

ever, involve anaerobic conditions. In that case, H_2S removal and conversion to sulfur proceed in the same way as in the aerobic process, but the spent catalytic solution is circulated to a regenerator, where it is oxidized with air.

Plugged lines. The Stretford process carries out the sulfur recovery by flotation. "There is no end to the problems," complains Pritchard's Leland, who offers the process but doesn't minimize the difficulties. He notes that the sulfur plugs instrument lines and requires complex handling equipment. Moreover, chemical costs can be high. "We have a

The Lo-Cat process—with a new catalyst—may do the trick where Claus is not practicable

test solution that is running at \$70/ton of recovered sulfur," says Leland, "but we tell our customers that chemicals may cost them \$200-250/ton."

Reagent cost is one area where Hardison feels he has a strong selling point. He says that the organic portion of earlier Lo-Cat catalysts tended to degrade by oxidation but that the new version, ARI 310, has solved the stability problem. He says that chemical costs amount to \$50/ton of sulfur.

Further, Hardison notes that iron is not toxic, while the sodium metavanadate used in the Stretford process is toxic at levels over 25 parts per million. Pritchard's Leland, however, maintains that disposal is not a problem.

Hardison concedes that his reagent is "mildly corrosive" and that equipment cannot be fabricated from carbon steel. Even so, he claims that capital costs for Lo-Cat are competitive with a straight Claus unit and cheaper than Claus when amine-treating is involved. Hardison puts the cost of a unit to treat 5 million cu ft/day of low- H_2S , low-pressure gas at \$300,000-\$400,000. Higher pressures and heavier concentrations cost more. A 15-ton/day unit costs \$2-3 million; a small unit for odor control can be as low as \$100,000.

But the initial cost is among the least of the concerns of plant operators. "The biggest problem is keeping a plant on-stream," says A. J. Flynn, a senior staff chemical engineer for Shell Exploration and Development. "We want to operate a plant day in and day out for 365 days a year." Shell has a Stretford unit at its Martinez, Calif., refinery. It has investigated the Lo-Cat process and is now working to develop its own version of an iron catalyst. Says Flynn: "We are still looking for the answer." □

A battler to restore the good name of vinyl

It was not under the most pleasant circumstances that Roy T. Gottesman started his new job as executive director of the Vinyl Institute (VI), the 10-month-old group established by the Society of the Plastics Industry (SPI) to clear up the smudgy safety reputations of polyvinyl chloride and vinyl chloride monomer. On June 8, just two days after Gottesman moved into his New York offices, 23 people died in an airplane crash in Cincinnati. Newspapers reported an eyewitness's account of white smoke billowing from the plane and turning deep gray to black. The eyewitness, a chemist, said he was "pretty sure it was PVC burning. Folks got a noseful of PVC—and could not breathe."

When Gottesman reads that quote aloud, he seems to breathe fire himself. "Such comments are ludicrous," he fumes, "but once they're printed, it's impossible to get them retracted."

Gottesman does concede that "plastics have been implicated in a number of fires." But he insists that the press has labeled the materials as culprits far more often than has been warranted. And he sees one of VI's primary responsibilities as setting the record straight about the safety of plastics in general, and of PVC and VCM in particular.

Adversaries. Gottesman may be taking on some formidable opponents. He refers only to a "deliberate attempt by certain interests to undermine PVC," but SPI itself is more specific. It claims that iron and steel producers are making "spurious attacks against the safety of PVC."

The final straw came last October, when Allied Tube and Conduit (Harvey, Ill.), a metal-pipe fabricator, sponsored a

conference on the hazards of plastics. SPI formed VI a month later. Eight months after that, Gottesman was appointed VI's chief administrative officer.

Many of Gottesman's colleagues say that if anyone can turn around plastics' tarnished image, he can. "Roy is an intellectual and honest person," says Raymond H. Marks, senior vice-president of Tenneco and Gottesman's former boss. "Whatever he said, that's the way it was." Gottesman, he notes, "has a strong technical background and a combination of interests in community affairs and the public sector."

