indicated I began work in
25 1966 at a plant in Illinois and I joined what was

1 basically their environmental group at that plant
2 site. And I worked at that plant for about five
3 years. Our roles were primarily monitoring various
4 air and water streams, reporting to state agencies
5 predominantly at that point in time, and
6 supervising a waste water treatment plant that had
7 been built for that and other facilities in the
8 area.

9 In 1970 I moved to New Jersey and had very
10 similar responsibilities at a smaller plant in
11 southern New Jersey. Again I was the only -- at
12 this point the only person in the environmental
13 group doing sampling work, analytical work,
14 regulatory work. And our main focus there was
15 working on a project for expanding treatment
16 systems for water pollution effluence. I worked
17 there until mid 1974.

18 And at that time I took a year leave of
19 absence to go to work at the Department of Commerce
20 in Washington DC. That job was working in an
21 office of environmental affairs that was
22 principally responsible for reviewing EPA
23 regulations and other federal agency reports under
24 the National Environmental Policy Act.

25 In mid 1975 I returned to Monsanto in St.

1 Louis. My title I think was Manager of
2 Environmental Protection in one of the operating
3 units of Monsanto basically within the chemical
4 manufacturing side of Monsanto. I stayed in that
5 position until late 1979 I believe it was, and I
6 joined the Monsanto, Monsanto's corporate
7 environmental staff as a director of regulatory
8 matters for water.

9 And over the next, from 1980 to 1991 I held
10 various corporate staff jobs in water and air
11 pollution, solid waste, Superfund site clean-up.

12 In the late '80s the company was sort of
13 internally reformulated and I was the head
14 environmental person in the Monsanto Chemical
15 Company. And in 1991 I took the position at
16 corporate level of Vice President of Environment,
17 Safety and Health and stayed in that position
18 basically until I retired. I held that to Monsanto
19 until Solutia was spun off in 1977 and then stayed
20 in that position -- I'm sorry, 1997, and stayed in
21 that position at Solutia until retiring.

22 Q. That was in 1999?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Can you tell me what basically you've been
25 doing as far as work goes following your

1 retirement?

2 A. Following retirement I have done some
3 consulting work that was principally in the area of
4 site assessments in the role of due diligence
5 during the business transactions and some
6 deposition work for Monsanto, Solutia, Pharmacia.

7 Q. I think I've seen some of your depositions.
8 And correct me if I'm wrong, but I think you were
9 deposed in some of the, I'll just refer to them as
10 the Anniston cases.

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. You'll know what I'm referring to when I say
13 the Anniston cases?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What would that be? Just for the record
16 what was going on in Anniston?

17 A. The Anniston depositions were principally
18 around issues related to PCB, polychlorinated
19 biphenyls, clean-up in and around the Monsanto or
20 the old Monsanto manufacturing site.

21 Q. What do you know about our particular case
22 in Furgus County, Mr. Pierle?

23 A. Very little. I am in discussions in
24 preparation with Adam he briefly identified the
25 case, that it involved PCB's. And there are

1 allegations of I guess property value loss related
2 to PCB's in the environment abutting a property
3 owner's or property owner's individual properties.

4 Q. Okay. It's your understanding the case
5 centers around allegations that a stream, a live
6 stream located in Furgus County called Big Spring
7 Creek is contaminated with PCB's?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Now since we're going to be talking about
10 PCB's why don't you tell me in just kind of a
11 summary fashion, if you would please, your dealing
12 with PCB's over the years that you were employed by
13 Monsanto.

14 A. At the plant where I started working in
15 Illinois they produced PCB's, so I was generally
16 aware of the production unit. And in the late
17 '60's was involved in work to identify sources of
18 potential PCB leaving that manufacturing unit and
19 projects to reduce their losses. After that my
20 role as manager of environmental protection we
21 dealt with various issues at that plant, the
22 Krummrich plant, in Illinois as sort of a manager
23 helping the plant team interface with the
24 regulatory agencies on the discharge issues and
25 limits, things of that nature.

1 Q. Where was that plant located in Illinois?

2 A. It's in Sauget, Illinois.

3 Q. I guess I thought it was pronounced Sauget.

4 Go ahead. I didn't mean to interrupt.

5 A. The involvement later in my career with
6 respect to PCB's again in my role as director of
7 environmental management for, or environmental
8 operations for the chemical company we had
9 responsibilities that included the Anniston
10 facilities, so we were involved in the clean-up
11 projects relative to that site and the various
12 agency relationships with respect to clean-up,
13 plans, programs and requirements. That work was
14 still ongoing at the time that I retired.

15 Q. Okay. Since your retirement have you worked
16 either for Solutia, Monsanto or Pharmacia Chemical
17 Company?

18 A. In the first two years of my retirement I
19 had a direct consulting agreement with Solutia that
20 lasted, as I said it lasted exactly two years. And
21 no other direct work with those companies after
22 that time period.

23 Q. Okay. Do you own some property here in
24 Jackson, Wyoming?

25 A. No, I own some property in Dubois, Wyoming.

1 Q. Okay. That's retirement, you live there
2 part of the year?

3 A. We just built a place, so we haven't figured
4 that out yet.

5 Q. I just wondered why we're meeting here in
6 Jackson is all. It's Dubois, Wyoming, and not
7 Dubois, Idaho?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. Where's Dubois, Wyoming, located?

10 A. It's about 100 miles. I would guess it's
11 almost east of here, a little bit southeast as the
12 crow flies, not as you drive.

13 Q. So you're going to have a residence in
14 Florida as well as Wyoming?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Now, were you acquainted with Richard J.
17 Mahoney?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Would you describe who he is with respect to
20 Monsanto, or who he was?

21 A. He was ultimately the chairman and CEO of
22 Monsanto Company. Worked at the company as I
23 understand it for several years prior to that in
24 various capacities.

25 Q. Do you know what time frame he was the

1 chairman and CEO?

2 A. It was in the, sometime in the mid to late
3 '80s, and he retained that role through the, seems
4 to me through the early to mid '90s.

5 Q. Is he still alive, do you know?

6 A. Yes, I believe so.

7 Q. Do you know where he lives?

8 A. I'm not certain. He's in both St. Louis I
9 believe and he also has a place in Massachusetts.

10 Q. I'm going to hand you what I marked as
11 Deposition Exhibit 1 and ask you what you know
12 about something called the Monsanto Pledge.

13 MR. MILLER: That's a pretty broad
14 question. Can you narrow it somewhat?

15 MR. OAAS: I'll ask Mr. Pierle if he
16 needs me to narrow it to be more specific.

17 A. I'm very familiar with the Monsanto Pledge.
18 However, at the -- I was not involved in the I
19 guess the generation of Mahoney's speech which led
20 up to his speech at the National Wildlife
21 Federation's Corporate Conservation Council which
22 later then became known as the Monsanto Pledge.
23 That was not initially as I understand it the part
24 of the title of the speech, the pledge part, became
25 a sort of a characterization of his speech by

1 either someone in the audience or subsequent to
2 that. But once it was characterized in that
3 fashion it was basically adopted, if you will, by
4 Monsanto and that became the terminology that we
5 use to describe to everybody internally and
6 externally Mahoney's speech to the National
7 Wildlife Federation.

8 Q. Have you seen Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 before
9 today?

10 A. I certainly have seen the pledge before.
11 And all these words I don't know that I've seen it
12 -- I don't recall whether I've seen it in this
13 exhibit form.

14 Q. It's obtainable on Solutia's Web site. That
15 was what I was referring to have you seen this
16 pledge in thid particular form?

17 A. I may or may not. I just -- I suspect I
18 have, but I just don't recall.

19 Q. Okay. Now, would you just kind of in your
20 words describe for me what Monsanto was attempting
21 to do by adopting the Monsanto pledge?

22 A. Let me do that with just a little bit of
23 sort of background to this. As I indicated I spent
24 pretty much my whole career in the environmental
25 area inside Monsanto, and through those first years

1 up to about the mid '70s the company operated in a
2 fairly decentralized manner with respect to
3 environmental matters. In the mid '70s there was a
4 corporate organization structure put into place in
5 recognition that the entire sort of world was
6 moving more along regulatory lines on environmental
7 matters. And the organization Monsanto, the large
8 organization, felt it needed to do something to be
9 better equipped to deal with the growth of
10 environmental matters in the United States and also
11 worldwide.

12 And at that time, the mid to late '70s,
13 there was instituted a set of Monsanto worldwide
14 environmental guidelines. Those were sort of
15 internal management efforts to help move the
16 organization to continue to meet external and
17 internal requirements with respect to Monsanto's
18 programs.

19 In the mid to late '80s and then early '90s
20 it was more apparent that through what was then
21 termed the public's right to know the public was
22 taking a more direct role in environmental matters.
23 And what the Monsanto Pledge did was really an
24 external transparent discussion of what Monsanto
25 felt its role was with respect to the environment

1 and what it should be for the future.

2 And so the pledge itself really did
3 externalize a lot of what Monsanto had been working
4 on, and then in some cases as indicated in this
5 document attempted to position what Monsanto wanted
6 to do to try to be part of a solution of
7 environmental issues in the future.

8 Q. Do you think the Monsanto Pledge was a good
9 thing for the company to adopt?

10 MR. MILLER: Object to the form of the
11 question. Are you asking personally?

12 MR. OAAS: Uh-huh, just his personal
13 opinion.

14 A. Yes, I do because it had, it basically
15 articulated a lot of the things we were working on
16 and doing anyway. And it helped to give the public
17 a better understanding of what we were doing and it
18 gave some additional, I would say, degrees of
19 freedom to people inside the company to talk about
20 what they were doing to external groups. And that
21 was beneficial.

22 Q. Did the pledge deal with all Monsanto
23 products, including PCB's?

24 A. The pledge itself was not product specific,
25 product inclusive. It was more related to as you

1 can see from the topic and subject matter relative
2 to more the operations as they impacted the
3 environment, our production operations. It was I
4 think from Mahoney's standpoint focused on what
5 businesses we were in at that point in time and how
6 those businesses needed to be positioned for the
7 future.

8 Q. Would it be fair to characterize the
9 Monsanto Pledge as having nothing to do with
10 Monsanto's involvement in the production and sale
11 of PCB's?

12 A. I would say a fair characterization is
13 probably that the production or manufacturing,
14 sale, the historical aspects of PCB's, was not a key
15 factor or consideration in the pledge statement.

16 Q. Did the pledge take that into consideration
17 at all in your opinion?

18 A. I don't think directly.

19 Q. How about indirectly?

20 A. It's difficult to say. I think part of the
21 evolution of Monsanto's whole programs with respect
22 to the environment were shaped by all of Monsanto's
23 history, as any company would be, and certainly
24 PCB's were part of that history. So sort of
25 indirectly I would guess they, personally it was

1 possible that it would have had some indirect
2 effect, but certainly nothing direct in terms of a
3 cause/effect kind of relationship.

4 Q. Isn't it true to state that the pledge
5 really had its roots back in the formation of
6 environmental policy statements of Monsanto back in
7 the '70s?

8 A. I don't know what was in Dick Mahoney's mind
9 when he made --

10 Q. And I understand that.

11 A. -- when he wrote his speech, so I think the
12 pledge was more forward looking and was attempting
13 to, as I indicated, position the company
14 externally. And we were at that time doing a lot
15 of work with regulatory agencies and environmental
16 groups, and it was more an expression of our future
17 intent than what had happened or had gone on in the
18 past.

