

1977

FIBROSIS

CONTENTS

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS p. 4-8

WHAT INDUSTRIAL DUSTS ARE HARMFUL p. 95-99
silicosis dust p. 95

ENGINEERS ELIMINATING DUST p. 99-99

DOCTORS PART CONTROLLING DUST HAZARDS p. 95-99
silicosis, fibrosis, lung cancer p. 95

INDUSTRIAL FUMES, GASES, VAPORS p. 95-104
inhalation p. 100-101
respiratory p. 101

A.S.S.E. - ENGINEERING SECTION p. 221,222

CEMENT AND QUARRY SECTION p. 242

NATIONAL SILICOSIS CONFERENCE p. 257-260
dust diseases

CHEMICAL SECTION p. 271,272

PROTECTION SECTION p. 281,282

PREVENTION AGAINST SILICOSIS p. 290-291
inhalation, dust p. 290
respirator p. 291
dust disease p. 290-291

SURGERY SICKNESS PREVENTION p. 307-340
respiratory diseases p. 337

TRAINEE SECTION p. 341-342

LEGAL SECTION p. 351-352

LABOR SECTION p. 361-362

INTERLEAF SECTION p. 363-364

WATER UTILITIES SECTION p. 367-368

LABOR SECTION p. 367

THE END OF THE WORLD p. 367

NO. 1000 (SECTION 10)

NO. 1000 (SECTION 10)

NO. 1000 (SECTION 10)
NO. 1000 (SECTION 10)

NO. 1000 (SECTION 10)

NATIONAL
SAFETY
COUNCIL
TRANSACTIONS

26 th.
CONGRESS

331.82
N 215
1937

000113



2236
Cov.

TRANSACTIONS

26th

**National Safety
Congress**

Transactions
NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, Inc.

KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI
OCTOBER 11 to OCTOBER 15, 1937

Municipal Auditorium

000110



Foreword

1937

1227

THE Transactions of the Twenty-sixth National Safety Congress, 1937, are published by the National Safety Council in two volumes. This, the first, contains the general, the special subject, and the Industrial Section sessions. The second volume contains the sessions of the Child Education, Home Safety, Street and Highway Traffic, Commercial Vehicle and Transit Sections.

All industrial members of the Council automatically receive Volume I. Volume II is sent to members believed to be interested chiefly in the sessions it covers. Other Council members, however, may obtain it upon request.

Many members have found it worth while to distribute copies of the Transactions to supervisors, foremen, and others in an administrative or supervisory position who may make practical use of them for reference purposes. The following quantity prices are quoted on extra copies: 1 to 10 copies of the large volume (Volume I), \$2 each; 11 copies or more, \$1.75 each; copies of the smaller volume (Volume II), 75 cents each.

THE Transactions are published as a condensed record of the proceedings at the National Congress. The papers of each session or division of the Congress have been edited carefully to eliminate extraneous matter and to abbreviate the less essential portions because of space limitations. Thus the material herein presented is not a verbatim report of all the speeches presented at the Congress, but rather an abridged version made as compact as possible, yet without injury to the technical aspects of any address. The original manuscripts are on file in the Council's Bureau of Information, where they are available for additional reference. Where illustrations were sent by the speakers to the Council, these also are on file.

The National Safety Council at its Congresses seeks to eliminate from discussion matters which are not pertinent to the aim of the Congress or which are contrary to Council policies. It cannot accept responsibility for the views expressed either in the papers presented or in the discussions based upon the papers.

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, Inc.

20 North Wacker Drive
Chicago

060121

Council
Council
Annual
Annual
Subject
A
A
C
F
E
I
I
I
M
P
S
S
S
S
Aeron
A.S.S.
Autom
Came
Chem
Const
Food
Indus
Marin
Meat
Metal
Minin
Paper
Petro
Powe
Public
Quarr
Refrig
Rubbe
Safety
Steam
Textil
Wood
Index

1227901 331.82 N215
1937

Contents

Twenty-sixth National Safety
Published by the National Safety
Council, the first, contains the general
Industrial Section sessions. The
sessions of the Child Education,
Highway Traffic, Commercial Ve-

The Council automatically receive
to members believed to be inter-
it covers. Other Council mem-
upon request.

and it worth while to distribute
to supervisors, foremen, and
or supervisory position who may
for reference purposes. The fol-
quoted on extra copies: 1 to 10
Volume I), \$2 each; 11 copies
of the smaller volume (Volume

Published as a condensed record of
National Congress. The papers of
of the Congress have been edited
aneous matter and to abbreviate
because of space limitations. Thus
ed is not a verbatim report of all
the Congress, but rather an
compact as possible, yet without
ers of any address. The original
the Council's Bureau of Informa-
table for additional reference.
by the speakers to the Council.

mail at its Congresses seeks to
atters which are not pertinent to
which are contrary to Council
responsibility for the views ex-
s presented or in the discussions

ETY COUNCIL, INC.

five

Council Officers and Directors.....	4
Council Purposes and Policies.....	9
Annual Meeting of Members.....	11
Annual Banquet	31
Subject Sessions—	
After Work Accidents.....	33
Agricultural Safety	41
Community Safety Organization.....	51
Fire Prevention	59
Health Service in Industry.....	71
Industrial Dusts	85
Industrial Fumes, Gases and Vapors.....	99
Industrial Safety Lectures.....	109
Maintaining Interest in Safety.....	141
Pressure Vessels	157
Safety Fundamentals	173
Safety Inspectors	183
Safety Training	193
Safe Use of Acids.....	201
Aeronautical Section	211
A.S.S.E.—Engineering Section	221
Automotive and Machine Shop—Power Press Sections.....	225
Cement and Quarry Sections.....	249
Chemical Section	271
Construction Section	285
Food Section	307
Industrial Nursing	323
Marine Section	341
Meat Packing, Tanning and Leather Industries Section.....	371
Metals Section	381
Mining Section	401
Paper and Pulp Section.....	429
Petroleum Section	489
Power Press Section.....	535
Public Utilities Section.....	537
Quarry Section	557
Refrigeration Section	559
Rubber Section.....	577
Safety Equipment Section.....	581
Steam Railroad Meeting.....	587
Textile Section	601
Wood Products Section.....	611
Index	621

000112

National Safety Council, Inc.

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

HONORARY MEMBERS

ASSOCIATION OF IRON AND STEEL ENGINEERS
ROBERT W. CAMPBELL
LEW R. PALMER

OFFICERS (1937-1938)

D. D. FENNEL, President
C. W. DEMPSEY, Vice-President for Finance and Treasurer
HARRY GUILBERT, Vice-President for Membership
FRANK H. HARRISON, Vice-President for Industrial Safety
HON. HAROLD G. HOFFMAN, Vice-President for Public Safety
WALTER S. PAINE, Vice-President for Engineering
A. V. ROHWEDER, Vice-President for Local Safety Councils
A. W. WHITNEY, Vice-President for Education
W. H. CAMERON, Secretary and Managing Director

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (1937-1938)

H. W. ANDERSON, General Motors Corporation
J. I. BANASH, Past President
C. W. BERGQUIST, Past President
HENRY W. BOGGESS, Petroleum Section
C. B. BOULET, ASSE-Engineering Section
H. W. BOULTON, Automotive and Machine Shop Section
HAROLD S. BUTTENHEIM, The American City Magazine
W. H. CAMERON, National Safety Council, Inc.
ROBERT W. CAMPBELL, Past President
ROBERT I. CATLIN, Aetna Casualty & Surety Company
R. A. CHAFFIN, Metals Section
LEWIS A. DeBlais, Past President
C. W. DEMPSEY, The Liquid Carbonic Corporation
MARCUS A. DOW, Past President
D. D. FENNEL, Consulting Engineer
JOHN B. GIBSON, Western Electric Company
HARRY GUILBERT, The Pullman Company
FRANK H. HARRISON, International Harvester Company
P. L. G. HASSKARL, Lehigh Valley Safety Council
HON. HAROLD G. HOFFMAN, Governor, State of New Jersey
S. B. HORRELL, Food Section
WALTER G. KING, Past President

Wm. C. KNOELK
JOHN E. LONG,
THOS. H. MACD
WALTER B. MAR
I. W. MILLARD,
HAROLD L. MINE
PROF. ROGER L.
E. J. O'BRIEN, Jr.
WALTER S. PAINE
LEW R. PALMER,
C. E. PETTIBONE,
ALBERT S. REGUL
LT. COL. HENRY
A. V. ROHWEDER,
GEORGE E. SANFO
CHARLES B. SCOT
GEN. JOHN H. SIB
JUDGE LEE E. SKE
C. W. SMITH, Sec.
WALTER DENT SM
R. T. SOLENTEN,
C. F. TOLMAN, PR
GEORGE G. TRAVER
DR. C. H. WATSON
A. W. WHITNEY, 2
T. A. WILSON, Tes
W. E. WORTH, Int
ARTHUR H. YOUNG

DIRECTORS

GEORGE J. ADAMS, I
H. W. ANDERSON, I
A. L. ARMSTRONG, E
H. E. BAILEY, Cha
J. I. BANASH, Cons
CARL BARKER, St. I
G. J. BARRETT, Mini
ERNEST W. BECK, U
C. W. BERGQUIST, V
E. F. BLANK, Jones
HENRY W. BOGGESS
H. E. BOAT, South I

000123


OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS, Continued

WM. C. KNOELK, Milwaukee Safety Commission
 JOHN E. LONG, Past President
 THOS. H. MACDONALD, U. S. Department of Agriculture
 WALTER B. MARTIN, Peoria Association of Commerce Safety Council
 I. W. MILLARD, Industrial Gloves Company
 HAROLD L. MINER, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.
 PROF. ROGER L. MORRISON, Street & Highway Traffic Section
 E. J. O'BRIEN, JR., Louisville Safety Council
 WALTER S. PAINE, Aetna Life & Affiliated Cos.
 LEW R. PALMER, Past President
 C. E. PETTIBONE, Past President
 ALBERT S. REGULA, Industrial Relations Counselors, Inc.
 LT. COL. HENRY A. RENINGER, Past President
 A. V. ROHWEDER, Duluth, Missabe & Iron Range Railway Co.
 GEORGE E. SANFORD, General Electric Co.
 CHARLES B. SCOTT, Past President
 GEN. JOHN H. SHERBURNE, Massachusetts Safety Council
 JUDGE LEE E. SKEEL, Cleveland Safety Council
 C. W. SMITH, Standard Oil Company (Ind.)
 WALTER DENT SMITH, Delaware Safety Council
 R. T. SOLENSTEN, Elliott Service Company
 C. P. TOLMAN, Past President
 GEORGE G. TRAVER, Greater Chicago Safety Council, Inc.
 DR. C. H. WATSON, Past President
 A. W. WHITNEY, National Conservation Bureau
 T. A. WILSON, Textile Section
 W. E. WORTH, International Harvester Co.
 ARTHUR H. YOUNG, Past President

DIRECTORS (1937-1938)

GEORGE J. ADAMS, Paper and Pulp Section
 H. W. ANDERSON, General Motors Corp.
 A. L. ARMSTRONG, Eastman Kodak Company
 H. H. BAILEY, Chattanooga Safety Council
 J. I. BANASH, Consulting Engineer
 CARL BARKER, St. Louis Safety Council
 G. J. BARRETT, Mining Section
 ERNEST W. BECK, United States Rubber Products, Inc.
 C. W. BERGGUST, Western Electric Co.
 E. F. BLANK, Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.
 HENRY W. BOGGER, Petroleum Section
 H. E. BOLT, South Bend Civic Safety Council

000104



OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS, Continued

C. B. BOULET, ASSE-Engineering Section
H. W. BOULTON, Automotive & Machine Shop Section
F. S. BROWN, Standard Accident Insurance Co.
W. A. BROWN, Safety Department, Nashville Chamber of Commerce
W. T. BUCKERIDGE, Employees' Publication Section
S. W. BURCHIEL, Safety Department, Automobile Club of Rhode Island
HAROLD S. BUTTENHEIM, The American City Magazine
B. J. CALLAGHAN, Contra Costa County Safety Council
W. H. CAMERON, National Safety Council, Inc.
RAY CARNEY, Kenosha Safety Council
ROBERT I. CATLIN, Aetna Casualty & Surety Co.
R. A. CHAFFIN, Metals Section
KENNETH B. COLMAN, Seattle Traffic & Safety Council
J. E. CULLINEY, Bethlehem Steel Co.
LEWIS A. DEBLOIS, Consulting Engineer
JAY E. DECKER, Mason City Safety Council
C. W. DEMPSEY, The Liquid Carbonic Corp.
JAMES B. DOUGLAS, The Philadelphia Gas Works Co.
DR. LOUIS I. DUBLIN, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.
O. M. EDWARDS, JR., Safety Div., Syracuse Chamber of Commerce
W. P. ELSTUN, Public Utilities Section
D. D. FENNELL, Consulting Engineer
D. L. FENNELL, Kansas City Safety Council
DONALD A. FINKBEINER, Toledo Safety Council
DR. HART E. FISHER, Chicago Rapid Transit Co.
CHESTER C. FISK, Berkeley Traffic Safety Commission
HOWARD B. FONDA, Burroughs Wellcome & Co. (U.S.A.) Inc.
ALEXANDER FOSTER, JR., Quarry Section
JOHN B. GIBSON, Western Electric Co.
HOWARD F. GILBERT, Elizabeth Safety Council
OTHO M. GRAVES, The General Crushed Stone Co.
W. A. GRIFFIN, American Telephone & Telegraph Co.
HARRY GUILBERT, The Pullman Co.
ISAIAH HALE, The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co.
C. H. HARPER, Refrigeration Section
D. T. HARRINGTON, U. S. Bureau of Mines
FRANK H. HARRISON, International Harvester Co.
P. L. G. HASKARL, Lehigh Valley Safety Council
R. C. HAVEN, Commercial Vehicle Section
G. T. HELLMUTH, Chicago, North Shore & Milwaukee RR. Co.
CHAS. E. HILL, New York Central Lines
HON. HAROLD G. HOFFMAN, Governor, State of New Jersey
E. C. HOLDEN, JR., Marine Section

