



Alderman v. 3M
Focus Group Report
East Alton, IL
August 18, 2009

Prepared by:

Richard A. Jenson

Jenson Research & Communications, Inc.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
ANALYSIS.....	5
WEAKNESSES	5
<i>Company Responsibility</i>	5
Table I: Allocation of Responsibility for "Other"	5
<i>Mr. Alderman Did Not Always Wear a Mask</i>	6
Table II: Alderman Did Not Always Wear a Mask	7
<i>Buyer Responsibility</i>	8
STRENGTHS.....	9
<i>Labeling.....</i>	9
<i>What 3M Knew.....</i>	9
Table III: Plaintiff Most Convincing Argument – 3M’s Knowledge.....	10
Table IV: What 3M Knew about the Masks.....	11
<i>3M False Advertisements</i>	12
OTHER ISSUES	13
<i>Proper Adjustment of the Mask</i>	13
<i>Two Masks.....</i>	13
ALLOCATION OF RESPONSIBILITY	14
Table V: Allocation of Responsibility.....	14
Table VI: Reasons for Allocation of Responsibility	15
DAMAGES	16
Table VII: Damages.....	16
JURY SELECTION	17
Table VIII: Award by Gender	17
Table IX: Award by "Would you have a hard time suing company for a defective product?"	18
Table X: Award by "Could you award money for mental anguish?"	19
Table XI: Award by "Have you ever worn a face mask or respirator?"	20
Table XII: Opinions about Mask Effectiveness and Verdicts	20
Table XIII: Award by Limits	21
Table XIV: Award by "There are too many lawsuits filed here in Madison County."	22
APPENDIX: QUESTIONNAIRE DATA.....	23
APPENDIX B: CORRELATION TABLES	68

Executive Summary

As with previous research, the concerns of this case were clear. Those concerns were twofold. First, it was the tendency of the mock jurors to blame the employers for purchasing and providing the masks to employees. Second, it was the tendency of the mock jurors to blame Mr. Alderman for not wearing the mask one hundred percent of the time.

At the same time, most participants felt 3M had some responsibility and felt the masks were not protective. Nora (#8), who was very pro plaintiff (her brother died from cancer) stated in deliberations, "3M should be held responsible but not in a major way." On the other end, Michael (#20), who on his questionnaire wanted to give 3M zero percent responsibility admitted in deliberations, "All three are involved." However, the concern about Mr. Alderman's personal responsibility and the employer's responsibility dominated with most participants.

The concept of personal responsibility is one of the strongest attitudes in America today. It affects politics, religion, and has dramatic impact on most personal injury cases.

As with this case, a part of the personal responsibility attitude is involved with the idea of self protection. We have been taught and want to believe that if we take responsibility for ourselves and those around us we can protect ourselves. This is true even if the actions would not have provided protection from whatever harm is done to the plaintiff. This proclivity in jurors is described by psychologist as "defensive attribution." That is to attribute the responsibility to the plaintiff to justify the belief that a person can provide a defense to the harm for themselves or their loved ones.

Stephanie pointed out in deliberations, "If you're wearing the mask and it is not working or if you are not wearing it and it is not working, what difference does it make?" The response from most of the group was simply – "he should have worn his mask." This concept was very strong and in the practice Voir Dire, Dave the painter stated the strongly held belief that he maintained throughout the mock trial that he knew people that didn't wear their masks and they had to be responsible for that fact.

Stephanie's comments were correct; if the mask does not protect you then how can not wearing it be a cause of the harm? However, this concept did not cause anyone other than her to alter their opinion about Mr. Alderman's responsibility.

The concept of the employer liability is also a deep seated opinion among many Americans. The concept of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration comes from the belief that an employer, especially a large one, has responsibilities to protect its own workers. This concept comes from what is essentially the inverse view of "we must protect ourselves", yet comes into play in the same way. The jurors are essentially looking at proximate cause to equate with the

event or action closest to the actual harm. In this case the closest is Alderman, and next, the employer.

In fact, some participants resorted to the antiquated concept that the buyer should beware or the buyer is responsible to insure that the product is safe.

The key to this case must be to focus the case more narrowly on the choices that 3M made and the marketing that 3M undertook, while anchoring Mr. Alderman's responsibility to the lowest possible number.

The case theme in the focus group presentation involve what seems like an excellent story, i.e. that 3M needed to find a use for the paper bra they developed and so determined it could become a mask and sold that mask without the proper warning. As compelling as it is, that story surprisingly put the focus on the mask and the mask users, instead of 3M.

The story instead has to focus on the choices that 3M made and the money they made from those choices. This is a similar story, but framed about 3M - not the mask. While mention was made of some of the choices the visual, as well as the auditory story, must highlight those choices.

Also 3M's marketing must be a part of the focus. The fact they sold millions of these masks and chose to market to companies they knew were involved with dangerous materials was an issue. One of Grace's key points, which many others agreed with was, "Those companies should have been smart enough to know paper masks wouldn't protect the workers."

What 3M did to market these masks must slightly be reframed so that the many companies were fooled. That company, after large company, after multinational company, would purchase these masks for use in toxic areas cannot be coincidence or evil intent by every company. The focus needs to be on companies as many as possible - not just one employer.

Analysis

Weaknesses

Company Responsibility

The concept of the employer liability is a deep seated opinion among many Americans. The concept of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration comes from the belief that an employer, especially a large one, has responsibilities to protect its own workers.

Many participants felt what Grace felt when she explained that these big companies “should have been smart enough to know paper masks wouldn’t protect their employees.”

In the focus group questionnaire, participants were given an “other” category. While this choice will not be on the actual verdict form, it was included in the study in order to gather more information about the employer’s responsibility. The following table shows those participants who allocated some percentage of responsibility to “other,” and their explanations for doing so.

Table 1: Allocation of Responsibility for “Other”

No.	Name	Other	Why those percentages?
1	Joe	25%	Alderman had no idea the mask he was using did not protect from asbestos. 3M, GM, and American Mineral knew that this product did not work. 3M used false advertising with these face masks.
3	Scott	80%	Plaintiff was for times he took off or did not wear mask. 40% each for GM & AM for knowingly buying a faulty product and distributing it to workers who worked in harmful situations. 10% for 3M for false advertising about use of the 8500.
5	Paul	50%	Alderman chose not to wear the mask in some situations. In others his employers put him in situations where the mask would not protect him. Other = GM/Mineral.
6	Dave	25%	Other = company. Mr. Alderman knew he should have never at any moment stopped wearing his mask and in his own words, he did, and the companies supplying the mask should have checked on the product and its safety for their workers more.
7	Mary	50%	Once Daryl is informed of his responsibility it lies on him to take care of himself. 3M also should be held accountable for distributing product knowing it was faulty. The company Mr. Alderman worked for also [responsible] for inspecting product and not going cheap route.
8	Margaret	73%	The companies Mr. Alderman worked for are responsible for the masks he wore. The co's are responsible for conditions and seeing things were done safely.
9	Olaolwa	50%	It all started with 3M's marketing. They should not have led people to believe that these masks were all that safe. I think the fact that they waited until this decade to assign warnings on packages was especially careless. On the other hand, it should have been AM and GM's responsibility not to go with a mask that wasn't shown to work. Finally, if Alderman did indeed take off his mask occasionally, he should share some guilt.
11	Cathy	50%	Because Mr. Alderman knew to wear the mask at all times and the companies should of made sure that they would protect their employees from the asbestos.

No.	Name	Other	Why those percentages?
12	Anna	50%	Mr. Alderman chose on more than one occasion not to use the mask and that the companies did not look at the product more carefully and the companies did not enforce the use of the mask.
16	Nicole	10%	Mr. Alderman knew about the dangers but decided to take the mask off then again, somebody should have said something to him about not wearing the mask that he had taken off.
17	Christina	50%	3M should've been more cautious on the companies they chose to hire.
19	Ariel	50%	Well, I feel that Daryl should have been more cautious and aware of the dangers he was surrounded by and should have been more persistent in regards to his health even if he wasn't diagnosed until later in life. I also feel that the employers are more responsible for not providing asbestos protection masks that are certified with a stamp for approval.
20	Ryan	41%	Mr. Alderman was a faithful employee. Regardless of years committed, he is not above rules, regulations, and instructions. He only did what he was allowed to do because of seniority. 3M is showing a lot of concern for GM which makes it look like more than business (kind of like big brother taking up for little brother).

Mr. Alderman Did Not Always Wear a Mask

Part of the personal responsibility attitude is involved with the idea of self protection. We have been taught and want to believe that if we take responsibility for ourselves and those around us we can protect ourselves. This is true even if the actions would not have provided protection from whatever harm is done to the plaintiff. This proclivity in jurors is described by psychologist as "defensive attribution." That is to attribute the responsibility to the plaintiff to justify the belief that a person can provide a defense to the harm for themselves or their loved ones.

Stephanie pointed out in deliberations, "If you're wearing the mask and it is not working or if you are not wearing it and it is not working, what difference does it make?" The response was simply he should have worn his mask. This concept was very strong and in the practice Voir Dire, Dave the painter stated the strongly held belief that he maintained throughout the mock trial that he knew people that didn't wear their masks and they had to be responsible for that fact.

The following table shows those participants who found for the defendant and explained they at least partly did so because of Mr. Alderman's failure to consistently wear a mask.

Table II: Alderman Did Not Always Wear a Mask

No.	Name	I FIND IN FAVOR OF:	Why?
4	Chris	Defendant	Because Mr. Alderman had admitted he had not always worn his mask properly.
6	Dave	Defendant	For the simple fact, Alderman knew he was working in a harmful place, he admitted in his own words that he removed and sometimes didn't wear it at all. How can I blame a mask company even if they knew the product didn't work, if the man didn't wear the mask anyway?
11	Cathy	Defendant	Because the other comp should be held responsible for what they bought and Mr. Alderman admits to not wearing the mask all the time.
12	Anna	Defendant	I feel that the company who chose to use the mask are at fault as well as Mr. Alderman.
16	Nicole	Defendant	Mr. Alderman was at fault for not wearing the mask at all times while at work.
18	Pat	Plaintiff	1/2 and 1/2 of not wearing mask.

TRIAL NOTES:

While one could argue that not wearing a defective mask cannot be irresponsible, this likely would not convince jurors with a personal responsibility schema that Mr. Alderman is not responsible.

More likely, it will be necessary to accept some responsibility while at the same time arguing that there is none. This is similar to the type of argument defense attorneys often have to make that there is no liability but if the jury feels that is incorrect, there should only be limited damages.

In this case it may be necessary to anchor the responsibility of my Alderman at 5-10% while arguing against any liability. Of course with the 8710 mask, this argument will need to change slightly.

Buyer Responsibility

A sub-part of the company responsibility is the idea that the buyer of a product should know the appropriateness of the product to the chosen application. One group in deliberations got into a heated argument when one member suggested the masks were like a baseball bat. Not a danger if used properly, but could be misused as an attack weapon. So the masks could be safe or dangerous. As Jerry kept repeating in deliberations, many jurors felt, "It is the responsibility of the buyer to determine what it can do."

For many of those who wanted to put the majority of blame on the company it was a throw back to *laissez faire* economics. The "buyer beware" attitude was very strong in that group even though they likely would blame a seller of another product since the buyer is a big company they should have the resources to check what they are buying.

In her questionnaire, Cathy said the reason she supported the defense was, "Because the other company should be held responsible for what they bought."

Strengths

Labeling

After the plaintiff presentation, Latesha believed the plaintiff should definitely win because, "Because the mask did not work, and there was never any warnings that the mask would not work. It's very clear that the mask does not work and never did." She went on to describe the plaintiff's most convincing argument as, "That there was never any warning labels until 2006 because the company 3M never made it to where there was warning labels on their masks."

Even though an example was shown of what the label should have contained and where it should have been placed, almost no one brought that up in deliberations or noted it on the questionnaire. This may have resulted from the human mind's tendency to recall visuals more than verbal statements. Or, it may have resulted in participants seeing the image but not fully appreciating the significance.

The information provided by the plaintiff showed how the label could have been put on the mask itself, thereby insuring the end user would see this information.

TRIAL NOTES:

This demonstrative was impactful for some participants but other were confused because of what they visually learned - that there was a label on the mask. This can be a very difficult balancing act for the plaintiff to show what should have been done without sending the wrong visual message.

This point should be emphasized by showing both masks but also have a visual of the label coming off of the mask and a final visual of a blank mask.

What 3M Knew

When asked about why they felt 3M should have at least some percentage of responsibility, a majority of participants indicated that it was because of 3M knowledge.

