1970

# Organochlorine Residues in Aquatic Birds in the Canadian Prairie Provinces

KEES VERMEER
Canadian Wildlife Service, Edmonton, Alberta

LINCOLN M. REYNOLDS
Ontario Research Foundation, Sheridan Park, Ontario

**Abstract.** A survey was conducted of organochlorine residues in 21 aquatic bird species at 31 locations in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba. As DDT and DDD residue levels from analyses without PCB separation proved to be unreliable, they were omitted from the results. DDE and dieldrin levels were higher in eggs of larids and fish-eating birds than in those of geese and ducks, presumably reflecting different trophic levels between those two groups of birds. Interspecific differences of DDE, dieldrin, HE and $\beta$ BHC residues observed in larids and fish-eating birds at the same breeding localities may reflect interspecific differences of feeding habits. DDE, dieldrin and PCB levels may be predicted in tissues of California Gull females when known in their eggs. Residue levels in eggs closely resembled those in the livers of females at the time of laying. Shell thickness was significantly and inversely correlated with the concentration of DDE in 40 Great Blue Heron eggs from Alberta, but no significant correlation was found between the concentration of PCBs and shell thickness in those eggs.

## Introduction

It has been shown that fish-eating birds in England contained greater average organochlorine residues than any other group of birds examined (Moore and Walker, 1964). J. O. Keith (1966) found that fish-eating birds in California accumulated large residues of insecticides in their body tissues. The results of a study on reproductive success in a Wisconsin population of Herring Gulls, *Larus argentatus*, contaminated with DDT suggested that DDT residues were responsible for the low hatching success of eggs (J. A. Keith, 1966). Reduced shell thickness has been found to be correlated with the amount of DDE in the egg contents of raptors (Hickey and Anderson, 1968; Fyfe *et al.*, 1969) and fish-eating birds (Hickey and Anderson, 1968; Anderson *et al.*, 1969). Experimental studies with Mallards, *Anas platyrhynchos*, showed that DDE can reduce thickness and cause cracking of eggshells (Heath *et al.*, 1969). For these reasons, and as little is known about the extent of organochlorine residues present in aquatic birds of the Canadian prairie provinces, a survey was conducted to determine the type and quantity of organochlorine residues present in aquatic birds, particularly larids and fish-eating birds, in Alberta, Saskatchewan, and Manitoba. Eggs were chosen as samples to be analyzed for organochlorine residues as they are easy to collect and constitute distinct units of comparison between species.

## Methods

Seventy composite samples of eggs were collected during egg-laying and incubation from aquatic birds in Alberta and Saskatchewan in 1968 and thirty composite samples of eggs were collected in Saskatchewan and Manitoba in 1969. Each composite sample consisted of 10 eggs, representing one egg from each of 10 nests of the same species. Composite rather than individual samples were collected to reduce costs of analyses. Additionally, in 1969 ten individual egg samples were taken from Common Terns, *Sterna hirundo*, and Double-crested Cormorants, *Phalacrocorax auritus*, and 40 individual egg samples were taken from Great Blue Herons, *Ardea herodias*, to assess variations of pesticide residue levels within nesting colonies. The egg shells of the Great Blue Herons were dried at room temperature for 4½ months before their thickness was measured in order to relate shell thickness to DDE levels in those eggs. Thickness in each case represents the shell itself plus the dried egg membranes. Ten adult California Gulls, *Larus californicus*, were also collected on an Edmonton garbage dump upon arrival during the second week of April, 1969. Ten females of that species and their eggs were taken from their nests at Joseph and Miquelon lakes, 20 and 27 miles respectively from the first collection site, during egg-laying and their first week of incu-



DSW 032215

STLCOPCB4016177

TABLE 1.—DDT and DDD residue levels in ppm wet weight before and after PCB separation in tissues and eggs of female California Gulls.

| Tissue sample | No. Sam. | Mean DDT | | | Mean DDD | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Before | After | % | Before | After | % |
| Abdom. fat | 10 | 2.099 | 0.577 | 28 | 1.891 | 0.064 | 3 |
| Ovary | 10 | 0.156 | 0.025 | 16 | 0.157 | 0.025 | 16 |
| Liver | 10 | 0.066 | 0.002 | 3 | 0.072 | 0.009 | 13 |
| Brain | 10 | 0.022 | 0.001 | 5 | 0.023 | 0.001 | 4 |
| Eggs | 10 | 0.090 | 0.028 | 31 | 0.073 | ND | 0 |

ND = none detected = < 0.0001 ppm

bation in the first week of May, 1969. Gull tissues and eggs were analyzed for the purpose of comparing pesticide residue levels between arriving and incubating gulls as well as between incubating females and their own eggs. One type of tissue analysed was fat, taken from the abdominal cavities of the gulls.

The contents of eggs and bird tissues were stored in glass jars and preserved by freezing.

*Laboratory Analysis*

For extraction of the organochlorine residues, the frozen egg sample was thawed out and homogenized in a Waring Blender.

An aliquot (2-5 g) of the blend was weighed into a 50 ml beaker to the nearest milligram and dried in a vacuum oven at 45°C with slight vacuum to constant weight (approximately 36 hours needed). The per cent moisture was then calculated from the difference in weights.

After constant weight was obtained, the dried sample was broken up by adding 5-10 g anhydrous $Na_2SO_4$ and grinding with a flattened glass rod. The dried material was removed from the sides and bottom of the beakers by grinding and scraping. The mixture was poured into a Soxhlet thimble and the beaker rinsed several times with ether : n-hexane (1:1). A glass wool plug was used to cover the sample in the thimble which was then extracted in a Soxhlet apparatus for 2 hours, using about 150 ml of 1:1 ether-hexane mixture at a rate of 10 siphonings per hour.

After extraction, the solvent was removed in a flash evaporator and the dried flask was weighed. The per cent fat was calculated from the difference in weights.




FIGURE 1. Relationship between DDT, before PCB separation, and PCB levels in ppm wet weight (** $p < 0.01$).