19 Q. All right.

20 A. That was sort of my personal feel and the
21 feel was actually there was, there was quite a bit
22 of internal discussion what does the pledge mean
23 with respect to the internal guidelines that
24 existed. We had been working on a bunch of stuff
25 already inside the company. Do we throw that all

1 away and begin something new that's called this
2 Monsanto Pledge? And it was a fair amount of work
3 to try to work the pledge document and statements,
4 to show them there was some consistency with
5 respect to the work that was going on.

6 Q. Can you refer to the second page of Exhibit
7 1?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Directing your attention to the first full
10 paragraph. The first sentence says, "But it is not
11 enough to simply unpollute the world." Do you see
12 that sentence?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Does that in your mind and in your
15 discussions that took place about the pledge, the
16 internal discussions, did anybody consider that a
17 reference to PCB's?

18 A. Not to my knowledge.

19 Q. As you sit here this morning what does that
20 sentence mean to you?

21 A. Just prior to the issuance of the pledge
22 Dick, Mr. Mahoney had undertaken an initiative to
23 reduce Monsanto's air pollution, air pollutants,
24 toxic air pollutants, by 90 percent. This was in a
25 time period that was sort of post the Bhopal

1 accident in India, and there was considerable
2 attention paid to air emissions, particularly toxic
3 emissions. And we have been working on programs to
4 reduce waste. And there was a lot of public focus
5 on the pollution levels that existed in the world.
6 And so some of our efforts were, if you will, to
7 unpollute or reduce emissions that were still
8 existent from manufacturing operations. So in my
9 mind that's what that would have meant, that it's
10 not simply enough that we continue these programs
11 internally to reduce emissions and waste from
12 operations, but strategically and with at the time
13 internally inside Monsanto there was an enormous
14 effort on agricultural, better use of agriculture,
15 the creation of agricultural chemicals that would
16 act as herbicides, but would be more friendly to
17 the environment, the whole focus of biotechnology
18 which now ten years later or more we see a
19 substantial benefit to the environment.

20 So I think what Mr. Mahoney was saying was
21 that we just can't work on what we were doing with
22 respect to operations and reducing emissions, but
23 we have to find a way to make new products and new
24 technologies that actually have a more beneficial
25 impact on the environment while providing certainly

1 beneficial results from the products themselves.

2 Q. Then would it be fair to say as far as your
3 understanding went that Monsanto had pretty much
4 decided that the issues surrounding PCB's were a
5 thing of the past and there weren't going to be any
6 more problems, environmental problems with PCB's?

7 A. I don't ever recall anybody having that kind
8 of a discussion.

9 Q. Okay. Tell me at what level were PCB's on
10 the radar as far as environmental problems with
11 Monsanto in the early '90s as far as you know? Was
12 there a PCB's group? Did you deal with PCB
13 environmental issues? What was going on in the
14 company at the time? Were they in the attic
15 shelved away, put away? That's what I'm trying to
16 get at.

17 MR. MILLER: Objection; compound.

18 THE WITNESS: There were two levels of
19 activity with respect to PCB's or at least two it
20 seemed to me. One, there was certainly a level of
21 litigation that was still in existence with respect
22 to product issues. And the group that was
23 predominantly charged with the responsibility for
24 that was the legal department. And when they
25 needed technical assistance there were in my group

1 in the '90s just a small group of people that if
2 there was a need for technical resources and they
3 could provide those, they would. Most of those
4 issues being historical a lot of I think what was
5 needed on that was already in place.

6 The other aspect that was ongoing was
7 the clean-up issues such as at Anniston or other,
8 principally at Anniston where there were PCB's that
9 were part of active plant site operations or if
10 there were PCB's that were issues that came up with
11 respect to other off-site issues, then they would
12 have been, those projects would have been managed
13 predominantly in groups that either reported to me
14 or during parts of my period in the '90s.

15 Q. Okay. Can you give me an example of what
16 kind of technical assistance you would give to the
17 legal department from time to time in that time
18 frame, the early 1990's?

19 MR. MILLER: Let me object. That calls
20 for information that invades the attorney/client
21 privilege. I object to that. I instruct the
22 witness not to answer.

23 MR. OAAS: I'm not asking for
24 conversations. I'm just asking what kind of
25 technical assistance. For instance, like what

1 PCB's were, where were they manufactured, were they
2 sold by a particular customer, things of that
3 nature. Are you going to object to that?

4 MR. MILLER: I think any communication
5 between Mr. Pierle and the legal department for the
6 purpose of assisting the company in pursuing
7 litigation or defending litigation is subject to
8 the attorney/client privilege. And I'll instruct
9 him not to answer.

10 MR. OAAS: I tell you, Adam, for the
11 record I'm not asking for any specifics. I'm not
12 asking for any privileged information. It's a very
13 general question in terms of what kind of technical
14 assistance or resources either Mr. Pierle or his
15 group supplied to the legal department.

16 MR. MILLER: Just subject matters?

17 MR. OAAS. Yes.

18 MR. MILLER: And not with respect to any
19 particular piece of litigation or regulatory
20 activity?

21 MR. OAAS: Right.

22 MR. MILLER: You can answer to that
23 limited scope.

24 THE WITNESS: I think there was some
25 support effort given with respect to just

1 historical documents. Within my group when I was
2 the corporate vice president we had the health and
3 toxicology department was there. And so those
4 people were available for consultation. However,
5 most of the work I know on PCB's preceded most of
6 those people's work at Monsanto. So most of that
7 sort of technical scientific work had already been
8 done. But those people were there if they needed
9 any consultation.

10 And indeed the way most of that worked
11 they would have been responding directly to the
12 lawyers. My role really was much more
13 administrative with respect to the people and the
14 budgets, and most of it was not, was clearly
15 non-PCB related work and activity.

16 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Can you give me a rough idea
17 of a number, a percentage number during that time
18 frame what amount of work was directed at PCB
19 activity versus non-PCB activity?

20 A. I don't really recall. I mean it's a really
21 low number relative to the whole staffing and
22 budget that went on that, really a low number of
23 people. I just don't remember the numbers.

24 Q. Five percent, ten percent, one percent, half
25 of one percent?

1 MR. MILLER: Calls for speculation.

2 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) If you can give me a
3 reasonable estimate?

4 A. Certainly less than five percent.

5 Q. Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at
6 when Mr. Mahoney made this speech to the National
7 Wildlife Federation on January 30th, 1990, you and
8 your staff were still dealing with PCB's to some
9 extent, even though it may have been a small
10 percentage of the overall work.

11 A. In the areas that I described, yes,
12 basically the clean-up support work or the support
13 for the clean-up work that was ongoing.

14 Q. Then if you go down to the next paragraph on
15 the second page of that exhibit. I'll just read it
16 into the record. It states, "We must rectify the
17 mistakes of the past while we continue to develop
18 and introduce the new technologies to provide the
19 essentials for mankind in the future."

20 Would you agree or disagree that the
21 production and sale of PCB's was one of the
22 mistakes of the past that Mr. Mahoney was referring
23 to?

24 MR. MILLER: Object to the form.

25 THE WITNESS: I have seen this statement

1 before, and I never talked with him about what that
2 meant. To me in the context of what he was doing
3 with this document of juxtapositioning sort of a
4 non-public disclosure versus a public disclosure of
5 commitments, an openness and transparency, that
6 that statement hit me more in the context of that,
7 that a mistake not being, you know, something that
8 was known at the time and looking back we should
9 have been more outward with our discussions and
10 contacts with people, our willingness to be
11 transparent to groups around the plants, to
12 environmental groups, to regulators, to
13 legislators, that it was more in that context that
14 I read that because that was what the pledge was
15 sort of doing.

16 I think he was also very much on the
17 forefront of finding new technology, whether they
18 be in the agriculture area or other areas where you
19 would clearly state that the technology was focused
20 on new ways to provide that. So outside of that I
21 really do not know what was in his mind in making
22 that statement.

23 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Okay. Based upon what you
24 said do you have an opinion whether or not Monsanto
25 should have been more open and transparent in

1 dealing with the public, in dealing with regulatory
2 agencies and dealing with government officials on
3 the issues relating to PCB's than they had been?

4 MR. MILLER: Object to the form. Goes
5 beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice. But if
6 you're asking him for his personal observations,
7 fine.

8 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Go ahead.

9 A. I think that's really tough because of the
10 norms that existed at the time that having spent a
11 lot of time historically in this area the dominant
12 communication were with regulators as the
13 representative of the public. And I think what we
14 clearly felt as we moved through the '80s was that
15 we could not solely rely on those communications
16 with regulators to be sufficient for sort of
17 explaining to the public what we were doing or
18 trying to do.

19 So it was in the context of expanding those
20 discussions with regulators, but a lot of it was
21 with community groups and environmental groups and
22 other publics that had an influence as stakeholders
23 in the corporation. And I would say from a
24 personal standpoint and having seen the
25 relationships evolve with regulatory agency people

1 that I certainly pushed for and felt that the
2 broader transparency was key towards really a
3 better relationship with all of our stakeholders.

4 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) I'm going to hand you what
5 has been marked as Plaintiffs' Deposition Exhibit
6 Number 2. First question, have you ever seen that
7 exhibit before?

8 A. I'm not -- again I'm not certain that I
9 have.

10 Q. It was --

11 MR. OAAS: Just for the record this was
12 produced by Pharmacia Monsanto to the plaintiffs in
13 this litigation.

14 A. Uh-huh.

15 Q. Appears to bear a date of July of 1971. Can
16 you tell me what you were doing for Monsanto at
17 that time?

18 A. Yes, at the time I had indicated I was
19 basically a pollution specialist at our plant in
20 New Jersey working on matters related to the plant
21 operations.

22 Q. Okay. I'm going to give you a minute if you
23 want to read through the document and I'll ask you
24 questions about it.

25 A. Sure. (Witness reads) I've persuaded the

1 document.

2 Q. Okay. Up at the top of the document there
3 is a heading titled Environmental Evaluation of
4 Proposed New Products and Processes. Do you see
5 what I'm referring to?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. The document goes on to say, "Monsanto,
8 recognizing the need for control and reduction of
9 environmental degradation, diligently and
10 systematically assesses the impact of proposed new
11 products and processes on the environment."

12 Did I read that correctly for the record?

13 A. I'm looking -- is that still the first
14 paragraph?

15 Q. First sentence of the first paragraph.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Do you believe that was true in July of
18 1971?

19 MR. MILLER: I object as to no
20 foundation for this document and goes beyond the
21 scope. But you can answer.

22 THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the
23 question?

24 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) I just want to know do you
25 agree with the first sentence, the heading

1 Environmental Evaluation of Proposed New Products
2 and Processes?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. In 1971 Monsanto was still manufacturing and
5 selling PCB's, correct?

6 A. I think by 1971, yes, with limitations.

7 Q. At least selling them to the electrical
8 generating --

9 A. What was termed as closed system use.

10 Q. That manufacturing and sale of PCB's for
11 that purpose, the closed system, continued until
12 1976 or 1977, somewhere in that time frame?

13 A. I believe that's correct.

14 Q. Under the heading, there is another heading
15 on the first page titled Pollution Control. Do you
16 see that?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. The first paragraph under that heading
19 reads, "Monsanto has always recognized its
20 responsibilities to the public, to its
21 shareholders, to its employees, to its customers
22 and to the communities in which it operates." Did
23 I read that correctly into the record?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Do you agree with that statement?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. I think it's apparent that that statement
3 refers to all the times that Monsanto was
4 manufacturing and selling PCB's, whether they were
5 for open or closed systems, correct?