The following table shows that fourteen of the nineteen participants thought 3M's knowledge was the plaintiff's strongest argument.

Table III: Plaintiff Most Convincing Argument – 3M's Knowledge

No.	Name	2. Plaintiff MOST convincing.	3. Why?
1	Joe	That 3M still put the mask on the market even though they knew the mask didn't work.	I feel like every worker that put the mask on has some right of money from 3M for false advertising.
3	Scott	That they knew the mask wouldn't work.	That is false advertising to say it does.
4	Chris	That 3M knew that their products were not fully protecting.	
5	Paul	The advertisements saying that 3M claimed the mask worked.	This is hard evidence that 3M claimed protection and thus also assumed some responsibility.
6	Dave	About the facts they knew it did not protect against asbestos.	Cause it's the facts.
8	Margaret	3M knew the masks never worked.	It was too important to leave out.
9	Olaolwa	The way that he presented the information of how the mask was developed and how it repeated failed safety inspections only to finally pass muster when it was tested under controlled conditions.	He was able to back up his position with documentation.
11	Cathy	That they know that the mask didn't work.	If they know they didn't work why keep selling them.
12	Anna	The fact that 3M knew that the mask was not doing the job it was designed to do.	The fact that 3M kept the mask on the market for so long after knowing it served no purpose.
15	Mattie	The company was about the safety of the cause and they knew what would happen if you should not wear the mask.	
16	Nicole	The proof about the masks worn.	The company knew about the dangers but sold the masks anyway.

The following table shows responses taken immediately after the plaintiff presentation. As can be seen, several participants believed the plaintiff should probably win at this point, because of what 3M knew about the masks.

Table IV: What 3M Knew about the Masks

No.	Name	1. Who should win?	Why?
1	Joe	Plaintiff probably	To me, Alderman and his family suffered for 18 months from this horrible disease. 3M knew that this mask didn't work but still put it on the market.
4	Chris	Plaintiff probably	3M knew that their product was not fully protecting workers.
9	Olaolwa	Plaintiff probably	The fact that 3M knew the mask was unfit for the type of work Alderman was doing yet marketed it to those types of industries means that they should be held liable.
13	Cyndi	Plaintiff probably	3M was negligent selling a product that did not work, knowing that, they were trying to fool the industries by deception and omission causing many illnesses/deaths.
19	Ariel	Plaintiff definitely	One because the defendant knowingly distributed the safety equipment issued did not protect the plaintiff nor anyone else who used it on top of that there was not a proper warning for those who used the equipment.
20	Ryan	Plaintiff probably	The withheld information held from the deceased cost him his life (with proper info they (family) could have made a sound choice of employment).

TRIAL NOTES:

The more 3M's knowledge is highlighted, the more it can counter the expectation that GM or American Minerals should have known about the proper usage of the masks.

While 3M may be able to say they alerted the distributors who should have passed the warning down the chain that cannot be enough.

Another approach should be taken to show how the label should appear on the mask.

3M False Advertisements

A major area of concern for some participants was the idea that 3M was selling this mask to large corporations and individual users who they knew would use them for protection against dangerous contaminants, such as asbestos.

Olaolwa said (in her question) her main reason for supporting the plaintiff was, "I believe that 3M's marketing campaign as largely at fault in that they should have been more careful as to how they marketed the masks also."

The consequences of 3M's choices and marketing likely caused harm to many individuals.

TRIAL NOTES:

While it may be very difficult to get into evidence, the more the risk of death to as many people as are part of this case, the less the actions of one person or one company are significant. If not, it would be possible to show how many companies bought these masks and how many people used them. This could help jurors draw their own conclusions.

Other Issues

Proper Adjustment of the Mask

One issue with the 8710 mask was the proper adjustment of the mask to insure there was no leakage around the edges. While one or two participants were very concerned about the strap adjustments, very little else was said about any of this during deliberations. This likely was because the issue of whether or not the mask was worn was so strong that few were concerned about its adjustment.

After the plaintiff presentation, Margaret said the plaintiff's least convincing argument was, "One size doesn't fit all."

Two Masks

One major complication was there were two different masks with two different problems and different information available to consumers. This creates an impression that 3M was working to improve the mask while at the same time creating more responsibility on the employer and employee to insure safe usage.

Allocation of Responsibility

For this study, the allocation of responsibility section included an “other.” While this will not be on the actual jury form, it was added to give more information about how much responsibility the jurors might assign to the employer(s) and why they would assign that amount.

This aspect created some confusion in deliberations and even angered some participants that they could not assign fault to the employers. In fact, in debriefing, several participants told the moderator that Mr. Alderman should sue the company and had great difficulty accepting the moderator’s statement that suing the employer was not possible.

The following table shows participants’ allocations of responsibility.

Table V: Allocation of Responsibility

No.	Name	Alderman	3M	Other
1	Joe	0%	75%	25%
3	Scott	10%	10%	80%
4	Chris	100%	0%	0%
5	Paul	50%	0%	50%
6	Dave	75%	0%	25%
7	Mary	30%	20%	50%
8	Margaret	25%	2%	73%
9	Olaolwa	10%	40%	50%
10	Brooke	0%	100%	0%
11	Cathy	50%	0%	50%
12	Anna	50%	0%	50%
13	Cyndi	80%	20%	0%
14	Latasha	0%	100%	0%
15	Mattie			
16	Nicole	70%	20%	10%
17	Christina	0%	50%	50%
18	Pat	0%	0%	100%
19	Ariel	50%	0%	50%
20	Ryan	9%	50%	41%

Table VI: Reasons for Allocation of Responsibility

No.	Name	Why those percentages?
1	Joe	Alderman had no idea the mask he was using did not protect from asbestos. 3M, GM, and American Mineral knew that this product did not work. 3M used false advertising with these face masks.
3	Scott	Plaintiff was for times he took off or did not wear mask. 40% each for GM & AM for knowingly buying a faulty product and distributing it to workers who worked in harmful situations. 10% for 3M for false advertising about use of the 8500.
4	Chris	3M was using the don't ask don't tell unless asked. Mr. Alderman was not always wearing the mask properly. [He had 60/40 P/D and crossed out.]
5	Paul	Alderman chose not to wear the mask in some situations. In others his employers put him in situations where the mask would not protect him. Other = GM/Mineral.
6	Dave	Other = company. Mr. Alderman knew he should have never at any moment stopped wearing his mask and in his own words, he did, and the companies supplying the mask should have checked on the product and its safety for their workers more.
7	Mary	Once Daryl is informed of his responsibility it lies on him to take care of himself. 3M also should be held accountable for distributing product knowing it was faulty. The company Mr. Alderman worked for also [responsible] for inspecting product and not going cheap route.
8	Margaret	The companies Mr. Alderman worked for are responsible for the masks he wore. The co's are responsible for conditions and seeing things were done safely.
9	Olaolwa	It all started with 3M's marketing. They should not have led people to believe that these masks were all that safe. I think the fact that they waited until this decade to assign warnings on packages was especially careless. On the other hand, it should have been AM and GM's responsibility not to go with a mask that wasn't shown to work. Finally, if Alderman did indeed take off his mask occasionally, he should share some guilt.
10	Brooke	Responsibility goes back to the source.
11	Cathy	Because Mr. Alderman knew to wear the mask at all times and the companies should of made sure that they would protect their employees from the asbestos.
12	Anna	Mr. Alderman chose on more than one occasion not to use the mask and that the companies did not look at the product more carefully and the companies did not enforce the use of the mask.
13	Cyndi	3M knowingly produced/distributed and sold masks that were not up to standards. This all for the love of money - greed. The 3M executives back then would not be around to see the results of this horrible business decision and the horrific consequences that "workers" suffered.
14	Latasha	Because 3M should have been more honest about their product so that people could have made a choice of whether or not to use the mask from 3M or use a different safety mask.
15	Mattie	
16	Nicole	Mr. Alderman knew about the dangers but decided to take the mask off then again, somebody should have said something to him about not wearing the mask that he had taken off.
17	Christina	3M should've been more cautious on the companies they chose to hire.
18	Pat	That 3M is responsible for the mask of no protection.
19	Ariel	Well, I feel that Daryl should have been more cautious and aware of the dangers he was surrounded by and should have been more persistent in regards to his health even if he wasn't diagnosed until later in life. I also feel that the employers are more responsible for not providing asbestos protection masks that are certified with a stamp for approval.
20	Ryan	Mr. Alderman was a faithful employee. Regardless of years committed, he is not above rules, regulations, and instructions. He only did what he was allowed to do because of seniority. 3M is showing a lot of concern for GM which makes it look like more than business (kind of like big brother taking up for little brother).

Damages

The damage awards varied greatly in this study. Of course there were many zero dollar awards from defense jurors, but the other awards varied from \$189,000.00 to over two billion dollars. The most common award (3) was in the three million dollar range.

One interesting aspect was that in deliberations, many of the low or no damage individuals still expressed the opinion that Mr. Alderman should get something. Several felt American Minerals or General Motors should pay the full amount.

The following table shows participants' damage awards.

Table VII: Damages

No.	Name	Past medical	Pain and suffering	Mental anguish	Loss of society	Other	TOTAL award
1	Joe	\$120,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$880,000	\$0	\$4,000,000
3	Scott						
4	Chris						
5	Paul						
6	Dave	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	Mary	\$120,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	\$0	\$1,120,000
8	Margaret	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$720,000
9	Olalwa	\$120,000	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$3,120,000
10	Brooke	All	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	All medical
11	Cathy	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
12	Anna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	Cyndi	\$120,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$3,120,000
14	Latasha	\$1,020,000	\$1,500,000	\$170,000	\$170,000	\$180,000	\$3,040,000
15	Mattie	\$30,000	\$50,000	\$120,000	\$125,000	\$0	\$325,000
16	Nicole	\$120,000	\$17,000	\$20,000	\$17,000	\$15,000	\$189,000
17	Christina	\$120,000	\$60,000	\$0	\$2,000,000,000	\$800,000	\$2,000,980,000
18	Pat						
19	Ariel						
20	Ryan	Full	Full	Full	Full	\$0	Full

TRIAL NOTES:

These variations in the damage awards would indicate there is not a solid anchor for the damages.

Jury Selection

An analysis was done to determine what, if any, correlation existed between the responses on the supplement juror questionnaire/screener and the verdicts awarded by the mock jurors. This analysis can be found in Appendix B.

Those who had worn a mask were slightly more likely to give high damage awards. Those who favored caps on damage awards were more likely to be no or low damage jurors.

The interesting result was how little correlation there was. Usually there are a few questions that show a high correlation between juror responses and juror verdicts.

NOTE: One note should be made about this result. Since the group was a temp service recruit there were no professionals, business owners, etc. The homogeneity of the group may, in part, account for little differentiation. For example, the analysis by gender showed that about half the male participants' awarded no or low damages and about half awarded high damages

Table VIII: Award by Gender

Award Category	Sex
\$0 to \$500,000	Female
\$0 to \$500,000	Female
\$0 to \$500,000	Female
\$0 to \$500,000	Female
\$0 to \$500,000	Female
\$0 to \$500,000	Female
\$0 to \$500,000	Female
\$500,000 to \$1M	Female
Over \$1M	Female
Over \$1M	Female
Over \$1M	Female
Over \$1M	Female
\$0 to \$500,000	Male
\$0 to \$500,000	Male
\$0 to \$500,000	Male
\$0 to \$500,000	Male
\$500,000 to \$1M	Male
Over \$1M	Male
Over \$1M	Male

One interesting result was that there were just three people who indicated they would personally have trouble suing a company over a defective product. This is usually a sign of a defense orientation or, at least low damage awards.

In this case however, all three of the “yes” participants awarded damages, with two of them in the over one million dollar category.

Table IX: Award by “Would you have a hard time suing company for a defective product?”

Award Category	Hard time suing company for product?
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$500,000 to \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No
\$500,000 to \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes

This lack of correlation was also present when asked about non-economic or mental anguish damages. Of those who said they could not award mental anguish damages, one was low, one middle, and one high.

Table X: Award by "Could you award money for mental anguish?"

Award Category	Mental anguish?
\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$500,000 to \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$500,000 to \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	

Those who had worn a mask were slightly more likely to be high on damage awards.

Table XI: Award by "Have you ever worn a face mask or respirator?"

Award Category	46. Ever worn facemask or respirator?		Award Category	46. Ever worn facemask or respirator?
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$500,000 to \$1M	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		Over \$1M	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		Over \$1M	No
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$500,000 to \$1M	No		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$500,000 to \$1M	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
Over \$1M	No		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
Over \$1M	No		\$500,000 to \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M			Over \$1M	

One interesting pattern regarded whether the participant had formed any opinions about the effectiveness of the mask. Four had formed an opinion about the mask; two found in favor of the defendant and two found for the plaintiff. They were also split on money. One respondent didn't explain why she formed her opinion, but the two who felt the masks were important found for defense. The one who thought she wore an effective mask found for plaintiff.