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

EVERETT HORD, M.
S. B. HORRELL, F.
H. C. HOWSAM, P.
FRED B. HUNT, C.
MAJOR NORMAN A.
R. D. JEWETT, Spr
THOMAS P. KEARNS
THOS. L. KELLEY,
J. M. KERRIGAN, R.
WM. C. KNOELK, M.
WM. S. KNUDSEN,
C. L. LAFOUNTAIN
MILLARD C. LEFLE
BARNEY LEVY, JR.
A. AUGUSTUS LOW
THOS. H. MACDON
WALTER B. MARTIN
E. W. MATSON, M.
R. A. MCARTHUR,
MILLER MCCLINTOC
I. W. MILLARD, In
JAMES K. MILLER,
HAROLD L. MINER
R. B. MORLEY, Ind
PROF. ROGER L. M
ERNEST MURPHY,
E. J. O'BRIEN, JR.
GEORGE C. A. OPP
WALTER S. PAINE
LEW R. PALMER, T
DAVID A. PATTON
MRS. G. M. PELTO
CHARLES W. PEN
merce
C. E. PETTIBONE, A
GEN. GEORGE B. P
ARTHUR POTTERTON
ALBERT S. REGULA
LT. COL. HENRY A.
BESTOR ROBINSON,
A. V. ROHWEDER,
WALTER ROSENBAU
G. E. SANFORD, G

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS, Continued

EVERETT HORD, Madison County Safety Council
 S. B. HORRELL, Food Section
 H. C. HOWSAM, Power Press Section
 FRED B. HUNT, Cement Section
 MAJOR NORMAN A. IMRIE, Columbus Safety Council
 R. D. JEWETT, Springfield Safety Council
 THOMAS P. KEARNS, Industrial Commission of Ohio
 THOS. L. KELLEY, Paterson Safety Council
 J. M. KERRIGAN, Rubber Section
 WM. C. KNOELK, Milwaukee Safety Commission
 WM. S. KNUDSEN, Detroit Industrial Safety Council
 C. L. LAFONTAINE, Great Northern Railway Co.
 MILLARD C. LEFLER, Child Education Section
 BARNEY LEVY, JR., Rochester Safety Council
 A. AUGUSTUS LOW, Brooklyn Safety Council
 THOS. H. MACDONALD, U. S. Department of Agriculture
 WALTER B. MARTIN, Peoria Association of Commerce Safety Council
 F. W. MATSON, Minnesota Safety Council
 R. A. McARTHUR, Transit Section
 MILLER MCCLINTOCK, Harvard University
 I. W. MILLARD, Industrial Gloves Co.
 JAMES K. MILLER, Grand Rapids Safety Council
 HAROLD L. MINER, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.
 R. B. MORLEY, Industrial Accident Prevention Assns.
 PROF. ROGER L. MORRISON, Street & Highway Traffic Section
 ERNEST MURPHY, Albany Safety Council
 E. J. O'BRIEN, JR., Louisville Safety Council
 GEORGE C. A. OPP, The Detroit Edison Co.
 WALTER S. PAINE, Aetna Life & Affiliated Cos.
 LEW R. PALMER, The Equitable Life Assurance Society of the U. S.
 DAVID A. PATTON, Newark Safety Council
 MRS. G. M. PELTON, Evanston Safety Council
 CHARLES W. PENDOCK, Safety Div. Milwaukee Association of Commerce
 C. E. PETTIBONE, American Mutual Liability Insurance Co.
 GEN. GEORGE B. PILLSBURY, United States Engineer Office
 ARTHUR POTTERTON, Hudson County Safety Council
 ALBERT S. REGULA, Industrial Relations Counselors, Inc.
 LT. COL. HENRY A. RENINGER, Lehigh Portland Cement Co.
 BESTOR ROBINSON, Eastbay Safety Council
 A. V. ROHWEDER, Duluth, Missabe & Iron Range Co.
 WALTER ROSENBAUM, Western Pennsylvania Safety Council
 G. E. SANFORD, General Electric Co.

000126



OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS, Continued

HENRY G. SCHAFFNER, Erie Safety Council
KARL G. SCHOEFLER, Rahway Safety Council
HARRY A. SCHULTZ, United States Steel Corp.
H. E. SEELY, Wood Products Section
EARL S. SHARTZER, Utica Safety Council
GEN. JOHN H. SHERBURNE, Massachusetts Safety Council
DR. L. A. SHOUDY, Bethlehem Steel Co.
ERNEST L. SIMONDS, New Haven Safety Council
A. E. SINCLAIR, Meat Packing, Tanning & Leather Industries Section
JUDGE LEE E. SKEEL, Cleveland Safety Council
C. W. SMITH, Standard Oil Company (Ind.)
EDWIN C. SMITH, Blackstone Valley Safety Council
WALTER DENT SMITH, Delaware Safety Council
W. A. SNOW, Construction Section
R. T. SOLENSTEN, Elliott Service Co.
E. C. SPRING, Lansdale, Pa.
GEORGE R. STEPHENS, Safety Bureau, Buffalo Chamber of Commerce
JOHN STILWELL, Greater New York Safety Council
ARTHUR M. TODE, Consulting Marine Engineer
GEORGE G. TRAVER, Greater Chicago Safety Council, Inc.
MAJOR R. D. TRIMBLE, Richmond Safety Council
FRANK E. VITZ, Superior Safety Council
DR. C. H. WATSON, American Telephone & Telegraph Co.
HARRY M. WEBBER, Wilmette, Ill.
DR. DAVID E. WEGLEIN, Baltimore Safety Council
S. E. WHITING, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co.
A. W. WHITNEY, National Conservation Bureau
HOWARD R. WHITNEY, Worcester Safety Council
T. A. WILSON, Textile Section
W. H. WINANS, Union Carbide & Carbon Corp.
F. B. WINSLOW, Safety Div., Birmingham Chamber of Commerce
W. E. WORTH, International Harvester Co.
E. J. ZAUFF, Safety Bureau, Duluth Chamber of Commerce
G. L. ZWICK, St. Joseph Safety Council

Council

The conservation of human life is the purpose of the National Safety Council.

The Council works through a continuous campaign of prevention that is national in scope and applies to all types of hazards, directly or indirectly resulting from human error.

Recognizing that imprudent conditions and the prevalence of diseases in industry are factors in accident prevention, the Council is active in these fields.

The National Safety Council is a profit, non-sectarian, non-political organization.

It has approximately 5,000 members representing every state in the United States and of the Canadian province of Ontario. Its membership are industrial concerns, public officials, individuals, public officers, clubs, unions, etc. About 70 per cent of its members, including the large oil companies, most of the United States, the Army and others of outstanding

Origin and Growth

The history of the Council is the history of the first cooperative safety organization under the auspices of the Iron and Steel Electrical Workers of America in 1912. As an outgrowth of the National Congress of the National Safety Council organized in New York City in 1913, its membership increased to 971.

These safety pioneers began a program on the assumption that unnecessary and avoidable accidents could be developed the principles and make accident prevention

The continuous growth and expansion of its activities and the effectiveness of these principles is a coordinated program of

000127

Industrial Dusts

WEDNESDAY MORNING SESSION

October 13, 1937

The meeting was called to order by Chairman A. S. Regula, Executive Secretary, Industrial Relations Counselors, Inc., New York City, and Vice-President for Engineering, National Safety Council, who introduced the speakers.

What Industrial Dusts Are Harmful? Why?

By SENIOR SURGEON R. R. SAYERS

Chief, Division of Industrial Hygiene, U. S. Public Health Service

While dusts exist everywhere in the atmosphere, it has been recognized for centuries that workers in certain dusty occupations are less healthy than those not so exposed. The number of persons exposed in dusty occupations comprises one of the largest industrial groups. The occupational diseases of workers in dusty atmospheres have been found to be due to entrance of dust into the system by inhalation, by ingestion, by direct absorption through the skin, by irritation of the skin, or by a combination of the foregoing.

The suspensions of particulate matter in air have been broadly termed dusts, fumes, and smokes. Such a classification is necessarily arbitrary, since the line of demarcation between them is not very sharp, and the chief differentiation is based on the size of the particles. Investigations by the Public Health Service in dusty industries¹ revealed that about 70 per cent of the dust particles examined were between 1 and 3 microns in size, only about 20 per cent were less than 1 micron, and the median size was 1.3 microns. Industrial dusts are mainly less than 10 microns in size. However, fibrous dusts in the air, such as asbestos, have been found to contain particles as large as 200-400 microns in the greatest diameter.

While, generally speaking, according to Collis, dusts are more injurious as their chemical composition differs from that of the human body, or from the elements of which the body is normally composed,² it

is difficult to establish a comprehensive definition for *toxic* dusts. Sollmann,³ after analysis of various definitions for poison, felt that it is very difficult to give a definition which will not be ambiguous in some cases, but believed that the following covers most of the points which must be considered in classing a substance as such:

"A poison is any substance which, acting directly through its inherent chemical properties, and by its ordinary action, is capable of destroying life, or of seriously endangering health, when it is applied to the body, externally, or in moderate doses (to 50 Gm.) internally."

Some dusts are known to be poisonous, while others, in the concentrations usually encountered, are comparatively harmless. However, it may be stated that *breathing* dust in high concentration is not desirable.

According to Fairhall, the damage arising from the inhalation of toxic dust may be either local or remote, and depends upon whether the material is a protoplasmic poison, whether it is caustic in reaction, or whether it is absorbed into the blood stream and carried to other centers which are in turn affected.⁴

It is now well established that exposure to certain kinds of dusts, such as those containing considerable quantities of free silica, has increased the morbidity and mortality rate from *lung* diseases, while metallic dusts, such as lead and its compounds,

have been associated with general systemic poisoning.² Dusts may be swallowed with saliva, water, or food, and direct poisoning has been traced to absorption by this method; other dusts may act as irritants and produce affections of the skin, irritate mucous membranes of nose, eyes and throat, often causing inflammatory diseases of these organs.⁴

Some inorganic dusts are able to penetrate the deep lung tissues and are sufficiently insoluble to be retained there. Some of these may be active in the human tissues, causing definite and permanent injury, while others are inert and may be retained for years, apparently without serious damage; some are gradually absorbed without causing pathological changes.²

All inhaled particles are soluble, at least to a small extent. If harmless, cell activity is stimulated so that phagocytes remove the dust. If the solute is toxic, the viability of the phagocyte is affected and an ineffective accumulation results. At the same time, further solute may diffuse into neighboring tissues, setting up an irritation and subsequent fibrosis. The nature as well as the solubility of the solute is an important factor, but of two substances of approximately equal toxicity, the more soluble form causes the greater damage. However, substances of such low solubility as silica may ultimately produce extensive injury.⁴

Kettle has stated that harmful dusts, if inhaled into the lungs, may activate a latent tuberculous infection; they may exaggerate an active tuberculous lesion or a coincident infection. If sufficient quantities of a harmless dust are inhaled, some of it remains in the lungs and causes a mild degree of fibrosis merely through mechanical irritation, but such fibrosis is never sufficient to interfere with the function of the lung.⁴

Some writers state that while the influence of nonpoisonous dusts on health is a debatable subject, abnormally high death rates from bronchitis and pneumonia are sometimes attributed to chronic exposure to nontoxic dusts. Large amounts of dust are occasionally found in supposedly normal lungs, at autopsy.²

According to Drinker, there are four different types of reaction produced in man by the inhalation of dust. The first and most important are the pneumoconioses, such as silicosis and asbestosis, which cause specific lung pathology and often are followed by

pulmonary tuberculosis. The second type of reaction is caused by toxic dusts like lead, cadmium, and radium. A third type of malady follows the inhalation of finely divided metallic fume particles such as zinc oxide, and is known as metal fume fever. The fourth reaction, allergic in character, is caused by breathing organic dusts such as pollen and certain types of pulverized wood and flour. In all four cases the sole cause of the disability may be dust inhalation, but the reactions from toxic dusts result from swallowing as well as from inhalation.¹⁰

For various reasons it is difficult to set up an absolute classification for dusts. Opinion is rapidly changing regarding so-called inert or harmless dusts and further investigation may prove some of them to be injurious. Some dusts may have both a toxic and an irritant action; while on the other hand, the poisoning resulting from exposure to a dust may be the combined effect of more than one mode of entrance into the body. However, the following classification of dusts, according to physical characteristics and physiological effect, is used for convenience.

I. Organic Dusts

Organic dusts are those which contain carbon, and were originally supposed to come from organized substances derived from animal or plant life. Living dusts come under this classification, and are thought to be of the same order of size as industrial dusts, with which they are frequently associated. Bacteria are usually between 0.5 and 3 microns, except in a few cases, such as the anthrax bacillus, which ranges from 1 to 1.25 micron in breadth and 4.5 to 10 microns in length and 10 or 12 microns in diameter. However, it is possible that the larger and heavier varieties, like the larger and heavier dust particles, settle due to gravity, and do not remain suspended in the air.