Those interests extend well beyond the sphere of plastics. Gottesman, 55, has been politically active in Glen Rock, N.J., where he lives with his wife

Gottesman complains of a "deliberate attempt" to undermine PVC.



Sandi, a teacher, ever since his two children were in elementary school. He was elected to the school board in 1963 and served as president from 1967 to 1969. In 1973 he was elected a borough councilman for the division of public safety. Last year he was named to the state's Hazardous Waste Siting Commission.

While his avocation may be public service, Gottesman's education and career background is clearly chemical. He graduated from Rutgers University in 1947 with a BS in chemistry and a Phi Beta Kappa key, and in 1951 he received a PhD from Rutgers in organic chemistry. Two days later he joined Heyden Chemical in Piscataway, N.J., as a research chemist.

The job was the beginning of a 31-year career with Heyden—though the company itself went through several permutations. Heyden became Heyden Newport Chemical; then in 1963 it was acquired by Tennessee Gas and Transmission, which in turn became Tenneco. During his stay with Tenneco Chemical, the oil company's subsidiary, Gottesman progressed from research manager to

director of chemical development to founder and head of the firm's Environmental and Regulatory Affairs Dept. In 1980 he was promoted to vice-president, responsible for administering contributions to political action committees.

But late last year an unexpected monkey wrench was thrown into Gottesman's path at Tenneco. The parent company sold its specialty chemicals business to its employees and absorbed

As head of the Vinyl Institute, Roy T. Gottesman is taking on the critics of PVC and VCM

industrial chemicals into other existing divisions. On Apr. 1, Gottesman elected to take early retirement and set off to become a consultant. It was his "shortest career," he concedes, and two months later he joined VI.

Bart A. DiLiddo, president of B. F. Goodrich Chemical and VI's chairman, says that Gottesman's extensive chemical experience and his affinity for public affairs suit him well for his VI post.

And, indeed, Gottesman will soon have a chance to exercise both aspects of his background. He plans to become active in drafting state PVC rules.

Set to battle. He already is braced for a fight during the upcoming peer review of a controversial study prepared for New York State by Arthur D. Little, the Cambridge, Mass., consulting firm. The study recommends that standardized tests be used to assess the combustion toxicity of building and furnishing materials. Gottesman contends that the state of the art in testing leaves too much to chance for a regulatory use. The tests "are good for research," but beyond that, he says, their value is "questionable."

For now, Gottesman's time will be spent fighting battles in the media and in state legislatures. But his long-term goals are loftier. He wants to smooth the way for PVC makers to create new products and applications. "VI members want to introduce new products in a friendly environment," he says. "Ultimately, I would like to see VI move from being reactive to proactive." □

SULFUR CHEMICALS ARE M&T CHEMICALS.

DMDS, DMSO, TDM, TPS & TGA are now M&T. Dimethyl disulfide. Dimethylsulfoxide. Tertiary dodecylmercaptan. Tertiary polysulfides. And thioglycolic acid. All backed by technical service from M&T Chemicals Inc. All produced in volume by our parent company, Societe Nationale Elf Aquitaine. Send for literature. M&T Chemicals Inc., P.O. Box 1104, Rahway, NJ. 07065. (201) 499-2331. **M&T CHEMICALS INC.**

Circle 24 on Reader Service Card

chemicalweek

Editor-in-Chief	John B. Campbell
Managing Editor	Donald R. Cannon
Executive Editor	Donald P. Burke
Senior Editors	Claudia H. Deutsch Paul L. Edwards Homer Starr
Assistant Managing Editors	Sandra Edwards E.S. Ely
Art Director	Robert P. McAuley
Assistant Art Director	Patrick T. Walsh
Associate Editors	
Energy/Feedstocks	John M. Winton
International	Albert H. Kiskin
Technology	Kenneth Brooks
News Editor	Joseph F. Dunphy
Assistant News Editor	Imelda R. Bruce
Department Editors	
Finance/Economics	Lewis I. Koflowitz
Management	William D. Kosmar
Markets	John Rivoire
Production	Richard J. Zanetti
Research	Joseph Alper
Staff Editors	
Companies	Fredric Danner
Environment	Barry Meier
People	Deborah W. Hairston
Specialties	Minam D. Bluestone
Assistant Editor	Sallie A. Hofmeister
Editorial Production Manager	Kathryn Mullen
Makeup Editors	Marcie Miller Linda L. Nigh
Editorial Production Assistant	Patricia Leonard
McGraw-Hill Economics	Joseph N. Spier