This will be an issue to explore in Voir dire.

Table XII: Opinions about Mask Effectiveness and Verdicts

Name	77. Have you formed any opinions about effectiveness of masks?	If yes, explain	I FIND IN FAVOR OF:	Alderman	3M	Other
Dave	Yes	It's very, very important to wear.	Defendant	75%	0%	25%
Mary	Yes		Plaintiff	30%	20%	50%
Brooke	Yes	If used properly, they protect.	Defendant	0%	100%	0%
Christina	Yes	I wear an effective mask.	Plaintiff	0%	50%	50%

Two responses followed the expected pattern - one was support for limits or caps on lawsuits and the second was the belief that there are too many lawsuits in Madison County. While there were some exceptions, this response seemed to have the highest correlation.

Table XIII: Award by Limits

Award Category	65. What do you think about the idea of placing limits on amount juries can award?		Award Category	65. What do you think about the idea of placing limits on amount juries can award?
\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly disagree
\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree		\$500,000 to \$1M	Strongly disagree
\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly disagree		Over \$1M	Strongly disagree
\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree		Over \$1M	Strongly disagree
\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to agree
\$500,000 to \$1M	Tend to disagree		Over \$1M	Tend to agree
\$500,000 to \$1M	Strongly disagree		Over \$1M	Tend to agree
Over \$1M	Tend to disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to disagree
Over \$1M	Tend to agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to disagree
Over \$1M	Strongly disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Tend to disagree
Over \$1M	Tend to agree		\$500,000 to \$1M	Tend to disagree
Over \$1M	Strongly disagree		Over \$1M	Tend to disagree
Over \$1M			Over \$1M	

Table XIV: Award by "There are too many lawsuits filed here in Madison County."

Award Category	66. Too many lawsuits in Madison County.		Award Category	66. Too many lawsuits in Madison County.
\$0 to \$500,000	4		\$0 to \$500,000	1
\$0 to \$500,000	4		Over \$1M	1
\$0 to \$500,000	6		Over \$1M	2
\$0 to \$500,000	7		\$0 to \$500,000	3
\$0 to \$500,000	6		\$0 to \$500,000	3
\$0 to \$500,000	4		\$0 to \$500,000	4
\$0 to \$500,000	4		\$0 to \$500,000	4
\$0 to \$500,000	1		\$0 to \$500,000	4
\$0 to \$500,000	3		\$0 to \$500,000	4
\$0 to \$500,000	7		Over \$1M	4
\$0 to \$500,000	3		Over \$1M	4
\$500,000 to \$1M	7		Over \$1M	5
\$500,000 to \$1M			\$0 to \$500,000	6
Over \$1M	4		\$0 to \$500,000	6
Over \$1M	2		\$0 to \$500,000	7
Over \$1M	5		\$0 to \$500,000	7
Over \$1M	4		\$500,000 to \$1M	7
Over \$1M	7		Over \$1M	7
Over \$1M	1		\$500,000 to \$1M	

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

In fact, the "too many lawsuits" tended to follow a pattern. While there were some outliers as there are in almost all such cases, the tendency was generally the more they felt there were too many lawsuits, the less money they tended to award. This pattern could reflect a higher level of awareness or agreement with the extensive tort reform movement in Madison County.

Two people who strongly agreed with this statement gave significant money and one awarded in excess of one million dollars. This also follows a pattern seen in other cases and studies where some jurors most convinced of problems with lawsuits are often the best on damages when convinced the lawsuit "is not one of *those* lawsuits."

Appendix: Questionnaire Data

No.	Name	Age	Sex	Education	Area of study	Job status	List all jobs
1	Joe	20	Male	Some college	Nursing	Part time	LGC, Express, Waltons catering
3	Scott	23	Male	High school		Part time	Pizza, funeral home, Aisin MFG USA, US Army
4	Chris	32	Male	Some college	Bach/science	Unemployed	Forklift driver, insurance inspector
5	Paul	19	Male	Some college	Network Admin and Design	Unemployed	Busser at restaurant, computer tech.
6	Dave	31	Male	College grad		Unemployed	Night clubs, bartending, hustler club, painters union
7	Mary	[Blank]	Female	College grad		Unemployed	Bartender, fast food, assembly line, document control, implement new software, assist admin.
8	Margaret	60	Female	High school		Part time	Admin asst, legal secy, secy.
9	Olaolwa	26	Male	Some college	Civil engineer	Full time	EO's Express (campus eatery), Vector Marketing, Morris Univ. Center, IL Dept. of Transportation.
10	Brooke	24	Female	Some college	General studies	Part time	Chef, server, hostess, bartender, admin asst, mgr.
11	Cathy	39	Female	GED	None	Part time	Express, bartending, different labor jobs.
12	Anna	41	Female	Some college	Business	Part time	Housekeeping, donut mgr, customer service, temp, bartend
13	Cyndi	54	Female	Some college	Continuing education for employment	Part time	Nurse aid, medical secy, bartend/waitress, legal secy, HR coordinator, admin asst.
14	Latasha	30	Female	High school	Cosmetology	Part time	Nail specialist, several staffing co's.
15	Mattie	45	Female	High school		Part time	Nursing, daycare, Food, hotel
16	Nicole	20	Female	Less than HS		Unemployed	Fast food
17	Christina	29	Female	Some college	General studies	Full time	Production work (manufacturing), construction, asbestos work.
18	Pat	[Blank]	Female	High school		Part time	Admin asst, secy, etc.
19	Ariel	27	Female	Some college		Part time	Fast food, temp agencies, security, BRI, USF, Edwardsville Nursing & Rehab.
20	Ryan	32	Male	Some college	Contractor	Unemployed	Vehicle, APAC, Hardees, D. Don & Sons, Strom Engrg, Warehouse, Army, owner detail shop, forklift driver, remodel houses.

Name	Occupation	Job Duties	Supervisory duties	Employer	Time at current employer
Joe	Walton's Catering, Express Employment	Cook, warehouse work	Yes, 10	Walton's Catering, Ken Walton. Express Employment, Steve Ferguson	Express 1 mo; Walton's 5 years
Scott	Military	Nuclear, biological, chemical, radiological warfare	Yes, 5-7	US Army	6.5
Chris	Unemployed/student	None	Yes, 10	None	
Paul	Unemployed	NA	Yes, 2	NA	
Dave	Unemployed		Yes, 20 girls	None	
Mary	Unemployed		Yes, 12	NA	
Margaret	Adm. Asst.	Unemployed	No	Express	NA
Olaolwa	Student	Just taking courses, studying.	No	USML	Less than a year.
Brooke	Self employed, part time jobs.	Calls, customer service, sales	Yes, 6	Mary Kay	1
Cathy	Labor	Fill orders, drive forklift.	Yes, 5-7	Express	2
Anna	Express		Yes, 8	Express	2
Cyndi	Clerical/secretary	Temp agency in clerical	No	Express	1
Latasha	Express	Warehouse work	Yes, 3	Express	2 wks
Mattie	Stocking, packing	Working on assembly line packing products.	No		3
Nicole	Unemployed		No		
Christina	Manufacturing	Assembly line work	Yes, 15	Express	New job
Pat		Phones, secy stuff	Yes, 2	Heimos Produce	6
Ariel	Security officer	Patrol and secure school in E. St. Louis area.	Yes, 24	Express	1 1/2 mos
Ryan	Self employed, looking for work.	Bid on jobs - construction	Yes, 8	NA	

Name	Military background	Ever worked for 3M?	Hard time suing company for product?	Spouse Occupation	Mother Occupation	Father Occupation
Joe	No	No	No		Self employed	DHL
Scott	Yes, US Army	No	No	NA	Central Christian Church, Admin.	Honey-Do Co. owner/handyman
Chris	No	No	No	NA		Military
Paul	No	No	No	NA	Hospice service	Finance manager, Saturn of South Country
Dave	No	Unsure	No	NA	Hod carrier	Laborer
Mary	No	No	Yes, I really would need more info.	NA	Nurse	Shell, pipefitter
Margaret	No	No	Yes, If someone were hurt.	NA	Cook	Welder
Olaolwa	No	Unsure	No	NA	Principal	Professor at SIUE
Brooke	No	No	No	Navy (GM2), business owner (Little Caesars)	WTC international trade	Limo/taxi driver
Cathy	No	No	No	NA	Cook	Air force, military
Anna	No	No	No	Ryerson Steel Band Welider	Exc Housekeeper at hospital	Field supervisor construction
Cyndi	No	No	No	Construction laborer, McGrath Construction	Homemaker, bartender	Cement finisher foreman
Latasha	No	No	No	NA	Never worked	Deceased
Mattie	No	No	No	NA	Homemaker	Cook
Nicole	No	No	No	Shift manager White Castle	Homemaker	Truck driver
Christina	No	No	No	NA	Laborer	Granite City Steel
Pat	No	No	No		Body shop owner	Body shop owner
Ariel	No	No	No	NA	RN	Clayton County Police
Ryan	Yes, Army Reserve	No	Yes, The cause and effect - how it impacts thinking process.	Wife in school full time pursuing BA in accounting.	Nurse	Fire fighter

Name	16. Children Occupations	Children employers?	Ever been self employed?	Type of business.	Ever been union member?	Which one?	Held any position?
Joe	None		No		No		
Scott	NA		No		No		
Chris	NA		Yes	Insurance inspections	No		
Paul	NA		Yes	Computer repair	No		
Dave	NA		Yes	Painting	Yes	Bricklayers Local 8, Painters Union 120	Yes
Mary	NA		No		No		
Margaret	NA		No		No		
Olaolwa	NA		No		No		
Brooke	NA		Yes	Mary Kay	No		
Cathy	NA		No		No		
Anna	NA		No		No		
Cyndi	Admin Asst.	Siemens Water Technology	No		Yes	1976-79 through Wood River Hospital ASME.	No
Latasha	Not grown		Yes	Nail specialist	No		
Mattie	Nurse aid	St. Mary's Hospital	No		No		
Nicole			No		No		
Christina	NA		No		No		
Pat	NA		No		No		
Ariel			Yes	Security	No		
Ryan	NA		Yes	Detail shop	No		

Name	19. Club/organization membership?	Contribute to charities?	If yes, list.	Volunteer work?	If yes, list.	22. Hobbies
Joe	No	No		Yes	Homecomings	Playing soccer, collecting soccer jerseys
Scott	Metro Community Church	No		No		Music, art, woodwork, extreme sports
Chris	No	No		No		Musical, school
Paul	No	No		No		Computers, networking, biking, camping, hiking
Dave	No	Yes	Anyone I see and can.	No		My family, working out.
Mary	None	No		No		Track, softball, cleaning
Margaret	NA	Yes	Food pantries	No		Sudoku, Reading
Olaolwa	National Society of Black Engineers	Yes	Occasional donation to Goodwill	Yes	Occasional volunteer work on campus.	Movies, books, exercise
Brooke	Charitable Ash Foundation, NCCS - Nat'l Children's Cancer Society	Yes	Mary Kay	Yes	Eden Village Nursing Home	Soccer, my son, art, reading, travel
Cathy	None	Yes	Police	No		Pool, horseshoes, crafts
Anna	NA	No		No		Camping, swimming
Cyndi	NA	Yes	Salvation Army, Disabled Vets	No		Reading, writing, gardening, music, fishing, walking
Latasha	No	No		No		Reading
Mattie	No	No		No		Singing, talking to people, listen to music.
Nicole		No		No		
Christina	NA	No		No		Reading
Pat	St. Stevens, Bingo	No		Yes	Bingo, St. Stevens	Fishing, gardening for plants.
Ariel		Yes	United Way	Yes	Venice Township	Reading, writing, singing, dancing
Ryan	NA	No		Yes	Coalition of Concerned Citizens, Summer Youth Program	Church, basketball, family