Many thousands of organic substances, carbon-containing, are made synthetically by chemical processes, such as dyestuffs, explosives, etc.

1. **NONLIVING ORGANIC DUSTS.** As the name implies, these are comprised of nonviable particles, which may or may not be inherently toxic or irritant, but which nevertheless produce untoward effects in the human organism. "Allergic" dusts, or those to which certain persons are or may

become
asthma, rh
included in
a. Toxic
dusts are
untoward
local. Tho
usually de
ing gener
termed tox
irritant.

In repor
leaving inh
poisons, a
parametar
distributer
naphthalen
tion of
workers
dust from
is particu
casing i
dust whe
the skin,
tion of
respirato
generate
dys and
particula
where p
retentions
suffocant
cases
from e
dusts
harmful
respirat
produce
diseases
Some
diseases
which
Toxic
dusts
are b
Toxic
dusts
harmful
diseases
Toxic
dusts
are b
Toxic
dusts
harmful
diseases

000129

become hypersensitive, with resulting asthma, rhinitis, or other disturbances, are included in this classification.

a. *Toxic and/or Irritant.* Toxic or irritant dusts are all organic dusts which produce untoward symptoms, either systemic or local. Those producing local symptoms are usually described as irritant; those producing general or systemic symptoms are termed toxic. A dust may be both toxic and irritant.

In reported cases of injury or death following inhalation of dust from organic compounds, among the chief offenders are paranitraniline, the dinitrobenzenes, chlorodinitrobenzenes, trinitrophenol, and nitronaphthalene. Eye damage due to inflammation of the cornea has occurred among workers exposed to methyl violet dust. The dust from paraphenylene diamine derivatives is particularly irritating and dangerous, causing not only a severe form of dermatitis where the dust comes in contact with the skin, but also producing acute inflammation of the mucous membrane of the respiratory passages.⁴ Toxic dusts may be generated during the handling of powdered dyes and in preliminary dyeing operations, particularly the hydro-extractor process, where particles are disseminated in all directions.⁵ Coal tar and indigo dyes are substances most frequently used.

Cases of amblyopia have been reported from exposure to inhalation of tobacco dust.¹² It has been found that inhaled tobacco dust exerts a nicotine action on the organism fifteen times greater than that produced by the same quantity of smoked tobacco with an equal nicotine content.¹³

Severe dermatitis is experienced by many workers handling powder containing T.N.T., which is used in making high explosives. Picric acid, which is also used for this purpose, requires drying, and in this latter state has been found to produce a dermatitis. Picric acid is also the oldest synthetic organic dyestuff.¹⁴ Dermatitis occurs among handlers of silk with varying frequency. Salls determined that a rash occurring among workers in a silk factory was due to dust from silk cocoons imported from Africa.¹⁵

Persons engaged in the manufacture of quinine and quinine preparations suffer from skin phenomena, which occur for the most part on exposed parts of the body, and may be caused by ingestion or by quinine

dust, or powder form of the preparation, exerting a direct irritating effect on the skin.¹⁶ Dermatitis due to other vegetable dusts such as vanilla, powdered arnica, pyrethrum, etc., have been reported.¹⁴

Dental lesions, of occupational origin, have been reported among workers in sugar. The gingivitis caused by sugar dust is classified as purely mechanical, with later-developing caries. Digestive disorders, respiratory conditions, and cutaneous conditions (especially of the face) were also found.¹⁷

Dock workers, transporters of grain, workers in grain and flour mills, etc. are exposed to dusts during their work. Dusts from cereals may contain many impurities, which may cause an irritating action on the respiratory passages, as well as inflammation of the skin. Digestive disturbances, dental defects, diminution in hearing, and conjunctivitis have been observed among millers, and have been attributed to the dusts to which such workers are exposed.¹⁸

b. *Allergic.* Many apparently innocuous substances may produce reactions in persons of peculiar personal susceptibility. The term "allergy" is used to describe this condition of hypersensitiveness or susceptibility, and allergic phenomena most frequently manifest themselves in skin reactions. However, they may cause acute reactions elsewhere in the body. When the respiratory tract is involved we have such well known diseases as hay fever or asthma. These diseases may develop as a result of hypersensitiveness to such substances as pollens from plants, horsehair, rabbit's fur, furs, feathers, etc.

For instance, furriers, workers in clothing industries who are exposed to wool dust, etc., may suffer from hay fever or asthma.¹⁹ It is unnecessary for the offending dust to reach the depths of the lungs—giant pollens for example are reported to produce their effect after being caught in the upper respiratory passages. Should such an offending substance be finely ground it could reach the alveoli and as a result probably all physiologic reactions would be accelerated.²⁰

In a survey of a plant where resin is mixed, ground, and molded, it was found that 80 per cent of the occupational dermatitis there was due to hypersensitivity to hexamethylenetetramine and formaldehyde contained in the dust to which the workers were exposed.²¹ During the first processes

000120

of cotton spinning, cotton-strippers are exposed to dust arising from cotton husks and debris, which produces a typical form of asthma.²

Ordinary wood dust has been of interest due to its purely mechanical action, but still greater care is required in handling certain kinds, especially woods coming from abroad, because of the essential oils impregnating them, which when freed in the dust may affect the health of the workers concerned. Some of the woods capable of causing skin lesions are Brazil wood, satinwood, teakwood, cumaru or tonka wood, black ebony wood, West Indian mahogany, Japanese tagayasan, coccoloba, chestnutwood, olivewood, California sequoia, etc. All persons who handle these woods are not injured, only those particularly susceptible to the substances they contain becoming affected.²

2. LIVING ORGANIC DUSTS. These contain particles capable of exhibiting the phenomena of life² (especially the property of reproduction or multiplication), such as bacteria and fungi. They are usually found in low concentrations and are associated with nonliving dusts in the air.

a. Bacteria. One of the most important among these is the anthrax bacillus, which is contained in the dust from skins, furs, wool, and animal hair, horns, hoofs, bones, etc. This disease may occur in two forms: *cutaneous* (in which the organism affects the skin), and *pulmonary* (when it is inhaled, as in the form of anthrax known as wool-sorters' disease).¹²

Cases of tetanus, reported in connection with jute manufacture, were traced to the raw material, the bacillus having been found in the factory dust.¹³ Diphtheria, tuberculosis, smallpox, typhoid, or other bacillus-produced diseases, may result from exposure to infected dusts.

Bacterial sensitization can be the cause of any of the allergic diseases, namely, asthma, perennial hay fever, urticaria, angioneurotic edema, eczema, or migraine headaches.¹⁴

b. Fungi. Dusts containing the mycelia and spores of parasitic fungi give rise to annoyance and discomfort. "Malster's" itch from the dust alone has been reported. In Provence, reeds used for ceilings are stacked while still wet and undergo fermentation; they become covered with a white powder (a dry fungus of the *Mucor* family: *Sporo-*

trichum dermatodes), which is scattered when the bark is stripped off. This powder is irritating to the skin and mucous membranes. Mycelia and spores of moulds are commonly found to cause rashes: for instance, the black powder coming from macerated sugarcane stalks. Among basket-makers, the mycelium and spores, in the form of a white mould (hyphomycete) from the rattan canes used, get shaken out when the canes are split, hammered, and cut, causing painful fissures to develop on the skin where they alight.¹⁴

A form of asthma or spasmodic cough, suffered by cotton weavers and known as aspergillosis, has been considered due to inhalation of spores of a mildew which sometimes occurs on the threads.¹⁵ In a study of silicosis among miners, made by the Public Health Service, a number of cases of typical military calcification were encountered. Unstained smears of those cases examined were positive for fungus, two types of *Aspergillus* fungi being identified. All of the subjects but one were farmers, teamsters, feedmill workers, or residents of small agricultural towns where grain is marketed. Farmers are exposed to fungi in threshing wheat, baling hay, or handling various small grains.¹⁶

Some other fungus diseases such as actinomycosis and blastomycosis are associated with occupational exposure to dust. The former occurs among workers handling straw, hay, grass, vegetable debris contaminated with mould, etc. Actinomycosis is likely to affect people engaged in commercial handling, storing, and cleansing of grain (grain distilleries, flour mills, grain crushing, breweries, and malting houses, etc.).¹⁷

II. Inorganic Dusts

Inorganic compounds are of mineral origin, not requiring a living organism to produce them.¹⁸ A number of dusts not usually classed as toxic may, under some conditions, produce untoward effects on the human organism. Classified under inorganic are toxic and/or irritant, fibrosis-producing, and nonfibrosis-producing dusts.

a. Toxic and/or Irritant. Toxic dusts are those which are inherently toxic when inhaled, ingested, or otherwise absorbed. Among those which produce systemic poisoning, some are also irritant, as are the dusts from heavy metals and their salts, such as lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium,

000131

zinc, etc. Irritant dusts are injurious by reason of their strong irritative or corrosive properties.

As a rule, inhaled irritant substances immediately cause a reaction in the upper respiratory tract of such severity that they are prevented from reaching the lungs, although they may cause lung damage by extension of inflammation if the mucous membrane is corroded.¹ Lime, calcium oxide, and the di-chromates are examples of irritant dusts. An inorganic dust may possess both toxic and irritant properties, and the poisoning produced may be the combined effect of more than one mode of entrance into the body.

Of the directly poisonous dusts, the most widely prevalent are those of certain lead compounds, particularly the oxide, carbonate and the chromate. The dust is readily absorbed by the mucous membrane; some dust passes into the stomach and is dissolved by the gastric juice.²

According to Fairhall, perforated nasal septum is a common occurrence among workers with bi-chromate dusts.⁴ In a study made by the U. S. Public Health Service it was found that continuous daily exposure to concentrations of chromic acid mist greater than 1 milligram in 10 cubic meters is likely to cause definite injury to the nasal tissues.³ It is believed that a similar concentration of the dust would be equally toxic.

In the case of poisoning from some heavy metals, exposure may be to both dust and vapor. For instance, investigations have shown the safe limit of total exposure to lead oxide dust and fumes to be less than 1.5 mg. per 10 cubic meters of air, except for prolonged exposure.³ In exposure to mercury dust and vapor, it was shown that the incidence of chronic mercurialism increases rapidly with increasing mercury concentration, after such concentration exceeds 2.0 mg. per 10 cubic meters.³

Alkalis and metallic oxides are common causes of dermatoses. Lye, potash and lime are known to cause irritation to plasterers, cement-makers, bricklayers, masons, stonecutters, modellers, and metal platers.⁴ Ulceration and perforation of the nasal septum occur among workers exposed to the dust of soda ash; systemic poisoning also occurs from inhalation of calcium cyanamide dust.⁴

Cases of dermatitis, scleroderma and can-

cer are reported to have been caused by exposure to dust of arsenic compounds. Certain aluminum salts are skin irritants and aluminum dusts may contribute to the infection of skin and mucous membranes, through mechanical action.⁴

b. Fibrosis-Producing Dusts. The most important of these are the inorganic, slightly soluble dusts which cause fibrous changes in the lung tissues, some of which are serious, and some of which cause little or no disability.¹ So far as is known, no inorganic substances other than silicon derivatives cause more than a very moderate degree of fibrosis of the lung. Moreover, there seems to be no evidence that any other constituent of ordinary dusts can influence so unfavorably a pulmonary infection.⁴

Although other dusts, when inhaled in sufficient concentrations over a long period of time, have been shown capable of producing a pulmonary fibrosis, nevertheless, the pneumoconiosis characterized by nodular fibrosis has to date been shown clinically and experimentally to be associated only with the inhalation of dusts containing free silica.

Since this dust, to exert its harmful action, must enter the finer divisions of the lung, the particle size of the atmospheric dust may bear a definite relationship to the injurious effect produced. The silica must be present in the air in particles small enough to enter the finer air spaces and of such dimensions that the phagocytic cells may engulf them. The greater majority of particles found upon microscopic examination of the lung fall within the limits of from 1 to 3 microns.³ Examples of siliceous dusts are granite, quartz, sand, pumice, slate, etc.

In a recent study among anthracite miners, the correlations between exposure to dust (which contained silica) and the evidence of constitutional changes left little doubt as to the etiological significance of the dust in the air breathed. Like correlations were found between the silica exposure and the extent of pulmonary changes.³ When the inhaled dust consists of silica combined with bases, silicates, some degree of change in the pulmonary tissue may result. In this respect asbestos dust seems to be unique among silicates in the prevalence and severity of the disease it causes.³

The chief distinction between silicosis and conditions due to simple reactions caused by other dusts is the active proliferative

000112

reaction in the tissues which results in progressive nodulation. Silicosis, when once established, strongly predisposes the lungs to infection, especially with the tubercle bacillus. Chronic interstitial pneumonia, chronic bronchitis, and emphysema are frequent complications of advanced degrees of silicosis.

The relation of the acute respiratory infections to the reaction due to dusts other than free silica has never been established, though a heightened incidence has often been shown statistically in workers in dusty trades. It is fairly certain that a dust damaged lung, whatever the cause, fares much worse if an acute infection does supervene upon it.

In a study conducted by the U. S. Public Health Service among marble finishers in Vermont, it was found that marble dust when inhaled in the concentrations found in the examined plants produced a mild, bilateral, linear fibrosis in some cases, but no serious lung changes were noted and there was no disability due to the dust, even after years of exposure."

c. Nonfibrosis-Producing Dusts. These are inert, that is, they do not cause fibrous tissue to be produced, but may become encapsulated or lie free in the tissues; or they are absorbed without production of fibrous tissue. Included among them are alundum, coal, corundum, emery, limestone, magnesite, marble, plaster of paris (gypsum), and polisher's rouge.