McGraw-Hill WORLD NEWS
 Atlanta: Inge Kummant; Chicago: Jeffrey Ryser;
 Dallas: Lorraine Smith; Detroit: David Whiteside;
 James Schwartz; Houston: Eric Johnson; Knoxville:
 James Brancome; San Francisco: Margaret Oresel;
 Jenness Keene; Washington: Robert Farrell;
 Reginald Rhein; Vicky Cahen; Richard Hoppe; Bar-
 bara Starr; Jeffrey Trewitt.

Bonn: Robert Ingersoll; Silke McQueen; Brussels:
 James Smith; London: James Trotter; Paris:
 Kenneth Dreyfack; Joyce Heard; Sao Paulo: Frank
 Taylor; Tokyo: Robert Neff, Shota Ushio.

SPECIAL CORRESPONDENTS
 Cambridge: Herbert Lass; Denver: Frank Pitman;
 New Orleans: Theophile Mullen; Philadelphia: Lisa
 Lazorko Abercaugh; Pittsburgh: Anne Watzman; St
 Louis: Theodore Schafers.

Madrid: Jules Stewart; Mexico City: Robert Harris
 Milan: Lois Bolton; Montreal: William Schabas
 Sydney: Brian Gomez; Stockholm: Robert Skole; Zu-
 rich: Laura Pierski.

BUSINESS
 Director of Business and Services... Alvin J. Babkov
 Director of Production... Verna M. Retzlai
 Asst. Production Manager... Dale Krol
 Billing/Statistics Manager... Astrid Chahminar
 Director of New Projects... Raymond F. Fremier
 Services Associate... Sylvia M. Landi
 Buyer's Guide Manager... Mildred Rimond
 Director of Circulation... Paul W. Eli
 Director of Research... Bertha Chasi
 Marketing Associate... Deborah Smick
 Admin. Asst. to Publisher... Doreen A. Fell
 Publisher... Howard M. Mage

Toxic fumes and fire safety

It seems that the recently formed Industry Coalition for Fire Safety has already had some success in steering state legislators away from overly simple-minded approaches to the hazard of toxic fumes (p. 19). That's good. But we also see a potential danger in one aspect of the coalition's program.

The group, begun by Du Pont, consists of some major synthetics producers and related industry organizations. It was set up to combat a trend among lawmakers to focus on combustion toxicity in considering fire-safety statutes and codes.

It is an unfortunate fact of life that many synthetics give off toxic fumes when they burn. And most lawmakers can easily cite some horror stories—cases where people were harmed, or fire fighters hindered, by poisonous gases produced by burning plastics or synthetic fabrics.

The coalition is right, though, in insisting that combustion toxicity is only one of many factors to be considered in designing a fire-safe environment. Combustion toxicity is a life-threatening

problem only when the material in question is overheated to the point of smoldering or burning, when people are exposed to the fumes, and when there is no means of rapid egress. Smoke detectors, sprinklers, stricter adherence to building codes, and public education—all can help keep toxic fumes from being a life-threatening problem.

Sometimes—in airplane cabins, for example—combustion toxicity should be a determining factor in materials selection. More often, it should be part of the tradeoff process that is integral to every intelligent design.