Name	Radio stations	TV Stations	Magazines	Smoker?	Still smoke?	Packs per day?	If no, when did you quit?	Tried to stop?	Ever read warning on label?
Joe	107.7, 105.7	CNN	None	Yes	Yes	1/2		Once	No
Scott	NA	CNN, CBS, MSNBC	Men's Health, Health	Yes	Yes	1		Once	Yes
Chris	105.7	CNN	NA	Yes	Yes	1		3	Yes
Paul	90/7	Internet only	None	No					Yes
Dave	105.7, 106.5	Comedy Central, John Stewart Show, Coldern Report	None	Yes	No				Yes
Mary	Soft rock	Mostly local	Woman's Health	Yes	No		10 years ago	Once	Yes
Margaret	106.5	ABC	NA	Yes	Yes	1		10	Yes
Olaolwa	105.7, 94.7			No					Yes
Brooke	Any	All	Shape, Applause	Yes	Yes	4 cigs/day		No	Yes
Cathy	92.3	FOX, ABC	None	Yes	Yes	1		2	Yes
Anna	106.5 Arch	FOX	None	Yes	Yes	1/2		No	Yes
Cyndi	Classic, vintage rock, Christian	CNN, MSNBC	Newsweek, Archeology	Yes	No	1/4	1980		Yes
Latasha	107.7	None	None	Yes	No		4 mos ago		Yes
Mattie	1490, 1600	FOX	None	No	No				Yes
Nicole	Country	FOX		Yes	Yes	1/2		3	Yes
Christina	107.7	ABC	NA	No	No				Yes
Pat	103.3	NBC		Yes	Yes	3			Yes
Ariel	All	FOX, ABC	NA	Yes	Yes	1		1	Yes
Ryan	1600am, 95.5pm	CNN, NBC, CBS	NA	Yes	No		year after military		Yes

Name	Ever employed in the following?	Describe.
Joe	Own business, maintenance/repair, auto	Grandparents own their own business, uncles did maintenance and mechanics.
Scott	Building, Manufacturing, Own business, Maintenance	Father and I in all of above.
Chris	ALL but social work	
Paul	Manufacturing, Steel mills, Own business	Cousin in manufacturing, grandfather worked in steel mill, I own small computer repair business.
Dave	Law, Asbestos, Building, Envir Reg, Steel mills, Own business, workplace safety	
Mary	Building, Manufacturing, Insulation, Steel mills, maintenance, workplace safety	
Margaret	Law, Asbestos, Building, Environmental, Steel mills, own business, auto, social work, workplace safety	I worked for an atty, my brother worked at refinery and was around asbestos. My sister ran her own business, my brother worked in oil safety dept.
Olaolwa	Building, Engineering, environmental regs, social work	I've done engineering internships including work on construction site and for an environmental firm. I've also dealt with social workers.
Brooke	All but asbestos and insulation.	
Cathy	Steel mills, maintenance, auto	
Anna	Building, own business	Father resale shop.
Cyndi	Law, building, environmental, manufacturing, insulation, insurance, own business, workplace safety	I worked for attys, father and husband in construction and work with insulation. Husband is a safety man at job to ensure OSHA. Sister owned a bar.
Latasha	Asbestos, building, environmental, insurance, steel mills, own business, safety products, auto	Aunts, uncles, cousins
Mattie	None	
Nicole	Auto	Father and brother auto mechanics.
Christina	Asbestos, building, environmental, manufacturing, steel mills	I have an asbestos license, trained in asbestos work.
Pat	Owning business, maintenance, auto, workplace safety.	
Ariel	Building, own business, maintenance, safety products, workplace safety	Building and construction my uncle owns construction business, I ran security co, maint and repair I worked for my uncle.
Ryan	Building, engineering, manufacturing, steel mills, own business, maintenance, social work.	

Name	29. Specialized training: Medicine?	Explain.	30. Specialized training: Nursing?	Explain.	31. Specialized training: Toxicology?
Joe	No		No		No
Scott	Yes		I have combat life saver course for the military.		No
Chris	No		No		No
Paul	No		No		No
Dave	Yes	Cousin is a nurse, aunt nurse, uncle fireman.	Yes	Aunt and cousin is a nurse.	No
Mary	No		Yes	Mom was an LPN.	No
Margaret	No		No		No
Olaolwa	Yes	I have a cousin in England who's doing his medical residency.	No		No
Brooke	No		No		No
Cathy	No		No		No
Anna	No		No		No
Cyndi	Yes	I worked in hospitals for 25+ years as secy and worked some on patient floors in clerical capacity. Worked in a cardiology lab for EMS coordinator.	No		No
Latasha	Both	Kind of, my son is handicap and requires special care.	Both	Because of my son, I have a little knowledge of nursing.	No
Mattie	No		Yes	My daughter	No
Nicole	Yes	Aunt C.N.A. and sick son.	No		No
Christina	No		No		No
Pat	No		No		No
Ariel	Yes	My friend is an RN	Yes	My friend is an RN.	No
Ryan	Yes	Wife's doctor.	Yes	Mother and mother-in-law RN's.	No

Name	32. Specialized training: Industrial Hygiene?	33. Specialized training: Public Health?	34. Specialized training: Epidemiology?	35. Ever worked around chemicals?	What kinds?	Health problems?	Health concerns?	Explain.
Joe	No	No	No	No				
Scott	No	No	No	Yes, I have	Nerve agents, nuclear war materials	No	No	At the proper instruction and warnings.
Chris	No	No	No	Yes, I have	Petroleum	No	No	
Paul	No	No	No	No				
Dave	No	No	No	Yes, I have	Paints and thinners.	No	No	
Mary	No	No	No	[Blank]				
Margaret	No	No	No	No				
Olaolwa	No	No	No	Yes, I have	Just some lab stuff in school.	No	No	Don't remember
Brooke	No	No	No	No				
Cathy	No	No	No	Yes, I have	Hazards.	No	No	None
Anna	No	Yes, Mother, hospital.	No	Yes, I have	Medical drugs	No	No	
Cyndi	No	No	No	No				
Latasha	No	No	No	No				
Mattie	No	No	No	No				
Nicole	No	No	No	No				
Christina	No	Yes, I have asbestos public health card.	No	Yes, I have	Asbestos containing materials.	No	No	
Pat	No	No	No	No				
Ariel	Yes	No	No	Yes, I have	Cleaning	No	No	
Ryan	No	No	No	[Blank]	Asbestos	No		Safety first, be alert, follow instructions to the letter.

Name	36. Ever suffered from disease caused by exposure to hazardous substance in workplace?	Environmental regulations are:	Should there be more govt regulation of industrial products?
Joe	No	About right	No change needed
Scott	No	About right	No change needed
Chris	Yes, someone close - Grandfather, Granite City Steel worker, parts cleaner without gloves.	About right	No change needed
Paul	No	Not tough enough - Environment ought to be valued above economy.	Should be less regulation.
Dave	No	Not tough enough	Should be less regulation.
Mary	No	About right - I'm not that informed. I would have to read up on the matter.	[Blank]
Margaret	Yes, someone close	About right	Should be more regulation
Olaolwa	No	About right	Should be more regulation
Brooke	No	About right	No change needed
Cathy	No	About right	No change needed
Anna	No	About right	No change needed
Cyndi	No	Not tough enough	Should be more regulation
Latasha	No	About right	Should be more regulation
Mattie	No	About right	Should be more regulation
Nicole	No	About right	No change needed
Christina	No	About right	No change needed
Pat	No	About right	Should be more regulation
Ariel	No	Not tough enough	No change needed
Ryan	No	About right	Should be more regulation

Name	Ever worked with asbestos?	If yes, explain.	40. Are govt safety regulations important?	Explain.	41. Do you think our system of lawsuits gives an unfair advantage to large corps?
Joe	No		Agree	If we didn't have them people would be getting hurt a lot more.	Yes
Scott	No		Strongly agree	Safety regulations are vital to protect everyone.	Yes
Chris	No		Agree	Because some companies wouldn't protect others.	No
Paul	No		Agree	Some regulation is necessary.	Yes
Dave	Yes, I have	Spraying underground tunnels.	Strongly disagree	Less government in anything is better. It's the person's responsibility for their own safety.	Yes
Mary	No		Strongly agree		No
Margaret	Yes, friend/family has	Father, refinery.	Strongly agree	Who else would care? The company's out to make money?	No
Olaolwa	No		Strongly agree	In and of ourselves, we are prone to push, even break, boundaries.	Yes
Brooke	No		Strongly agree	Very important, many people get hurt.	Yes
Cathy	No		Agree		No
Anna	No		Strongly agree	Someone needs to be in charge.	Yes
Cyndi	No	However, worked with an atty who was involved in asbestos abatement.	Agree	Need continuity for the safety of citizens.	Yes
Latasha	No		Agree	Without regulations people could get harmed.	Yes
Mattie	No		Strongly agree		Yes
Nicole	No		Agree		No
Christina	Yes, I have		Agree		No
Pat	No		Agree		No
Ariel	No		Agree	Without regulations people would continue to pollute the earth and not think twice about what they are causing.	Yes
Ryan	Yes, I have	Working in condemned building in demolition jobs.	Agree		Yes

Name	42. Under the law, corps and individuals are treated as equal "person." Is this far?	Medical expenses?	Lost wages?	Mental anguish?	Pain and suffering?	Psychiatric care?	Punitive damages?	Explain if no.
Joe	Yes	Yes	Unsure	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Scott	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Chris	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Paul	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Dave	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Mary	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Margaret	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	People cause their own mental anguish.
Olaolwa	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Brooke	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Cathy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Anna	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Cyndi	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Latasha	Yes							
Mattie	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Nicole	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Christina	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Because a mental anguish is considered an excuse.
Pat	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Ariel	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Ryan	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Name	Any concerns about health risks as a result of home or work location?	Explain.	Ever worked with harmful substances?	If yes, explain.
Joe	No		No	
Scott	No	I try to be as healthy as possible.	Yes	Military
Chris	Yes	The air quality in my town is poor.	Yes	Petroleum off loader.
Paul	No		No	
Dave	No		Yes	Me, paints and thinners.
Mary	Yes	Much pollution.	No	
Margaret	Yes	Close to refinery.	Yes	Spouse, Viet Nam, Agent Orange
Olaolwa	Yes	Lots of construction has been done around my neighborhood.	Yes	I have worked with just basic household cleaning products.
Brooke	Yes	I live close to Granite City.	No	
Cathy	No		No	
Anna	No		No	
Cyndi	No		Yes	Uncle was in Vietnam - has major health issues due to agent orange since he was in his mid 30's. Govt should have had safety protocol in place for those who served in those types of military operations.
Latasha	No		No	
Mattie	Yes		No	
Nicole	No		No	
Christina	No		Yes	Asbestos, I was well protected and had a Wilson mask on.
Pat	Yes	Air we live on.	No	
Ariel	No		No	
Ryan	No		Yes	Yes, at Chemcto Plant that's shut down.

Name	46. Ever worn facemask or respirator?	If yes, explain.	47. Ever read/participated in studies re: chemical or environmental hazards?	If yes, explain.
Joe	No		No	
Scott	Yes	Military gas mask. It protected me fine.	No	
Chris	Yes	Unsure. Ethyl petroleum, line cleaning, well protected.	No	
Paul	No		Yes	Industry tends to export waste and waste generation to third world countries.
Dave	Yes	Asbestos	No	
Mary	No		No	
Margaret	No		Yes	Stay away.
Olaolwa	Yes	Don't know who manufactured it but it worked well.	Yes	Just about the various laws that govern the use of these chemicals.
Brooke	No		No	
Cathy	No		No	
Anna	No		No	
Cyndi	Yes	Wore one when sanding wall and for spray painting furniture. Also wear when fertilizing lawn.	No	
Latasha	Yes	We use many chemicals at nail salons and wear a mask for protection.	No	
Mattie	No		No	
Nicole	No		No	
Christina	Yes	A Wilson respirator was what I used, and a North respirator.	Yes	I took an asbestos class at SSS in St. Louis.
Pat	No		Yes	The chemicals are always toxic.
Ariel	Yes	I used a face mask to protect me from inhaling fumes to an abandoned school, I'm not sure who made the mask but it was very helpful.	No	
Ryan				

Name	48. Suffering from any health problems?	If yes, explain.	49. Suffer from any respiratory problems?	Explain.	50. How has experience affected them/you?	51. Ever had cancer?
Joe	No		Yes	Brother and sister have asthma.	Always have to take medicine before sports so that made me responsible when I talk them to games and practice.	Yes
Scott	No		No			No
Chris	No		No			Yes
Paul	No		No			No
Dave	No		No			No
Mary	Yes, someone close.		Yes		Becoming limited to normal activities.	Yes
Margaret	Yes, I am	Hip problems	Yes	Nephew, asthma.	NA	Yes
Olaolwa	Yes, someone close.	My dad recently underwent a colonoscopy.	No			No
Brooke	No		Yes	My sisters.	They are more careful with exercise.	Yes
Cathy	Yes, someone close.	My mother she has breaching problems copd.	Yes	My mother.	Because I worry about her everyday.	No
Anna	No		No			Yes
Cyndi	Yes, someone close.	Husband has asthma	Yes	My husband has asthma. Uncle has copd emphysema s result of agent orange.	Husband does inhalers 2x/day. Always wears good respirators while working in an area of construction that would be harmful to inhale. My uncle is very ill, cannot walk without oxygen.	Yes
Latasha	Yes, someone close.	My son.	Yes	My son has asthma, bronchitis all the time.	My son has a lot of infections and breathing problems. I have learned a lot because of him.	Yes
Mattie	No		No			No
Nicole	No		Yes	Mom has asthma and dad has bronchitis.	Doesn't.	Yes
Christina	No		No		NA	No
Pat	No		No			Yes
Ariel	No		No		NA	Yes
Ryan						