Dust Control

Engineering and medical control are the two most important factors in combating the industrial dust hazard, and are to a large extent complementary.

Engineering Control. As Lanza²² has stated in a recent paper, "It is a basic principle in dealing with a dust hazard that the dust should be attacked at its point of origin and thus prevented from being disseminated into the atmosphere." After the dust has been spread throughout the air it is difficult to deal with it, and reliance must be placed on individual protection, which is never wholly satisfactory.

Lanza further cites various methods used in controlling dust. These will be reviewed but briefly, since a paper dealing with the subject of dust control in detail will follow on this program.

Dust may be entrapped at its source by suction devices and thus removed and collected. Familiar examples are exhaust hoods in grinding operations, and the devices used in rock drilling.

Generally speaking, the exhaust ventilation method, where applicable, is to be preferred in controlling a dust hazard. Water may be used to entrap dust and prevent its dispersal, and under certain circumstances it may be of advantage to combine the use of water and the use of suction. Sometimes a dusty process can be completely enclosed in a sealed room or compartment. It must be remembered, however, that any mechanical device of this kind offers adequate protection only if it is properly designed, installed, and maintained.

A great deal of attention has been given the subject of individual protection from dust, and there are many types of respiratory protective devices now available. These are generally of two types: those which provide fresh air from an uncontaminated source and those which rely upon a filtering medium for removing dust from the air breathed. Where the use of such a device is indicated, only one of the types approved by the U. S. Bureau of Mines should be used. As a rule, it may be said that masks, respirators, or other such protective device should be used only where exposure to the dust is intermittent and brief, or where some unusual condition makes a more adequate dust control impracticable."

Where bacteria or other living dusts in the air are associated with a process, sterilization methods such as increased temperature, ultraviolet radiation, and chemicals like chlorine or other bactericidal substances, may be of use. Pasteurization temperature (about 140° F.) will kill most organisms except those bearing spores. Steam disinfection is used for horsehair, and proves to be practicable if the temperature does not exceed 230° F. Wool fibres, however, lose their elasticity by steam disinfection, and the "Duckering" process now used in England includes soaking of the wool in a formaldehyde solution, and drying in a current of air at a temperature of 160° F." There are few occupations in which there would be a sufficient concentration of dead bacteria to cause untoward effects in man.

In the case of dusts producing external irritation, auxiliary protective measures may include the use of protective clothing,

gloves, goggles, protective suits, and masks. In some cases, the use of protective suits is necessary. Gen. housekeeping.

Medical control is closely interphase. In the case of hazards, the placement of a check on health of a control measure of the measures.

It has been found that injuries and and medical prevention and one of the prevention.

The present to determine mental time close the phase, which might the examination. It should be employment for the purpose but rather work for a worker who totally unthoughtful and to him more the examination exercises habits.

The I examination physical fitness. Re time result disabilities time of an examination prior to the examination.

Examination by law in handling of the examination.

000133

selected by
and not
must be
evident

ist. The
to be
ard. The
prevent
circumstances
line the use
Sometimes
ly enclosed
ent. It must
my mechanical
equivalent pro-
y designed

been given
ection from
of respira-
available. These
those which
contaminated
non a filtering
from the air
such a device
types approved
es should be
that masks
ective device
posure to the
of, or where
a more ade-
e."

ving dusts in
process, stan-
dard tempera-
and chemical
substances
a temperature
ost organisms
Steam disin-
and proves to
ture does not
however, lose
infection, and
used in Eng-
cool in a form-
g in a current
90° F. There
in there would
of lead bac-
cts in man.

lucing external
measures may
ective clothing

gloves, goggles and aprons; as well as pro-
tective salves, ointments, or other com-
pounds to delay or diminish the irritant
action. General rules for hygiene and good
housekeeping should also be observed.

Medical Control. Equally important, and
closely interrelated with the engineering
phase, is medical control of occupational
hazards. In addition to directing the proper
placement of new workers, and guarding the
health of all employees, medical control is
a check on the efficacy of the engineering
control methods already instituted, or a
measure of the need for new protective de-
vices.

It has been stated that "Industry has
found that the best way to treat industrial
injuries and illness is to prevent them,"
and medical control, through preemploy-
ment and periodic physical examinations, is
one of the most important factors in such
prevention.

The preemployment examination is made
to determine the employee's physical and
mental fitness for work. It serves to dis-
close the presence of any contagious dis-
ease, reveals any minor physical defects
which might later become serious, or whether
the examinee's condition precludes his em-
ployment in certain or in all types of work.
It should be remembered that such preem-
ployment examinations are not to be made
for the purpose of eliminating an employee,
but rather for allocating him to the type of
work for which he is physically suited. A
worker should be given employment unless
totally unfit, or unless his disability, even
though slight, would cause him to be a haz-
ard to himself or his associates. Further-
more, the practice of preemployment
examinations should be extended to include
executives and officials of industrial organi-
zations.

"The purpose of periodic physical
examinations is to secure and maintain
physical fitness and thereby lengthen work
spans." Reexamination of employees some-
times results in the discovery of defects and
disabilities which were not observed at the
time of employment. In such cases, an oc-
cupational adjustment should be made to
provide continued employment, but remove
the risk of permanent injury.

Reexamination of employees is required
by law in certain occupations in which the
handling of poisonous or otherwise deleteri-
ous substances may result in the contraction

of disease." Since some occupational dis-
eases tend to clear up and recur, records of
previous occupations should be included in
the physical examinations. The frequency
of examinations should be determined by
the medical director, unless otherwise speci-
fied by law. Those exposed to known oc-
cupational disease hazards may have weekly
or monthly examinations.*

"It should always be kept in mind that the
basic principle of physical examinations in
industry is to keep men on the job and not
allow the physical examination to be merely
a weeding out process."

References

- * Bloomfield, J. J. and DallaValle, J. M. "The Determination and Control of Industrial Dust." U. S. Public Health Bulletin No. 217, April, 1935.
- * Collis, Edgar L. "Industrial Pneumococcoses, with special reference to Dust Phthisis." Milroy Lectures, 1915. H. M. S. O., London.
- * Solimann, Torald. "A Manual of Pharmacology." W. B. Saunders & Co., Philadelphia, 1932.
- * Fairhall, Lawrence T. "Toxic Dusts and Fumes." Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, November, 1936.
- * Bloomfield, J. J. "The Sampling and Analysis of Industrial Dusts." American Public Health Association, Yearbook, 1933-36.
- * Gibbs, W. E. "Dust Hazard in Industry." Ernest Benn, Ltd. London, 1925.
- * Air Hygiene Foundation of America, Inc. "Sili-cosis and Allied Disorders." Medical Series, Bulletin No. 1. Pittsburgh, Pa. April 15, 1936.
- * Kettle, E. H. "The Action of Harmful Dusts." Inst. of Mining and Metallurgy, London, June 14, 1934.
- * "Dusts, Fumes, and Smokes." Occupation and Health Series, International Labour Office, Geneva, 1930.
- * Drinker, Philip. "Causation of Pneumoconiosis." Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, October, 1935.
- * "Dyeing." Occupation and Health Series, International Labour Office, Geneva, 1930.
- * Legge, Sir Thomas. "Industrial Maladies." Oxford University Press, London, 1934.
- * Burstein, A. "Nicotine Action from Inhaled Tobacco Dust." Journal of Industrial Hygiene, December, 1927.
- * White, R. Prosser. "The Dermatogoses, or Occupational Affections of the Skin." H. K. Lewis & Co. Ltd., London, 1934.
- * Schwartz, Louis. "Skin Hazards in American Industry." U. S. Public Health Bulletin No. 215, October, 1934.
- * Downing, J. G. "Industrial Dermatoses: Treatment, and Legal Aspects: Review of Recent Literature." Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, July, 1935.
- * "Occupational Lesions in Workers in Sugar." Belgium Letter, Journal of American Medical Association, Sept. 19, 1927. Abst. Journal of Industrial Hygiene, February, 1928.
- * "Flour Millers." Occupation and Health Series, International Labour Office, Geneva, 1930.
- * Mayers, May R. "Susceptibility to Dermatitis." The Industrial Bulletin, Albany, N. Y. Feb. 1937, vol. 16, no. 2.
- * Drinker, Philip, and Hatch, Theodore. "Industrial Dust." McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1934.
- * Schwartz, Louis. "Skin Hazards in American Industry. Part II." U. S. Public Health Bulletin No. 229, Sept. 1936.
- * "Poisonous Woods." Occupation and Health Series, International Labour Office, Geneva, 1930.

000124

- 12 Hope, E. W., Hanna, W., and Stallybrass, C. O. "Industrial Medicine and Hygiene." Bailliere, Tindall and Cox, London, 1925.
 13 Brown, G. T. "The Diagnosis of Bacterial Allergy." Southern Medical Journal, Vol. 27, No. 10, Oct. 1934.
 14 Savers, R. R. and Merewether, F. V. "Military Lung Disease Due to Unknown Cause." U. S. Public Health Reports, Dec. 5, 1930.
 15 International Labour Office, "Occupation and Health Series, International Labour Office, Geneva, 1930.
 16 Holland, J. W. "Textbook of Medical Chemistry and Toxicology." W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, 1920.
 17 Bloomfield, J. J. and Blum, Wm. "Health Hazards in Chromium Plating." U. S. Public Health Service Reprint No. 1245, Washington, 1930.
 18 Russell, Jones, Bloomfield, Britten and Thompson. "Lead Poisoning in a Storage Battery Plant." U. S. Public Health Bulletin No. 205, June, 1933.
 19 Neal, Jones, Bloomfield, DallaValle and Edwards. "A Study of Chronic Mercurialism in the Hatters' Fur Cutting Industry." U. S. Public Health Bulletin No. 234, May, 1937.
 20 Sayers, R. R. and Jones, R. R. "Silicosis and Similar Dust Diseases." National Silicosis Conference, Washington, D. C. April 14, 1936.
 21 Sayers, Bloomfield, DallaValle, Jones, Dreesen, Brundage and Britten. "Anthracosis-Silicosis among Hard Coal Miners." U. S. Public Health Bulletin No. 221, December, 1935.
 22 Middleton, E. L. "Industrial Pulmonary Disease due to the Inhalation of Dust." The Lancet, July 4 and 11, 1936.
 23 Dreesen, Waldemar C. "Effect of Inhaled Marble Dust as Observed in Vermont Marble Finishers." U. S. Public Health Service Reprint No. 1630.
 24 Lanza, A. J. "Control and Prevention of Silicosis." Symposium on Silicosis. California Tuberculosis Association, San Francisco, 1937.
 25 Newquist, M. N. "Medical Service in Industry and Workmen's Compensation Laws." American College of Surgeons, Chicago, 1934.
 26 "Medical Care of Industrial Workers." National Industrial Conference Board, Inc. New York, 1926.

By ARTHUR S. JOHNSON

When one realizes that control of the dust hazard depends upon the combined contributions of the physician and the engineer, he appreciates that the solution requires scientific treatment. By scientific treatment I mean the technical analysis of the hazard to discover the causes which contribute to injury or ill health, and the development of control methods which obey physical law and physiology, and which will be directed at causes that admit of most immediate and economical treatment.

There are estimates only to judge the magnitude of the dust health problem, and it is so closely associated with other health problems that a clean-cut estimate of it alone is impossible. We do know that it is great in the aggregate, and for the individual plant it may be very bothersome and expensive.

pensive methods for making the air clean are still in the realm of dreams. Much work must be done to know more about silicosis and more how to make atmospheres safe *inexpensively*. As an accident prevention job, dust control lies almost entirely within the administrative responsibility. The control measures are capital expenditures of considerable size.

A plant with a dust hazard is sick, it needs an expert diagnosis and scientific treatment, which may include expensive major operations. Home remedies are seldom much good, and supervision and employee safety consciousness alone cannot accomplish anything. There may be observed in countless shops installations for exhausting dust which are derisively called "tin knocker" jobs in which the horsepower dissipated is out of all proportion to the air-borne dust moved. Tonnage efficiency of material moved may be the proper yardstick for pneumatic conveyors but if the material to be moved is air, contaminated with nearly weightless particles of dust, the entire health hazard can lie in the half of one per cent of material not moved.

I do not mean that unless a dust suppression job was engineered it can be no good. There are many good installations that were never figured at all but grew like Topsy.

A dust-suppressing device designed as protection against possible sense of security was safety is not rushing a minimal residual more realistic del than to find truth that workers must the reasonable sai the hold. turns a

Let us examine
which we recognize
leaving out the so

In order to determine the design's effectiveness, the author seems to assume that the compartment which deals with partitions can't expose the people to the pollutants which the industrial hygiene case against the compartment plan and connecting corridors is intended to prevent. It is possible that the probability of exposure is reduced by the design.

Our new vacuum be the dynamic as silica vacuum and the dust within it to advance ab- of human in ma- this in vacuum li- medical and term- scopical pathology animals. Examines Inducement, and the come vacuum hal- Man's system a the new vacuum invigorated and this vacuum. I the vacuum.

000105

R. "Silicosis and
Industrial Silicosis Con-
trolled," 14, 1936.
e. Jones, Dreesen,
aco. Silicosis among
the Health Bulletin

al Pulmonary Dis-
eases." The Lancet

"Effect of Inhaled
Vermont Marble
Service Reprint

Prevention of Sil-
icosis in Industry
California Tubercu-
losis, 1937.

