

1/9/48

The ~~new~~ data as they pertain to DDD are, for the most part, new. Since most such data on DDT have appeared in J. Pharmacol., it would seem that that would be the place for the work on DDD. That journal would probably not wish the inclusion of the data on DDT in such detail, ~~since~~ ~~new~~ it is merely confirmatory of work they have previously published, important as it may be for Rohm and Haas, to have a direct comparison of the two compounds.

The failure to find much pathological damage in the chronic feeding ~~experiments~~ with DDT. ~~It is~~ ^{unexpected} ~~in view~~ in view of the work of others as cited.

There is a paucity of information in regard to ~~the effects of the inhalation of~~ DDT - Ultrasonic sprays and none whatever about the inhalation of DDT-emulsion sprays. Such information does not properly belong in J. Pharmacol. ~~Such~~ ^{the} such material would be pertinent to the hazards of agricultural use, ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~agricultural~~ ^{the} ~~and live-stock raising~~ ^{and} ~~industries~~ ^{and} ~~and~~ ^{and} agricultural hygiene be excluded from J. I. H. J. Such information as has appeared has been in ^{supplements to} "Public Health Reports".

N22176