However, we do not believe that the coalition should oppose constructive attempts to develop and use indexes of combustion toxicity. The coalition may be correct in suggesting that available tests are not yet good enough to support such an index. But we are in favor of any honest attempt to help users of synthetic materials make good decisions. And we are against any attempt to suppress meaningful information about the properties of materials on the grounds that it may be misused. □

FTC did it again—badly

Two weeks ago we ran a story telling how the Federal Trade Commission had ruled against Du Pont and Ethyl in a case involving lead-based antiknock additives for gasoline (CW, Apr. 13, p. 15). We have editorialized on this case twice before—in 1979 when an FTC bureau recommended the complaint, and in 1981 when an administrative law judge ruled against the two companies and two competitors, Nalco Chemical and PPG Industries. Then and now, we think the FTC case has little merit and could set a bad precedent.

At no time were any of the companies charged with price-fixing. The charge has always been that the companies use a variety of marketing devices to arrive at identical, noncompetitive prices. The commission limited its recent finding to Du Pont and Ethyl because PPG is dropping out of the business and because Nalco was considered too small to be a price leader.

FTC objects to long lead times on price announcements, saying that they give competitors a chance to match the new price before it takes effect. The

agency doesn't like uniform delivered prices. And it objects to "most favored nation" contracts that guarantee a customer a price that is no higher than one charged to any other customer.

The agency may have a point on uniform delivered prices. But as we have said before, advance warning on price changes helps customers make better decisions on purchases and inventories. And the most-favored-nation principle is in the spirit of in the Robinson-Patman Act. Its purpose is to keep a supplier from discriminating between buyers who are competing with one another.

Those are worthy ideas, not to be lightly shed. FTC notes that the lead antiknock business is a highly concentrated industry—by definition, an oligopoly—and so it is. It is also an industry that is rapidly going out of business, as the demand for lead antiknocks continues to dwindle. We fail to see how changes in business practices that FTC insists on could have desirable effects sufficient to outweigh the losses in efficiency and equity that they will cause. □

after
ren-

ues-
re-
der-
the
high
ther
itive
□

and
the
de-

re-
o in
1978
rust
peti-
end-
se-
llion

that
to
an-
cide
end-
"be-
Don-
also
sion
erty
1.
nta-
pro-
sti-
"We
eth-
ty,"
ong-



Brown: "Pressure has been building."

term interests of our industry [because] it's an incentive for companies to invest in new products and innovations."

At EPA, officials are trying to sort out ramifications. Indications were that EPA lawyers felt they could make decisions on a few registrations—those involving data submitted by the corporate applicant. It seemed likely that EPA would request modifications and clarifications to meet a Friday court deadline. EPA has to select a legal strategy quickly, and one course could be to request a stay of the judge's order. That would allow the old system to be resumed until all appeals are exhausted. **Appeal.** EPA could also go to the high court if Wangelin rejects a stay request. Appellate court review is bypassed when a lower court judge rules a law unconstitutional. Rosanne Mayer, U.S. Justice Dept. attorney, is quoted as saying that the government probably will appeal the Wangelin decision.

Rulings upholding FIFRA provisions have been handed down by the third U.S. circuit court of appeals (*Mobay vs. Gorsuch*) and the U.S. district court in Washington, D.C. The Supreme Court has declined to consider those decisions.

Monsanto's victory upsets Representative George E. Brown, Jr. (D., Calif.), who chairs the House Agriculture subcommittee that oversees FIFRA. "Pressure has been building for over two years now," he says, "for the EPA and the Congress to speed up the process of releasing pesticide data to the public. Some real progress has been made in recent months, and it's a shame that this progress may now be lost for months or years while the legal system sorts through the implications of the Monsanto decision." □

Fortune 500 drives 1982 home

The chemical industry's tough 1982, portrayed in detail in this issue (p. 45), showed up last week in another set of statistics as well—the annual list published by *Fortune* of the 500 largest industrial corporations.

For five out of six key financial ratios, the median for the chemical companies included in the Fortune 500 was lower last year than it was in 1981 (table). The exception was total return to investors, which includes both dividends and stock appreciation; the median for chemicals and many other industries was negative in 1981.