DSW 032216

STLCOPCB4016178

TABLE 2.—Mean wet-weight ppm residues of DDE in composite samples of 10 aquatic bird eggs in the prairie provinces.

| Sample location | California Gull | Ring-billed Gull | Franklin's Gull | Herring Gull | Common Tern | Double-crested Cormorant | White Pelican |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Namur Lake | 7.69 | | | 2.67 | | | 1.45 |
| 3. L. Therien Lake | 6.80 | 2.48 | | | 3.29 | 8.43 (3.57)* | |
| 4. Chip Lake | 9.12 | 3.63 | | | 25.2 (6.38)* | | |
| 5. Miquelon Lake | 21.6 (7.51)* | 2.99 | | | | | |
| 8. Dowling Lake | 9.53 | 3.95 | | | | | |
| 10. Lake Newell | 10.2 | 4.82 | 0.335 | | 11.1 | 4.58 | |
| 11. Murray Lake | 6.17 | 5.06 | | | | | |
| 13. Kazan Lake | 11.3 | | | | | 5.00 | 2.98 |
| 14. Primrose Lake | 11.2 | 5.98 | | | 2.04 | 6.36 | 1.97 |
| 15. Doré Lake | 9.77 | 9.84 | | | | | |
| 16. Lavallee Lake | | | | | 13.5 | 5.55 | 2.56 |
| 17. Montreal Lake | | | | | 15.9 | | |
| 18. Suggi Lake | | 3.31 | | 11.9 | | 4.15 | 1.46 |
| 19. Jackfish Lake | | 12.3 | 0.447 | | | | |
| 21. Redberry Lake | 5.38 | 5.52 | | | | 4.22 | 2.04 |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | 6.81 | 1.63 | | | | 3.47 | 1.85 |
| 23. Cypress Lake | 7.86 | 2.88 | | | | 5.88 | 1.76 |
| 24. Talbot Lake | | | | 17.2 | | 3.88 | 1.36 |
| 25. Moose Lake | | | | 14.2 | | 6.13 | 0.957 |
| 26. Lake Winnipegosis | | 4.18 | | 13.3 | | 3.74 | 1.17 |
| 27. Kawinaw Lake | | 3.59 | | | | | 1.47 |
| 28. Pelican Lake | 4.07 | 2.24 | | 15.5 | | 3.43 | 0.830 |
| 29. Lake Winnipeg (St. Martin Is.) | | | | 15.2 | | 5.57 | 1.37 |
| 30. Dog Lake | | | | | | 8.41 | 1.00 |
| 31. Lake Manitoba | | 1.26 | | | | 9.00 | 4.76 |
| | Great Blue Heron | Black-crowned Night Heron | Western Grebe | Horned Grebe | Eared Grebe | American Avocet | Coot |
| 2. Cold Lake | | | 7.76 | | | | |
| 4. Chip Lake | 37.01 | | | | | | |
| 6. Battle River (Wetaskiwin) | 5.71 | | | | | | |
| 7. Jamieson Lake | 11.90 (6.61)* | | | | | | |
| 8. Dowling Lake | 6.71 | | | | | | |
| 9. Stobart Lake | | | | | | 3.32 | |
| 10. Lake Newell | | | | | | 3.16 | 0.41 |
| 11. Murray Lake | | 0.90 | | | | | |
| 12. Belly River (Glenwoodville) | 9.95 | | | | | | |
| 19. Jackfish Lake | | | 3.94 | | | | |
| 20. Battleford | | | | 5.13 | | | |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | | 2.93 | | | 0.55 | | |
| 27. Kawinaw Lake | | | 3.46 | | | | |
| | Canada Goose | Mallard | Pintail | Gadwall | American Widgeon | Blue-winged Teal | Lesser Scaup |
| 3. L. Therien Lake | | | | | | | 0.33 |
| 4. Chip Lake | | | | 0.23 | | | 1.02 |
| 5. Miquelon Lake | | 0.14 | | | | | |
| 8. Dowling Lake | 0.04 | | | | | | |
| 10. Lake Newell | 0.03 | 0.37 | | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.81 | 0.36 |
| 19. Jackfish Lake | | 0.24 | | | | | |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | | 0.24 | 0.92 | | | | |
| 23. Cypress Lake | 0.02 | 1.07 | | | | | |

*Collected in 1969.

DSM 032217

STLCOPCB4016179

TABLE 3.—Mean wet-weight ppm residues of dieldrin in composite samples of 10 aquatic bird eggs in the prairie provinces

| Sample location | California Gull | Ring-billed Gull | Franklin's Gull | Herring Gull | Common Tern | Double-crested Cormorant | White Pelican |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Namur Lake | ND | | | 0.012 | | | 0 113 |
| 3. L. Therien Lake | 0.030 | 0.331 | | | 0.063 | 0.414 (0.112)* | |
| 4. Chip Lake | 0.039 | 0.364 | | | 0.075 (0.038)* | | |
| 5. Miquelon Lake | ND (0.059 * | 0.284 | | | | | |
| 8. Dowling Lake | 0.048 | 0.415 | | | | | |
| 10. Lake Newell | ND | 0.260 | 0.314 | | 0.396 | 0.172 | |
| 11. Murray Lake | 1.52 | 0.320 | | | | | |
| 13. Kazan Lake | 0.051 | | | | | 0.652 | 0.131 |
| 14. Primrose Lake | 0.048 | 0.788 | | | 0.017 | 0.360 | 0.383 |
| 15. Doré Lake | 1.38 | 0.425 | | | | | |
| 16. Lavallee Lake | | | | | 0.144 | 0.266 | 0.133 |
| 17. Montreal Lake | | | | | 0.130 | | |
| 18. Suggi Lake | | 0.453 | | 0.318 | | 0.270 | 0.184 |
| 19. Jackfish Lake | | 0.912 | 0.284 | | | | |
| 21. Redberry Lake | 0.034 | 0.726 | | | | 0.498 | 0.082 |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | 0.044 | 0.288 | | | | 0.205 | 0.087 |
| 23. Cypress Lake | 0.057 | 0.409 | | | | 0.068 | 0.054 |
| 24. Talbot Lake | | | | 0.478 | | 0.366 | 0.127 |
| 25. Moose Lake | | | | 0.438 | | 0.642 | 0.096 |
| 26. Lake Winnipegosis | | 0.614 | | 0.442 | | 0.380 | 0.103 |
| 27. Kawinaw Lake | | 1.27 | | | | | 0.176 |
| 28. Pelican Lake | 0.065 | 1.11 | | 0.514 | | 0.305 | 0.087 |
| 29. Lake Winnipeg (St. Martin Is.) | | | | 0.496 | | 0.681 | 0.099 |
| 30. Dog Lake | | | | | | 0.542 | 0.084 |
| 31. Lake Manitoba | | 0.442 | | | | 0.330 | 0.179 |