6 A. It appears to state that, yes.

7 Q. Then it goes on to say -- there is a number
8 of lettered paragraphs down there; A, B, C, D, E,
9 F, G toward the bottom half of the first page. Do
10 you see where I'm referring to?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. It reads that the Pollution Control Policy
13 is, paragraph A, "Always be concerned for the
14 public interest." Did I read that correctly?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And would you agree that was the Pollution
17 Control Policy for Monsanto in July of 1971?

18 A. That's what it says, yes.

19 Q. Would you agree that would be the policy for
20 Monsanto during all of the time they manufactured
21 and sold PCB's?

22 MR. MILLER: Object to the form. Goes
23 beyond your notice. There's lack of foundation for
24 this particular witness. You can answer, if you
25 know.

1 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I mean it's
2 not a backward-looking statement, but a
3 forward-looking statement, so I don't know how to
4 judge that.

5 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Wouldn't the phrase, the
6 first sentence under Pollution Control be a
7 backward-looking statement to the extent it's at
8 least catching us up to 1971 indicating Monsanto as
9 far as pollution control goes has always recognized
10 its responsibility to the public, to its
11 shareholders, to its employees, to its customers
12 and to their communities in which it operates?
13 We're covering all of Monsanto's existence with
14 that statement, are we not?

15 MR. MILLER: Object to the form of the
16 question as relates to lack of foundation. You can
17 answer, if you can.

18 THE WITNESS: The statement would seem
19 to imply that sort of historical position.

20 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) And I asked if you agreed
21 with it, and you said you did?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And as far as you know from your employment
24 with Monsanto and especially dealing with
25 historical aspects of PCB's it's your testimony

1 based upon your experience as an employee of
2 Monsanto that that statement is true, correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Again the theory and the idea of pollution
5 control statements in A, B, C, D, E, F, G seem to
6 be consistent with Richard Mahoney's statement on
7 January 30th of 1990 with respect to the Monsanto
8 Pledge; would you agree with that?

9 A. I haven't done a side by side, but I think
10 thematically there is a consistency.

11 Q. So my earlier question that the Monsanto
12 Pledge finds its roots back in the pollution
13 control policy statements of Monsanto, at least
14 this is the oldest one I have as far back as July
15 of 1971 would that be a correct statement?

16 A. Maybe this is terminology, I would say
17 they're certainly consistent. Whether the pledge
18 in being rooted in that or based on it is how I
19 interpret your question. I would say they're
20 certainly consistent.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. Whether they're methodically rooted is
23 something I just don't know.

24 Q. All right. Now, subparagraph B under the
25 pollution control policy reads, "Regard pollution

1 control as part of the cost of doing business."

2 What does that mean to you, Mr. Pierle?

3 MR. MILLER: Object to the form of the
4 question. Lack of foundation. Goes beyond the
5 scope of your notice. You can answer, if you know.

6 THE WITNESS: That to me typically that
7 terminology meant that it was recognized that
8 activities in the area of pollution control were
9 part of what the businesses should understand as a
10 cost of doing business and should be considered as
11 such. Just like I guess transportation would be a
12 cost of doing business of moving products from A to
13 B. It's just, it's part of the entire function of
14 making and selling products.

15 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Would you agree that the
16 plaintiffs who own property along Big Spring Creek
17 in Fergus County, Montana, as well as all Montanans
18 have an interest and the public interest in seeing
19 that the PCB's that are in Big Spring Creek are
20 remediated and cleaned up?

21 MR. MILLER) I object to the form of the
22 question. Goes beyond the scope of your notice.
23 Potentially calls for legal conclusions. And there
24 is no foundation with Mr. Pierle that he can assess
25 the remedial necessity of the trace amount of PCB's

1 in Big Spring Creek whether necessary or regulatory
2 framework. But subject to that you're asking for
3 his personal opinion, there is no foundation. You
4 can answer if you can.

5 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Want me to repeat the question
6 again?

7 A. Please.

8 MR. OAAS: You can have all your
9 objections again.

10 MR. MILLER: I thought you said you
11 couldn't do that in Montana.

12 MR. OAAS: Well, it's an open question.

13 MR. MILLER: It's open for you, but not
14 for me.

15 MR. OAAS: I'm just saying that it's
16 pretty hard to follow the tenor of the question if
17 you're going to object for 15 minutes, but that's
18 your right.

19 Q. What I want to know, Mr. Pierle, is whether
20 or not you would agree that the people who own, the
21 plaintiffs in our lawsuit who own property up in
22 Montana, have an interest as well as all Montanans
23 in general have a public interest in seeing that
24 the environmental pollution caused by PCB's in Big
25 Spring Creek is cleaned up?

1 MR. MILLER: Same objection.

2 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how to answer
3 that helpfully. The question of whether there is
4 an issue or not an issue, you know, that is all
5 facts which I'm not familiar with. In my
6 experience in dealing with these questions that the
7 question of is there environmental contamination,
8 how much, is it causing a problem, to whom, are all
9 fact-based, relevant questions that there are
10 pretty detailed processes that have been worked out
11 to find answers to. And I would say following
12 those processes would yield answers to your
13 particular question. But I can't presume those
14 answers sitting here today and not knowing whether
15 that process has been completed or is in process or
16 intended to be undertaken to help find those
17 answers to those questions.

18 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Let me ask the question this
19 way. Mr. Pierle, what do you think that the -- why
20 do you think that in 1971 Monsanto had a pollution
21 control policy that said always be concerned for
22 the public interest?

23 A. What I can tell you about that in reading
24 the rest of this document that at that time, and as
25 consistent I think with what I said earlier, there

1 was not a sort of a corporate structure that
2 existed. This does refer to an environmental
3 control committee which were the early efforts at
4 coordinating things with inside Monsanto Company.
5 And I certainly suspect that what that committee
6 had to struggle with and deal with it, what is it
7 that we all believe and agree upon, and that's what
8 these statements were a party to.

9 I think that I guess I would add that I
10 think you can be consistent with a concern for
11 public interest. I'm not certain that that means
12 that in every case without deliberation, without
13 process that what the public thinks their interest
14 is is the interest that Monsanto eventually
15 determines and meets because you have the question
16 of who is the public, what are their interests,
17 what is their motivation, what are the facts; all
18 those things have to be considered.

19 I think what this statement says you need to
20 be concerned about the public interest and you need
21 to take that into account in all of these processes
22 for developing an understanding of whether a
23 problem exists and then what the solution to those
24 problems should be.

25 Q. And that would include a situation like we

1 have up in Fergus County, Montana, where there's an
2 allegation that Monsanto manufactured PCB's that
3 polluted a live stream?

4 A. It may or may not because in a case as I
5 understand it were these were not direct use of
6 PCB's, that there are issues of customers and
7 customers' use and customers' knowledge that would
8 all factor into any of these statements or
9 decisions. And so the fact that there is a product
10 here I think makes it a more complex question.

11 Q. Wouldn't it be fair to say that in July of
12 1971 the environmental issues surrounding PCB's was
13 the largest environmental problem facing Monsanto
14 at the time?

15 MR. MILLER: To the extent you know.

16 THE WITNESS: I would say relative to
17 that business, yes, relative to the company. I
18 mean there were a lot of people dealing with other
19 environmental issues within the company, so
20 certainly it was an important issue, but I really
21 couldn't judge whether that was the most important
22 issue. Certainly it was to that business and
23 probably within that operating unit, but not
24 necessarily I'm guessing to the rest of and to all
25 of Monsanto.

1 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Okay. Going back to the
2 first sentence under Pollution Control where it
3 says that Monsanto has always recognized its
4 responsibilities to the public. Do you see that
5 part of the sentence?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. That would include the residents of the
8 State of Montana and Fergus County, would it not?

9 MR. MILLER: Let me object to the form
10 of the question to the extent you may be implying a
11 meaning of responsibilities to include a legal
12 conclusion. Subject to that you can answer.

13 THE WITNESS: Again in the context of
14 responsibilities to the public in Fergus I don't
15 think Monsanto felt it was responsible for, if we
16 made products that were used as intended that
17 Monsanto in my dealings did not feel that we were
18 responsible for what our customers were necessarily
19 responsible for, who then may have a responsibility
20 themselves to the public. In this context to the
21 public, for example, around plant sites and
22 manufacturing it was more obvious you have a direct
23 relationship, but as I said I think the issue of
24 products and product responsibility becomes a more
25 complex question.

1 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) My question was a pretty
2 simple one. I just want to know whether you can
3 answer yes or no when you say Monsanto has always
4 recognized its responsibility to the public, does
5 the use of the word public in your mind include the
6 residents of the State of Montana which include the
7 residents of Fergus County, Montana?

8 MR. MILLER: Object to the form.

9 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) And are they part of the
10 public?

11 MR. MILLER: There is no foundation.
12 You're asking him to interpret the document which
13 he's not familiar with. Goes beyond the scope of
14 the 30(b)(6) notice. He's not speaking on behalf
15 of the company. You can answer if you can.

16 THE WITNESS: You asked kind of a
17 generic question I guess that certainly people in
18 Montana are part of the public. But they are not
19 part of the -- I would not describe that part of
20 the public to be the public this document is
21 necessarily speaking to.

22 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Okay. Mr. Pierle, did you
23 testify in either of the Anniston cases that went
24 to trial?

25 MR. MILLER: You mean did he testify at

1 trial?

2 MR. OAAS: Yes, at trial.

3 A. No.

4 Q. Were you consulted with or play any role in
5 the eventual settlement that was made?

6 A. No.

7 MR. OAAS: I think I'm done. Thank you,
8 sir.

9

10 EXAMINATION BY MR. MILLER:

11 Q. Mr. Pierle, I just have a couple of
12 questions. I've just marked Deposition Exhibit
13 Number 3 which is entitled The Monsanto Pledge
14 dated January 1990 and signed by Richard J.
15 Mahoney. Are you familiar with what I've marked as
16 Exhibit Number 3?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Does this reflect the method or manner in
19 which the so called Monsanto Pledge was codified or
20 written out for the company, for the public and for
21 the company's employees?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. All right. Was the document that was marked
24 by plaintiffs' counsel Exhibit Number 1 a speech
25 given by Mr. Mahoney in January of 1990.

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. With respect to some of the activities that
3 surrounded Monsanto's pledge one of them, which is
4 the first bullet on Exhibit Number 3, refers to
5 reducing toxic and hazardous releases and emissions
6 working toward an ultimate goal of zero effect.
7 Can you describe some of Monsanto's activities that
8 were undertaken in connection with the first bullet
9 of the pledge?

10 MR. OAAS: Are you referring to post
11 1990?

12 MR. MILLER: Well, the pledge was issued
13 in 1990.

14 Q. I would like to ask with respect to efforts
15 undertaken to satisfy the first prong of the
16 Monsanto Pledge.

17 A. This statement basically reflected a pretty
18 substantial program throughout Monsanto to do at
19 least to two things as I recall. One was to fully
20 implement the commitment that had been made I think
21 prior to this pledge statement with respect to
22 toxic or hazardous air emission releases that
23 Mahoney had made public I think just sometime prior
24 to this. But there was all the work that needed to
25 be done yet was still ongoing. And that was -- the

1 air emissions was a separate commitment prior to
2 the pledge that had been made through press
3 conferences and actually resulted in the head of
4 the EPA calling together a number of industry
5 executives to get similar commitments from other
6 companies.

7 It also included a broader element looking
8 at other release entry points into the environment,
9 whether they were to the water or the land, of
10 toxic and hazardous emissions from our
11 manufacturing operations and to undertake similar
12 programs to reduce the goal to zero effect. There
13 was not a percent reduction placed on those goals,
14 but basically did extend the air, the program on
15 air toxins to other losses into the environment.

