

To: Jackson, Ryan[jackson.ryan@epa.gov]
From: Nolan, Robert M
Sent: Sat 8/26/2017 10:31:21 PM
Subject: Re: Additional Language

Another approach. If footnote 3 in Admin Pruitt's original waiver letter yesterday is in the expanded letter then we'll be good.

Sorry for this very late entry. I try to avoid such things.

Robert Nolan
202-862-0203
202-302-8665 (M)

On Aug 26, 2017, at 6:22 PM, Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov> wrote:

I don't understand.

From: Nolan, Robert M [<mailto:robert.m.nolan@exxonmobil.com>]
Sent: Saturday, August 26, 2017 6:20 PM
To: Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Additional Language

Some more context:

The regulations as written prohibit combining RBOB with anything other than RBOB of the same type and ethanol.

This language allows us to commingle RBOB with CBOB at the terminal level. For example, if Houston terminal has RBOB, and then the waiver allows us to bring in CBOB to that market, we would be commingling the two products at the terminal level.

Robert Nolan
202-862-0203
202-302-8665 (M)

Begin forwarded message:

From: <robert.m.nolan@exxonmobil.com>
Date: August 26, 2017 at 6:17:27 PM EDT
To: Jackson Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov>
Subject: **Additional Language**

Ryan, my apologies for this late add but our operations folks and lawyers say the following language should be added to the waiver.

Happy to answer any questions you may have:

Additionally, I am waiving the provisions at 40 C.F.R. § 80.78(a)(7) that prohibit any person from combining any RFG blendstock for oxygenate blending with any other gasoline, blendstock, or oxygenate, unless certain conditions are met.

Robert Nolan
202-862-0203
202-302-8665 (M)