| | Great Blue Heron | Black-crowned Night Heron | Western Grebe | Horned Grebe | Eared Grebe | American Avocet | Coot |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. Cold Lake | | | ND | | | | |
| 4. Chip Lake | 0.111 | | | | | | |
| 6. Battle River (Wetaskiwing) | 0.077 | | | | | | |
| 7. Jamieson Lake | 0.344 (0.119)* | | | | | | |
| 8. Dowling Lake | 0.056 | | | | | | |
| 9. Stobart Lake | | | | | | 0.171 | |
| 10. Lake Newell | | | | | | 0.252 | |
| 11. Murray Lake | | 0.026 | | | | | 0.004 |
| 12. Belly River (Glenwoodville) | 0.236 | | | | | | |
| 19. Jackfish Lake | | | ND | | | | |
| 20. Battleford | | | | ND | | | |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | | 0.139 | | | 0.002 | | |
| 27. Kawinaw Lake | | | 0.128 | | | | |

| | Canada Goose | Mallard | Pintail | Gadwall | American Widgeon | Blue-winged Teal | Lesser Scaup |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. L. Therien Lake | | | | | | | 0.020 |
| 4. Chip Lake | | | | 0.027 | | | 0.169 |
| 5. Miquelon Lake | | 0.038 | | | | | |
| 8. Dowling Lake | 0.012 | | | | | | |
| 10. Lake Newell | 0.022 | 0.020 | | 0.025 | 0.032 | 0.027 | 0.022 |
| 19. Jackfish Lake | | 0.063 | | | | | |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | | 0.080 | 0.050 | | | | |
| 23. Cypress Lake | 0.012 | 0.050 | | | | | |

*Collected in 1969.

DSW 032218
STLCOPCB40161800




FIGURE 2. Relationship between DDD, before PCB separation, and PCB levels in ppm wet weight.

TABLE 4. — Mean wet-weight ppm residues of HE in composite samples of 10 aquatic bird eggs in the prairie provinces

| Sample location | California Gull | Ring-billed Gull | Herring Gull | Double-crested Cormor. | White Pelican | Great Blue Heron | Mallard |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. L. Therien Lake | | | | 0.016 | | | |
| 4. Chip Lake | | | | | | 0.071 | |
| 5. Miquelon Lake | 0.015 | | | | | | |
| 6. Battle Riv. (Wetaskiwin) | | | | | | 0.007 | |
| 7. Jamieson Lake | | | | | | 0.015 | |
| 12. Belly Riv. (Glenwoodville) | | | | | | 0.040 | |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | 0.020 | 0.043 | | 0.033 | ND | | 0.009 |
| 23. Cypress L. | 0.027 | 0.246 (0.008)* | | 0.015 | 0.018 | | 0.902 (0.067)* |
| 24. Talbot L. | | | 0.167 | 0.032 | 0.015 | | |
| 25. Moose L. | | | 0.146 | 0.075 | 0.010 | | |
| 26. Lake Winnipegosis | | 0.045 | 0.043 | 0.022 | 0.022 | | |
| 27. Kawinaw Lake | | 0.127 | | | 0.022 | | |
| 28. Pelican L. | 0.009 | 0.142 | 0.134 | 0.022 | 0.023 | | |
| 29. Lake Winnipeg (St. Martin Is.) | | | 0.114 | 0.074 | 0.018 | | |
| 30. Dog Lake | | | | 0.028 | 0.011 | | |
| 31. Lake Manitoba | | 0.035 | | 0.044 | 0.017 | | |

*Heptachlor.

DSW 032219

STLCOPCB4016181

TABLE 5.—Mean wet-weight ppm residues of β-BHC in composite samples of 10 aquatic bird eggs in the prairie provinces

| Sample location | California Gull | Ring-billed Gull | Herring Gull | Double-crested Cormor. | White Pelican | Great Blue Heron | Mallard |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. L. Therien | | | | 0.065 | | | |
| 4. Chip Lake | | | | | | 0.082 | |
| 5. Miquelon Lake | ND | | | | | | |
| 6. Battle Riv. (Wetaskiwin) | | | | | | 0.008 | |
| 7. Jamieson Lake | | | | | | 0.020 | |
| 12. Belly Riv. (Glenwoodville) | | | | | | 0.028 | |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | ND | ND | | ND | ND | | ND |
| 23. Cypress L. | ND | ND | | ND | ND | | ND |
| 24. Talbot L. | | | ND | 0.230 | ND | | |
| 25. Moose L. | | | ND | 0.176 | ND | | |
| 26. Lake Winnipegosis | | ND | ND | 0.167 | ND | | |
| 27. Kawinaw Lake | | 0.040 | | | 0.032 | | |
| 28. Pelican L. | ND | 0.028 | ND | 0.170 | ND | | |
| 29. Lake Winnipeg (St. Martin Is.) | | | 0.035 | 0.280 | ND | | |
| 30. Dog Lake | | | | 0.208 | ND | | |
| 31. Lake Man. | | ND | | 0.200 | ND | | |

The fat residue was dissolved in 150 ml of 5% benzene in acetone and the solution was cleaned-up by cold precipitation, essentially according to the method of McCully and McKinley (1964). The solution was chilled to —70°C and stirred for 35 minutes in a dry ice-methanol cold bath. The mixture was then filtered through a carbon-celite (2 g: 10 g) pad at —70°C dried with $Na_2SO_4$, concentrated and made to a volume of 5 ml with hexane. Additional cleanup was effected by use of a Florisil column and the cleaned-up extract was analysed for pesticide residues by gas liquid chromatography-electron capture (GLC-EC) technique with parameters as described by Reynolds (1969).