Name	51. Ever had cancer?	If yes, explain.	52. Ever been care giver to cancer patient?	53. Current court case pending?	If yes, explain.	54. Anyone ever filed claim against you?
Joe	Yes	Friends of mother died of breast cancer.	No	No		No
Scott	No		No	No		No
Chris	Yes	Lung and colon.	No	No		No
Paul	No		No	Yes	Speeding ticket, currently on probation.	No
Dave	No		No	No		No
Mary	Yes	Lung	No	No		No
Margaret	Yes	Father and brother, lung cancer; 3 aunts, cancer.	Yes	No		No
Olaolwa	No		No	Yes	I have a moving violation in MD.	No
Brooke	Yes	Breast cancer (aunts, grandmother).	No	Yes	Denying visitation from the non-custodial parent.	No
Cathy	No		No	Yes	Traffic.	No
Anna	Yes	Friend, breast.	No	No		No
Cyndi	Yes	My husband - testicular cancer.	Yes	No		No
Latasha	Yes	Myself - ovarian, mother - breast.	No	No		No
Mattie	No		No	No		No
Nicole	Yes	My brother-in-law just got over Hoshkins Lymphoma.	No	No		No
Christina	No		No	No		No
Pat	Yes	My mom, lymph nodes 7-10.	No	No		No
Ariel	Yes	My uncle died from terminal stomach cancer.	Yes	No		No
Ryan						

Name	55. Ever been sued?	56. Ever sued anyone?	57. Ever involved in a lawsuit?
Joe	No	No	No
Scott	No	No	Yes, Military court martial.
Chris	No	No	No
Paul	No	Yes, Former employer was in car crash.	No
Dave	No	No	No
Mary	No	No	No
Margaret	No	Yes, Worked for atty, my brother's spouse sued in his death.	No
Olaolwa	No	Yes, My dad was in an accident several years ago where he tried to get representation.	No
Brooke	No	No	No
Cathy	No	No	Yes, Over car acc 8 years ago.
Anna	No	No	No
Cyndi	No	No	No
Latasha	No	No	No
Mattie	No	No	No
Nicole	No	No	No
Christina	Yes, I sued a woman because she ran into my car.	No	No
Pat	No	No	Yes, I went to court for my apartment I was living in because the manager came into my house when I was gone and went through my stuff and took my things.
Ariel	Yes, Not being paid for the overtime we work for and that was Casey's General Store and Kelly's Services.	No	No
Ryan			

Name	58. Could have sued, but didn't?	If yes, explain.	59. Any feelings about court system?	Explain.	60. Ever served as criminal juror?	61. Ever served as civil juror?
Joe	No		No		No	No
Scott	Yes	I don't find it necessary to sue, waste of time and money.	No		No	No
Chris	No		No		No	No
Paul	Yes	Friend was in car crash.	Yes	Americans are too litigious and often use the system to take advantage of people.	No	No
Dave	Yes	Too much trouble.	Yes	The government and the justice system is screwed up.	No	No
Mary	No		Yes		No	No
Margaret	No		No		No	Yes
Olaolwa	No		No		No	No
Brooke	Yes	I fell down.	Yes	Many people take advantage.	No	No
Cathy	No		No		No	No
Anna	No		No		No	No
Cyndi	Yes	My mother had foot surgery. Surgeon set 2 toes crooked. Never healed right, causing pain and she got older could hardly walk on that foot.	Yes	Court system is too bureaucratic - everything takes too long.	No	No
Latasha	No		No		No	No
Mattie	No		No		No	No
Nicole	No		No		No	No
Christina	No		No		No	No
Pat	No		No		No	No
Ariel	Yes	My friend was in a car accident and the damage to her car was minimal but she decided the time wasn't worth the trouble.	No		No	No
Ryan						

Name	62. Ever had training as to how to answer voir dire questions?	63. How do you feel about jury awards in Madison County?	Explain.	64. Ever sustained serious injuries as a result of an accident?	Explain.
Joe	No	No opinion	I don't live in Madison County.	No	
Scott	No	No opinion		No	
Chris	No	No opinion		No	
Paul	No	No opinion		No	
Dave	No	No opinion		No	
Mary	No	About right	I really need more info.	No	
Margaret	No	Too high	Too many lawsuits come here for trial.	No	
Olaolwa	No	No opinion		No	
Brooke	No	No opinion		No	
Cathy	No	About right		Yes	Car acc, went through windshield.
Anna	No	No opinion		Yes	Father fell at Lowes.
Cyndi	No	No opinion		No	
Latasha	No	About right		No	
Mattie	No	About right		No	
Nicole	No	No opinion		Yes	My mom and I both have herniated disks in our back due to car accident.
Christina	No	No opinion		No	
Pat	No	About right		Yes	My dad was hit walking through Home Depot and was hit with a fork lift and knocked down.
Ariel	No	No opinion	I have no experience with the question asked.	Yes	I was in a car accident that left me immobile for 7 months.
Ryan					

Name	65. What do you think about the idea of placing limits on amount juries can award?	Explain.	66. Too many lawsuits in Madison County.	People outside Madison County shouldn't file lawsuits in Madison County.	Lawsuits cost us too much money.	Jury awards are too high.	People often target large corporations.
Joe	Tend to disagree	It's not up to the juries.	4	4	4	5	4
Scott	Tend to disagree	Shouldn't be a limit. The accident/situation should determine amount as well as persons condition.	4	4	6	4	6
Chris	Tend to agree	Some awards are too high.	4	4	4	4	4
Paul	Tend to agree	A cap or percentage system might make the system more fair.	6	2	4	5	2
Dave	Strongly disagree	It's the court's rules.	7	1	7	4	7
Mary	Tend to agree		2	4	2	4	3
Margaret	Tend to disagree	Every injury can't have a single price on it.	7	4	7	4	3
Olaolwa	Strongly disagree		5	2	4	4	2
Brooke	Tend to agree	Recession.	6	5	6	3	4
Cathy	Tend to agree		4	4	6	4	5
Anna	Tend to disagree	It's hard to say what's owed until all has been explained.	4	1	3	2	3
Cyndi	Strongly disagree		4	4	6	3	4
Latasha	Tend to agree		7	3	1	5	7
Mattie	Strongly agree		1	1	1	1	1
Nicole	Tend to disagree		3	3	3	3	3
Christina	Strongly disagree		1	3	4	4	4
Pat	Tend to agree		7	1	7	7	4
Ariel	Tend to agree	Some circumstances call for limitations on monetary awards.	3	3	4	2	5
Ryan							

Name	67. Every illness has a readily identifiable cause.	It's wrong for states to establish limits.	People often exaggerate their injuries.	Threat of large damages is best way to ensure corps will meet safety standards.	Most co's work hard to ensure employees are protected.	In industrial settings, it's routine for workers not to use all safety equipment.
Joe	Strongly disagree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree
Scott	Undecided	Agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree	Strongly agree
Chris	Strongly disagree	Undecided	Disagree	Disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree
Paul	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Strongly agree	Disagree	Disagree	Agree
Dave	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Mary	Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly disagree
Margaret	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly disagree
Olaolwa	Disagree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree
Brooke	Strongly disagree	Agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Disagree	Disagree
Cathy	Agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly disagree
Anna	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Agree	Disagree
Cyndi	Disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree	Disagree
Latasha	Agree	Disagree	Strongly agree	Agree	[Blank]	Disagree
Mattie	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Disagree
Nicole	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree
Christina	Strongly agree	Undecided	Undecided	Undecided	Undecided	Undecided
Pat	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly agree
Ariel	Strongly agree	Disagree	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Agree
Ryan						

Name	Warning labels are generally good enough.	Warning labels are never as specific as they should be.	Manufacturers only put warning labels on products when forced to.	Co's frequently hide info from public about potential dangers.	Corps that have done nothing wrong are often sued anyway.	Most co's will not market products unless sure they're safe.	Most personal injury lawsuits are frivolous.
Joe	Agree	Agree	Strongly disagree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree
Scott	Disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Undecided
Chris	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree
Paul	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Disagree
Dave	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree
Mary	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Undecided	Disagree	Agree	Agree
Margaret	Undecided	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Disagree	Disagree	Undecided
Olalwa	Agree	Disagree	[Blank]	Undecided	Undecided	Agree	Undecided
Brooke	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree
Cathy	Undecided	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Undecided
Anna	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree
Cyndi	Disagree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree
Latasha	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Agree	Agree
Mattie	Agree	Disagree	[Blank]	Agree	[Blank]	Agree	Disagree
Nicole	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Disagree	Disagree	Disagree	Agree
Christina	Undecided	Undecided	Strongly agree	Undecided	Undecided	Undecided	Undecided
Pat	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	[Blank]	Disagree	Disagree
Ariel	Disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Agree
Ryan				Agree	Agree	Disagree	Undecided

Name	People are too ready to sue.	Co's have legal obligation to disclose everything.	68. Explain what you know of asbestos.	69. Any knowledge of mesothelioma?	Ever heard any news, etc about asbestos?
Joe	Agree	Agree	Can cause lung disease and many health problems.	No	No
Scott	Strongly agree	Agree	It was used in insulation, it also can cause fatal diseases.	Yes	Yes
Chris	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	Can cause lung disease and cancer.	No	Yes
Paul	Agree	Disagree	Asbestos is a mineral that was long used in insulation. Small strands asbestos can unknowingly be inhaled and cause health problems.	No	Yes
Dave	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	It will kill you over a period of exposure.	No	Yes
Mary	Agree	Agree	It was used as an insulator in buildings for years before finding out it is harmful to people (if breathed in). Not sure of all the effects.	No	Yes
Margaret	Agree	Agree	It's harmful to breathe, it's in walls, ceilings, brake pads.	Yes	Yes
Olaolwa	Undecided	Strongly agree	I know that it was used in many buildings until roughly the 1950's or 60's, when it was shown to be carcinogenic.	No	No
Brooke	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	It can kill you!	No	Yes
Cathy	Agree	Undecided	Don't know	No	No
Anna	Disagree	Agree	Nothing.	No	No
Cyndi	Agree	Strongly agree	It's a carcinogen that should have never been used around humans. Technology is advanced enough they could have found something else - money is always the root of evil.	Yes	Yes
Latasha	Agree	Agree	They cause illness.	No	No
Mattie	Agree	Undecided		No	Yes
Nicole	Strongly agree	Agree	I don't.	No	No
Christina	Undecided	Undecided	An asbestos containing material can be harmful to the lungs. It causes mesothelioma, asbestosis, lung cancer. It's a white powdery substance.	Yes	Yes
Pat	Agree	[Blank]	I have it in my basement and the people that own the house wont have it removed and I don't like it, and I also have an animal.	No	Yes
Ariel	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	That it's a harmful thing and it can cause debilitating side affects if exposed. It's usually found in vacant buildings or structures. Also, it's harmful to breath in.	Yes	Yes
Ryan	Undecided	Undecided	Strong chemical that can kill you over a period of time if you don't take necessary precautions to protect yourself	No	No

Name	71. Ever worked around asbestos?	If yes, explain.	72. Do you or anyone you know might have asbestos related disease?	73. Ever screened or tested for asbestos related injury?	74. Ever involved in lawsuits due to asbestos?	75. Ever attended meetings about lawsuits and asbestos?
Joe	No		No	No	No	No
Scott	Yes	Near insulation. Asbestos can be anywhere.	No	No	No	No
Chris	No		No	No	No	No
Paul	No		No	No	No	No
Dave	Yes	Me; painting tunnels.	No	No	No	No
Mary	Unsure		No	No	No	No
Margaret	Yes	My brother, refinery.	No	Yes	Yes, My brother	No
Olaolwa	No		No	No	No	No
Brooke	No		No	No	No	No
Cathy	No		No	No	No	No
Anna	No		No	No	No	No
Cyndi	Yes	My husband works construction and has worked around, however, he wears prale(???).	No	No	No	No
Latasha	No		No	No	No	No
Mattie	Yes	A friend at a high school.	No	No	No	No
Nicole	No		No	No	No	No
Christina	Yes	I have.	No	No	No	Yes
Pat	No		No	No	No	No
Ariel	No		No	No	No	No
Ryan	No		No	No	No	No