16 Q. Was this effort that you just described
17 taken voluntarily by Monsanto?

18 A. Yes, it was.

19 Q. Was there any existing regulation to your
20 knowledge that required Monsanto to reduce its
21 plant emissions to the air by 90 percent?

22 A. No, there was not.

23 Q. Was Monsanto successful in reducing plant
24 air emissions by 90 percent?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Was that success achieved in about 1992?

2 A. It was in that time frame.

3 Q. And you indicated that the head of the EPA
4 at the time gave special recognition to Monsanto
5 for undertaking this pledge?

6 A. He and others had, and as I said part of
7 that form of recognition was calling together other
8 industry executives to attempt to secure
9 commitments from additional companies to do similar
10 things.

11 Q. Were some of the efforts undertaken with
12 respect to this prong of the pledge and the other
13 six or seven prongs of the pledge for Monsanto to
14 look for ways to reduce waste production in its
15 facilities?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Did the pledge also embody an effort to find
18 ways to reuse products that were manufactured by
19 Monsanto?

20 A. Yes, the effort was really forward looking
21 to find ways to, if you will, make products
22 differently with less waste than what we had
23 historically. That was a primary target to just
24 reduce the amount to start with; then if we
25 couldn't do that was there a way to reuse or

1 recycle those waste products was sort of a second
2 tier objective.

3 Q. I suppose another tier or objective of the
4 pledge was to find technologies to treat waste to
5 reuse their volume?

6 A. Yes, if you couldn't basically reduce or
7 recycle or reuse, then you had to find better
8 treatment methodologies to deal with that. And
9 there are 90 percent program-incorporated examples
10 of all those efforts.

11 Q. Are you aware of any recognition that
12 Monsanto has received from members of the wildlife
13 conservation community with respect to its pledge
14 and the undertakings of its pledge?

15 A. I would say initially there was, Jay Hare,
16 who was the executive director of the National
17 Wildlife Federation himself promoted the speech at
18 that time, later to be called the Pledge, to broad
19 communities, government, other environmental
20 groups, other industry groups. So I guess the
21 first part of the recognition was immediately by
22 Mr. Hare who tried to extent the scope of the
23 statement or the speech.

24 Subsequent to that I believe we were also
25 recognized by the Izaak Walton League, which is a

1 pretty significant environmental group. We
2 received their annual environmental award one year.

3 Q. And that's not just for making the pledge,
4 but for fulfilling the commitments of the pledge?

5 A. At least making progress on them, that's
6 correct.

7 Q. And was the pledge and the successes that
8 you were having, Monsanto was having in
9 successfully undertaking the prongs of the pledge
10 also applauded by organizations like Greenpeace?

11 A. Yes, there is a quote in the literature that
12 basically Greenpeace which had not typically been
13 on the side of industry or business recognized
14 Monsanto for its leadership in taking these
15 commitments public and making commitments to do
16 better. So that was quite surprising.

17 Q. One of the aspects of the pledge that you
18 had mentioned was really making the whole
19 decision-making process within Monsanto and the
20 efforts that were being undertaken by Monsanto to
21 make the process transparent to the public. Is
22 that one of the aspects of the pledge?

23 A. I think under -- it's not one of the bullets
24 in there, but in part it is and especially where we
25 see keep our plants open to the communities,

1 involve the communities in the operations. That a
2 theme of the pledge was this taking what we were
3 doing and making it transparent to the outside and
4 involving the outside to a greater extent in our
5 understanding of issues and decisions than had been
6 done as a norm, as a norm in the past.

7 Q. Was it the case that in the late '80s and
8 1990s there was an evolution in the way Monsanto
9 viewed its role in terms of environmental
10 degradation, vis-a-vis, or in connection with
11 regulators; did they view their role with respect
12 to regulators in a different way during that time
13 period?

14 A. I believe so. And personally being involved
15 I think there was a much greater attempt to take on
16 a leadership role. And that was a disputed term
17 inside. Are we the leader, a leader, among the
18 leaders? But it was clear to everybody that it was
19 an attempt to take a more leadership role in the
20 public policy debate towards the understanding and
21 the definition and then providing solutions to
22 environmental problems, we became much more active
23 with federal agencies, state agencies, testifying
24 to congress, taking Monsanto's positions on a
25 variety of matters outside the company in order to

1 have a direct impact on the country's environmental
2 policies and directions.

3 Q. So was it the view then that as opposed to
4 being adversaries with regulators Monsanto through
5 the pledge and otherwise adopted an approach of
6 being cooperative and engaging regulators as
7 partners in helping resolve some issues associated
8 with pollution and pollution control?

9 A. I would say yes, but also you used, sort of
10 implied we had been adversary and moved solely from
11 adversary to cooperative, and I think what it was
12 intended to do was to basically make sure that we
13 weren't taking adversary positions as sort of a
14 first part of a discussion, that we ought to be
15 looking for dialog and collaboration before we
16 ended up defining what solutions or positions the
17 companies would take.

18 Q. Were you collaborating with folks in the
19 regulatory sphere, EPA, other state agencies to
20 develop better solutions and technologies for
21 pollution control?

22 A. We did. We actually did the technology. A
23 lot of it was in just regulatory conversation,
24 dialog, exchange of facts, but in fact in the
25 technology area we were able to actually work with

1 EPA on some joint technology developments on some
2 pollution reduction programs and with some others
3 companies that came out specifically as a result,
4 for example, of the last bullet under the pledge.

5 Q. Would you read that please?

6 A. "Search worldwide for technology to reduce
7 and eliminate waste from our operations, with the
8 top priority being not making it in the first
9 place."

10 Q. In addition to the background you had
11 mentioned that you had at Monsanto, have you
12 served, Mr. Pierle, on advisory panels assembled by
13 senate committees and EPA?

14 A. Certainly by EPA. The senate committees, I
15 guess I would have to think on that. We did a lot
16 of work in testifying at the request of senate
17 actions, and we were deeply involved in some
18 national Superfund commission work at one time, Mr.
19 Mahoney was, and I supported him on that. That we
20 reported back to the senate, but it was not a
21 senate activity or congressional activity.

22 Q. Did you serve on an advisory panel
23 established by the Congressional Senate Committee
24 on the Environment with the Office of Technology
25 Assessment in 1984?

1 A. I did.

2 Q. Did you also serve as a member of the EPA's
3 National Environmental Justice Advisory Board from
4 1995 to 1996?

5 A. I did.

6 MR. MILLER: Those are all the questions
7 I have for you at this time. Thank you, Mr.
8 Pierle.

9 MR. OAAS: Steve, are you going to ask
10 any questions?

11 MR. BROWN: No.

12

13 EXAMINATION BY MR. OAAS:

14 Q. Just a few follow-up, Mr. Pierle. Taking
15 into account all of the testimony you gave in
16 response to Mr. Miller's questions and taking into
17 account all the recognitions, awards, all the work
18 you did with the EPA and other environmental groups
19 and your work with Monsanto, what I want to know is
20 in your opinion you feel that Pharmacia/Monsanto is
21 living up to the words in the Monsanto Pledge in
22 its dealings with the alleged pollution of Big
23 Spring Creek by PCB's? That's what I want to know.

24 MR. MILLER: I object to the form of the
25 question.

1 THE WITNESS: I would -- for what I know
2 about the Big Springs activity I would say yes.

3 Q. (BY MR. OAAS) Okay. Same question with
4 respect to the pollution control statements and the
5 policy statement on Deposition Exhibit Number 2.

6 MR. MILLER: Object to the form.

7 MR. OAAS: Just the first page.

8 A. Again I would say yes.

9 MR. OAAS: That's all I have.

10

11 EXAMINATION BY MR. MILLER:

12 Q. Just one follow-up. Mr. Pierle, are you
13 aware that in 1970 Monsanto sent to its customers,
14 its direct customers and distributors, letters
15 advising them of emerging issues of the
16 environmental persistence of PCB's?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And are you aware that --

19 MR. OAAS: I'll object to that as it
20 goes beyond the scope of the notice and leading
21 question.

22 Q. (BY MR. MILLER) Can you tell us, Mr.
23 Pierle, what kind of information Monsanto was
24 providing to its customers, its direct customers
25 and distributors of PCB's in the early 1970s about

1 the environmental persistence of PCB's?

2 MR. OAAS: Same objection.

3 THE WITNESS: Well, I know that at the
4 time the issues, the environmental issues began to
5 evolve around PCB's in the late '60's and became
6 public that Monsanto did in its own deliberations
7 to understand what those issues were that were
8 making similar sort of notifications of fact and
9 development on the issues to their customers to
10 keep them abreast of the sort of evolving
11 information with respect to PCB's in the
12 environment.

13 Q. Mr. Pierle, are you aware of the actions
14 Monsanto undertook in August of 1970 with respect
15 to the sale of PCB's for so called open system
16 uses?

17 MR. OAAS: Same objection.

18 THE WITNESS: I think earlier in
19 response to another question I indicated that the
20 open system use, the production of PCB's for open
21 system use was suspended.

22 Q. (BY MR. MILLER) Are you aware of whether or
23 not that action was undertaken voluntarily by
24 Monsanto?

25 A. Yes, it was.

1 Q. It was voluntary?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Was that voluntary action in suspending
4 sales of PCB's for open systems uses consistent
5 with the policy and goals of both the documents
6 that plaintiffs marked as Exhibits 1 and 2, the
7 pledge itself and the policy statement?

8 A. I would say yes.

9 MR. MILLER: That's all I have. Thank
10 you.

11

12 Whereupon the deposition was concluded.

13 Read and sign.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATION

I have read the foregoing transcript
of my testimony and have indicated the same by my
signature.

MICHAEL A. PIERLE

Subscribed and sworn to before me by
the said Michael A. Pierle, this _____ day of
_____, 2006.

Notary Public

My commission expires _____

1 STATE OF WYOMING)

2)

3 COUNTY OF TETON)

4
5 I, Joanna M. Walters, Court Reporter
6 and Notary Public in and for the County of Teton
7 and State of Wyoming, do hereby certify that
8 Michael A. Pierle was duly sworn to testify to the
9 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth;

10 That the foregoing transcript
11 consisting of 55 pages, is a true record of the
12 testimony given by the said Michael A. Pierle, with
13 all other proceedings herein contained.

14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
15 set my hand and seal of office at Jackson, Wyoming,
16 this 12th day of July, 2006.