FIGURE 3. Sample locations of aquatic bird eggs in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba.

The compounds screened for were lindane, heptachlor, aldrin, kelthane, DDE, dieldrin, DDD, o,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDT, methoxychlor, endrin and tedion in 1968 and in addition heptachlor epoxide (HE) and benzene hexachloride (α-, β-, and γ-BHC) in 1969.

No corrections were made for pesticide losses during the extraction and cleanup processes, although recovery studies for 8 of the more common pesticides showed an average loss of about 10%. Confirmation of specific residues was made by use of more polar phase GLC columns, derivatization and use of characteristic

DSW 032220

STLCOPCB4016182

GLC retention times of the derivatives, and by thin layer chromatography where possible.

A number of samples were analysed for polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs) as these compounds interfere with the organochlorine pesticide analyses. The PCBs were separated from the organochlorine pesticides by differential elution from a Florisil column and estimated by the methed of Reynolds (in press). The PCB values reported are based on Aroclor 1254 and are the averages of the calculation for peaks No. 8 and 10, two of the major peaks in the PCB commercial mixture. It can be seen that DDT and DDD residue levels obtained in 50 California Gull tissues without prior PCB separation are unreliable (Table 1). An increase in those levels can be significantly correlated with a greater PCB contamination (Figures 1 and 2). As the DDT and DDD levels obtained without PCB separation are unreliable and as they constituted only a small fraction of the total DDT plus metabolite contamination, they have been omitted from the results.

The DDE values presented may include small contributions from PCBs since DDE is not separated from a minor PCB interfering peak. However, if the GLC pattern of a sample extract (prior to PCB separation on Florisil) shows high "apparent" DDE with little or no DDD and DDT present, then all or most of the apparent DDE is probably "true" DDE. It should be noted that almost all the samples, with the exception of the fat tissues, showed less than one ppm DDT or DDD prior to PCB separation, thus indicating that PCB contributions to the DDE values are likely to be small.

No standard method was found in the literature to represent quantities of organochlorine residues in tissues. In this paper, the pesticide residues are shown in ppm wet weight as most articles relating to pesticides appear to follow this procedure. As loss of moisture from eggs during incubation tends to concentrate organochlorine residues in the growing embryo, and as there are interspecific differences in the moisture percentage of eggs it may be more accurate to present residues on a dry-weight basis. For those who want to convert the residues from wet to dry or lipid weight in ppm, the percentage moisture and fat of each pooled egg sample is shown in the appendix.

## Results and Discussion

### *Survey of Pooled Egg Samples*

The numbers in Figure 3 denote the locations where the egg samples were collected and relate to those in Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5. Samples were taken in Alberta and Saskatchewan in 1968, except for Cypress and Old Wives Lakes, and at those two lakes and in Manitoba in 1969. Collections were made at certain locations in Alberta in both years. Table 2 shows the DDE residue levels present in aquatic bird eggs. The eggs of larids (except Franklin's Gull, *Larus pipixcan*) and those of fish-eating birds such as the Double-crested Cormorant, White Pelican, *Pelecanus erythrorhynchos*, Great Blue Heron, and Western Grebe, *Aechmophorus occidentalis*, contained the highest DDE levels, while waterfowl egg levels were generally the lowest. This difference may simply reflect feeding habits and biological magnification of DDE, for the plant and small animal food of the waterfowl can be reliably expected to contain lower concentrations of DDE than the larger animal food of the larids and fish-eating birds.

Within larids, the generally higher DDE residue levels in the eggs of California Gulls than in those of Ring-billed Gulls, *Larus delawarensis*, may also result from a difference in diet, since California Gulls eat on the average larger rodents and are greater scavengers than Ring-billed Gulls (Vermeer, 1967).

For Franklin's Gulls, the average DDE residue level found in the present study is similar to the 0.462 ppm wet weight reported for 30 eggs of Franklin's Gulls at Hay Lakes, Alberta, in 1966 (Guay, 1968). The relatively low residue levels in the eggs of Franklin's Gulls may be related to their dominantly insectivorous diet. Guay (1968) found that the Franklin's Gull diet consisted of 88% insects. No vertebrates were present in the stomachs of the 27 Franklin's Gulls examined by him. The diet of California and Ring-billed Gulls in contrast includes large quantities of rodents (Vermeer, 1967).

DSW 032221

STLCOPCB4016183

TABLE 6.—Means and 95% confidence intervals of organochlorine insecticide residues in tissues of California Gulls collected in the vicinity of Edmonton; 10 during spring arrival and 10 during egg-laying in 1969

| Tissue analized | Time collected | % Fat in tissue | Residues in ppm wet weight | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | DDE | Dieldrin | HE |
| Abdominal fat | Arrival | 67.8±7.4 | 211.62±141.53 | 1.01±0.43 | 0.68±1.31 |
| | Egg-laying | 68.6±8.8 | 134.11± 91.20 | 1.16±0.40 | 0.31±0.25 |
| Liver | Arrival | 4.1±1.0 | 7.73± 6.53 | 0.09±0.05 | 0.02±0.02 |
| | Egg-laying | 4.0±0.6 | 5.37± 3.29 | 0.08±0.04 | 0.01±0.01 |
| Brain | Arrival | 7.3±0.4 | 2.14± 1:43 | 0.02±0.01 | 0.0007* |
| | Egg-laying | 6.9±0.5 | 1.31± 0.74 | 0.02±0.01 | 0.0011* |

*HE observed in < 3 samples.

The Herring Gull, like the California Gull, is a scavenger, but the former appears to eat more fish in its inland habitat (Mendall, 1939; Ludwig, 1962). The DDE residue levels observed in the eggs of this species at the large Manitoba lakes may be a reflection of its diet. J. A. Keith (1966) associated Herring Gull egg levels averaging 202 ppm wet-weight DDE with exceptionally low hatching success in northern Lake Michigan, and the lower 95% confidence limit of this average was 122 ppm, seven times higher than the highest Herring Gull level reported here.

No quantitative data on the food habits of Common Terns, in the prairie provinces are available. The diet of this species on the Maine coast chiefly consists of fish and crustaceans (Mendall, 1935). The DDE levels in the eggs of this species at different breeding locations appear to vary more than in the other larids.