Name	76. What do you think of people who sue makers of asbestos containing products?	77. Based on what you've read/heard, have you formed any opinions about effectiveness of masks?	If yes, explain	78. Seen/heard anything about lawsuits involving disposable respirators?	79. Any opinions about mask respirators that would make you favor one side?	Explain.
Joe		No		No	No	I don't know enough information.
Scott	It needs to be proven.	No		No	No	If they didn't use a respirator they had no reason to sue.
Chris	Yes	No		No	No	
Paul	If they are legitimately sick I see no problem.	No		No	No	
Dave	Good for them, if it's true.	Yes	It's very, very important to wear.	No	Yes	Companies need to supply new filters for their masks regularly.
Mary	It feel it should be investigated before making any decisions.	Yes		Yes, That if people use mask they will help you.	Yes	These days things are tested and proven.
Margaret	They should sue.	No		No	No	
Olaolwa		No		No	No	
Brooke	They have the right to do so.	Yes	If used properly, they protect.	No	No	
Cathy	Talk to an att. To see what they should do.	No		No	No	
Anna	Don't have opinion.	No		No	No	
Cyndi		No		No	No	
Latasha	Depends on the situation.	No		No	No	
Mattie		No		No	No	
Nicole		No		No	No	
Christina	They knew what job they ha, when they got into it.	Yes	I wear an effective mask.	No	Yes	
Pat		No		No	No	
Ariel		No		No	No	
Ryan	Wrong it's not the makers fault.	No		No	No	

Name	80. Asbestos - 1=very safe; 10=very dangerous	81. Any reason making it difficult for you to award damages?	82. Do you suffer from physical disability?	83. Currently taking meds?	84. Feelings about serving.
Joe	8	No reasons.	No	No	I would have mixed feelings.
Scott	6	No	Yes, Slight hearing difficulties from explosions.	No	I would prefer not to serve.
Chris	7	No	No	No	Wouldn't mind.
Paul	7	No	No	No	I think being chosen for a criminal case would be interesting and fulfilling however, a civil case seems to be less substantial.
Dave	10	No, if they deserve it.	No	No	Happy
Mary	10	No	No	No	I find it very interesting and would enjoy doing it.
Margaret	10		No	Yes, Zoloft, short attention span sometimes.	Undecided.
Olaolwa	9		No	No	Not sure.
Brooke	10	Not at all.	No	No	They pay too little, hassle.
Cathy	8		No	No	OK
Anna	5	None.	No	No	Would love it. Always willing to learn more about the laws.
Cyndi	10	No	No	No	Fine.
Latasha	10	No	No	No	It will be very difficult when it comes to make a decision, because everyone thinks different.
Mattie	5		No		
Nicole	10	No	No	No	I would like it because I like to argue.
Christina	10	No	No	No	I wouldn't mind as long as I got paid for it.
Pat	10		No	No	
Ariel	10	No	No	No	It would be ok there are no strong feelings just the fact that maybe I could make a difference.
Ryan	9	No	No	No	Good.

Name	85. Trial could last 2 1/2 weeks, problematic?	86. Compelling personal reasons...	87. In group discussions I am:	88. Political view	89. Live experiences last 5 years.
Joe	Yes, working would be limited, not enough money to pay bills.	No	Somewhat talkative	Middle of road	Somewhat positive
Scott	No	No	Somewhat talkative	Middle of road	Extremely positive
Chris	No	No	Somewhat talkative	Middle of road	Extremely positive
Paul	No	No	Very talkative	Middle of road	Somewhat positive
Dave	No	No	Somewhat talkative	Conservative	Somewhat negative
Mary	No	No	Somewhat talkative	Conservative	Extremely positive
Margaret	Yes, hip problem.	No	Not very talkative	Conservative	Somewhat positive
Olaolwa	It may interfere with my coursework.	No	Somewhat talkative	Middle of road	Somewhat negative
Brooke	No	No	Very talkative	Liberal	Extremely positive
Cathy	None	No	Somewhat talkative	Liberal	Somewhat positive
Anna	None.	No	Somewhat talkative	Middle of road	Extremely positive
Cyndi		No	Very talkative	Conservative	Somewhat negative
Latasha	No	No	Somewhat talkative	Conservative	Extremely positive
Mattie				Very conservative	Somewhat positive
Nicole	No	No	Very talkative	Middle of road	Somewhat positive
Christina	No	No	Very talkative	Middle of road	Somewhat positive
Pat	No	No	Somewhat talkative	Conservative	Somewhat positive
Ariel	No	No	Not very talkative	Middle of road	Somewhat positive
Ryan	No	No	Somewhat talkative	Liberal	Extremely positive

Name	90. Have experienced ___ in past 5 years.	91. Anything court should know?	92. Like to serve on jury?	Explain.
Joe	Loss of employment		Yes	Think it would be an experience.
Scott	Loss of employment, serious financial hardship		Yes	
Chris	Loss of employment, serious financial hardship, victim of crime		No	Not interested.
Paul	Loss of employment		[Blank]	I do not know what the case is.
Dave	Loss of employment, serious financial hardship, victim of crime		Yes	It would be an event.
Mary	Loss of employment		Yes	
Margaret	Loss of employment	NA	No	Don't want to serve more than a few days.
Olaolwa	Loss of employment	Nothing I'm aware of.	Yes	I see nothing thus far that could hinder me.
Brooke	Serious financial hardship, serious injury/illness, been victim of crime.		Yes	I'm here!
Cathy	Serious financial hardship	None	Yes	
Anna	Loss of employment		Yes	Sounds interesting.
Cyndi	Loss of employment, serious financial hardship, illness	Victim of an attack, attempted rape, car stolen.	No	
Latasha	Loss of employment, serious financial hardship, illness	No	Yes	I am very interested in the law.
Mattie	Loss of employment		Yes	
Nicole	Loss of employment	No	Yes	I would like to because this is a hard case and people might not think the way I do.
Christina	Loss of employment	NA	Yes	I'm getting paid for this.
Pat	NA		Yes	To learn more how people think - I listen then say how I feel.
Ariel	Loss of employment, serious financial hardship, illness, victim of crime.	No	Yes	If I'm picked it's fine but if not that's fine as well.
Ryan	Loss of employment, serious financial hardship, victim of crime		Yes	I don't have respect of person or bias on gender or race.

Name	93. Seen/heard any advertising regarding lawyers/lawsuits?	What did you see?	What did it mean to you?	94. Seen/heard advertising regarding business, etc.?	95. Familiar with how lawyers get paid?	What do you know?	How do you feel about that?
Joe	No			No	No		
Scott	No			No	No		
Chris	No			No	Yes	They get a percentage of the award.	That's ok.
Paul	Yes	Brown and Cooper, etc.	The business of lawsuits	No	No		
Dave	No			No	Yes	Fair.	Fair.
Mary	No			No	Yes	They get paid if they win.	
Margaret	Yes	TV promos	Nothing.	No	Yes	It's usually 1/3 of award.	They deserve most of it.
Olaolwa	Yes	Just billboard/TV ads	Not much.	Yes, just commercials. Not much.	No		
Brooke	Yes	Commercials	They were available.	No	No		
Cathy	No			No	No		
Anna	No			No	No		
Cyndi	Yes			No	No		
Latasha	No			No	No		
Mattie							
Nicole	No			No	No		
Christina							
Pat	No			No	Yes	If you win you get paid, if you lose you still get something!	Agree
Ariel	No			No	No		
Ryan	No			No	No		

Name	96. Anything court should know?
Olaolwa	Yes, I have aspergers.

Name	Who should win?	Why?
Joe	Completely undecided	I haven't heard enough evidence to choose one side over the other. I won't and can't base my decision off sympathy.
Scott	Completely undecided	There is still a possibility that neither are at fault. I want to know all the facts before decision is made.
Chris	Defendant probably	It's the person's responsibility to wear protective gear.
Paul	Completely undecided	The evidence has not yet been presented.
Dave	Completely undecided	I need to know more info to decide, I'm neutral.
Mary	Completely undecided	No way to form an objective opinion at this point. Want to hear more facts.
Margaret	Completely undecided	I haven't heard anything.
Olaolwa	Completely undecided	Not enough evidence has been presented for me to decide one way or the other.
Brooke	Plaintiff probably	I feel that although Mr. Cook is the better lawyer and a smooth talker, he doesn't care about this case. He feels he will have to compensate the family no matter what. So his focus is awarding the family with as little compensation as possible.
Cathy	Completely undecided	Because I need to hear both sides of the case.
Anna	Completely undecided	Have not heard what exactly is going on. Was he not doing what he was told or did he not get told what to do.
Cyndi	Completely undecided	Need more info, however, plaintiff worked when product wasn't known to cause severe harm and possibly safety equip was not state of the art as it is now. Again, need to know more info, i.e., safety equipment, OSHA regs at that time, plaintiffs health before beginning employment and what were his job responsibilities and did mgmt enforce wearing personal protective equip. i don't believe they knew how dangerous asbestos was. Should have been proactive in that respect.
Latasha	Completely undecided	I need to hear more in the case to decide.
Mattie	Completely undecided	I need more time on this case.
Nicole	Defendant probably	I believe the defendant should win because he was making masks and how could he get that from making masks. I believe he was doing something else like painting or something.
Christina	All	I would say the plaintiff should be awarded the money.
Pat	Defendant probably	Feel he is more caring about the case and the problem - it's not about money, it's persons life.
Ariel	Completely undecided	I'm completely undecided due to the fact that we still don't know who was actually at fault or negligent in the case. Nor do we know exactly the reason for the lawsuit. It's because Daryl died or was it the fact that the company did not have effective equipment to properly protect Daryl.
Ryan	Completely undecided	Make sure that we know that you're suing right persons and why.

Name	1. Who should win?	Why?
Joe	Plaintiff probably	To me, Alderman and his family suffered for 18 months from this horrible disease. 3M knew that this mask didn't work but still put it on the market.
Scott	Completely undecided	At this point, being non-bias, I would say 3M was wrong. So was Mr. Alderman though. He knew the mask wasn't good, it's obvious.
Chris	Plaintiff probably	3M knew that their product was not fully protecting workers.
Paul	Plaintiff probably	Assuming all claims are true, 3M is partially at fault. However, many claims were simply that, claims. If 3M proves them to be false, my decision will change.
Dave	Completely undecided	I think that it still is hard to decide but how do you know he wore the mask all the time, and also, he was exposed no matter if he wore it or not.
Mary	Plaintiff probably	Hearing his side is convincing and has facts to back it up.
Margaret	Plaintiff definitely	He was sold out by his company and 3M.
Olaolwa	Plaintiff probably	The fact that 3M knew the mask was unfit for the type of work Alderman was doing yet marketed it to those types of industries means that they should be held liable.
Brooke	Plaintiff probably	A man vs. a company must be compensated.
Cathy	Completely undecided	I kinda feel that the family deserves something but I still feel I haven't heard enough to really decide.
Anna	Completely undecided	Like to hear 3M side.
Cyndi	Plaintiff probably	3M was negligent selling a product that did not work, knowing that, they were trying to fool the industries by deception and omission causing many illnesses/deaths.
Latasha	Plaintiff definitely	Because the mask did not work, and there was never any warnings that the mask would not work. It's very clear that the mask does not work and never did.
Mattie	Plaintiff definitely	Because it took his life. Looking at the picture on the film, he left behind a beautiful family.
Nicole	Plaintiff probably	Plaintiff should win because the masks worn didn't protect from dangerous chemicals.
Christina	Plaintiff probably	Because the company was at fault, for not making the product right.
Pat	Completely undecided	The mask long ago was not properly made for anyone and I feel no matter where we are and what we do, we are all going to die from something.
Ariel	Plaintiff definitely	One because the defendant knowingly distributed the safety equipment issued did not protect the plaintiff nor anyone else who used it on top of that there was not a proper warning for those who used the equipment.
Ryan	Plaintiff probably	The withheld information held from the deceased cost him his life (with proper info they (family) could have made a sound choice of employment).