17
18 _____
19 Joanna M. Walters
20

21 _____
22
23 Commission expires
24
25

A able 48:25 abreast 52:10 absence 7:19 abutting 10:2 accident 20:1 account 37:21 50:15,17 achieved 44:1 acquainted 12:16 act 7:24 20:16 action 4:17 52:23 53:3 actions 49:17 52:13 active 22:9 47:22 activities 34:8 42:2 42:7 activity 21:19 23:20 24:15,19,19 49:21,21 51:2 adam 2:9 9:24 23:10 add 37:9 addition 49:10 additional 16:18 44:9 address 5:22,23 administrative 24:13 adopt 16:9 adopted 14:3 48:5 adopting 14:21 adversaries 48:4 adversary 48:10,11 48:13 advising 51:15 advisory 49:12,22 50:3 affairs 7:21 agencies 7:4 10:24 18:15 27:2 47:23 47:23 48:19 agency 7:23 11:12 27:25 agree 25:20 29:25 30:25 31:16,19 33:8 34:15 35:20 37:7 agreed 32:20 agreement 11:19	agricultural 20:14 20:15 agriculture 20:14 26:18 ahead 11:4 27:8 air 7:4 8:10 19:23 19:23,24 20:2 42:22 43:1,14,15 43:21,24 alive 13:5 allegation 38:2 allegations 10:1,5 alleged 50:22 amount 19:2 24:18 34:25 44:24 analytical 7:13 angus 1:7 anniston 9:10,13 9:16,17 11:9 22:7 22:8 40:23 annual 46:2 answer 22:22 23:9 23:22 29:21 31:24 32:17 34:5 35:4 36:2 39:12 40:3 40:15 answers 36:11,12 36:14,17 anthony 1:19 4:3,9 antler 1:20 anybody 19:16 21:7 anyway 16:16 apparent 15:20 31:2 appear 5:9 appearances 2:1 appearing 5:11 appears 28:15 31:6 applauded 46:10 approach 48:5 area 7:8 9:3 14:25 26:18 27:11 34:8 48:25 areas 25:11 26:18 articulated 16:15 asked 32:20 40:16 asking 16:11 22:23 22:24 23:11,12 27:6 35:2 40:12	aspect 22:6 aspects 17:14 32:25 46:17,22 assembled 49:12 assess 34:24 assesses 29:10 assessment 49:25 assessments 9:4 assistance 21:25 22:16,25 23:14 assisting 23:6 associated 48:7 attempt 44:8 47:15 47:19 attempted 16:5 attempting 14:20 18:12 attention 19:9 20:2 attic 21:14 attorney 4:15 5:7 5:10 22:20 23:8 audience 14:1 august 52:14 available 24:4 award 46:2 awards 50:17 aware 10:16 45:11 51:13,18 52:13,22	began 6:8,24 52:4 behalf 40:14 believe 8:5 13:6,9 29:17 30:13 37:7 45:24 47:14 beneficial 16:21 20:24 21:1 benefit 20:19 berry 2:15 better 15:9 16:17 20:14 28:3 45:7 46:16 48:20 beyond 27:5 29:20 31:23 34:4,22 40:13 51:20 bhopal 19:25 big 10:6 34:16,19 35:1,24 50:22 51:2 biotechnology 20:17 biphenyls 9:19 bit 12:11 14:22 18:21 board 50:3 bottom 31:9 box 2:4,16,21 3:3 briefly 9:24 broad 13:13 45:18 broader 28:2 43:7 brown 2:19 5:10,17 6:22 50:11 browning 2:15 budget 24:22 budgets 24:14 built 7:7 12:3 bullet 42:4,8 49:4 bullets 46:23 bunch 18:24 burleigh 1:6,7 business 9:5 34:1 34:10,12 38:17,22 46:13 businesses 17:5,6 34:9	19:1 41:19 45:18 52:15 calling 43:4 44:7 calls 22:19 25:1 34:23 cant 20:21 36:13 capacities 12:24 career 11:5 14:24 carondelet 2:11 case 5:1 9:21,25 10:4 37:12 38:4 47:7 cases 9:10,13 16:4 40:23 catching 32:8 catherine 2:14 cause 1:3 18:3 caused 35:24 causing 36:8 centers 10:5 ceo 12:21 13:1 certain 13:8 28:8 37:11 certainly 6:24 14:10 17:23 18:2 20:25 21:20 25:4 28:1 33:17,20 37:5 38:20,22 40:17 49:14 certification 54:1 certified 4:16 certify 55:7 chairman 12:21 13:1 characterization 13:25 17:12 characterize 17:8 characterized 14:2 charged 21:23 chemical 1:13 8:3 8:14 11:8,16 chemicals 20:15 chronological 6:17 class 4:17 cleaned 34:20 35:25 cleanup 8:11 9:19 11:10,12 22:7 25:12,13 clear 47:18
		B b 5:3 27:5 31:8 33:5,24 34:13 40:14 bachelors 6:7 back 18:5,6 26:8 33:12,14 39:1 49:20 background 14:23 49:10 backwardlooking 32:2,7 balance 6:12 based 26:23 33:1 33:18 basically 7:1 8:3,18 8:24 14:3 16:14 25:12 28:19 42:17 43:14 45:6 46:12 48:12 bear 28:15	c 31:8 33:5 call 5:12 called 10:6 13:12	

clearly 24:14 26:19 27:14 client 22:20 23:8 closed 30:9,11 31:5 codified 41:19 collaborating 48:18 collaboration 48:15 columbia 5:11 commerce 6:15 7:19 commission 49:18 54:17 55:23 commitment 42:20 43:1 commitments 26:5 43:5 44:9 46:4,15 46:15 committee 37:3,5 49:23 committees 49:13 49:14 communication 23:4 27:12 communications 27:15 communities 30:22 32:12 45:19 46:25 47:1 community 27:21 45:13 companies 1:15 11:21 43:6 44:9 48:17 49:3 company 1:13 6:2 6:8 8:12,15 11:8 11:17 12:22,22 15:1 16:9,19 17:23 18:13,25 21:14 23:6 37:4 38:17,19 40:15 41:20 47:25 companys 41:21 completed 36:15 complex 38:10 39:25 compound 21:17 concern 37:10 concerned 31:13	36:21 37:20 concluded 53:12 conclusion 39:12 conclusions 34:23 conference 5:11 conferences 43:3 confirm 5:19 congres 47:24 congressional 49:21,23 connection 42:8 47:10 conservation 13:21 45:13 consider 19:16 considerable 20:1 consideration 17:15,16 considered 34:10 37:18 consistency 19:4 33:10 consistent 33:6,17 33:20 36:25 37:10 53:4 consisting 55:11 consultation 24:4,9 consulted 41:4 consulting 6:2,3 9:3 11:19 contacts 26:10 contained 55:13 contaminated 10:7 contamination 36:7 context 26:2,6,13 27:19 39:13,20 continue 15:16 20:10 25:17 continued 30:11 control 29:8 30:15 31:12,17 32:6,9 33:5,13,25 34:1,8 36:21 37:3 39:2 48:8,21 51:4 conversation 48:23 conversations 22:24 cooperative 48:6 48:11	coordinating 37:4 corporate 6:12 8:6 8:10,16 13:21 15:4 24:2 37:1 corporation 27:23 correct 5:4,5 9:8,11 12:8 30:5,13 31:5 33:2,15 46:6 correctly 29:12 30:23 31:14 cost 34:1,10,12 couldnt 5:17 35:11 38:21 44:25 45:6 council 13:21 counsel 41:24 countrys 48:1 county 1:2 4:17 9:22 10:6 34:17 38:1 39:8 40:7 55:3,6 couple 41:11 course 6:18 court 1:1,24 55:5 covering 32:13 creation 20:15 creek 10:7 34:16,19 35:1,25 50:23 crow 12:12 currently 5:25 customer 23:2 customers 30:21 32:11 38:6,7,7 39:18 51:13,14,24 51:24 52:9	debate 47:20 decentralized 15:2 decided 21:4 decisionmaking 46:19 decisions 38:9 47:5 deeply 49:17 defendants 1:17 2:8 4:24 defending 23:7 defining 48:16 definition 47:21 degradation 29:9 47:10 degree 6:7 degrees 16:18 deliberation 37:12 deliberations 52:6 department 1:14 5:7 6:15 7:19 21:24 22:17 23:5 23:15 24:3 deponents 54:1 deposed 9:9 deposition 1:19 4:13 5:10 6:22 9:6 13:11 28:5 41:12 51:5 53:12 depositions 9:7,17 describe 6:16 12:19 14:5,20 40:19 42:7 described 25:11 43:16 destin 5:24 detailed 36:10 determines 37:15 develop 25:17 48:20 developing 37:22 development 52:9 developments 49:1 dialog 48:15,24 dick 18:8 19:22 didnt 11:4 different 47:12 differently 44:22 difficult 17:20 diligence 9:4 diligently 29:9	direct 11:19,21 15:22 18:2 38:5 39:22 48:1 51:14 51:24 directed 24:18 directing 19:9 directions 48:2 directly 17:18 24:11 director 8:7 11:6 45:16 disagree 25:20 discharge 10:24 disclosure 26:4,4 discussion 5:15 15:24 18:22 21:8 48:14 discussions 9:23 19:15,16 26:9 27:20 disputed 47:16 distributors 1:15 51:14,25 district 1:1 document 16:5 19:3 26:3 28:23 29:1,2,7,20 36:24 40:12,20 41:23 documents 24:1 53:5 doe 1:15 doing 6:1 7:13 8:25 16:16,17,20 18:14 20:21 26:2,15 27:17 28:16 34:1 34:10,12 47:3 dominant 27:11 dont 4:25 6:4 10:10 14:11,12,18 17:18 18:8 21:7 24:20 24:23 32:1,3 33:23 39:14 drive 5:24 12:12 dubois 11:25 12:6,7 12:9 due 9:4 duly 4:4 55:8 duties 6:20 dv200455 1:3
--	---	--	---	---

E e 31:8 33:5 earlier 33:11 36:25 52:18 early 13:4 15:19 21:11 22:18 37:3 51:25 east 12:11 education 6:6 effect 18:2,3 42:6 43:12 effluence 7:16 effort 5:20 20:14 23:25 43:16 44:17 44:20 efforts 15:15 20:6 37:3 42:14 44:11 45:10 46:20 either 11:16 14:1 22:13 23:14 40:23 electrical 30:7 element 43:7 eliminate 49:7 embody 44:17 emerging 51:15 emission 42:22 emissions 20:2,3,7 20:11,22 42:5 43:1,10,21,24 employed 10:12 employee 33:1 employees 30:21 32:11 41:21 employment 6:5,18 32:23 ended 48:16 engaging 48:6 enormous 20:13 entire 15:5 34:13 entities 4:19 entitled 41:13 entry 43:8 environment 8:16 10:2 15:25 17:3 17:22 20:17,19,25 29:11 43:8,15 49:24 52:12 environmental 7:1 7:12,21,24 8:2,7 8:14 10:20 11:7,7	14:24 15:3,6,10 15:14,22 16:7 18:6,15 21:6,10 21:13 26:12 27:21 29:3,9 30:1 35:24 36:7 37:2 38:12 38:13,19 45:19 46:1,2 47:9,22 48:1 50:3,18 51:16 52:1,4 epa 7:22 43:4 44:3 48:19 49:1,13,14 50:18 epas 50:2 eppenberger 2:10 equipped 15:9 especially 32:24 46:24 essentials 25:19 established 49:23 estimate 25:3 evaluation 29:3 30:1 eventual 41:5 eventually 37:14 everybody 14:5 47:18 evolution 17:21 47:8 evolve 27:25 52:5 evolving 52:10 exactly 11:20 examination 3:8,9 4:7 41:10 50:13 51:11 example 22:15 39:21 49:4 examples 45:9 exchange 48:24 executive 6:14 45:16 executives 43:5 44:8 exhibit 3:11,12 13:11 14:8,13 19:6 25:15 28:5,7 41:12,16,24 42:4 51:5 exhibits 53:6 existed 18:24 20:5	27:10 37:2 existence 21:21 32:13 existent 20:8 existing 43:19 exists 37:23 expanding 7:15 27:19 experience 33:1 36:6 expires 54:17 55:23 explaining 27:17 expression 18:16 extend 43:14 extent 25:9 32:7 38:15 39:10 45:22 47:4 external 15:16,24 16:20 externalize 16:3 externally 14:6 18:14 F f 31:9 33:5 facilities 7:7 11:10 44:15 facing 38:13 fact 38:9 48:24 52:8 factbased 36:9 factor 17:15 38:8 facts 36:5 37:17 48:24 fair 17:8,12 19:2 21:2 38:11 fairly 15:2 falls 3:4 familiar 13:17 36:5 40:13 41:15 far 8:25 21:2,10,11 32:9,23 33:14 fashion 6:17 10:11 14:3 federal 7:23 47:23 federation 14:7 25:7 45:17 federations 13:21 feel 18:20,21 39:17 50:20	felt 15:8,25 27:14 28:1 39:15 felton 1:5 fergus 1:2 4:17 34:17 38:1 39:8 39:14 40:7 figured 12:3 find 20:23 36:11,16 44:17,21 45:4,7 finding 26:17 finds 33:12 fine 27:7 finished 6:6 first 4:4 11:18 14:25 19:9,10 28:6 29:13,15,15 29:25 30:15,18 31:9 32:6 39:2 42:4,8,15 45:21 48:14 49:8 51:7 fish 1:14 5:8 five 7:2 24:24 25:4 flies 12:12 florida 5:25 12:14 focus 7:14 20:4,17 focused 17:4 26:19 folks 48:18 follow 35:16 following 8:25 9:2 36:11 follows 4:5 followup 50:14 51:12 forefront 26:17 foregoing 54:3 55:10 form 14:13,16 16:10 25:24 27:4 31:22 32:15 34:3 34:21 39:9 40:8 44:7 50:24 51:6 formal 6:6 formally 6:1 formation 18:5 forward 18:12 44:20 forwardlooking 32:3 foundation 29:20 31:23 32:16 34:4	34:24 35:3 40:11 frame 12:25 22:18 24:18 30:12 44:2 framework 35:2 freedom 16:19 friendly 20:16 fulfilling 46:4 full 19:9 fully 42:19 function 34:13 furgus 9:22 10:6 future 16:1,7 17:7 18:16 25:19 G g 31:9 33:5 garlington 2:20 general 23:13 35:23 generally 6:16 10:15 generating 30:8 generation 13:19 generic 40:17 give 6:4 16:16 22:15,16 24:16 25:2 28:22 given 23:25 41:25 55:12 go 7:19 11:4 25:14 27:8 goal 42:6 43:12 goals 43:13 53:5 goes 8:25 27:4 29:7 29:20 31:7,22 32:9 34:4,22 40:13 51:20 going 5:9 9:16 10:9 12:13 13:10 19:5 21:5,13 23:3 28:4 28:22 35:17 39:1 50:9 good 16:8 government 27:2 45:19 graduating 6:7 great 3:4 greater 47:4,15 greenpeace 46:10 46:12
--	---	---	--	---