The higher residue levels found in the eggs of Double-crested Cormorants than in those of White Pelicans may be related to their different feeding habits. White Pelicans scoop fish from the water surface (Hall, 1925) while Double-crested Cormorants obtain fish by diving (Bartholomew, 1942). Anderson *et al.* (1969) found similar differences in DDE residues between those two species in Minnesota, Wisconsin, North Dakota, Manitoba and Saskatchewan. They explain them as being a result of dissimilar non-breeding area exposures. According to their calculations, based on information from Bent (1922), Lewis (1929), Mendall (1936), and refuge personnel, pelicans arrive on the average on April 10 and cormorants on May 3. However, Lewis (1929: 14) states that

TABLE 7.—Variation of DDE residues in ppm wet weight in individual samples of 10 aquatic bird eggs in Alberta in 1969.

| Species | Where Collected | Mean | Range | Coefficient of Variation |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Great Blue Heron | Belly River (Glenwoodville) | 9.95 | 1.5 – 24.0 | 61.83 |
| Great Blue Heron | Battle River (Wetaskiwin) | 5.71 | 1.4 – 13.5 | 69.96 |
| Great Blue Heron | Jamieson Lake | 6.61 | 1.0 – 31.8 | 138.68 |
| Great Blue Heron | Chip Lake | 37.01 | 0.7 – 234.4 | 197.73 |
| Common Tern | Chip Lake | 6.38 | 1.2 – 33.3 | 151.93 |
| California Gull | Miquelon and Joseph Lakes | 7.51 | 2.1 – 20.2 | 91.09 |
| Double-crested Cormorant | L. Therien Lake | 3.57 | 1.5 – 6.4 | 41.65 |

DSW 032222

STLCOPCB4016184

cormorants in Manitoba may arrive at the end of March or early April. From eight average arrival dates each (Lewis 1929: 16), we calculate that cormorants arrive on average in Minnesota, Wisconsin and North Dakota on April 17 and in Manitoba on April 24. One of us (KV) watched the spring arrival of cormorants at Cypress Lake, Saskatchewan, in 1969 and at Lake Newell, Alberta, in 1968 and 1969. Cormorants occupied their nesting grounds by mid-April, even before ice disappeared from those lakes, and initiated egg-laying during the last week of April (Vermeer, 1970; in press). KV also observed little or no interspecific difference in hatching dates where the two species nested together in large numbers in the Canadian prairie provinces. Hence it appears that the interspecific differences in DDE residues cannot be explained on the basis of dissimilar spring arrival dates. Different food habits on the breeding and/or non-breeding grounds is a more likely explanation for dissimilar DDE contamination of the two species.

The dieldrin residue levels in the aquatic bird eggs are shown in Table 3. Although the dieldrin levels are not as high as those of DDT compounds, their effects are not less serious. DeWitt *et al.* (1960) tested the toxicity of 21 insecticides to Bobwhite Quail, *Colinus virginianus*, and found dieldrin to be 14 times more toxic than DDT. As has been observed for the DDE residues, the eggs of larids and fish-eating birds contain more dieldrin than those of waterfowl, while cormorants appear to be more contaminated than pelicans.

HE is of similar toxicity as dieldrin to birds (Moore, 1965). HE is rapidly metabolized from heptachlor and hence the latter is rarely




FIGURE 4. Relationships of organochlorine residue levels within pairs of eggs from 10 California Gull clutches; points being intersections of pair values.

DSW 032223

STLCOPCB4016185

TABLE 8. Means and 95% confidence intervals of organochlorine insecticide residues in tissues and single eggs of 10 California Gull females at Miquelon and Joseph Lakes, Alberta in 1969.

| Tissues | Per cent | | ppm wet weight | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fat | Non-fat (water excl.) | DDE | Dieldrin | HE |
| Abdominal fat | 68.6±8.8 | 7.4±3.2 | 134.11±91.20 | 1.16±0.40 | 0.31 ±0.25 |
| Ovary | 11.4±3.6 | 17.7±1.1 | 12.56± 9.33 | 0.15±0.12 | 0.019±0.016 |
| Egg | 7.8±1.0 | 16.1±0.5 | 7.26± 4.84 | 0.06±0.03 | 0.016±0.015 |
| Liver | 4.0±0.6 | 26.3±0.8 | 5.37± 3.29 | 0.08±0.04 | 0.009±0.008 |
| Brain | 6.9±0.5 | 13.9±1.1 | 1.31± 0.74 | 0.02±0.01 | 0.001 |

detected as a residue. But heptachlor was still present where high HE residue levels were found in Ring-billed Gull and Mallard eggs at Cypress Lake (Table 4). It can be seen in Table 5 that Herring and Ring-billed Gulls are more contaminated with HE than cormorants and pelicans, while cormorants in turn have higher average HE levels than pelicans.

Table 5 shows that the cormorants at the lakes in Manitoba are most contaminated with β BHC residues. Eggs of White Pelicans, Herring and Ring-billed Gulls contained lower β BHC residues at the same locations. Perhaps β BHC is associated with the fish on which cormorants feed.

Nothing is known concerning the source of the organochlorine residues in the aquatic birds. We compared residue levels from tissues of 10 California Gulls collected near Edmonton at spring arrival with those of 10 birds of that species taken at Joseph and Miquelon Lakes during egg-laying and the first few days of incubation in 1969 (Table 6). Although there are no statistically significant changes in residue levels between gulls from those two time periods, a trend indicates a residue decline of DDE in gulls with the advancing season. This suggests that food taken during spring migration or during the winter contained higher levels of DDT or DDE than food taken during the early breeding season.