Three chemical companies—Celanese, Mobay Chemical and B. F. Goodrich—made the list of 58 money-losers in 1982. Of the profitable companies, Sun Chemical showed one of the largest decreases in profits—92.5%.

Du Pont moved from No. 12 to No. 8 on the sales ranking, reflecting the full impact of its 1981 absorption of Conoco. Allied jumped six notches, to 49. Monsanto and W. R. Grace each rose by three notches, to 47 and 50, respectively. But two other majors slipped three notches, Dow Chemical to 27 and Union Carbide to 83. □

How the Chemical Industry fared

	1981	1982	1982 Rank*
Assets	\$1,055	\$1,055	12
Revenue	\$1,055	\$1,055	12
Operating Profit	\$1,055	\$1,055	12
Net Income	\$1,055	\$1,055	12
Dividends	\$1,055	\$1,055	12
Stock Price	\$1,055	\$1,055	12
Total Return	\$1,055	\$1,055	12

A hot debate over synthetics

Three months after its formation, the Industry Coalition for Fire Safety is enjoying some success in dissuading state legislators from restricting the use of synthetic materials because of their combustibility or combustion toxicity (*CW*, Jan. 26, p. 26). So far, the group has convinced Connecticut legislators not to adopt a measure to ban, as a building material, anything emitting smoke more dense or more toxic than smoke given off by untreated wood.

Now the coalition—organized largely by Du Pont and including nine big synthetics makers and four industry groups—is lobbying on legislative proposals in Massachusetts, New York, California, Florida and Texas. Some of these focus on combustion toxicity as overriding in deciding what materials to allow in high-rise construction.

"Such proposals will not make buildings safer," argues Richard Bennett of Du Pont, who is coalition chairman. "They will only distract the public from the real solutions to the problem—smoke detectors, automatic fire sprinklers, stricter compliance with existing building codes, and public education." The coalition supports mandatory installation of smoke detectors and fire suppressant devices in Florida, where the legislature is weighing such a proposal for hotels.

Behind Texas legislation. Producers of plastics and synthetic fibers are sometimes finding themselves lined up against the Foundation for Fire Safety, a group backed by steel-pipe maker Allied Tube and Conduit (Rosslyn, Va.). The foundation has been the force behind proposed Texas legislation to ban

URL 04740

any combustible as an electrical conduit, leaving steel as virtually the only usable material. The coalition has testified against the measure.

The proposed Texas law is extreme in its proscribing all synthetics. But the coalition also opposes legislation introduced in Massachusetts calling for a system to rate the combustion toxicity of various materials. Coalition executive director Ray Durazo says the group objects to state indexing of materials' combustion toxicity because it fears state tests may not be as sound scientifically as those done by industry or the federal government. Durazo adds that the coalition considers available test methods insufficiently accurate. "Given the state of the art of combustion toxicity today, it cannot be used."

Reproducible. Not everyone agrees. Dr. Yves Alarie, University of Pittsburgh professor of respiratory physiology and toxicology, insists that methodologies have improved greatly over the last decade. "We can measure it [combustion toxicity] and measure it well," he says. And test results are "more reproducible than the results for flamespread."

Advocating indexing, Alarie says builders and those who recycle synthetics need to understand the combustion toxicity of available materials. Some synthetics, such as fluoropolymers, have a "kind of toxicity we've never seen with wool and cotton. If the designer is unaware of that toxicity, how can the designer make that decision?"

In arguing for a systemic approach, Durazo is quick to point out that, while highly toxic when burned, fluoropolymers are "probably the safest material you can use in wire and cable insulation" because of their high heat resistance. "The real question is this," Durazo says. "Once you test for products of combustion, what do you do with those results?" □

Compromising on gas rates in Oklahoma

With the ammonia market depressed, any new increases in production costs could be enough reason for a producer to throw in the towel and shutter more capacity in the already battered industry. This month producers in Oklahoma are focusing on just such a threat—a decision by the Oklahoma Corporate Commission (OCC) on an \$88.5 million rate increase request made by Oklahoma Natural Gas (ONG), the pipeline that supplies the 10 ammonia facilities with

Quaker to boil off chemicals

Quaker Oats plans to sell its chemicals unit but has yet to disclose a buyer. Quaker says chemical earnings slumped 31.5% in the first half of its fiscal year, which began June 1982.