Name	3M Co.	Daryl A.	Other	Who is other?
Joe	7	7	7	
Scott	6	6	6	AM/GM
Chris	7	5		
Paul	8	2		
Dave	4	6		
Mary	8	5		
Margaret	10	1		
Olaolwa	9	2		
Brooke	7	7		
Cathy	4	3		
Anna	6		6	
Cyndi	10	2	10	AM
Latasha	10	2	7	
Mattie	5	5	6	
Nicole	5	5	6	
Christina	10	5	5	
Pat	7	4		
Ariel	10	5		
Ryan	10			

Name	2. Plaintiff MOST convincing.	3. Why?
Joe	That 3M still put the mask on the market even though they knew the mask didn't work.	I feel like every worker that put the mask on has some right of money from 3M for false advertising.
Scott	That they knew the mask wouldn't work.	That is false advertising to say it does.
Chris	That 3M knew that their products were not fully protecting.	
Paul	The advertisements saying that 3M claimed the mask worked.	This is hard evidence that 3M claimed protection and thus also assumed some responsibility.
Dave	About the facts they knew it did not protect against asbestos.	Cause it's the facts.
Mary	The information on the part.	
Margaret	3M knew the masks never worked.	It was too important to leave out.
Olaolwa	The way that he presented the information of how the mask was developed and how it repeated failed safety inspections only to finally pass muster when it was tested under controlled conditions.	He was able to back up his position with documentation.
Brooke	The company bought the masks for employees.	Safety requirements have changed over years.
Cathy	That they know that the mask didn't work.	If they know they didn't work why keep selling them.
Anna	The fact that 3M knew that the mask was not doing the job it was designed to do.	The fact that 3M kept the mask on the market for so long after knowing it served no purpose.
Cyndi	Plaintiff was not informed masks did not work. Was innocent victim brought on by 3M's greed.	Plaintiff and others like him who suffered same fate were innocent victims - again all in the name of greed.
Latasha	That there was never any warning labels until 2006.	Because the company 3M never made it to where there was warning labels on their masks.
Mattie	The company was about the safety of the cause and they knew what would happen if you should not wear the mask.	
Nicole	The proof about the masks worn.	The company knew about the dangers but sold the masks anyway.
Christina	It wasn't because the 3M respirator didn't get rid of all the asbestos.	
Pat	That 3M mask will never be made the right way but I feel everyone should have precautions.	
Ariel	The fact that 3M company did not provide the proper info/facts about the equipment that they manufactured, and sold for safety usage.	It is the companies responsibility to provide the accurate and truthful information about the equipment.
Ryan	History of case. (Quotes of marketing strategies used to prosper company.)	

Name	4. Plaintiff LEAST convincing?	5. Why?
Joe	Mr. Alderman worked in a different plant and we don't know really where the asbestos came from.	I just think that the employer should of stuck with something they knew was going to work.
Scott	That Mr. Alderman was doing what he was told. He could have used his old respirator. It is obvious to anyone who puts one of those masks on that t doesn't work.	See above.
Chris	That he did other work without a mask.	
Paul	The claim that 3M was telling customers that the masks did not work.	Completely unfounded. No witnesses called, not even a name given, no proof is discouraging.
Dave	How do I know he wore the mask al the time?	Cause there's no way to know.
Mary	Want to know more on how long Daryl worked around air particles before learning hi needed to cover his air ways.	is an older man who most likely was around asbestos for a considerable amount of time before learning of safety issues.
Margaret	One size doesn't fit all.	Everyone knew that.
Olaolwa	Not sure.	Everything seemed convincing.
Brooke	That he knew the conversations that took place when buyers asked 3M if masks protected against asbestos.	He just threw that information in quickly.
Cathy	That he was around the dust without the mask on.	Because he should of known that it was hazards to be around that dust and not have protection on.
Anna	Was it cause from working around the dust without a mask.	Maybe he thought if nothing has happened yet he would be fine not wearing the mask.
Cyndi	None, did what he was told.	Wore cartidgs respiratory at beginning of his employment, then companies, to save money and time, went with other non-effective mask from 3M. 3M deceived companies and their employees.
Latasha	I feel all of it was convincing.	Because it was all related in some way to being apart of the product.
Mattie		
Nicole	I don't think the family should get \$3 million because yes they suffered, but people die everyday, families suffer everyday.	I lost my grandma when I was 14 and my aunts and uncles both took the hospital to court and lost so I feel that family shouldn't get \$3 million.
Christina	None of it.	It was boring.
Pat	The fact that the mask did not fit the face.	
Ariel	The fact that the plaintiff was exposed to these conditions knowingly and still used the equipment even though it was not proper for the jobs he was employed.	The plaintiff should be concerned with his safety first of all and he should still have voiced his concern with the improper equipment he was given.
Ryan	Needed more accounts of info to make it stick. Presentation had no emotion more like played on intelligence.	Quotes of facts almost made it irreversible make 3M and AM alliance stick.

Name	6. Who should win?	3M Co.	Daryl
Joe	Plaintiff probably	10	7
Scott	Defendant probably	5	5
Chris	Plaintiff probably	8	5
Paul	Defendant probably	1	5
Dave	Defendant probably	1	8
Mary	Defendant probably	7	6
Margaret	Completely undecided	3	3
Olaolwa	Completely undecided	6	4
Brooke	Plaintiff probably	7	7
Cathy	Defendant probably	3	7
Anna	Defendant probably	1	5
Cyndi	Plaintiff definitely	9	3
Latasha	Plaintiff definitely	10	2
Mattie	Plaintiff definitely	9	1
Nicole	Defendant definitely	3	6
Christina			
Pat	Defendant probably		9
Ariel	Defendant probably	2	7
Ryan	Plaintiff probably	10	

Name	7. Defendant MOST convincing?	8. Why?
Joe	That 3M was using false advertising by not telling or warning that the 8500 was not going to protect against asbestos.	3M knew that this product did not work but they still sold it.
Scott	3M couldn't control AM & GM.	
Chris		
Paul		Explanation of 'nuisance dust' vs. asbestos. Explanation of detailed safety policies associated with 8710.
Dave	He said himself he did not wear his mask.	His own words.
Mary	I feel the information is more accurate, 3M may be partially responsible but 100%.	The age of plaintiff plays a big part of my decision.
Margaret	Didn't advertise as safety for asbestos.	Who actually advertised the mask?
Olaolwa	When he mentioned the Daryl might not have always wore the mask.	This could punch some holes in his argument.
Brooke	That 3M was not directly responsible.	He's passing responsibility everywhere but the source 3M period.
Cathy	Other comp knew what they were buying.	They should of read the warnings on the box of the mask.
Anna	The fact that he companies the plaintiff worked for chose the mask.	The companies knew that the mask was not protecting the plaintiffs from asbestos.
Cyndi	Even though they sold product - they knew it wouldn't prevent toxic protection and didn't put warnings on until years later. Should have worked with companies hazard/risk mgmt team to discuss masks uses and improvements.	Plaintiff did not wear mask, however, this disease did not just come from 1980's on, I believe it started in the beginning of his employment and everywhere he worked he was exposed. Was he told the dangers, i.e., black lung for miners, etc.?
Latasha	He had a very convincing argument but the fact is that 3M did not put warning on the boxes until 2006 and Mr. Alderman was not at fault because I believe he had known that the mask did not work he would have used something else for he was very cautious and used his mask properly.	Mr. Alderman used his mask properly.
Mattie		
Nicole	He had other jobs that exposed him to the illness, why would the family point fingers at 3M?	The family is just after the money because Mr. Alderman was in there company so long.
Christina		
Pat	That the 3M mask was not completely protected - not made right to protect him.	
Ariel	The fact that the employers gave the mask to the defendant knowing that they would not work for the job or environment the plaintiff was in. The fact that the plaintiff admitted to sometimes not wearing a mask in the conditions he endured.	Well the plaintiff should have been more concerned with his own safety on top of seeking a better protective mask for his own personal use.
Ryan	That he agreed with the plaintiff.	How can be in agreement with the plaintiff and not seek restitution.

Name	9. Defendant LEAST convincing?	10. Why?
Joe	How it was Mr. Alderman's fault for his death.	Mr. Alderman's death was not his fault at all - even though he didn't wear his mask sometimes the mask didn't work at all so basically it was like him not wearing the mask when he was.
Scott		
Chris		
Paul	Relieves responsibility.	
Dave	Nothing.	He was right on with facts.
Mary	I feel they admitted some truth to make a buck.	They company may have known and left out information but I think the company and plaintiff are equally responsible.
Margaret	No documentation.	
Olaolwa	He had listed some facts but didn't really have documentation to back it up.	I need proof that is documented.
Brooke	That there was a disclaimer on the box. He tried to use sympathy and use it in his favor.	That's not true.
Cathy	There really wasn't one.	He was pretty convincing.
Anna	Nothing.	I thought the defendant was very convincing that they were not at fault for several reasons.
Cyndi	They are still culpable. Also GM and AM should be involved, sued for damages. They needed to investigate masks efficiency and did not have policies in place if employees did not adhere to safety guidelines and wear them.	Bottom line, big companies are in it for the money. Asbestos and other toxic substances were around for years, however, just over the past 10-15 years these exposures are just now suiting in former exposed employees.
Latasha	There were never any warnings until 2006 on the boxes.	Because it was proven by the plaintiff's attorney that there was no warning until 2006 on the boxes.
Mattie		
Nicole	Nothing.	
Christina		
Pat	The 3M mask is responsible for his protection and if the mask was taken off then it was Mr. Alderman's fault for not wearing his protection all the time. And their in it for the money only of 3M.	
Ariel	The fact that the defendant stated the limitations of the masks to employers, and still either failed to use proper equipment.	The defendant should have had a more elaborate safety and regulations guideline for the employers to follow.
Ryan	Responsibility vs. accountability.	

Name	11. Who should win?	3M Co.	Daryl
Joe	Plaintiff probably	9	7
Scott			
Chris			
Paul	Defendant definitely	1	3
Dave			
Mary	Plaintiff probably	7	6
Margaret			
Olaolwa			
Brooke			
Cathy	Defendant definitely	1	10
Anna	Defendant definitely	1	4
Cyndi			
Latasha			
Mattie			
Nicole			
Christina			
Pat			
Ariel	Defendant definitely	1	10
Ryan			

Name	12. Three facts most favoring PLAINTIFF. Fact one.	Plaintiff fact two.	Plaintiff fact three.
Joe	3M used false advertising.	When using against dust it only protects the buyer pieces.	When first put on market didn't protect at all.
Scott			
Chris			
Paul	8500 did not protect against asbestos.	8710 did not fit properly.	
Dave			
Mary	No warnings on the package the mask came in until later date.	Mr. Alderman did have asbestos in his lung.	
Margaret			
Olaolwa			
Brooke			
Cathy			
Anna	None.	I find plaintiff and the companies at fault.	
Cyndi			
Latasha			
Mattie			
Nicole			
Christina			
Pat			
Ariel	Advertisements for the masks didn't fully disclose safety.	The sheer look of the masks does not give a sense of safety.	There are warnings to show that the mask could not protect from asbestos.
Ryan			

Name	13. Three facts most favoring DEFENSE. Fact one.	Defense fact two.	Defense fact three.	14. I would like to know:
Joe	Went on market in 1962 and was not approved for asbestos.	8710 went on market by 1972 but have to be approved by NIOSH if 1. fit, 2. below 10xPei.	Warning labels went onto the box in 2000.	I think we all want to know why 3M sold these masks to the companies when they knew they didn't protect against asbestos.
Scott				
Chris				
Paul	8500 was not meant to protect against asbestos.	8710 did not fit properly (with explanation).		I wish the answer to the question did the 8710 work properly when used.
Dave				
Mary	Admission by Mr. Alderman himself - taking off his mask.	There were options with using different masks.		
Margaret				
Olaolwa				
Brooke				
Cathy	The other comp.	The plaintiff didn't wear his mask.		
Anna				
Cyndi				
Latasha				
Mattie				
Nicole				
Christina				
Pat				
Ariel	3M informed the employers of the defects of the mask.	Daryl himself admitted to neglecting using the mask.	Employers themselves neglected to provide proper masks for certain jobs.	What was the advertisement that 3M gave or what limitations did the manufacturers/GM/AM ask in pertaining to the masks that they were giving to their workers.
Ryan				