In order to reduce costs of residue analyses, composite egg samples were usually analyzed. However, ten individual eggs, of various species from several localities in Alberta in 1969, were also analyzed to determine DDE residue variation within local populations (Table 7). It can be seen that the DDE levels vary considerably within eggs of local breeding populations of Great Blue Herons, California Gulls and Com-

TABLE 9.—DDE and dieldrin residue ratios in tissues and single eggs of 10 California Gull females at Joseph and Miquelon Lakes, Alberta in 1969.

| Tissue comparisons | DDE | | Dieldrin | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Mean ratios ± SE | Coefficient of correlation | Mean ratios ± SE | Coefficient of correlation |
| Fat/egg | 19.6±3.3 | 0.9506** | 20.3±2.8 | 0.8073* |
| Liver/egg | 0.81±0.12 | 0.9242** | 1.48±0.29 | 0.3612 |
| Brain/egg | 0.20±0.03 | 0.9285** | 0.27±0.04 | 0.9366** |
| Ovary/egg | 1.76±0.20 | 0.8539** | 2.21±0.05 | 0.4327 |

*$p < 0.05$
**$p < 0.01$

DSW 032224

STLCOPCB4016186

TABLE 10.—DDE and dieldrin residue ratios in tissues of 20 California Gulls from Edmonton and Joseph and Miquelon Lakes, Alberta in 1969.

| Tissue comparisons | DDE | | Dieldrin | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Mean ratios ± SE | Coefficient of correlation | Mean ratios ± SE | Coefficient of correlation |
| Fat/liver | 30.8±3.8 | 0.9553** | 16.4±2.1 | 0.4760* |
| Fat/brain | 107.5±9.4 | 0.9172** | 73.3±9.8 | 0.8570** |
| Liver/brain | 3.78±0.24 | 0.9286** | 5.78±0.81 | 0.7284** |

mon Terns. Although one highly contaminated gull, heron or tern may bias a mean composite sample, nevertheless a pooled sample is better than a single sample as an indicator of the degree of organochlorine contamination of a population. Two Great Blue Heron eggs with 78.0 and 234.4 DDE residue levels at Chip Lake, for example, had the highest DDE levels of all aquatic bird eggs collected. If one egg, low in DDE residues, had been sampled, there would be no indication that some herons at that locality were highly contaminated.

The variation in individual DDE residue levels was smaller in Double-crested Cormorants than in Great Blue Herons, California Gulls and Common Terns (Table 7). Intraspecific variation in mean DDE residues between different localities was also smaller in cormorants, as well as in pelicans, than in California Gulls, Ring-billed Gulls, Common Terns, Herring Gulls and Great Blue Herons (Table 2). This may be related to the almost exclusively fish diet of cormorants as compared to the only partly fish diet of the gulls and herons. Cormorants probably are more restricted to feeding in deeper waters than terns, which have been observed feeding in very shallow bays as well as in ponds adjacent to the lakes where they nest.




FIGURE 5. Relation between DDE concentrations and shell thickness in forty Great Blue Heron eggs from four Albertan heronries in 1969.

*Eggs as Indicators*

Two eggs of each of 10 clutches of California Gulls at Joseph and Miquelon Lakes were taken in 1969 to determine if organochlorine residue levels in one egg were representative of those in other eggs laid by the same female. It can be seen that they were representative as there are highly significant correlations of residue levels between eggs of the same clutch (Figure 4). A comparison is also made between the organochlorine insecticide residue levels in tissues and in single eggs of 10 California Gull females during the egg-laying period (Table 8). As residue levels of eggs and livers were the most alike of all the tissues examined, those in eggs are approximate indicators of those in livers of females at the time of egg-laying. It can be seen that the residue levels in the abdominal fat are significantly higher than those in the ovary, egg, and liver, and that the levels of those tissues in turn are significantly higher than in the brain of the same birds. As organochlorine residues tend to dissolve and concentrate in fat, the tissues with most fat generally have the highest residue levels. There are exceptions, however, for while the brain contains a significantly higher percentage of fat than the liver, nevertheless the liver has a significantly higher DDE and dield-

DSW 032225

STLCOPCB4016187

TABLE 11.—Means and 95% confidence intervals of PCB residues in tissues and single eggs of 10 California Gull females at Joseph and Miquelon Lakes, Alberta in 1969.

| Tissues | Per cent | | PCB in ppm wet weight |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Fat | Non fat (water excluded) | |
| Abdominal fat | 68.6±8.8 | 7.4±3.2 | 18.87±8.57 |
| Ovary | 11.4±3.6 | 17.7±1.1 | 1.22±0.65 |
| Egg | 7.8±1.0 | 16.1±0.5 | 0.87±0.39 |
| Liver | 4.0±0.6 | 26.3±0.8 | 0.79±0.36 |
| Brain | 6.9±0.5 | 13.9±1.1 | 0.29±0.16 |

rin level than the brain (Table 8). Hence residue comparisons between different tissues on a lipid basis may be sometimes misleading.

Residue levels in tissues of California Gull females are compared with those in their eggs (Table 9). As HE residues were not observed in many samples, they have been excluded from the table. Highly significant correlations can be observed in DDE levels between tissues and eggs. Dieldrin levels in the fat and brain also relate significantly to those in eggs. DDE and dieldrin ratios appear to be of similar magnitude for the same tissue comparisons. Hence, as the correlations are generally significant, DDE and dieldrin residue levels in tissues of California Gulls at the time of egg-laying may be predicted when known in their eggs. They may also be predicted when known in another tissue than eggs (Table 10).

PCB residue levels in tissues of California Gull females are also compared with those in their eggs (Tables 11 and 12). It can be seen that the distribution of PCB residues in tissues follows the same trend as those for DDE, dieldrin and HE (Tables 8), and that the pattern of PCB residue ratios also follows the same trend as those for DDE and dieldrin (Table 9). Hence PCB residue levels in egg-laying California Gulls may be predicted when known in their eggs, and for birds generally, PCB residues may prove to have a tissue distribution pattern similar to the patterns of DDE, dieldrin, and HE.