group 7:1,13 21:12 21:22,25 22:1 23:15 24:1 46:1 groups 16:20 18:16 22:13 26:11,12 27:21,21 45:20,20 50:18 growth 15:9 guess 10:1 11:3 12:10 13:19 17:25 25:5 34:11 37:9 40:17 45:20 49:15 guessing 38:24 guidelines 15:14 18:23 gulf 5:23,24	historically 27:11 44:23 history 6:5 17:23 17:24 hit 26:6 hole 1:24 hooked 5:18 hoven 2:15 husch 2:10	indirect 18:1 indirectly 17:19,25 individual 10:3 individually 1:7 industry 43:4 44:8 45:20 46:13 influence 27:22 information 22:20 23:12 51:23 52:11 initially 13:23 45:15 initiative 19:22 inside 14:25 16:19 18:25 20:13 37:4 47:17 instance 22:25 instituted 15:13 instruct 22:21 23:8 intended 36:16 39:16 48:12 intent 18:17 interest 31:14 34:18,18 35:22,23 36:22 37:11,13,14 37:20 interests 37:16 interface 10:23 internal 15:15,17 18:22,23 19:16 internally 8:13 14:5 20:11,13 interpret 33:19 40:12 interrupt 11:4 introduce 4:13 25:18 invades 22:20 involve 47:1 involved 9:25 10:17 11:10 13:18 47:14 49:17 involvement 11:5 17:10 involving 47:4 isnt 5:7 18:4 issuance 19:21 issue 36:4,4 38:20 38:22 39:23 issued 42:12 issues 9:18 10:21	10:24 16:7 21:4 21:13,22 22:4,7 22:10,11 27:3 38:6,12,19 47:5 48:7 51:15 52:4,4 52:7,9 ive 9:7 14:11,12 28:25 41:12,15 izaak 45:25	32:3,23 33:23 34:5 35:19 36:4 38:15 40:2 50:19 50:23 51:1 52:3 knowing 36:14 knowledge 19:18 38:7 43:20 known 13:22 26:8 krummrich 10:22
H	I		J	L
haffeman 3:2 half 24:24 31:9 hand 13:10 28:4 55:15 happened 18:17 hard 35:16 hare 45:15,22 harry 1:5 hatley 3:2 haugen 1:5 havent 12:3 33:9 hazardous 42:5,22 43:10 head 8:13 43:3 44:3 heading 29:3,25 30:14,14,18 headquarters 6:13 health 8:17 24:2 hear 5:13 held 8:9,18 helen 2:17 help 15:15 36:16 helped 16:16 helpfully 36:3 helping 10:23 48:7 herbicides 20:16 herculean 5:20 hereunto 55:14 hes 13:8 40:13,14 hisorical 17:14 historical 22:4 24:1 32:19,25	idaho 12:7 idea 24:16 33:4 identified 9:24 identify 10:17 ill 4:13,18 9:9 13:15 23:8 25:15 28:23 51:19 illinois 6:9,25 10:15 10:22 11:1,2 im 4:14 6:1 8:20 9:8,12 13:8,10,17 21:15 22:23,24 23:11,11 25:5 28:4,8,8,22 29:5 29:13 31:10 35:15 36:2,5 37:11 38:24 41:7 immediately 45:21 impact 20:25 29:10 48:1 impacted 17:2 implement 42:20 implied 48:10 imply 32:19 implying 39:10 important 38:20,21 include 37:25 39:7 39:11 40:5,6 included 11:9 43:7 including 16:23 inclusive 16:25 incorporated 6:3 index 3:7,11 india 20:1 indicated 5:8 6:24 14:23 16:4 18:13 28:18 44:3 52:19 54:4 indicating 32:8	j 1:5 12:16 41:14 jackson 1:21,24 4:1 11:24 12:6 55:15 january 25:7 33:7 41:14,25 jay 45:15 jersey 6:11 7:9,11 28:20 joanna 55:5,19 job 6:20 7:20 jobs 8:10 john 1:15 joined 6:25 8:6 joins 6:22 joint 49:1 judge 32:4 38:21 judicial 1:1 july 1:20 4:1 28:15 29:17 31:17 33:14 38:11 55:16 justice 50:3 juxtapositioning 26:3	lack 31:23 32:16 34:4 land 43:9 large 15:7 largest 38:13 lasted 11:20,20 late 8:5,12 10:16 13:2 15:12,19 47:7 52:5 laughner 2:14 lawsuit 35:21 lawyers 24:12 leader 47:17,17 leaders 47:18 leadership 46:14 47:16,19 leading 51:20 league 45:25 leave 7:18 leaving 10:18 led 13:19 legal 21:24 22:17 23:5,15 34:23 39:11 legislators 26:13 lettered 31:8 letters 51:14 level 8:16 21:9,20 levels 20:5 21:18 lewistown 2:5 4:15 limitations 30:6 limited 23:23 limits 10:25 lines 15:6 literature 46:11 litigation 21:21 23:7,7,19 28:13 little 9:23 12:11 14:22	
			K	
		k 1:13 kaleczyc 2:15 keep 46:25 52:10 key 17:14 28:2 kind 10:10 14:19 18:3 21:7 22:16 22:24 23:13 40:16 51:23 know 4:24,25,25 9:12,21 12:25 13:5,7,11 14:11 15:21 18:8 21:11 24:5 26:7,21 29:24 31:25 32:1		

live 10:5 12:1 38:3 lives 13:7 living 50:21 loaned 6:14 located 10:6 11:1 12:9 lohn 2:20 look 44:14 looking 18:12 26:8 29:13 43:7 44:20 48:15 loss 10:1 losses 10:19 43:15 lot 16:3,15 18:14 20:4 22:4 27:11 27:20 38:18 48:23 49:15 louis 2:12 6:13 8:1 13:8 low 24:21,22	marty 1:5 massachusetts 13:9 matter 17:1 matters 8:8 15:3,7 15:10,22 23:16 28:20 47:25 maxon 3:1 mean 11:4 18:22 19:20 24:20 32:1 34:2 38:18 40:25 meaning 39:11 means 37:11 meant 20:9 26:2 34:7 meet 15:16 meeting 12:5 meets 37:15 member 50:2 members 45:12 mentioned 46:18 49:11 met 4:12 method 41:18 methodically 33:22 methodologies 45:8 michael 1:19 4:3,9 54:8,11 55:8,12 mid 7:17,25 13:2,4 15:1,3,12,19 miles 12:10 miller 2:9 3:9 5:5 5:19 13:13 16:10 21:17 22:19 23:4 23:16,18,22 25:1 25:24 27:4 29:19 31:22 32:15 34:3 34:21 35:10,13 36:1 38:15 39:9 40:8,11,25 41:10 42:12 50:6,24 51:6,11,22 52:22 53:9 millers 50:16 mind 18:8 19:14 20:9 26:21 40:5 minute 28:22 minutes 35:17 missoula 2:22 mistake 26:7 mistakes 25:17,22	mo 2:12 monitoring 7:3 monsanto 1:13 3:13,14,15 4:18 4:18,19,21 5:2,3 6:1,8,9,18 7:25 8:3,4,6,14,18 9:6 9:19,20 10:13 11:16 12:20,22 13:12,17,22 14:4 14:20,21,25 15:7 15:13,23,24 16:3 16:5,8,22 17:9 18:6 19:2 20:13 21:3,11 24:6 26:24 28:12,16 29:7 30:4,19 31:3 31:17,20 32:8,24 33:2,7,11,13 36:20 37:4,14 38:2,13,25 39:3 39:15,17 40:3 41:13,19 42:16,18 43:17,20,23 44:4 44:13,19 45:12 46:8,14,19,20 47:8 48:4 49:11 50:19,20,21 51:13 51:23 52:6,14,24 monsantos 8:6 15:17 17:10,21,22 19:23 32:13 42:3 42:7 47:24 montana 1:1,14 2:5 4:15,17 5:7 34:17 35:11,22 38:1 39:8 40:6,7,18 montanans 34:17 35:22 morning 19:19 motel 1:21 motivation 37:17 move 15:15 moved 7:9 27:14 48:10 moving 15:6 34:12 mt 2:17,22 3:4	name 4:8,10,14 narrow 13:14,16 national 7:24 13:20 14:6 25:6 45:16 49:18 50:3 nature 10:25 23:3 necessarily 38:24 39:18 40:21 necessary 35:1 necessity 34:25 need 22:2 29:8 37:19,20 needed 15:8 17:6 21:25 22:5 24:8 42:24 needs 13:16 never 26:1 new 6:11 7:9,11 19:1 20:23,23 25:18 26:17,20 28:20 29:4,10 30:1 nonpcb 24:15,19 nonpublic 26:4 norm 47:6,6 norms 27:10 notary 54:15 55:6 notice 27:5 31:23 34:5,22 40:14 51:20 notifications 52:8 number 24:17,17 24:21,22 28:6 31:7 41:13,16,24 42:4 43:4 51:5 numbers 24:23	51:3,7,9,19 52:2 52:17 object 16:10 22:19 22:21 23:3 25:24 27:4 29:19 31:22 32:15 34:3,21 35:17 39:9 40:8 50:24 51:6,19 objection 21:17 36:1 52:2,17 objections 35:9 objective 45:2,3 observations 27:6 obtainable 14:14 obvious 39:22 occupation 5:22 office 2:4 7:21 49:24 55:15 officials 27:2 offsite 22:11 okay 6:16 10:4 11:15,23 12:1 14:19 21:9 22:15 25:5 26:23 28:22 29:2 33:21 39:1 40:22 51:3 old 9:20 oldest 33:14 once 14:2 ongoing 11:14 22:6 25:13 42:25 open 26:25 31:5 35:12,13 46:25 52:15,20,20 53:4 openness 26:5 operated 15:1 operates 30:22 32:12 operating 8:2 38:23 operations 11:8 17:2,3 20:8,12,22 22:9 28:21 43:11 47:1 49:7 opinion 16:13 17:17 26:24 35:3 50:20 opposed 48:3 order 47:25 organization 15:4,7
M				
m 4:1 55:5,19 mahoney 12:17 19:22 20:20 25:6 25:22 41:15,25 42:23 49:19 mahoneys 13:19 14:6 17:4 18:8 33:6 main 7:14 making 26:21 34:14 46:3,5,15 46:18 47:3 49:8 52:8 managed 22:12 management 11:7 15:15 manager 8:1 10:20 10:22 mankind 25:19 manner 15:2 41:18 manufactured 23:1 31:20 38:2 44:18 manufacturing 8:4 9:20 10:18 17:13 20:8 30:4,10 31:4 39:22 43:11 marked 13:10 28:5 41:12,15,23 53:6				
N				
n 1:13				
O				
o 2:16,21 3:3 oaas 2:3 3:8 4:7,14 5:4,6,16,21 13:15 16:12 22:23 23:10 23:17,21 24:16 25:2 26:23 27:8 28:4,11 29:24 32:5,20 34:15 35:5,8,12,15 36:18 39:1 40:1,9 40:22 41:2,7 42:10 50:9,13				