Name	I FIND IN FAVOR OF:	Why?
Joe	Plaintiff	He did not know that the product he thought he was using to protect himself didn't protect him at all.
Scott	Defendant	3M never forced Mr. Alderman to wear that mask. AM and GM bought these masks and supplied them.
Chris	Defendant	Because Mr. Alderman had admitted he had not always worn his mask properly.
Paul	Defendant	3M never claimed that the 8500 protected against asbestos. Despite claims of a poorly fit mask, Alderman himself said it fit well. Also he was exposed to environments where the mask was not expected to work.
Dave	Defendant	For the simple fact, Alderman knew he was working in a harmful place, he admitted in his own words that he removed and sometimes didn't wear it at all. How can I blame a mask company even if they knew the product didn't work, if the man didn't wear the mask anyway?
Mary	Plaintiff	There is proof that 3M withheld information, so there is doubt in my mind as to their innocence.
Margaret	Plaintiff	Mr. Alderman didn't really have choice about his mask - was he fully aware of the danger?
Olaolwa	Plaintiff	I believe that 3M's marketing campaign as largely at fault in that they should have been more careful as to how they marketed the masks; also, when it came to light these masks weren't safe against asbestos, the companies that bought them should have investigated other alternatives.
Brooke	Defendant	Although 3M knowingly distributed these masks to the companies Mr. Alderman worked for once, the deal was made, the responsibility fell on the employers.
Cathy	Defendant	Because the other comp should be held responsible for what they bought and Mr. Alderman admits to not wearing the mask all the time.
Anna	Defendant	I feel that the company who chose to use the mask are at fault as well as Mr. Alderman.
Cyndi	Plaintiff	3M knowingly distributed a mask that would not protect workers from small airborne particles. If disease takes 20-30 years to incubate, Mr. Alderman most likely was overexposed in the 50's, early 60's and over time other exposure just added insult to injury.
Latasha	Plaintiff	Mr. Alderman followed safety procedures but the masks provided to him made by 3M did not work and Mr. Alderman was never warned of the dangers of using the masks.
Mattie	Plaintiff	Because of his pain and suffering. He was a very nice person, a family man, trying to take care of his family.
Nicole	Defendant	Mr. Alderman was at fault for not wearing the mask at all times while at work.
Christina	Plaintiff	The other companies were at fault. AM and GM were at fault.
Pat	Plaintiff	1/2 and 1/2 of not wearing mask.
Ariel	Defendant	I feel that 3M should not be liable in the case of Daryl Alderman because they clearly stated that the masks provided did not protect against asbestos but AM and GM still opted to use them improperly at their facilities.
Ryan	Plaintiff	It seems that 3M is covering for GM by excluding itself from the accountability for affiliation with GM.

Name	Alderman	3M	Other
Joe	0%	75%	25%
Scott	10%	10%	80%
Chris	100%	0%	0%
Paul	50%	0%	50%
Dave	75%	0%	25%
Mary	30%	20%	50%
Margaret	25%	2%	73%
Olaolwa	10%	40%	50%
Brooke	0%	100%	0%
Cathy	50%	0%	50%
Anna	50%	0%	50%
Cyndi	80%	20%	0%
Latasha	0%	100%	0%
Mattie			
Nicole	70%	20%	10%
Christina	0%	50%	50%
Pat	0%	0%	100%
Ariel	50%	0%	50%
Ryan	9%	50%	41%

Name	Why those percentages?
Joe	Alderman had no idea the mask he was using did not protect from asbestos. 3M, GM, and American Mineral knew that this product did not work. 3M used false advertising with these face masks.
Scott	Plaintiff was for times he took off or did not wear mask. 40% each for GM & AM for knowingly buying a faulty product and distributing it to workers who worked in harmful situations. 10% for 3M for false advertising about use of the 8500.
Chris	3M was using the don't ask don't tell unless asked. Mr. Alderman was not always wearing the mask properly. [He had 60/40 P/D and crossed out.]
Paul	Alderman chose not to wear the mask in some situations. In others his employers put him in situations where the mask would not protect him. Other = GM/Mineral.
Dave	Other = company. Mr. Alderman knew he should have never at any moment stopped wearing his mask and in his own words, he did, and the companies supplying the mask should have checked on the product and its safety for their workers more.
Mary	Once Daryl is informed of his responsibility it lies on him to take care of himself. 3M also should be held accountable for distributing product knowing it was faulty. The company Mr. Alderman worked for also [responsible] for inspecting product and not going cheap route.
Margaret	The companies Mr. Alderman worked for are responsible for the masks he wore. The co's are responsible for conditions and seeing things were done safely.
Olaolwa	It all started with 3M's marketing. They should not have led people to believe that these masks were all that safe. I think the fact that they waited until this decade to assign warnings on packages was especially careless. On the other hand, it should have been AM and GM's responsibility not to go with a mask that wasn't shown to work. Finally, if Alderman did indeed take off his mask occasionally, he should share some guilt.
Brooke	Responsibility goes back to the source.
Cathy	Because Mr. Alderman knew to wear the mask at all times and the companies should of made sure that they would protect their employees from the asbestos.
Anna	Mr. Alderman chose on more than one occasion not to use the mask and that the companies did not look at the product more carefully and the companies did not enforce the use of the mask.
Cyndi	3M knowingly produced/distributed and sold masks that were not up to standards. This all for the love of money - greed. The 3M executives back then would not be around to see the results of this horrible business decision and the horrific consequences that "workers" suffered.
Latasha	Because 3M should have been more honest about their product so that people could have made a choice of whether or not to use the mask from 3M or use a different safety mask.
Mattie	
Nicole	Mr. Alderman knew about the dangers but decided to take the mask off then again, somebody should have said something to him about not wearing the mask that he had taken off.
Christina	3M should've been more cautious on the companies they chose to hire.
Pat	That 3M is responsible for the mask of no protection.
Ariel	Well, I feel that Daryl should have been more cautious and aware of the dangers he was surrounded by and should have been more persistent in regards to his health even if he wasn't diagnosed until later in life. I also feel that the employers are more responsible for not providing asbestos protection masks that are certified with a stamp for approval.
Ryan	Mr. Alderman was a faithful employee. Regardless of years committed, he is not above rules, regulations, and instructions. He only did what he was allowed to do because of seniority. 3M is showing a lot of concern for GM which makes it look like more than business (kind of like big brother taking up for little brother).

Name	Past medical	Pain and suffering	Mental anguish	Loss of society	Other	TOTAL award	Notes
Joe	\$120,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$880,000	\$0	\$4,000,000	
Scott							
Chris							
Paul							
Dave	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Mary	\$120,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	\$0	\$1,120,000	
Margaret	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$720,000	Other = AM and GM
Olaolwa	\$120,000	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$3,120,000	
Brooke	All	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	All medical	
Cathy	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Anna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Cyndi	\$120,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$3,120,000	
Latasha	\$1,020,000	\$1,500,000	\$170,000	\$170,000	\$180,000	\$3,040,000	
Mattie	\$30,000	\$50,000	\$120,000	\$125,000	\$0	\$325,000	
Nicole	\$120,000	\$17,000	\$20,000	\$17,000	\$15,000	\$189,000	
Christina	\$120,000	\$60,000	\$0	\$2,000,000,000	\$800,000	\$2,000,980,000	
Pat							Wrote 85% next to past medical.
Ariel							
Ryan	Full	Full	Full	Full	\$0	Full	

Appendix B: Correlation Tables

Award Category	Ever been union member?		Award Category	Ever been union member?
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$500,000 to \$1M	No
\$500,000 to \$1M	No		\$500,000 to \$1M	No
\$500,000 to \$1M	No		Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No		Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No		Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No		Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
Over \$1M	No		Over \$1M	Yes

Award Category	Ever read warning on label?		Award Category	Ever read warning on label?
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		Over \$1M	No
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$500,000 to \$1M	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
\$500,000 to \$1M	Yes		\$500,000 to \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	No		\$500,000 to \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	Yes

Award Category	Environmental regulations are:		Award Category	Environmental regulations are:
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	Not tough enough		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	Not tough enough		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$500,000 to \$1M	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$500,000 to \$1M	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	Not tough enough		Over \$1M	About right
\$500,000 to \$1M	About right		Over \$1M	About right
\$500,000 to \$1M	About right		Over \$1M	About right
Over \$1M	About right		Over \$1M	About right
Over \$1M	About right		Over \$1M	About right
Over \$1M	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	Not tough enough
Over \$1M	Not tough enough		\$0 to \$500,000	Not tough enough
Over \$1M	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	Not tough enough
Over \$1M	About right		Over \$1M	Not tough enough

Award Category	63. How do you feel about jury awards in Madison County?		Award Category	63. How do you feel about jury awards in Madison County?
\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion		\$0 to \$500,000	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion		Over \$1M	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion		Over \$1M	About right
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion
\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion		\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion
\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion		\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion
\$0 to \$500,000	About right		\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion
\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion		\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion
\$500,000 to \$1M	Too high		\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion
\$500,000 to \$1M			\$0 to \$500,000	No opinion
Over \$1M	No opinion		Over \$1M	No opinion
Over \$1M	About right		Over \$1M	No opinion
Over \$1M	No opinion		Over \$1M	No opinion
Over \$1M	No opinion		Over \$1M	No opinion
Over \$1M	About right		\$500,000 to \$1M	Too high
Over \$1M	No opinion		\$500,000 to \$1M	

Award Category	66. Too many lawsuits in Madison County.		Award Category	66. Too many lawsuits in Madison County.
\$0 to \$500,000	4		\$0 to \$500,000	1
\$0 to \$500,000	4		Over \$1M	1
\$0 to \$500,000	6		Over \$1M	2
\$0 to \$500,000	7		\$0 to \$500,000	3
\$0 to \$500,000	6		\$0 to \$500,000	3
\$0 to \$500,000	4		\$0 to \$500,000	4
\$0 to \$500,000	4		\$0 to \$500,000	4
\$0 to \$500,000	1		\$0 to \$500,000	4
\$0 to \$500,000	3		\$0 to \$500,000	4
\$0 to \$500,000	7		Over \$1M	4
\$0 to \$500,000	3		Over \$1M	4
\$500,000 to \$1M	7		Over \$1M	5
\$500,000 to \$1M			\$0 to \$500,000	6
Over \$1M	4		\$0 to \$500,000	6
Over \$1M	2		\$0 to \$500,000	7
Over \$1M	5		\$0 to \$500,000	7
Over \$1M	4		\$500,000 to \$1M	7
Over \$1M	7		Over \$1M	7
Over \$1M	1		\$500,000 to \$1M	

Award Category	Most co's work hard to ensure employees are protected.		Award Category	Most co's work hard to ensure employees are protected.
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		Over \$1M	[Blank]
\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		\$500,000 to \$1M	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		Over \$1M	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		Over \$1M	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		Over \$1M	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		Over \$1M	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
\$500,000 to \$1M	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
\$500,000 to \$1M			\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
Over \$1M	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
Over \$1M	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
Over \$1M	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
Over \$1M	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly disagree
Over \$1M	[Blank]		Over \$1M	Undecided
Over \$1M	Undecided		\$500,000 to \$1M	

Award Category	Warning labels are generally good enough.		Award Category	Warning labels are generally good enough.
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Undecided		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		Over \$1M	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		Over \$1M	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		Over \$1M	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
\$500,000 to \$1M	Undecided		\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
\$500,000 to \$1M			\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
Over \$1M	Agree		Over \$1M	Disagree
Over \$1M	Agree		Over \$1M	Disagree
Over \$1M	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Undecided
Over \$1M	Disagree		\$500,000 to \$1M	Undecided
Over \$1M	Disagree		Over \$1M	Undecided
Over \$1M	Undecided		\$500,000 to \$1M	

Award Category	Co's frequently hide info from public about potential dangers.		Award Category	Co's frequently hide info from public about potential dangers.
\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree		\$500,000 to \$1M	Strongly agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree		\$0 to \$500,000	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		\$500,000 to \$1M	Agree
\$0 to \$500,000	Agree		Over \$1M	Agree
\$500,000 to \$1M	Strongly agree		Over \$1M	Agree
\$500,000 to \$1M	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
Over \$1M	Agree		\$0 to \$500,000	Disagree
Over \$1M	Undecided		Over \$1M	Disagree
Over \$1M	Undecided		\$0 to \$500,000	Strongly disagree
Over \$1M	Agree		Over \$1M	Undecided
Over \$1M	Disagree		Over \$1M	Undecided
Over \$1M	Undecided		Over \$1M	Undecided

Award Category	77. Based on what you've read/heard, have you formed any opinions about effectiveness of masks?		Award Category	77. Based on what you've read/heard, have you formed any opinions about effectiveness of masks?
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	Yes		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$0 to \$500,000	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$500,000 to \$1M	No
\$0 to \$500,000	No		\$500,000 to \$1M	No
\$500,000 to \$1M	No		Over \$1M	No
\$500,000 to \$1M	No		Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No		Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	No
Over \$1M	No		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
Over \$1M	No		\$0 to \$500,000	Yes
Over \$1M	No		Over \$1M	Yes
Over \$1M	Yes		Over \$1M	Yes