Service in Industry
Laws," American
14.

al Workers," Na-
tional Board, Inc. New

resulting freedom from dustiness was so satis-
factory that efficiency held little interest to
the owner. This is exceptional, however, and
I offer the opinion that dust suppression jobs
should be engineered.

A dust-suppression installation is a safety
device, designed and operated to provide pro-
tection against possible disease and provide a
sense of security which must be absolute. Its
safety is not rushing air and rattling chips but
a minimal residual dustiness. There is no
more pathetic defeat for a dust control job
than to find faith in its effectiveness so low
that workers must wear respirators to find
the reasonable safety that was expected from
the hoods, ducts and fans.

Diagnosis and Control

Let us examine some of the features by
which we recognize this dust hazard problem,
leaving out the socio-legal aspects.

In order to develop more fully the engi-
neer's place in this dust control program, it
seems desirable to separate as sharply as we
can the diagnostic considerations from those
which deal with control. In the first com-
partment we can place all the analytical com-
ponents which describe the hazard and its
exposure, the probability of its producing ill
health and all the rest of the medical and
industrial hygiene facts which make out a
case against the existing dust. In the second
compartment place the knowledge of physics
and engineering necessary to plan the dust
control program and so design it that when
installed its performance follows prediction
that the probability of industrial disease is
reduced to insignificance.

The Industrial Hygienist

Our first feature of interest would naturally
be the specific and relative toxicity of silica,
silica-bearing and other dusts which make up
the dust health hazard. Much might be said
to advantage about the quality and quantity
of hazard in many dusts. The acquiring of
this knowledge has been, and must remain a
medical problem. It involves gross and micro-
scopic pathology and x-rays, and experimental
animals. Engineers do not talk that language.
Industrial hygienists do; they are a happy
combination, half doctor and half engineer.
Many of them are quite capable of handling
the dust health hazard in all its phases of
investigation and control. For the purpose of
this discussion I throw their interest in with
the doctors.

I want to go so far in my opinion as to
state that the engineer should not attempt to
define the quality of health hazard in a given
plant or industry, nor should he establish
threshold limits of safe or permissible dusti-
ness. This may be debatable, but I shall con-
tend that the engineer who writes the speci-
fications of hazard and dust tolerance is really
an industrial hygienist, and is using a very
much broader knowledge than engineering
alone.

In the first case the quality of the health
hazard is an opinion arrived at after studying
all the environmental conditions including the
general sanitation, occupational analysis and
a scientific analysis of the dust exposures.
In the exploration of dust exposures, the
nature of dusty operations must be viewed
from physical, chemical and mechanical
aspects, the determinations of dustiness must
be not only counted and classified by particu-
late sizes, but chemically and mineralogically
analyzed. The plant layout must be studied
with respect to dust production and distribu-
tion, and the housekeeping and existing dust
control equipment evaluated. The presence of
specific hazards, such as toxic gases, abnor-
mal temperature and humidity conditions, etc.,
must be explored for their complicating effect
upon the whole picture. This is distinctly an
industrial hygiene job, and some of the most
critical data requires only medical viewpoint.
This is absolute in the case of tuberculosis
study and the qualifying of men for employ-
ment.

So far, not one atom of engineering to
suppress dust is involved. It is entirely anal-
ysis of hazard. The diagnosis must be that
the dustiness is hazardous to health or that it
is safe. If it is hazardous, it must be brought
down to a specified residue. If it isn't, leave
it alone. On the second point, if the hygienists
can not specify threshold limits graduated
upward for relatively less hazardous dusts,
let the engineer not worry about it. The
diagnosis is dust which is harmful and too
much of it. The remedy is control of the dust.
The engineer designs controls which will
produce the lowest practical residual dusti-
ness. He should not attempt to write his own
specifications of threshold limits or permis-
sible dustiness. This may be an important
goal in the study of dust health hazards.

Safe Limits

At the present time the medical men and
hygienists believe that quartz dust is safe

ards

Liability

the air clean are
Much work must
out silicosis and
res safe incenpen-
tion job, dust
within the admin-
control measures
considerable size,
is sick, it needs
stific treatment,
e major opera-
om much good,
ee safety com-
plish anything.
countless shops
dust which are
" jobs in which
out of all pro-
moted. Tonnage
ay be the proper
eyors but if the
ntaminated with
dust, the entire
half of one per

dust suppression
no good. There
hat were never
opsy. The re-

000100

below five million particles. They seem willing to graduate upward to 20 million for medium silica and 50 million for low silica percentages. Five million particles is virtually dust free, and is very difficult and expensive to achieve and maintain. The declaration of safety of the installations must remain in the hands of the medical and hygiene groups in whose hands now lies the responsibility for determining the hazard.

We now enter the chapter of our discussion intended to demonstrate that dust control is an engineering job, that it must be given scientific treatment, not guess work, applying engineering methods which are based upon a knowledge of physical law, the behavior of dust, the performance of air-moving machinery, etc.

Prerequisite Knowledge

Let me recite briefly, a few of the things which, in my opinion, the engineer should know to do this job correctly. He must know how to make a survey. Surveys depend upon sampling, and sampling is not a catch-as-catch-can proposition. It involves the place, the time, the frequency, the amount in a sample, and the number of samples so that maximum, minimum and average data mean something, so that credibility can be given them.

For the type of sample involved he must know how to weigh or count respirable dust, as distinguished from large masses of non-respirable dust which complicate the picture. He must know how to observe the sources of dust floods as distinguished from conditions of normal dustiness. He must know the sources of dust and the principles involved in the dispersion of dust. He must determine how much dust enters the problem because it is part of the material, and what dust is created in the process by the fracturing of the material.

Any less survey cannot tell the engineer what his task of dust control is. To visualize that task intelligently he should know the physics of Brownian motion, laws of resistance to the motion of particles moving in air, both when the air is in turbulence and for stream-line motion. He should know terminal velocities, movements due to centrifugal motion; flocculation and the effects of air motion and the effects of humidification on it. He must know all about the difficulties of wetting and what is known about overcoming these difficulties. He should know the several elec-

trical phenomena which bear upon dust behavior.

Those are all principles of physics which explain the behavior of dust and upon which engineering practice must be based. They must be understood and be taken into account. Dust control is not a matter only of general ventilation; the engineer must study the production as well as the dispersion. The problem should be analyzed to determine the applicability of segregation, enclosure, wetting, local exhaust and general ventilation.

In some instances, substitution of non-hazardous material, or non-dust-producing operations may have specific application, and they should be explored first. This implies plant engineering. I am convinced that many bad installations are bad because plant engineering was not used. In the designing of exhaust systems installed to capture the dust at the points of generation, the physical behavior of air moving toward hoods and in ducts must be known, and that knowledge used. Each system must consist of collection hoods, piping, air-cleaning plant and a source of suction. Before selecting a hood for a job the engineer must know dust dispersion by dynamic projection as well as dust dispersion by air currents, and design the hood accordingly.

Knowledge Needed

The aero-dynamic characteristics of suction hoods appear to be little known because little thought was given to them in designing thousands of hoods which can be found everywhere in industry. All the possibility for entrapping dust and much of the efficiency of the system lies in this factor. Air velocity, static suction, and rate of air flow are all related, and they must be known. It is essential to use high velocity of air in certain types of exhaust systems to entrain dust projected at high velocities as in the case of a granite surfacing machine, and low velocities must be used in others, as in the case of cleaning asbestos fibre of dust to prevent wasting material.

Quantity of air and its velocity must be determined, then the piping should be designed to take into account the behavior of air-flow through pipes at low and high velocities. Where the problem is complicated by the large sized particles which go along with the fine dust, how these behave must be taken into account. Pressure losses are very important elements in the design of an exhaust

system. Loss at the bend and loss in the ducts. Pipe design must take of the metal and re pressures and erosion.

The selection of a fan is a matter of calculation. Power consumption in fans follow certain rules, must know the behavior of fan type, radial flow other fan performance.

More physics and goes into the air-cleaning selection of gravitation method depends upon calculation for any method of discharge through a cyclone, the determination of sizes of behavior of cyclones, a filter, and if so, what perform, how big it is, resistance and how much say nothing of their relation to cleaning, ac items for accurate engineering.

Apparatus to check the

The Doctor

Chief Surgeon

Considerable confusion as to just what is the physician's part in the prevention of dust disease. Just what is the engineer's part is obvious upon even casual reflection. Two sciences must work together to solve the problem.

The physician through his knowledge must be able to tell the engineer what dusts are dangerous and what dusts are not. He must know how those dusts are dispersed in the manner in which they are produced. He must be trained in the manner in which the dusts are to be removed from the air. He must know how to recognize the first signs of disease in a man exposed to dust, and

000127

upon dust be system. Loss at the hoods, loss at the bends, and loss in the ducts must not be guessed at. Pipe design must take into account the gage of the metal and reinforcing to withstand pressures and erosion.

The selection of a fan and its motive power is a matter of calculation, not guess work. Power consumption in the system is calculable, fans follow certain laws, so the engineer must know the behavior of axial flow, propeller type, radial flow, paddle wheel and other fan performance.

More physics and engineering calculation goes into the air-cleaning apparatus. The selection of gravitation, inertial or filtration method depends upon what the dust is, the calculation for any methods requires knowledge of discharge through stacks, the determination of sizes of settling chambers; the behavior of cyclones. The use or non-use of a filter, and if so, what type, how it should perform, how big it should be, what kind of resistance and how much each kind offers, to say nothing of their proper location with relation to cleaning, accessibility, etc., are all items for accurate engineering determination. Apparatus to check the behavior of installa-

tions must be known and used. Performance cannot be left to guess work. Supplying sufficient air to be exhausted is part of the problem. The same may be said of heating.

Do I give the problem too severe a build-up? I think not. Remember these two things:

1. You are dealing with hazardous material so small in size and so little of it by weight that it is as invisible as the air that bears it.

2. Physical laws involved in the behavior of dust and air do not permit inexpensive methods of control. I am acquainted with budgets set up for this purpose which amount to more than a quarter of a million dollars, and I know of many where 60 to 80 thousand dollars is involved.

The need for this much engineering is recognized by men who know. Already much has been done toward getting the knowledge into handbook shape. Perhaps the best job of this sort to date is "Fundamentals Relating to the Design and Operation of Exhaust Systems" developed under American Standards Association procedure.

The Doctor's Part in Controlling Dust Hazards

By A. D. LAZENBY, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Chief Surgeon, Maryland Casualty Co., Baltimore, Maryland

Considerable confusion has existed in the past as to just what is the physician's function in the prevention of dust diseases, and just what is the engineer's. It is very obvious upon even casual thought that the two sciences must work hand in hand in their efforts to solve the problem.

The physician through his special training must be able to tell the engineer what dusts are dangerous and what are not. He must know how those dusts enter the body and the manner in which they do their harm. He must be trained in the examination of persons who are to be employed to work in dust, and to discover those who are already diseased, or are peculiarly susceptible to dust disease. He must be able to detect the first signs of disease in workers who are exposed to dust, and must be prepared to

recognize the disease when it is fully developed.

It is not necessarily the physician's function to analyze dust. That is a task for the chemist and the petrographer. It is not the physician's function to count the particles of dust in a given industrial atmosphere. That, in reality, is the function of the engineer. It is not the physician's function to devise ventilating equipment for the removal of dust, nor even to be more than an adviser as to the means that must be adopted to render the atmosphere safe.

The physician nevertheless must correlate with his medical knowledge and with his studies of the industrial worker the findings of the engineer. Diagnosis of silicosis, for example, requires not merely characteristic occupational history and characteristic physi-

000188

cal findings, but the knowledge as well that the patient has been exposed to hazardous dusts in dangerous concentrations.

All dusts when inhaled in excessive quantities may be considered as harmful, but only a few can be regarded as dangerous. From the standpoint of their dangerous properties, they may be divided into three general groups.

1. Those which are dangerous because of their poisonous action, such as lead, arsenic, mercury, and similar substances.

2. Those which cause only irritation in the respiratory tract, resulting in such conditions as bronchitis or local inflammation; such substances as vegetable fibre, cork dust, flour, starch, and other relatively innocuous organic or inorganic materials.

3. Those which tend to produce fibrosis of the lungs, thereby predisposing to respiratory infections, especially tuberculosis, such dusts as asbestos, silica dioxide or magnesium silicate.

I shall confine my remarks chiefly to dusts occurring in the latter category.

It has been generally believed that the harmful effects of dusts inhaled into the lungs depended more upon their physical structure than upon their chemical. It was believed, for example, that silica dioxide, or quartz, was particularly harmful because of its crystalline structure, and the hardness and sharpness of its particles. This conception is now known to be faulty. The dusts which cause their damage by mechanical interference with function are the dusts which are relatively innocuous, whose symptoms are transitory, and which subside upon removal from exposure to the dust.

Chemical Effect Is Harmful

The disease of particular interest to us today, silicosis, owes its harmful effects very largely to a harmful chemical reaction occurring between silica dioxide and the body tissues. It so happens that silica dioxide is decidedly a tissue irritant to the body, regardless of the part of the body it invades, it causes local irritation, local destruction of tissue, and the replacement of that tissue by scars.

Thus, given a dust containing silica dioxide in sufficient concentration, in sizes small enough to permit its entrance to the ultimate air spaces in the lungs, we have a situation which offers danger to the worker.