15:8,16 organizations 46:10 ought 48:14 outside 26:20 47:3 47:4,25 outward 26:9 overall 25:10 owners 10:3,3	34:25 35:24 38:2 38:6,12 50:23 51:16,25 52:1,5 52:11,15,20 53:4 pearl 1:21 people 16:19 22:1 24:4,8,13,23 26:10 27:25 35:20 38:18 40:17 peoples 24:6 percent 19:24 24:24,24,24,25 25:4 43:13,21,24 45:9 percentage 24:17 25:10 period 6:14,19 11:22 19:25 22:14 47:13 persistence 51:16 52:1 person 5:2 7:12 8:14 personal 16:12 18:20 27:6,24 35:3 personally 16:11 17:25 47:14 persons 1:8 persuaded 28:25 pharmacia 1:13 4:18,22,23 5:2 9:6 11:16 28:12 50:20 phone 5:18 6:22 phrase 32:5 piece 5:20 23:19 pierle 1:19 4:3,9,11 4:12 5:21 6:3,4 9:22 13:15 23:5 23:14 34:2,24 35:19 36:19 40:22 41:11 49:12 50:8 50:14 51:12,23 52:13 54:8,11 55:8,12 place 12:3 13:9 15:4 19:15 22:5 49:9 placed 43:13 plaintiffs 1:9,20 2:2	4:16 14:8 28:5,12 34:16 35:21 41:24 53:6 plans 11:13 plant 6:8,11,25 7:1 7:2,6,10 10:14,21 10:22,23 11:1 22:9 28:19,20 39:21 43:21,23 plants 26:11 46:25 play 41:4 plaza 2:11 please 4:8,10 5:22 10:11 35:7 49:5 pledge 3:13,15 5:3 13:12,17,22,24 14:10,16,21 15:23 16:2,8,22,24 17:9 17:15,16 18:4,12 18:22 19:2,3,15 19:21 26:14 33:8 33:12,17 41:13,19 42:3,9,12,16,21 43:2 44:5,12,13 44:17 45:4,13,14 45:18 46:3,4,7,9 46:17,22 47:2 48:5 49:4 50:21 53:7 point 7:5,12 17:5 points 43:8 policies 48:2 policy 3:14 7:24 18:6 31:12,17,19 33:13,25 36:21 47:20 51:5 53:5,7 pollutants 19:23,24 polluted 38:3 pollution 7:16 8:11 19:23 20:5 28:19 30:15 31:12,16 32:6,9 33:4,12,25 33:25 34:8 35:24 36:20 39:2 48:8,8 48:21 49:2 50:22 51:4 polychlorinated 9:18 position 8:5,15,17 8:20,21 16:5	18:13 32:19 positioned 17:6 positions 47:24 48:13,16 possible 18:1 post 2:4 19:25 42:10 potential 10:18 potentially 34:23 preceded 24:5 predominantly 7:5 21:23 22:13 preparation 9:24 president 1:6 8:16 24:2 press 43:2 presume 36:13 pretty 13:13 14:24 21:3 35:16 36:10 40:1 42:17 46:1 primarily 7:3 primary 44:23 principally 7:22 9:3,17 22:8 prior 12:23 19:21 42:21,23 43:1 priority 49:8 privilege 22:21 23:8 privileged 23:12 probably 17:13 38:23 problem 36:8 37:23 38:13 problems 21:6,6,10 37:24 47:22 proceedings 55:13 process 36:15,15 37:13 46:19,21 processes 29:4,11 30:2 36:10,12 37:21 produced 5:2 10:15 28:12 product 16:24,25 21:22 38:9 39:24 production 10:16 17:3,10,13 25:21 44:14 52:20 products 16:23	20:23 21:1 29:4 29:11 30:1 34:12 34:14 39:16,24 44:18,21 45:1 program 42:18 43:14 programincorpo... 45:9 programs 11:13 15:18 17:21 20:3 20:10 43:12 49:2 progress 46:5 project 7:15 projects 10:19 11:11 22:12 promoted 45:17 prong 42:15 44:12 prongs 44:13 46:9 pronounced 11:3 properties 10:3 property 10:1,2,3 11:23,25 34:16 35:21 proposed 29:4,10 30:1 protection 8:2 10:20 provide 22:3 25:18 26:20 providing 20:25 47:21 51:24 public 15:21 16:16 20:4 26:4 27:1,13 27:17 30:20 31:14 32:10 34:18 35:23 36:22 37:11,13,16 37:20 39:4,14,20 39:21 40:4,5,10 40:18,20,20 41:20 42:23 46:15,21 47:20 52:6 54:15 55:6 publics 15:21 27:22 purpose 23:6 30:11 pursuant 5:3 pursuing 23:6 pushed 28:1 put 5:16 15:4 21:15
P				
p 2:16,21 3:3 page 3:8,9,13,14,15 19:6 25:15 30:15 31:9 51:7 pages 55:11 paid 20:2 paint 1:15 panel 49:22 panels 49:12 paragraph 19:10 25:14 29:14,15 30:18 31:13 paragraphs 31:8 parks 1:14 5:8 part 6:10 12:2 13:23,24 16:6 17:20,24 22:9 34:1,9,13 39:5 40:9,18,19,19 44:6 45:21 46:24 48:14 particular 5:1 9:21 14:16 23:2,19 31:24 36:13 particularly 20:2 partners 48:7 parts 22:14 party 37:8 paulson 1:5 pcb 9:18 10:18 21:12 24:18 pcbs 9:25 10:2,7,10 10:12,15 11:6 16:23 17:11,14,24 19:17 21:4,6,9,12 21:19 22:8,10 23:1 24:5 25:8,21 27:3 30:5,10 31:4 31:21 32:25 34:19				
Q				

question 13:14 16:11 23:13 28:6 29:23 32:16 33:11 33:19 34:4,22 35:5,12,16 36:3,7 36:13,18 37:15 38:10 39:10,25 40:1,17 50:25 51:3,21 52:19	20:4,7,11 43:12 43:20 44:14,24 45:6 49:6	reports 7:23 represent 4:15 representative 27:13 representing 5:11 request 49:16 required 43:20 requirements 11:13 15:17 residence 12:13 residents 39:7 40:6 40:7 resolve 48:7 resources 22:2 23:14 respect 11:6,12 12:19 15:2,17,25 17:21 18:23 19:5 20:22 21:19,21 22:11 23:18,25 24:13 33:7 42:2 42:14,21 44:12 45:13 47:11 51:4 52:11,14 responding 24:11 response 50:16 52:19 responsibilities 6:20 7:10 11:9 30:20 39:4,11,14 responsibility 21:23 32:10 39:19 39:24 40:4 responsible 7:22 39:15,18,19 rest 36:24 38:24 result 49:3 resulted 43:3 results 21:1 retained 13:3 retired 5:25 8:18 11:14 retirement 9:1,2 11:15,18 12:1 retiring 6:10 8:21 returned 7:25 reuse 44:18,25 45:5 45:7 reviewing 7:22 richard 12:16 33:6	41:14 right 4:20 15:21 18:19 23:21 33:24 35:18 41:23 robinson 2:20 robison 1:6 role 9:4 10:20 11:6 13:3 15:22,25 24:12 41:4 47:9 47:11,16,19 roles 7:3 rooted 33:18,22 roots 18:5 33:12 rough 24:16 rule 5:3 rundown 6:5	sent 51:13 sentence 19:10,12 19:20 29:15,25 32:6 39:2,5 separate 43:1 serve 49:22 50:2 served 49:12 set 15:13 55:15 settlement 41:5 seven 44:13 shaped 17:22 shareholders 30:21 32:11 shelved 21:15 shore 5:23,24 shortly 4:12 show 19:4 side 8:4 33:9,9 46:13 sign 53:13 signature 54:5 signed 41:14 significant 46:1 similar 7:10 43:5 43:11 44:9 52:8 similarly 1:8 simple 40:2 simply 19:11 20:10 sir 41:8 sit 19:19 site 3:13 7:2 8:11 9:4,20 11:11 14:14 22:9 sites 39:21 sitting 36:14 situated 1:8 situation 37:25 six 44:13 small 22:1 25:9 smaller 7:10 sold 23:2 31:21 solely 27:15 48:10 solid 8:11 solutia 3:13 5:25 6:10,18 8:19,21 9:6 11:16,19 solutias 14:14 solution 16:6 37:23 solutions 47:21 48:16,20
quite 18:21 46:16 quote 46:11	reducing 20:22 42:5 43:23 reduction 29:8 43:13 49:2 refer 4:19 9:9 19:6 37:2 reference 3:12 6:19 19:17 referring 9:12 14:15 25:22 29:5 31:10 42:10 refers 31:3 42:4 reflect 41:18 reflected 42:17 reformulated 8:13 regard 33:25 regulation 43:19 regulations 7:23 regulators 26:12 27:12,16,20 47:11 47:12 48:4,6 regulatory 7:14 8:7 10:24 15:6 18:15 23:19 27:1,25 35:1 48:19,23 related 9:18 10:1 16:25 24:15 28:20 relates 32:16 relating 27:3 relationship 18:3 28:3 39:23 relationships 11:12 27:25 relative 11:11 17:1 24:21 38:16,17 release 43:8 releases 42:5,22 relevant 36:9 rely 27:15 remedial 34:25 remediated 34:20 remember 24:23 repeat 29:22 35:5 reported 22:13 49:20 reporter 55:5 reporting 1:24 7:4	R r 2:19 3:1 radar 21:10 ranch 1:7 ray 1:6 read 25:15 26:14 28:23 29:12 30:23 31:14 49:5 53:13 54:3 reading 36:23 reads 28:25 30:19 31:12 33:25 really 15:23 16:2 18:5 24:12,20,20 24:22 26:21 27:9 28:2 38:20 44:20 46:18 reasonable 25:3 recall 14:12,18 21:7 24:20 42:19 received 45:12 46:2 recognition 15:5 44:4,7 45:11,21 recognitions 50:17 recognized 30:19 32:9 34:7 39:3 40:4 45:25 46:13 recognizing 29:8 record 4:14 5:6,15 5:16 9:15 23:11 25:16 28:11 29:12 30:23 55:11 rectify 25:16 recycle 45:1,7 reduce 10:19 19:23	respect 11:6,12 12:19 15:2,17,25 17:21 18:23 19:5 20:22 21:19,21 22:11 23:18,25 24:13 33:7 42:2 42:14,21 44:12 45:13 47:11 51:4 52:11,14 responding 24:11 response 50:16 52:19 responsibilities 6:20 7:10 11:9 30:20 39:4,11,14 responsibility 21:23 32:10 39:19 39:24 40:4 responsible 7:22 39:15,18,19 rest 36:24 38:24 result 49:3 resulted 43:3 results 21:1 retained 13:3 retired 5:25 8:18 11:14 retirement 9:1,2 11:15,18 12:1 retiring 6:10 8:21 returned 7:25 reuse 44:18,25 45:5 45:7 reviewing 7:22 richard 12:16 33:6	S s 2:3 safety 8:17 sale 17:10,14 25:21 30:10 52:15 sales 53:4 sampling 7:13 satisfy 42:15 sauguet 11:2,3 saw 5:19 saying 20:20 35:15 says 19:10 31:18 37:19 39:3 scientific 24:7 scope 23:23 27:5 29:21 34:5,22 40:13 45:22 51:20 seal 55:15 search 49:6 second 19:6 25:15 45:1 secure 44:8 see 17:1 19:11 20:18 29:4 30:16 31:10 39:4 46:25 seeing 34:18 35:23 seen 9:7 14:8,10,11 14:12,15 25:25 27:24 28:6 selling 30:5,7 31:4 34:14 senate 49:13,14,16 49:20,21,23

