

To: 'arschna@erenj.com' <arschna@erenj.com>; 'twarmst@erenj.com' <twarmst@erenj.com>; 'Beatty, Patrick' <PWBE@chevron.com>; 'bill.d.broddle@usa.conoco.com' <bill.d.broddle@usa.conoco.com>; 'bbrody@bcm.tmc.edu' <bbrody@bcm.tmc.edu>; 'burnetdm@bp.com' <burnetdm@bp.com>; 'Szcagen@shellus.com' <Szcagen@shellus.com>; 'RoythorC@bp.com' <RoythorC@bp.com>; 'Tony.A.Clarke-Sturman@OPC.shell.com' <Tony.A.Clarke-Sturman@OPC.shell.com>; 'pmclegg@shellus.com' <pmclegg@shellus.com>; 'jdps@chevron.com' <jdps@chevron.com>; 'f-judy.elledge@usa.conoco.com' <f-judy.elledge@usa.conoco.com>; 'carol.a.fairbrother@exxonmobil.com' <carol.a.fairbrother@exxonmobil.com>; Susan Hahn <hahns@api.org>; 'myron.c.harrison@exxon.com' <myron.c.harrison@exxon.com>; 'Heroldra@bp.com' <Heroldra@bp.com>; 'richard.iron@uchsc.edu' <richard.iron@uchsc.edu>; 'masethwe@bp.com' <masethwe@bp.com>; 'lynn.b.russo@exxon.com' <lynn.b.russo@exxon.com>; Lorraine Twerdok <twerdokl@api.org>; 'ottowong@aol.com' <ottowong@aol.com>; 'ncze@chevron.com' <ncze@chevron.com>
From: George Woodall <Woodallg@api.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Received Date: 2001-05-11 17:49:15 GMT
Subject: Conference call reminder; Revised minutes

Benzene Health Research Consortium
Conference Call
Monday, May 14th at 9:00 AM EDT.
Call Title: Benzene Consortium
Moderator: George Woodall
Call-in Number: (703)736-7274
Confirmation Number: 522 6922

The revised minutes from the last call on May 3rd are also attached.
George M. Woodall, Ph.D.
Senior Toxicologist
American Petroleum Institute
1220 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005

tel: (202) 682-8067
fax: (202) 682-8031
woodallg@api.org

Attachments:

BenzeneConsortiumCall03May2001.doc

Benzene Consortium Call

May 3, 2001

Participants:

Paula Podhasky
Lynn Russo (Exxon)
John Wagner
Otto Wong
Tom Armstrong

Lorraine Twerdok
Patsy Clegg (Shell)
Chris Raythorne (BP)
Stuart Cagen (Shell)
Patrick Beatty (Chevron)

Roll Call of Companies:

Company	Shares	Commitment level
Exxon	3	No decision yet (will follow-up with Carol Fairbrother)
BP	3	Positive outlook, hopefully a decision next week
Chevron	2	Yes, letter should be out next week
Shell	2	Yes, with conditions
Conoco	1	(Relayed by Patrick Beatty) Tentatively yes, no official letter yet
Phillips	1	Not likely to decide until the Tosco merger is complete
Marathon/Ashland	2	Not seen as very likely

Issues to Consortium Formation:

- Agency Involvement - EPA or other agency could “nominate” a member to review the protocols, or they could be invited to join the independent scientific review committee with representation either by a qualified agency scientist or by an outside scientist. For other issues, a “Stakeholder” Committee for agencies, labor, other NGOs, etc. may be formed.
- Critical Mass - Shell would like a “critical mass” of shares before proceeding. The suggestion was to have 15 shares or scale back the protocols to meet whatever lower funding is available.
 - The question was asked, when do we need to get 15 shares committed? No firm date was fixed, but it was stated that it should be sometime in the future to avoid scaling back now then trying to add back capabilities if and when additional funding partners are found. Action was for Patsy Clegg to identify the dollar amount approved for Shell participation since a maximum of 14 shares was anticipated.
 - Is there a potential for tiering the studies to address funding availability?
- Interim Funding – An estimated \$100K in additional funding (split on a share basis among the interested companies) will be needed to cover 2 months (to the end of June) until the consortium actually forms or not.
- Potential Other Funding Sources

- Dow and Dupont may be approached - Dow originally said no
- Eastman
- Dupont
- Total/Final/Elf
- Equistar – showing some interest early on
- Leukemia Foundation funds \$45M in research and may also be a candidate
- Robin Rorick of API has been investigating potential leads from searches on the internet. The results of those searches will be shared on the consortium web site soon.

Action Items:

- To continue funding for the University of Colorado staff for next 2 months (until the end of June)
- Companies should have a legal review of the proposed project name - “Benzene Health Research Consortium”

Review of Minutes from April 24 call:

- Concern with growing tensions over Taiwan (Communications Issue)
- Guarantee for the University of Colorado = contract on May 15th date
- \$25K is anticipated to cover independent protocol reviews and no additional funds would be anticipated for any reviewers from governmental agencies; they usually perform these types of tasks as a part of their normal work duties – however, that may also delay their availability.

Next Call Monday, May 14th – 9:00am

To: Sullivan, Nancy NE SCC-CBAR <nesullivan@Shell.Com>
From: Clegg, Patsy PM SCC
</O=SHELL/OU=MSXSCC/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=PH197432>
Cc: Cagen, Stuart SZ SCC <szcagen@Shell.Com>
Bcc:
Received Date: 2001-05-23 19:50:45 GMT
Subject: RE: Shanghai Study

Nancy,

Attached is a file with an Aromatics Tox Budget Forecast for 2001-2006.

The Benzene Health Research Program \$\$ are based on Shell's commitment of \$3MM and the agreed funding formula of 30%, 25%, 15%, 15%, and 15% over five years. The numbers are a little strange because it is expected that we would be invoiced 50% of the 1st year's 30% in 2001, etc. I discussed this approach with both Pat Beatty, Chevron, who has been one of the leads, and George Woodall, API staff.

The VCCEP numbers are based on an estimated 150K for the next 18 months being divided by 8 companies (still no firm commitments - decision due June 12.) Then an estimated \$3MM project for Tiers 2 and 3 over the next several years.

I will be confirming these estimates with Stuart and finalizing the tox budget for 2002 this week.

Patsy



Aromatics Tox
Budget - 2001 - ...

-----Original Message-----

From: Sullivan, Nancy NE SCC-CBAR
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2001 3:03 PM
To: Clegg, Patsy PM SCC
Subject: Shanghai Study

Patsy,

I hope to speak with Fran/Jerry next week and confirm approval to spend \$\$ on this project. Given the intense focus we now have on costs and the fact this hasn't been budgeted, I just want to make sure they still give us the go ahead and we include in a budget. Do you have the latest estimate of what Shell would expect to pay by year (I know we would incur a higher % early on)? Thanks, Nancy

Nancy E. Sullivan
Vice President Aromatics/Phenol
OSP 1966
(713) 241-3084 (O)
(713) 907-8470 (M)
e-mail:nesullivan@shellus.com

Attachments:

Aromatics Tox Budget - 2001 - 2006 Forecast.xls