TABLE 12.—PCB residue ratios in tissues of 10 California Gull females and their eggs at Joseph and Miquelon Lakes, Alberta in 1969.

| Tissue comparisons | Mean ratio ± SE | Coefficient of correlation |
|---|---|---|
| Fat/egg | 25.45±6.32 | 0.7558* |
| Liver/egg | 1.11±0.27 | 0.6159* |
| Brain/egg | 0.38±0.09 | 0.6274* |
| Ovary/egg | 1.67±0.38 | 0.7127* |

Figure 5 shows a highly significant inverse correlation between shell thickness and DDE residues in 40 Great Blue Heron eggs from heronries at the Battle River near Wetaskiwin, the Belly River near Glenwoodville, Chip Lake, and Jamieson Lake in Alberta. The DDE residues are shown in ppm wet weight to maintain uniform presentation of results. Somewhat better correlations between DDE residues in eggs and egg shell thickness of herons were observed on a dry-weight ($r = -0.5741^{**}$) and a lipid-weight basis ($r = -0.5958^{**}$). Hence more significant correlations may be obtained by means of the latter methods when comparing organochlorine residues within the same tissues. Although a linear regression is shown in Figure 5, the relation between DDE residues in eggs and egg shell thickness was

TABLE 13.—Relation between DDE residue levels and embryonic development in Great Blue Heron eggs collected at Chip Lake on May 28, 1969

| ppm DDE in wet wt. | % water in eggs | Condition of embryo in collected egg | No. eggs and/or young per nest |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0.713 | 84 | Embryo alive | 5 |
| 2.17 | 83 | Embryo alive | 4 |
| 2.74 | 84 | Embryo alive | 5 |
| 4.00 | 80 | No development (infertile?) | 4 |
| 4.62 | 84 | Embryo alive | 5 |
| 6.59 | 82 | Embryo alive | 4 |
| 11.1 | 83 | Embryo alive | 4 |
| 25.8 | 83 | Embryo alive (pipping) | 5 |
| 78.0 | 82 | Embryo alive (pipping) | 4 |
| 234.4 | 73 | Embryo died at early stage | 1 |

DSW 032226

STLCOPCB4016188

slightly curvilinear, fitting an exponential curve $y = (374.081)\ (0.9987)^x$. However, more egg samples with high DDE residue contents are needed to determine whether the actual relationship between DDE residues in eggs and shell thickness is a curvilinear or a linear one.

In contrast with DDE, no significant correlation ($r = -0.1692$) was found between shell thickness and PCB residues in the contents of the 40 Great Blue Heron eggs.

The heron eggs most contaminated with DDE were found at Chip Lake. It can be seen than an embryo from an egg with 78.0 ppm DDE shows development at least until hatching (Table 13). The embryo from an egg with 234.4 ppm DDE died at an early stage, perhaps as a result of the DDE concentration.

**Acknowledgments**

J. A. Keith was most helpful in his critical review of the manuscript. R. Isbister, J. E. Polson, and S. G. Sealy, assisted in the collection of egg samples.

## Literature Cited

**Anderson, D. W., J. J. Hickey, R. W. Risebrough, D. F. Hughes and R. E. Christensen.** 1969. Significance of chlorinated hydrocarbon residues to breeding pelicans and cormorants. Canadian Field-Naturalist, 83: 91-112.

**Bartholomew, G. A.** 1942. The fishing activity of Double-crested Cormorants in San Francisco Bay. Condor, 44: 13-21.

**Bent, A. C.** 1922. Life histories of North American petrels and pelicans and their allies/Order Tubinares and Steganopodes. U.S. National Museum Bulletin 121. 343 pp.

**DeWitt, J. B., C. M. Menzie, V. A. Adomaitis and W. L. Reichel.** 1960. Pesticidal residues in animal tissues. Transactions Twenty-fifth North American Wildlife Conference, 277-285.

**Fyfe, R. W., J. Campbell, B. Hayson and K. Hodson.** 1969. Regional population declines and organochlorine insecticides in Canadian Prairie Falcons. Canadian Field-Naturalist, 83: 191-200.

**Guay, J. W.** 1968. The breeding biology of Franklin's Gull (*Larus pipixan*). Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, 129 pp.

**Hall, E. R.** 1925. Pelicans versus fishes in Pyramid Lake. Condor 27: 147-160.

**Heath, R. G., J. W. Spann and J. F. Kreitzer.** 1969. Marked DDE impairment of mallard reproduction in controlled studies. Nature 224: 47-48.

**Hickey, J. J. and D. W. Anderson.** 1968. Chlorinated hydrocarbons and egg shell changes in raptorial and fish-eating birds. Science 162: 271-273.

**Keith, J. A.** 1966. Reproduction in a population of Herring Gulls (*Larus argentatus*) contaminated by DDT. Journal of Applied Ecology, 3, Supplement: 57-70.

**Keith, J. O.** 1966. Insecticide contamination in wetland habitats and their effect on fish-eating birds. Journal of Applied Ecology, 3, Supplement: 71-85.

**Lewis, H. F.** 1929. The natural history of the Double-crested Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus auritus*) (Lesson). Ru-Mi-Lou Books, Ottawa. 94 pp.

**Ludwig, J. P.** 1962. A survey of the gull and tern populations of Lakes Huron, Michigan and Superior. Jack-Pine Warbler 40: 104-119.

**McCully, K. A. and W. P. McKinley.** 1964. Determination of chlorinated pesticide residues in fat by electron capture gas chromatography. Journal of Association of Official Agricultural Chemists 47: 652-659.

**Mendall, H. L.** 1935. The relationship of certain sea birds to the fishing industry of the State of Maine. Bulletin of the Department of Sea and Shore Fisheries, Maine. 27 pp.

————. 1936. The home-life and economic status of the Double-crested Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus auritus*) (Lesson). University of Maine Studies Second Series, Number 38 (Maine Bulletin 39). 159 pp.

————. 1939. Food habits of the Herring Gull in relation to fresh-water game fishes in Maine. Wilson Bulletin 51: 223-226.

**Moore, N. W.** 1965. Environmental contamination by pesticides, pp. 219-237. *In* G. T. Goodman *et al.*, (Ed.), Ecology and the Industrial Society, Oxford.

————. and **C. H. Walker.** 1964. Organochlorine insecticide residues in wild birds. Nature, 201: 1072-1073.

**Reynolds, L. M.** 1969. Polychlorobiphenyls (PCB's) and their interference with pesticide residue analysis. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 4: 128-143.

————. (In press). Pesticide residue analysis in the presence of polychlorobiphenyls (PCB's). Residue Reviews 34.

**Vermeer, K.** 1967. A study of two species of gulls, *Larus californicus* and *L. delawarensis*, breeding in an inland habitat. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Alberta, 128 pp.