somewhat 13:14	32:2,3,7,14,18	suspect 14:17 37:5	thank 41:7 50:7	today 14:9 36:14
sorry 8:20	33:2,6,15 37:19	suspended 52:21	53:9	top 29:2 49:8
sort 8:12 10:22	42:17,21 45:23	suspending 53:3	thats 4:16,19 5:5	topic 17:1
13:25 14:23 15:5	51:5 53:7	sworn 4:4 54:10	5:23 9:11 12:1,8	torgor 2:3 4:14
15:14 17:24 18:20	statements 18:6	55:8	13:13 19:1 20:9	tough 27:9
19:25 24:7 26:3	19:3 33:5,13 37:8	system 30:9,11	21:15 27:9 30:13	toxic 19:24 20:2
26:15 27:16 32:19	38:8 51:4	52:15,20,21	31:18 35:17 37:7	42:5,22 43:10
37:1 45:1 48:9,13	states 15:10 25:16	systematically	46:3,5 50:23 51:9	toxicology 24:3
52:8,10	stayed 6:9 8:4,17	29:10	53:9	toxins 43:15
sources 10:17	8:19,20	systems 7:16 31:5	thematically 33:10	trace 34:25
southeast 12:11	stephen 2:19	53:4	theme 47:2	transactions 9:5
southern 7:11	steve 5:10,13,17		theory 33:4	transcript 54:3
speaking 40:14,21	50:9	T	theres 31:23 38:1	55:10
special 44:4	strategically 20:12	take 17:16 37:21	theyre 5:9 33:17,19	transparency 26:5
specialist 28:19	stream 10:5,6 38:3	47:15,19 48:17	33:22	28:2
specific 13:16	streams 7:4	taken 1:19 43:17	thid 14:16	transparent 15:24
16:24	structure 15:4 37:1	talk 16:19	thing 16:9 21:5	26:11,25 46:21
specifically 49:3	struggle 37:6	talked 26:1	things 10:25 16:15	47:3
specifics 23:11	stuff 18:24	talking 10:9	23:2 37:4,18	transportation
speculation 25:1	subject 17:1 23:7	target 44:23	42:19 44:10	34:11
speech 13:19,20,24	23:16 35:2 39:12	team 10:23	think 8:1 9:7,8 16:8	treat 45:4
13:25 14:6 18:11	subparagraph	technical 21:25	17:4,18,20 18:11	treatment 7:6,15
25:6 41:24 45:17	33:24	22:2,16,25 23:13	20:20 22:4 23:4	45:8
45:23	subscribed 54:10	24:7	23:24 26:16 27:9	trial 40:24 41:1,2
spell 4:10	subsequent 14:1	technologies 20:24	27:13 30:6 31:2	tried 5:17 45:22
spent 6:10 14:23	45:24	25:18 45:4 48:20	33:9 36:19,20,25	true 18:4 29:17
27:10	substantial 20:19	technology 26:17	37:9,10,19 38:10	33:2 55:11
sphere 48:19	42:18	26:19 48:22,25	39:15,23 41:7	truth 55:9,9,9
spring 10:6 34:16	success 44:1	49:1,6,24	42:20,23 46:23	try 16:6 19:3
34:19 35:1,25	successes 46:7	telephonic 2:19	47:15 48:11 49:15	trying 21:15 25:5
50:23	successful 43:23	tell 8:24 10:10 21:9	52:18	27:18
springs 51:2	successfully 46:9	23:10 28:16 36:23	thinks 37:13	two 5:23 11:18,20
spun 8:19	sufficient 27:16	51:22	thought 11:3 35:10	21:18,19 42:19
st 2:12 6:13 7:25	suit 4:16	ten 20:18 24:24	throw 18:25	typically 34:6
13:8	suite 2:11	tenor 35:16	tier 45:2,3	46:12
staff 8:7,10 25:8	summary 10:11	tenth 1:1	tighe 3:2	
staffing 24:21	superfund 8:11	term 47:16	time 6:2,11,12,19	U
stakeholders 27:22	49:18	termed 15:21 30:9	7:5,18 11:14,22	uhhuh 16:12 28:14
28:3	supervising 7:6	terminology 14:4	12:25 15:12 17:5	ultimate 42:6
standpoint 17:4	supplied 23:15	33:16 34:7	18:14 19:25 20:12	ultimately 12:21
27:24	support 23:25	terms 18:2 23:13	21:14 22:17,17,17	understand 12:23
start 44:24	25:12,12	47:9	24:17 26:8 27:10	13:23 18:10 34:9
started 10:14	supported 49:19	testifies 4:4	27:11 28:17,18	38:5 52:7
state 4:8 5:21 7:4	suppose 45:3	testify 40:23,25	30:12 31:20 36:24	understanding
18:4 26:19 31:6	sure 5:1 28:25 36:2	55:8	38:14 44:2,4	10:4 16:17 21:3
39:8 40:6 47:23	48:12	testifying 47:23	45:18 47:12 49:18	37:22 47:5,20
48:19 55:1,7	surprising 46:16	49:16	50:7 52:4	undertake 43:11
statement 3:14	surrounded 42:3	testimony 32:25	times 31:3	undertaken 19:22
17:15 25:25 26:6	surrounding 21:4	50:15 54:4 55:12	title 8:1 13:24	36:16 42:8,15
26:22 30:25 31:2	38:12	teton 55:3,6	titled 29:3 30:15	44:11 46:20 52:23

undertaking 44:5 46:9	ways 26:20 44:14 44:18,21	11:24,25 12:6,9 12:14 55:1,7,15	1991 8:9,15	8
undertakings 45:14	web 3:13 14:14	X	1992 44:1	8 4:1
undertook 52:14	went 21:3 24:22 40:23	x 1:16	1995 50:4	80s 8:12 13:3 15:19 27:14 47:7
unit 5:24 10:16,18 38:23	west 1:21	Y	1996 50:4	9
united 15:10	whereof 55:14	year 6:13 7:18 12:2 46:2	1997 8:20	90 19:24 43:21,24 45:9
units 8:3	wheres 12:9	years 7:3 10:12 11:18,20 12:23 14:25 20:18	1999 6:10 8:22	90s 13:4 15:19 21:11 22:1,14
unpollute 19:11 20:7	wildlife 1:14 5:8 13:20 14:7 25:7 45:12,17	yield 36:12	2	
use 14:5 20:14 30:9 38:5,7 40:5 52:20 52:21	william 1:5	youll 9:12	2 3:14 28:6 51:5 53:6	
uses 52:16 53:4	willingness 26:10	youre 12:13 27:6 35:2,17 40:12	2006 1:20 4:1 54:12 55:16	
V	witness 3:7 4:4 21:18 22:22 23:24 25:25 28:25 29:22 31:24 32:1,18 34:6 36:2 38:16 39:13 40:16 51:1 52:3,18 55:14	youve 8:24	2103 3:3	
v 1:11	wondered 12:5	Z	28 3:14	
value 10:1	word 40:5	zero 42:6 43:12	3	
variety 47:25	words 5:24 14:11 14:20 50:21	0	3 3:15 41:13,16 42:4	
various 7:3 8:10 10:21 11:11 12:24	work 5:18 6:2,14 6:24 7:13,13,14 7:19 8:25 9:3,6 10:17 11:13,21 18:15 19:2,3,5 20:21 24:5,6,7,15 24:18 25:10,12,13 42:24 48:25 49:16 49:18 50:17,19	00 4:1	30 5:3 27:5 40:14	
versus 24:19 26:4	worked 7:2,16 11:15 12:22 24:10 36:10	1	307 1:25	
vice 8:16 24:2	working 6:8,11,12 7:15,20 10:14 16:3,15 18:24 20:3 28:20 42:6	1 3:13 13:11 14:8 19:7 41:24 53:6	30th 25:7 33:7	
view 47:11 48:3	world 15:5 19:11 20:5	100 12:10	32541 5:25	
viewed 47:9	worldwide 15:11 15:13 49:6	10th 1:20 4:1	4	
visavis 47:10	wouldnt 5:18 32:5 38:11	12th 55:16	4 3:8	
volume 45:5	written 41:20	13 3:13	41 3:9,15	
voluntarily 43:17 52:23	wrong 9:8	15 35:17	43 1:21	
voluntary 53:1,3	wrote 18:11	1697 2:16	5	
W	wyoming 1:21 4:1	190 2:11	50 3:8	
walters 55:5,19		1966 6:8,25	51 3:9	
walton 45:25		1970 7:9 51:13 52:14	55 55:11	
want 5:1 28:23 29:24 35:5,19 40:2 50:19,23		1970s 51:25	59403 3:4	
wanted 16:5		1971 28:15 29:18 30:4,6 31:17 32:8 33:15 36:20 38:12	59457 2:5	
ward 1:6		1974 7:17	59624 2:17	
washington 6:15 7:20		1975 7:25	59807 2:22	
waste 7:6 8:11 20:4 20:11 44:14,22 45:1,4 49:7		1976 30:12	6	
water 7:4,6,16 8:8 8:10 43:9		1977 8:19 30:12	6 5:3 27:5 40:14	
way 20:23 24:10 36:19 44:25 47:8 47:12		1979 8:5	600 2:11	
		1980 8:9	60s 10:17 52:5	
		1984 49:25	63105 2:12	
		1990 25:7 33:7 41:14,25 42:11,13	7	
		1990s 22:18 47:8	701 5:24	
			70s 15:1,3,12 18:7	
			7332637 1:25	
			76 2:4	
			770 5:23	
			7909 2:21	