PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
DUP-215

E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS & COMPANY

WILMINGTON, DELAWARE

ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

cc: Bowen
Rice

Route: Wesley
Britton
Day

SEP 18 1968

CC: H. D. Boyce
J. C. Lang (Info.only)
R. K. Mason
W. C. Weaver
H. C. Marden
C. J. Adolph
R. J. Ham
E. C. Jones, Purchasing

September 12, 1968

FIELD PROJECT MANAGERS:

F. C. BAYLIS	ASHTABULA	W. A. SAMANS	MAY PLANT
W. S. BRIGGS	BEAUMONT	A. S. TRUMPORE, JR.	MEMPHIS WORKS
A. D. DAY	CAPE FEAR	H. F. REDMILE	OLD HICKORY
W. S. FREE	CHATTANOOGA	W. S. LEWIN	PARLIN
H. S. STANTON, JR.	CIRCLEVILLE	A. W. ROGERS, ASST.	SPRUANCE
W. C. MANNEAR	FLORENCE	R. L. SPITZ	WASHINGTON WKS.
R. H. DAVIS	KINSTON	S. KREWATCH	WAYNESBORO
R. G. STERNBERGER	LOUISVILLE	W. G. HARRIS	YERKES
J. K. ADDISON	MARTINSVILLE		

ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY CORP.

Reference - Letter of May 2, 1968 to Armstrong Contracting & Supply Corp.

Letter of July 19, 1968 to FPM's on those sites where Armstrong Contracting & Supply Corp. is working.

It is noted that in accordance with established procedures, inspections are being made by Armstrong's insurance carrier, Aetna Life & Casualty Company, and in at least one instance a copy of their inspection report has been furnished to Du Pont. Without commenting on the adequacy of the report, we believe it is important to keep the following points in mind:

1. We should take no action on the basis of a copy of the Aetna report to Armstrong. If the report is forwarded by Armstrong with their recommendation for action, then we should proceed so long as the recommendations "fall within the general intent of the contract."

2. If the recommendations involve radical changes in facilities or are in any way controversial, they should be referred to this office. We will review the proposals with H. C. Marden and Division Management and attempt to assure uniformity in dealing with the subject at all sites.

If inspection has not been made of your facilities you should contact the Armstrong Branch or District Office servicing your job and remind them of their obligation. Similarly, follow-up inspections at six

BETTER THINGS FOR BETTER LIVING . . . THROUGH CHEMISTRY

month intervals should be expected. Even though you do not receive any communication from Armstrong relative to the inspection, your site records should be adequately documented as to date, participants and extent of inspection and any pertinent comments by Aetna.

CONSTRUCTION DIVISION

C. J. Lawrence, Jr.
Contract Superintendent

CJLJr./jrr