————. 1970. Some aspects of the nesting of Double-crested Cormorants at Cypress Lake, Saskatchewan in 1969; A plea for protection. Blue Jay 28: 11-13.

————. (In press). Arrival and clutch initiation of Double-crested Cormorants at Lake Newell, Alberta.

Received June 18, 1970
Accepted July 8, 1970

DSW 032227

STLCOPCB4016189

APPENDIX.—Percentage moisture (percentage fat in parenthesis) in composite samples of 10 aquatic bird eggs in the prairie provinces

| Sample location | California Gull | Ring-billed Gull | Franklin's Gull | Herring Gull | Common Tern | Double-crested Cormorant | White Pelican |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Namur Lake | 77.2 (7.9) | | | 76.8 (7.5) | | | 81.9 (4.9) |
| 3. L. Therien Lake | 77.3 (8.6) | 75.6 (9.1) | | | 76.3 (10.0) | 85.3 (3.0) | |
| 4. Chip Lake | 76.7 (8.0) | 75.8 (9.8) | | | 77.2 (8.7) | | |
| 5. Miquelon Lake | 76.5 (10.0) | 75.9 (9.6) | | | | | |
| 8. Dowling Lake | 75.6 (9.6) | 75.7 (9.6) | | | | | |
| 10. Lake Newell | 76.1 (8.9) | 76.0 (9.8) | 75.0 (8.7) | | 75.9 (10.0) | 83.9 (4.1) | |
| 11. Murray Lake | 76.6 (9.3) | 74.8 (9.5) | | | | | |
| 13. Kazan Lake | 76.1 (8.8) | | | | | 84.3 (4.0) | 81.6 (4.0) |
| 14. Primrose Lake | 76.0 (8.4) | 75.1 (8.0) | | | 75.9 (10.1) | 84.2 (3.9) | 81.9 (4.9) |
| 15. Doré Lake | 77.0 (8.3) | 75.2 (9.2) | | | | | |
| 16. Lavallee Lake | | | | | 76.2 (8.8) | 83.8 (4.6) | 83.7 (5.4) |
| 17. Montreal Lake | | | | | 77.8 (8.7) | | |
| 18. Suggi Lake | | 76.0 (9.1) | | 76.4 (7.9) | | 84.9 (2.9) | 82.7 (4.9) |
| 19. Jackfish Lake | | 76.9 (7.9) | 76.9 (8.1) | | | | |
| 21. Redberry Lake | 76.8 (8.4) | 75.3 (9.6) | | | | 84.8 (3.8) | 82.9 (3.9) |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | 75.0 (7.7) | 75.3 (9.0) | | | | 84.4 (3.3) | 83.0 (3.3) |
| 23. Cypress Lake | 75.3 (8.0) | 74.4 (8.3) | | | | 84.7 (4.0) | 83.0 (4.7) |
| 24. Talbot Lake | | | | 77.0 (8.0) | | 84.0 (4.3) | 80.7 (2.7) |
| 25. Moose Lake | | | | 75.0 (8.0) | | 84.3 (3.7) | 81.7 (4.3) |
| 26. Lake Winnipegosis | | 75.7 (9.0) | | 75.7 (8.0) | | 84.7 (4.0) | 81.7 (5.0) |
| 27. Kawinaw Lake | | 74.3 (9.3) | | | | | 82.3 (4.7) |
| 28. Pelican Lake | 76.0 (8.0) | 77.0 (8.7) | | 75.7 (8.7) | | 84.0 (3.3) | 82.7 (4.7) |
| 29. Lake Winnipeg (St. Martin Is.) | | | | 75.7 (8.3) | | 83.3 (3.7) | 82.3 (5.0) |
| 30. Dog Lake | | | | | | 84.3 (3.0) | 80.7 (5.3) |
| 31. Lake Manitoba | | 75.0 (9.3) | | | | 84.0 (4.0) | 82.7 (5.0) |

| | Great Blue Heron | Black-crowned Night Heron | Western Grebe | Horned Grebe | Eared Grebe | American Avocet | Coot |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. Cold Lake | | | 77.8 (8.9) | | | | |
| 4. Chip Lake | 81.9 (4.8) | | | | | | |
| 6. Battle River (Wetaskiwin) | 82.1 (5.1) | | | | | | |
| 7. Jamieson Lake | 81.7 (5.8) | | | | | | |
| 8. Dowling Lake | 82.6 (4.9) | | | | | | |
| 9. Stobart Lake | | | | | | 74.0 (10.9) | |
| 10. Lake Newell | | | | | | 73.6 (11.3) | 66.0 (8.8) |
| 11. Murray Lake | | 81.1 (5.1) | | | | | |
| 12. Belly River (Glenwoodville) | 81.8 (5.2) | | | | | | |
| 19. Jackfish Lake | | | 78.5 (6.5) | | | | |
| 20. Battleford | | | | 80.1(5.8) | | | |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | | 81.3 (5.0) | | | 78.8 (8.0) | | |
| 27. Kawinaw Lake | | | 78.0 (7.7) | | | | |

| | Canada Goose | Mallard | Pintail | Gadwall | American Widgeon | Blue-winged Teal | Lesser Scaup |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. L. Therien Lake | | | | | | | 67.4 (16.1) |
| 4. Chip Lake | | | | 66.9 (16.0) | | | 65.5 (15.2) |
| 5. Miquelon Lake | | 68.1 (14.8) | | | | | |
| 8. Dowling Lake | 68.6 (15.6) | | | | | | |
| 10. Lake Newell | 69.1 (15.8) | 68.0 (15.9) | | 67.9 (16.0) | 68.2 (16.4) | 68.9 (14.8) | 68.1 (14.9) |
| 19. Jackfish Lake | | 68.6 (14.3) | | | | | |
| 22. Old Wives Lake | | 68.3 (12.7) | 69.7 (12.7) | | | | |
| 23. Cypress Lake | 66.4 (15.7) | 68.6 (14.7) | | | | | |

NB The decrease in the % moisture and the increase in the % fat in eggs in the order cormorants – pelicans – herons – grebes – larids – shorebirds (avocets) – ducks and geese may reflect interspecific relations within and differences between avian orders.

DSM 032228
STLCOPCB4016190