We have no real knowledge as to true figures, but as a simple rule, we can adopt for practical purposes the thought that the human body can withstand successfully a concentration of dust containing 100 per cent silica dioxide in a particulate size less than 10 microns, in a concentration not in excess of 5,000,000 particles per cubic foot. If we decrease the percentage of silica dioxide present in the dust, we can correspondingly increase the concentration with comparative safety. We must, of course, take into account the portion of the worker's time spent in the dusty atmosphere.

To arrive at a rough conception of a safe atmosphere, we multiply the percentage of free silica in a given dust by the total number of particles per cubic foot. If the result is under 5,000,000 the condition may be considered relatively safe. If the result is over 5,000,000 the condition must be regarded as unsafe.

Bear in mind too that silicosis is essentially a disease of great chronicity. Except in a few exceptional instances it will require from seven to thirty years for a worker to develop advanced silicosis. It is evident too that coincident dusts may either retard the development of silicosis or hasten it. Recent research seems to indicate that the admixture of aluminum dust to a silicious dust will greatly inhibit the reaction of the latter, whereas a silicious dust combined with some alkaline coincident dusts may hasten the development of silicosis.

Much research is now under way in problems such as this. We have never learned how to cure silicosis, but we are learning rapidly how to prevent it. Our knowledge in this respect seems to be limited to the removal of dust from the breathing zone of the worker. Later discoveries may show us how to modify existing dusts with contaminant dusts that will render silica dioxide innocuous.

Owing to its very great chronicity, it is only the rare case who actually develops disabling silicosis during his industrial lifetime. It is realized, of course, that exceptional instances take place, but the coincident ravages of age usually keep pace with the effects of dust, so that much of the disability usually attributed to silicosis is often, in fact, actually attributable to other diseases or infirmities which advancing years bring in their wake. To me the great peril of silicosis, the peril not only to the industrial

worker himself, but his and the public generally, is his susceptibility to tuberculosis.

Complicated by

Silicosis per se does not as a complicating factor recovers despite treatment. An individual so afflicted is enabled himself, but a danger with whom he comes in the fellow worker who. So perhaps the most which the services of the trade are necessary are discovering and barring a silicious dust those already have arrested tuberculosis, discovering those exposed to dust, possibly whom tuberculous infection developed.

It is well recognized should be exposed to dust dioxide until he has undergone physical examination, made and interpreted chest. It is likewise obvious that silicosis that exposed to silicious dust the privilege of frequent examinations and x-rays of the to discover the existence but to discover a coincidence exists.

A man so diseased should himself and to all with in contact, be removed me emphasize the usefulness of examination, unless it properly taken x-ray film of gross tuberculosis.

It is the function of the fore, to conduct in a thorough manner the pre-examination of all persons who in a dusty trade. The examination should be to discover and bar from any person who is susceptible in an active, arrested state.

A possible exception individuals suffering from primary complex, or a infection. It is well known

of fumes. Such operations are usually permanent and exposures tend to be more constant, although as a rule the greatest amount of fumes is generated during tapping operations.

Likewise, in the molding processes the molten metal is the source of metal fumes. In welding, cutting, and salvage operations, the metal fumes may originate from metal products processed, coated surfaces of the metals, fluxes used, or from the coating or core of the electrode used.

These latter operations may provide exposure to a greater variety of toxic metals, but as a rule such exposures are to lesser concentrations. Exposure usually is less regular since the operations vary from time to time both as to extent and raw materials used.

Atmospheric Concentrations

Data relative to the minimum concentrations of metal fumes which will produce metal fume fever are limited. It is believed by some that, except in case of the super-sensitive individual, metal fume fevers can be avoided by keeping atmospheric concentrations below 10 milligrams per cubic meter of air. Drinker and his associates⁴ suggest 15 milligrams per cubic meter as a safe limit where workers are exposed to the fumes of zinc oxide, provided the fumes of such impurities as lead, cadmium, and arsenic are not also present in harmful amounts.

Our knowledge of safe limits from the viewpoint of chronic metal poisoning is likewise meager. Prolonged exposure to lead concentrations in the air breathed should be kept below 1.5 milligrams per 10 cubic meters of air.⁵ In the study of chronic mercurialism among fur cutters⁶ it was found that cases developed after prolonged exposure to atmospheric concentrations varying from .6 to 7.2 milligrams of mercury per 10 cubic meters of air.

Less than 5 per cent of those exposed to concentrations which did not exceed 2 milligrams per 10 cubic meters of air developed symptoms of chronic mercurialism, and all cases that developed under such exposure were mild. Canavan and his associates⁷ reported upon a case of chronic manganese poisoning which developed following exposure to manganese dust, where the concentration in the atmosphere was from 10 to 20 milligrams per cubic meter of air, 9 to 12 per cent of which was manganese.

Metal Fume Fever

A strict interpretation of the term "metal fume fever" does not place it in the class of conditions termed "metal poisoning." The reaction set up in the body is not directly attributable to the toxic metal, or dependent upon the inherent toxicity of the metal particles inhaled. The superficial action of the finely divided metal destroys the tissues, and the absorption of these altered body proteins affects the individual much the same as typhoid inoculation or the injection of other foreign proteins.

Metal fume fever has long been recognized as an industrial disease. Other terms commonly applied to the condition are: "Brass founders' ague," "brass chills," "zinc fever," or "zinc chills," "spelter shakes," etc. Formerly it was thought due to the poisonous effects of zinc and zinc alloys. Various workers in the past quarter century have shown, however, that it may be produced by the fumes of metals other than zinc; notably, copper, magnesium, manganese, and probably lead. Koelsch⁸ in 1923 reported upon the occurrence of metal fume fever among employees in a copper rolling mill.

Investigation showed the men to be working under conditions which caused them to breathe air containing heavy concentrations of copper dust. The symptoms resembled "brass founders' ague." In his report he quotes Kissalt⁹ as showing that mercury, nickel, and probably other heavy metals when inhaled in vaporized form caused symptoms similar to those of "brass founders' ague."

Drinker and his associates¹⁰ in 1927 reported that men experimentally exposed to fumes of magnesium developed the classical symptoms of metal fume fever.

Clinical Picture of Metal Fume Fever

The clinical manifestations of metal fume fever are as follows: At the end of the day or 2 to 12 hours following exposure to metal fumes, the individual notices a dryness of the throat in addition to the metallic taste which most workers commonly experience during exposure. A feeling of tightness of the chest, varying with the exposure, usually indicates the severity of chills and fever which are to follow. In mild cases the individual may not be aware of any fever and may complain only of a chilly sensation, followed by sweating and general weakness.

Exposure to extremely high concentrations of metal fumes may result in the onset of fever and chills. The worker awakens from sleep with a high temperature and chills. Similar to the chills and attacks of malaria. A hot bath appears to relieve the symptoms. Toward the end of the attack the worker sweats profusely and of marked weakness. In severe cases some weakness may persist for several days. It is commonly relieved by hot drinks of tea or coffee. The condition may be relieved in partially abated cases by taking at the first sign of the attack such as a dry throat, the chest. Drinker and his associates¹¹ show that even excessive exposure to metal fumes induced the reactions in:

Examination of the blood of individuals suffering from metal fume fever shows a gradual increase in the number of leukocytes which begins at the attack and persists throughout the fever. The fever has disappeared and the white blood cells are returning to normal bearing upon the degree of immunity established.

This probably accounts for the characteristic clinical observations are most likely to be a period. More cases occur on the first day of exposure or the absence of one or two days. The condition is frequently affecting new employees returning to work after a period of absence from exposure.

As a rule, gastrointestine symptoms are seldom complained of. Exposure has been known to affect the digestive system. It is well known that in other toxic metal poisoning, such as welding and cutting, the toxic substances from the zinc, as well as the fluxes which are used, are the cause.

We have no conclusive evidence of chronic effects produced by exposure to zinc fumes. In brass foundries¹² the workers experience attacks of weakness and are somewhat poorer in health.

000143

ver
he term "metal
in the class of
poisoning." The
is not directly
or dependent
the metal par
action of the
the tissues, and
body proteins
the same as
action of other

een recognized
er terms com
n are: "Brass
"zinc fever,"
es," etc. For
the poisonous
oys. Various
century have
produced by
zinc; notably,
and probably
ed upon the
r among em

n to be work
used them to
concentrations
ns resembled
is report he
at mercury,
cavy metals
orm caused
brass found-

in 1927 re-
exposed to
the classical

me Fever

metal fume
of the day,
are to metal
dryness of
etallic taste
experience
ightness of
are, usually
and fever
es the indi-
fever and
sation, fol-
weakness.

Exposure to extreme cold may hasten the onset of fever and chills, but as a rule the worker awakens from sleep with a high temperature and chills, which are quite similar to the chills and fever experienced in attacks of malaria. After they have developed, nothing in the way of extra covers or hot baths appears to affect these chills. Toward the end of the attack the individual sweats profusely and is left with a feeling of marked weakness. In the majority of cases some weakness is still felt the next day. It is commonly believed that hot baths and hot drinks of tea or lemonade may succeed in partially aborting the attacks, if taken at the first sign of respiratory difficulty such as a dry throat or tight sensation in the chest. Drinker and his co-workers⁴ by their experimental work were unable to show that even excessive "sweating" of an individual 2 to 4 hours after exposure altered the reactions in any manner.

Examination of the blood of workers suffering from metal fume fever shows a gradual increase in the polymorphonuclear leukocytes which begins toward the end of the attack and persists for some time after the fever has disappeared. This increase in white blood cells appears to have a direct bearing upon the degree of temporary immunity established.

This probably accounts for another characteristic clinical observation. The workers are most likely to be affected after a vacation period. More cases develop after a holiday or on the first day of the week, after an absence of one or two days from exposure. The condition is found more frequently affecting new employees or old employees returning to work after a prolonged absence from exposure.

As a rule, gastrointestinal disturbances are seldom complained of except in cases where exposure has been to fumes of substances affecting the digestive system. For instance, it is well known that zinc coated metals contain other toxic metals as impurities. The welding and cutting of these release the toxic substances together with the fumes from the zinc, as well as fumes from certain fluxes which are used.

We have no conclusive evidence regarding chronic effects produced by repeated exposure to zinc fumes. A study of workers in brass foundries⁵ showed that the group experiencing attacks of metal fume fever were in somewhat poorer physical condition than

were those who did not develop fever and chills. Theoretically, those experiencing irregular exposures and complaining of repeated attacks of fever and chills which leave them in a generally weakened condition would, in a measure, develop lessened resistance to acute and chronic infection.

Detailed information regarding the pathology of metal fume fever is not available. A search of the literature at hand fails to show any record of death from metal fume fever, uncomplicated. The illness is of such a transitory nature that most of our knowledge regarding blood changes is the result of experimental work and isolated case reports.

In view of the fact that zinc is relatively nontoxic, and of the conclusions expressed by Drinker and Fairhall¹² regarding the hygienic position of zinc, it is difficult to show why chronic illness should result from exposures producing simple metal fume fever. It would seem, however, that in consideration of the recent work showing the role played by zinc in the treatment of diabetes with insulin, possibly some investigation should be made to determine if exposure to metal fumes capable of producing metal fume fever may not affect the endocrine system, especially in persons with some metabolic disturbances, such as potential diabetes or thyroid dysfunction.

Differential Diagnosis

Metal fume fever may sometimes be overlooked, unless the physician considers occupational environment. The condition may simulate attacks of grippe or bronchitis; or, if in a malarial region it may be mistaken for a fresh attack or a relapse from a former attack of malaria. Careful study of the blood will aid in diagnosis; those suffering with grippe or bronchitis seldom show the increase in white cells usually found present in persons suffering from metal fume fever, while those with malaria usually show the organisms present in their blood. The duration of symptoms is usually less in the case of metal fume fever.

However, it is not uncommon to find persistent rales upon examination of the chest several days after an attack. However, in persons with grippe or bronchitis, these rales are usually associated with a slight elevation in temperature, which is not found persisting in metal fume fever, uncomplicated. Repeated exposure producing metal

000144

fume fever may be followed by attacks of bronchial pneumonia, as a result of pulmonary irritation and infective organisms commonly present in the respiratory tract.

Metal particles are not the only injurious substance present in fumes generated by some industrial processes. The use of an acetylene torch in a space with poor ventilation has resulted in incomplete combustion of the gas and the liberation of carbon monoxide gas.

Doig and McLaughlin¹² reported upon an illness affecting welders of galvanized metal, using an electric arc. The men complained of lung irritation so severe that their sputum was often blood-tinged. Investigation showed this to be caused by excessive concentrations of nitrous fumes generated by the processes. X-ray examination of the chests of several in this group, who had been employed for many years, showed changes thought due either to the prolonged irritation caused by nitrous fumes, or possibly to the fumes of silica inhaled. The electrodes used were silica-coated.

Chronic Poisoning by Metal Fumes

It is generally recognized that the most serious exposures to toxic metals in industry occur in occupations where the worker breathes air contaminated with these poisonous substances. It is true systemic poisoning by metals may develop in an acute or sub-acute form, as a result of massive exposure. However, the vast majority of such cases are chronic, caused by frequent and prolonged exposure which leads to an accumulation of the toxic metal in the body. Poisons entering the body by way of the lungs, to be eliminated must be taken up by the blood and lymph. When present in the blood, they are carried to all parts of the body.

Poisons taken in by way of the mouth may not be absorbed but be passed out with the feces. If absorbed, it may be trapped in the liver and returned to the intestinal tract in the bile, and eliminated with the feces. Some, it is true, may be transferred by the blood to distant organs. Most heavy metals and their salts are true protoplasmic poisons. Some, such as iron and zinc, are more common constituents of body tissue. The action of these toxic metals is generally cumulative and no immunity, temporary or permanent, may be established if exposure is to sufficient concentrations.