The \$2.6 billion company says it is the world's largest maker of furan chemicals. Furfuryl alcohol, made from corn cobs and rice hulls, makes up 75% of the group's products.

Mobil seals a deal. Mobil Chemical has purchased the Baggies product line from Colgate-Palmolive for an undisclosed price. The line includes plastic-sandwich and food-storage bags and will be added to Mobil's line of plastic products, which includes Hefty trash

bags. Mobil has made Baggies for Colgate for 20 years.

Diamond joint venture. Diamond Shamrock has agreed with Japan's chemical and drug company, Showa Denko, to launch an international joint venture in animal-health products and agriculture chemicals. Diamond says operations will be headquartered in the U.S., probably in Cleveland.

Plastics sale. Northern Petrochemical, of Omaha, Neb., has sold its National Poly Products Div. to Triad Investment Group (Barrington, Ill.) for about \$15-20 million. National Poly makes plastic tubing, liners and shrink-wrap film. Northern is a subsidiary of Internorth.

nearly all of their natural gas supply.

For ammonia producers, the commission's decision was a mixed blessing: OCC issued interim rulings that afford some short-term relief for ammonia makers but foreshadow future hikes.

Nevertheless, Oklahoma's fertilizer manufacturers association, in the words of George W. Haney, sees it as "a step in the right direction." Haney, a plant manager for Farmland Industries at Enid, Okla., echoes the feelings of the 10 Oklahoma plant operators, who have been fighting all this year against the proposed increase. The increase, the group claimed, might be enough to put several or all of them out of business.

The cost of natural gas is especially crucial for ammonia makers because it makes up as much as 85% of the cost of each ton of fertilizer. The 10 Oklahoma producers turn out as much as 2.5 million tons of anhydrous ammonia annually, paying an average of \$3.27/Mcf for their natural gas.

A mixed decision. The interim decision, in essence, placates both sides. Natural gas producers were granted permission to push through a \$32.3 million emergency rate hike. The gas companies can collect the money under bond but can not use it until OCC's final rate decision—expected in August or September.

Ammonia producers, meanwhile, won some breathing space—and a precedent. The innovative rate-allocation scheme for the first time shifts the burden of payment to residential users.

Under the scheme approved by the commission, ONG's customers—residential, commercial and industrial—will pay \$1.42/Mcf more than they are now pay-

ing—but on a weighted scale. Industrial customers, including fertilizer manufacturers, will pay the higher rate on the first 100 Mcf of natural gas they use per month—not much of an increase for plants that produce up to 2,000 tons/day of ammonia. Residential consumers will pay the extra \$1.42/Mcf on the first 3 Mcf they use per month. Thus, the vast numbers of ONG residential consumers will carry the bulk of the load from now on.

OCC also took the interim step of issuing a 90-day ruling that may well serve to reduce the average cost of gas in the state. OCC has limited natural gas production of unallocated wells to just 25% of each well's potential flow. Prior to the ruling, the legal maximum production from such wells was 50%. OCC expects the ruling to reduce production from the 15,000 wells in the state by between 25% and 50%. A hearing will be held on May 24 to discuss natural gas supply and demand questions. An OCC representative said the 90-day test program could reduce the overall cost of gas to such companies as ONG, since it will reduce the company's take-or-pay liabilities. That would free the company from the need to borrow money to service those liabilities and would allow it to pass along the cost of the gas they were forced to buy but couldn't sell. □

Correction. We incorrectly reported that Air Products and Chemicals will raise hydrogen prices to \$1.52/100 cu ft for the largest-volume users (*CW*, Apr. 20, p. 38). The correct price will be \$1.32/100 cu ft. □