Because of the fact that metals are usually

toxic, the possibility of poisoning from inhaling fumes generated in the processing of any metal should always be given consideration. While zinc itself may be relatively nontoxic, it is seldom used in industry in a form free from toxic substances such as lead, arsenic, selenium, cadmium, and many others.

Following the initial attack of metal fume fever, because of the temporary immunity which they develop, workers may continue under conditions which caused the attack and not suffer a recurrence. It must be borne in mind that these very conditions may afford exposure to other toxic metals which have cumulative effects and later lead to a chronic poisoning. If atmospheric concentrations of the fumes are kept below those capable of producing metal fume fever in the new worker, the chances of chronic poisoning by the toxic metals present as impurities are definitely reduced.

On the other hand, however, these impurities may be present in amounts sufficient to lead to chronic illnesses later, although the concentration of fumes has never produced metal fume fever.

Clinical Picture of Chronic Poisoning by Metal Fumes

The symptoms produced by poisoning from metal fumes will vary. Some metals affect chiefly the nervous system, others the lining of the blood capillaries, while others exert most characteristic injury upon the blood-forming organs.

Generally speaking, the clinical picture of metal fume fever, regardless of the metal responsible, varies only in severity, while that of metal poisoning per se varies with the particular metal fume inhaled and with the degree of exposure. For example, massive doses of lead fumes inhaled may produce such acute conditions as lead encephalitis and severe gastrointestinal complications; while continued exposure to smaller concentrations will cause such chronic changes as anemia, lead palsy, and chronic nephritis.

Exposure to heavy concentrations of mercury vapor leads to acute nephritis, salivation, and diarrhea, while the more common industrial exposures to but slight concentrations will eventually produce the typical mercury tremor and psychic changes characteristic of chronic mercurialism. Strictly

speaking, there are no signs of poisoning from metals which are due to other causes. For this reason, always a matter of difference, metal poisoning can only be detected by careful consideration of an individual's occupational history, medical history, and laboratory findings.

While laboratory findings are an important factor in diagnosis, they are often relied upon as the sole basis for diagnosis.

Prevention of Metal Poisoning

While the responsibility for preventing health hazards associated with the use of toxic metals rests with the employer, effective prevention of such hazards can only be secured by the hearty cooperation of employees, the State, and other responsible agencies. Three fundamental factors are most to actual prevention: proper housekeeping, supported by strict control of hazardous processes, and medical supervision.

Good Housekeeping

We think of good housekeeping as applying to clean floors and walls, to materials, tools, and products, and to the maintenance of good order and no overcrowding of workers and materials. All these factors tend to increase the concentration of toxic fumes in the atmosphere, but seldom processes so effective that they do not escape into the general room. From here they may contaminate the bodies of the workers. So general housekeeping is a factor in good prevention.

The provision of adequate ventilation is a prerequisite for good housekeeping. Lockers and showers are necessary for the safe handling of toxic materials.

As a rule sweeping and dusting done after regular work hours can be a more thorough job, but what is more important is that the workers will not be subjected to atmospheric contaminants during their working hours. If vacuum cleaning methods are used, accumulated dust should be safely removed.

speaking, there are no signs or symptoms of poisoning from metals which can not be due to other causes. For this reason diagnosis is always a matter of differential diagnosis and metal poisoning can only be established by careful consideration of all factors, including occupational history, medical history, clinical and laboratory findings.

While laboratory findings are a very important factor in diagnosis, they can not be relied upon as the sole evidence necessary.

Prevention of Metal Fume Poisoning

While the responsibility of controlling health hazards associated with exposure to fumes of toxic metals rests primarily upon the employer, effective elimination of such hazards can only be secured by the wholehearted cooperation of employers, employees, the State, and other regulatory inspection agencies. Three fundamentals contribute most to actual prevention. They are: Good housekeeping, supported by engineering control of hazardous processes, and intelligent medical supervision.

Good Housekeeping

We think of good housekeeping as applying to clean floors and work benches; materials, tools, and processes arranged and maintained in good order; adequate lighting, and no overcrowding of work places by men and materials. All these will do much to lessen the concentration of toxic fumes in the atmosphere, but seldom is the control of processes so effective that some fumes do not escape into the general air of the workroom. From here they may be inhaled, or may contaminate the bodies and clothes of the workers. So general workroom ventilation is a factor in good housekeeping.

The provision of adequate washing facilities is a prerequisite. Under certain conditions lockers and showers for workers are necessary for the safe operation of many processes.

As a rule sweeping and cleaning should be done after regular working hours. Not only can a more thorough job be accomplished, but what is more important, other workers will not be subjected to any increase in atmospheric contaminants occasioned by cleaning methods. If vacuum or wet methods are used, accumulated dust is more effectively and safely removed.

Engineering Control

It has been found practical to exhaust, locally, most processes generating metal fumes so that the toxic fume is trapped at its source and does not gain entrance to the workroom atmosphere. This is done by means of hoods or enclosed processes. Special hazardous processes can usually be isolated in the plant, thereby making potential exposure of all employees unnecessary.

Both local exhaust and enclosed methods are more effective if special attention is given to general workroom ventilation. Disposal of fumes trapped by enclosed processes and local exhaust or isolation methods requires that attention be given that such wastes do not contaminate the air drawn into the work places by general ventilation.

Medical Control

Adequate medical supervision entails special care in the placement of workers, and should not be aimed at the selection of only super-men, since it is practical to make work places safe for the average man. Individuals with serious kidney, respiratory, or other systemic diseases should not be placed where they may be exposed even to minimum concentrations of toxic fumes.

Where processes are known to be potentially hazardous, an important check on the efficiency of engineering control is gained through regular periodic examination of workers. By this practice, in many instances, not only will evidence of absorption be detected before serious injury has resulted, but an opportunity is afforded to correct control measures so effectively that the workers showing early signs of absorption may safely continue at their regular jobs. Routine records of illness should be kept.

Any abnormal rate of absenteeism due to illness among an occupational group, regardless of the diagnosis reported, should call for special investigation to determine if there are any environmental conditions aggravating the primary illness.

Personal Protective Equipment

If proper attention is given to the three fundamentals mentioned—good housekeeping, medical and engineering control—only specific and unusual emergency exposure will call for the provision of personal protective equipment.

The masks or respirators provided work-

000146

ers who must necessarily be exposed to metal fumes should be of special construction and adapted to the processes and materials used. The United State Bureau of Mines¹⁴ has, after testing, approved certain types of masks for workers exposed to specific metal fumes.

No filter type of respirator capable of protection against toxic metal fumes has been submitted for approval. While two or three filter type respirators have been approved for protection against lead dust, the use of which may in a small way reduce the hazard of fumes, they cannot be relied upon for full protection. Filter type respirators approved for protection against nuisance and pneumoconiosis-producing dusts will not protect the user from toxic fumes.

Several of the hose-line or positive pressure type masks have been approved, but there are certain limitations to their application which make them impractical for use in some kinds of work.

Substitution

In a vast majority of cases, resort to substitution is an impractical method of eliminating health hazards due to metal fumes. The raw materials used usually have special application. Furthermore, substitution is often a dangerous practice, even though it is practical. Instances may be cited where the substituted product has proven equally as dangerous as the one it replaced, the only difference being that less was known at the time concerning its toxic properties.

Electroplating Fumes and Vapors and Their Elimination

By P. B. RASTELLO, M.D.

Ternstedt Manufacturing Division, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

The industrial physician is responsible for the health of all employees in his plant, in so far as their health may be affected by their occupations and their environment. Consequently he must be familiar with the various jobs and conditions under which the men work to know definitely the associated health hazards. He must work closely with the safety, maintenance, chemical and purchasing departments to keep himself in-

formed on changes in methods and materials that may influence those hazards.

Thus fortified, the physician occupies a strategic position in the hospital, as the employee invariably comes to him first with complaints which he may associate with his work, which are of a nature that would warrant an investigation which may lead to the discovery of an unhealthy condition.

One of the processes which may be sus-

Bibliography

- ¹ Experimental Studies on the Effects of Ethyl Gasoline and Its Combustion Products. R. K. Sayers, A. C. Fieldner, W. P. Yant, and B. G. H. Thomas. U. S. Bureau of Mines, Monograph No. 2, 1927.
- ² Quantitative Measurements of the Inhalation, Retention and Exhalation of Dusts and Fumes by Man. I. Concentrations of 50 to 450 mg. per cubic meter. P. Drinker, R. M. Thomson, and Frim, J. L. Jour. Ind. Hyg., Vol. 10, No. 1, Jan. 1928.
- ³ Same as 2. II. Concentrations below 50 mg. per cubic meter. Brown, C. E. Jour. Ind. Hyg., Vol. 13, No. 8, Oct. 1931. Studies in Dust Retention. III. Factors involved in the Retention of Inhaled Dusts and Fumes by Man. Jour. Ind. Hyg., Vol. 13, No. 9, Nov. 1931.
- ⁴ Metal Fume Fever. IV. Threshold Doses of Zinc Oxide, Preventive Measures and Chronic Effects of Repeated Exposures. P. Drinker, R. M. Thomson, J. L. Finn. Jour. Ind. Hyg., Vol. 9, No. 8, 1927.
- ⁵ Lead Poisoning in a Storage Battery Plant. Public Health Bulletin No. 205, June, 1933.
- ⁶ A study of Chronic Mercurialism in the Hatters Fur-Cutting Industry. Public Health Bulletin No. 234.
- ⁷ Chronic Manganese Poisoning. Report of a Case with Autopsy Findings. Canavan, M. M., Cobb, S., Drinker, C. K.: Arch. Neurol. and Psychiat., 9:270, 1934.
- ⁸ Metal Fume Fever. Koelsch, F. Jour. Ind. Hyg., Vol. 5, p. 87, July, 1923.
- ⁹ Ueber das Giessfieber und verwandte gewerbliche Metall dampf inhalations-krankheiten. Kissalt, E. Ztschr. f. Hyg., 1912, 71, 472.
- ¹⁰ Metal Fume Fever. III. The Effects of Inhaling Magnesium Oxide Fume. P. Drinker, Thomson, R. M., Finn, J. L. Jour. Ind. Hyg., Vol. 9, No. 9, 1927.
- ¹¹ Health Hazards in a Brass Foundry. Public Health Bulletin No. 157.
- ¹² Zinc in Relation to General and Industrial Hygiene. C. K. Drinker, L. T. Fairhall. Public Health Reports, Vol. 48, No. 32, Aug. 1933.
- ¹³ X-Ray Appearances of the Lungs of Electric Arc Welders. A. T. Doig and A. I. G. McLaughlin. Lancet, April 4, 1936, p. 771.
- ¹⁴ List of Devices for Respiratory Protection Approved by the U. S. Bureau of Mines. W. P. Yant. Bureau of Mines Information Circular No. 6913.

pected of involving a health hazard. The electro disposition of metal. metal for plating as well as during operation, and in the treatment of waste material after plating. chemicals are used, such as acids, alkalis, metals and salts, and many offensive fumes and vapors. While it is true our prime interest is in the injurious fumes and vapors, we also interest ourselves in the offensive types, since they may affect the worker's mental attitude, giving dissatisfaction which in turn may result in inefficient work.

Poison is commonly introduced into the body by three routes, ingestion, absorption through the mouth, and absorption through the lungs. In our greatest hazard lies in the ingestion route; the ingestion and absorption are of lesser importance.

Fumes and vapors, such as lead, may enter the respiratory tract and produce local reactions in the throat and lungs, while others such as cyanic acid pass through the blood stream, producing any local symptoms.

Skin absorption is important with the coal tar derivatives, benzene and xylene and the petroleum products as carbon tetrachloride and trichloroethylene. Since these are all volatile, they may occur by inhalation as well as

Ingestion Is Rare

Ingestion of poison by mouth is usually the result of an accident. However, in the lead industry, rigid precautions must be taken to prevent the ingestion of lead. Lead has been deposited on the body of men while working at plating tanks.

In general in all electrolytic cells, only two gases are liberated, hydrogen at the anode and oxygen at the cathode. The anode efficiency is always low, the cathode efficiency relatively high. The further fact that the gases are in the relation of two hydrogen to one oxygen, the gas from the anode is compared with that at the cathode.

The amount of oxygen liberated, as such, is of no con-

000147

American Society of Safety Engineers—Engineering Section

Officers 1936-37

General Chairman—D. L. ROYER, Ocean Accident and Guarantee Corp., New York, N. Y.
Vice-Chairman—C. B. BOULET, Wisconsin Public Service Corp., Milwaukee, Wis.
Vice-Chairman—R. E. DONOVAN, Standard Oil Company of California, San Francisco, Calif.
Secretary—W. DEAN KEEFER, National Safety Council, Chicago, Ill.

Members at Large—

CYRIL AINSWORTH, American Standards Association, New York, N. Y.
 C. B. AUER, Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., East Pittsburgh, Pa.
 J. I. BANASH, Consulting Engineer, Chicago, Ill.
 E. W. BECK, United States Rubber Products, Inc., New York, N. Y.
 H. R. BIXLER, Union Carbide and Carbon Corp., New York, N. Y. (Metropolitan Chapter)
 E. W. BULLARD, E. D. Bullard Co., San Francisco, Calif. (San Francisco Chapter)
 H. F. GILBERT, American Cyanamid Co., Linden, N. J. (New Jersey Chapter)
 ROY M. GODWIN, Philadelphia Electric Co., Philadelphia, Pa. (Philadelphia Chapter)
 W. M. GRAFF, National Bureau of Casualty & Surety Underwriters, New York, N. Y.
 H. J. GRIFFITH, Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa. (Western Pennsylvania Safety Council Chapter)
 R. McA. KEOWN, Industrial Commission of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis.
 J. M. KERRIGAN, United States Rubber Reclaiming Co., Inc., Buffalo, N. Y. (Niagara Frontier Chapter)
 EVERETT F. KING, Lever Brothers Co., Cambridge, Mass. (Boston Chapter)
 THOMAS E. LIGHTFOOT, The Koppers Coal Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
 M. G. LLOYD, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C.
 H. L. MINER, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del.
 W. S. PAINE, Aetna Casualty & Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.
 Geo. F. PRUSSING, Union Oil Company of California, Los Angeles, Calif.
 C. E. RALSTON, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
 A. S. REGULA, Industrial Relations Counselors, Inc., New York, N. Y.
 G. E. SANFORD, General Electric Co., Schenectady, N. Y.
 C. W. SMITH, Standard Oil Co. (Ind.), Chicago, Ill.
 H. S. SMITH, Union Carbide and Carbon Corp., New York, N. Y.
 K. C. STRATTON, The Travelers Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.
 K. F. THALNER, Buick Motor Company, Flint, Mich.
 A. E. WHITING, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.
 WILLIAM P. YANT, Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

General Chairman—C. B. BOULET, Wisconsin Public Service Corp., Milwaukee, Wis.
Vice-Chairman—R. E. DONOVAN, Standard Oil Company of California, San Francisco, Calif.
Chairman—C. E. RALSTON, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.

Secretary—W. DEAN KEEFER, National Safety Council, Inc., Chicago, Ill.

Members at Large—

CYRIL AINSWORTH, American Standards Association, New York, N. Y.
 J. I. BANASH, Consulting Engineer, Chicago, Ill.
 E. W. BECK, United States Rubber Products, Inc., New York, N. Y.
 E. W. BULLARD, E. D. Bullard Co., San Francisco, Calif. (San Francisco Chapter)
 CHARLES D. FARLIN, Consolidated Edison Co. of N. Y., New York, N. Y. (Metropolitan Chapter)
 H. F. GILBERT, American Cyanamid Co., Linden, N. J. (New Jersey Chapter)
 ROY M. GODWIN, Philadelphia Electric Co., Philadelphia, Pa. (Philadelphia Chapter)
 H. J. GRIFFITH, Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa. (Western Pennsylvania Safety Council Chapter)
 R. McA. KEOWN, Industrial Commission of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis.
 EVERETT F. KING, Lever Brothers Co., Cambridge, Mass. (Boston Chapter)
 THOMAS E. LIGHTFOOT, The Koppers Coal Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
 M. G. LLOYD, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C.
 J. E. LONG, The Delaware and Hudson Railroad Corp., Albany, N. Y.
 N. B. MACHOSE, Bethlehem Steel Co., Lackawanna, N. Y. (Niagara Frontier Chapter)
 H. L. MINER, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del.
 W. S. PAINE, Aetna Casualty & Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.
 GEO. F. PRUSSING, Union Oil Company of California, Los Angeles, Calif.
 A. S. REGULA, Industrial Relations Counselors, Inc., New York, N. Y.
 A. V. ROHWEDER, Duluth, Missabe and Iron Range Railway Co., Duluth, Minn.
 D. L. ROYER, Ocean Accident and Guarantee Corp., New York, N. Y.
 G. E. SANFORD, General Electric Co., Schenectady, N. Y.
 J. S. SHAW, Hercules Powder Co., Wilmington, Del.
 C. W. SMITH, Standard Oil Co. (Ind.), Chicago, Ill.
 H. S. SMITH, Union Carbide and Carbon Corp., New York, N. Y.
 R. F. THALNER, Buick Motor Co., Flint, Mich.
 S. E. WHITING, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.
 WILLIAM P. YANT, Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.

WEDNESDAY LUNCHEON SESSION

October 13, 1937

The annual meeting of the ASSE-Engineering Section of the National Safety Council followed a luncheon and convened with D. L. Royer, Ocean Accident and Guarantee Corporation, New York City, general chairman, presiding. All reports had been mimeographed, and copies were distributed at the luncheon.

A report of the address delivered at the meeting by Elmer A. Holbrook, dean of the Schools of Engineering and Mines, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa., will be found elsewhere in this record.

The report of the nominating committee was presented by C. W. Smith, chairman. Other members of the committee were A. S. Regula, S. E. Whiting, Dr. M. G. Lloyd and R. F. Thalner. The committee's list of officers, as elected, will be found in this section of the Congress Transactions.

Other sessions sponsored by the ASSE-Engineering Section included those on "Safe Use of Air," "Industrial Dusts," "Pressure Vessels," "Industrial Fumes, Gases and Vapors," "Safety Training," and "Safety Inspection."

Engineer

Dear Schools of Engineering—
 The essence of early safety education of the engineer has ever been one of the safety.

We did not go far in and we discovered that safety standards were equally potent with reducing accidents. To substitute the second leg

I police with pleasure use of the term "engineer safety. There is no man must go to college. Nevertheless, an perhaps the place where how to design a of or the thousand of safety that we are. He learns these conclusions from his father later in practice wisdom.

When we remember that thirty years ago, the first mine inspection there was little to indicate of professional. Today when you accumulate data on safety, engineering of all standards, its exact admit that to absorb this world of material trained to the college or a parallel example, electrical unheard of before 1880. Odd years, it has through accumulated data, words of such importance single human mind cannot whole field.

Think again of those safety, mostly in the mining, metallurgical and slowly it felt its way, Latin background. The compensation, giving the economic background. Increasing acceleration, the of safety have p

000149

Cement and Quarry Sections

Cement Section

Officers 1936-37

General Chairman—FRED B. HUNT, Nazareth Portland Cement Co., Nazareth, Pa.
Vice-Chairman—W. J. WORTHY, Medusa Portland Cement Co., Toledo, Ohio.
Secretary—A. J. R. CURTIS, Portland Cement Assn., Chicago, Ill.
News Letter Editor—JACK DEMPSTER, Canada Cement Co., Ltd., Montreal, P. Q., Can.,
Engineering Committee Chairman—WILLIAM MOELLER, Lone Star Cement Co., Dallas, Texas.
Highway Committee Chairman—M. A. KOFFMAN, Southwestern Portland Cement Co., Los Angeles, Calif.
Membership Committee Chairman—HENRY A. RENINGER, Lehigh Portland Cement Co., Allentown, Pa.
Paster Committee Chairman—J. W. KENNEDY, Huron Portland Cement Co., Detroit, Mich.
Program Committee Chairman—A. B. SUNDERLAND, Ash Grove Lime & Portland Cement Co., Kansas City, Mo.
Members at Large—
D. B. COLEMAN, Missouri Portland Cement Co., St. Louis, Mo.
R. B. FORTUIN, Pennsylvania-Dixie Cement Corp., Nazareth, Pa.
GORDON C. HUTH, Universal Atlas Cement Co., Chicago, Ill.
E. POSSELT, Lone Star Cement Corporation, New York, N. Y.
J. B. ZOOK, Great Lakes Portland Cement Corp., Buffalo, N. Y.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

General Chairman—FRED B. HUNT, Nazareth Portland Cement Co., Nazareth, Pa.
Vice-Chairman—A. B. SUNDERLAND, Ash Grove Lime & Portland Cement Co., Kansas City, Mo.
Secretary—A. J. R. CURTIS, Portland Cement Assn., Chicago, Ill.
News Letter Editor—JACK DEMPSTER, Canada Cement Co., Ltd., Montreal, P. Q., Canada.
Engineering Committee Chairman—WILLIAM MOELLER, Lone Star Cement Corp., Dallas, Texas.
Highway Committee Chairman—M. A. KOFFMAN, Southwestern Portland Cement Co., Los Angeles, Calif.
Membership Committee Chairman—H. A. RENINGER, Lehigh Portland Cement Co., Allentown, Pa.
Paster Committee Chairman—J. W. KENNEDY, Huron Portland Cement Co., Detroit, Mich.
Members at Large—
P. N. BUSHNELL, Missouri Portland Cement Co., St. Louis, Mo.
R. B. FORTUIN, Pennsylvania-Dixie Cement Corp., Nazareth, Pa.
GORDON C. HUTH, Universal Atlas Cement Co., Chicago, Ill.
E. POSSELT, Lone Star Cement Corporation, New York, N. Y.
F. E. TOWN, Medusa Portland Cement Co., Manitowoc, Wis.
J. B. ZOOK, Great Lakes Portland Cement Corp., Buffalo, N. Y.

and repaired
will give a
tion, I sug-
in each de-
our safety
ese men so
am all meet
a closer in-
permit us to
until further
with all the
clear the ad-
must assume
our men are
ies and the
ve can also
do not seem
er cent.

course, some similarity of procedure in di-
recting the work of any man on any job; but
different industries employ such different
types of people, under such a wide variety
of circumstances, and place such a diver-
gence of requirements upon them that the
problem of supervisory training in its more
comprehensive aspects is peculiar to each
type of industry.

The general treatises on leadership give
the groundwork, but the subject matter for

any supervisory training course for any par-
ticular industry lies within that industry. We
must go out and see how our job is being
supervised now; analyze the procedure of
every foreman and supervisor; compare them
and select the best; look upon supervision as
a process in itself, and see how best to direct
the work of the people. It is a long, tedious,
and costly process, but one which, carried
through to completion, will pay dividends in
the end.

WEDNESDAY LUNCHEON SESSION

October 13, 1937

The Wednesday Luncheon session of the
Cement and Quarry Sections was addressed
by Isaiah Hale, Superintendent of Safety,
Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad,
who spoke on "The Common Sense of

Safety." The address was substantially the
same as another address by Mr. Hale before
the Steam Railroad Meeting. The complete
paper will be found in the Steam Railroad
section of this volume.

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 13, 1937

Work of the National Silicosis Conference

By R. CAMPBELL STARR

Assistant Safety Engineer, U. S. Department of Labor, Division of Labor Standards,
Washington, D. C.

Silicosis is strictly an occupational disease.
Medical science has not reported silica dust
as affecting any individuals except those who,
over relatively long periods of time, have
worked in an atmosphere where the air
which they breathe contained excessive con-
centrations of free silica.

The interest of the U. S. Department of
Labor in a broad social and economic prob-
lem such as this has its origin in the Organic
Act, effective March 4, 1913, which created

the Department. This act, among other
things, gives the Department of Labor the
duty "to foster, promote, and develop the
welfare of the wage earners of the United
States, to improve their working conditions,
and to advance their opportunities for profit-
able employment."

With this in mind, and believing that
through joint effort all concerned much
could be done to abate the hazard, the
Secretary of Labor called together in Wash-

000151

ington on February 26, 1936 a group of about 75 men representing leaders in industries having a silica problem, labor leaders, and outstanding representatives of the medical, legal, and engineering professions, as well as insurance carriers and State administrators.

After considering various phases of the silicosis problem, this group generally agreed that through a pooling of knowledge and a frank discussion through the conference method important advances could be made toward, first, overcoming the hysterical attitude on the part of employers, labor, and others; second, after careful investigation, to consolidate in one place all known data with regard to the preventive engineering methods; third, analysis and recommendations in connection with adequate standards of compensation for those workers disabled as a result of the disease.

As an outgrowth of this initial meeting, another group, similar in composition to the first conference, but expanded to include some 200 individuals, was invited to come to Washington on April 14, 1936 to outline ways and means of attacking the problem. After hearing silicosis discussed from the standpoint of the employer, labor, and the medical profession, by outstanding experts in the field, this large group endorsed the conference method as proposed, and as a result four main working committees were appointed by the Secretary of Labor with the advice and consent of the group to investigate and report upon the outstanding phases of the silicosis problem. These committees were:

- (1) Committee on the Prevention of Silicosis through Medical Control,
- (2) Committee on the Prevention of Silicosis through Engineering Control,
- (3) Committee on the Economic, Legal and Insurance Phases of the Silicosis Problem,
- (4) Committee on the Regulatory and Administrative Phases of the Silicosis Problem.

A fifth committee, known as the Correlating Committee, was named. It was under the chairmanship of W. H. Cameron, Managing Director of the National Safety Council, and consisted of the chairman of the four technical committees just mentioned and two ad-

visors, one on labor problems and one on mining matters.

These committee members served without compensation and gave freely of their time and effort in studying the problem from their respective viewpoints. After nine months of careful and searching study, these committees advised the Secretary of Labor that they were ready to report. Consequently, on February 3, 1937 the Second National Silicosis Conference was called in Washington. At this time summary committee reports were presented to the Secretary and considerable discussion was given them.

Shortly after this second national conference the Department published, as Bulletin No. 13 of the Division of Labor Standards, the summary reports of the four committees referred to above, together with an abstract version containing the principal findings and recommendations written in non-technical language.

The full reports of the committees, comprising several thousand typewritten pages, are now being edited and will go to the printer very shortly. It is expected that they will be issued in four separate volumes sometime during the fall or early winter.

Nature and Extent of Silicosis

Physicians generally agree that silicosis, in the layman's language, is a disease of the lungs, caused by breathing air containing silica dust, in which the normal lung tissue is replaced by fibrous or scar tissue.

Silica dust is found in many industrial operations, but the hazard is acute only in such industries as metal mining; anthracite mining; quarrying, drilling, and tunneling in granite, gneiss, and sandstone; smelting and refining; foundries; potteries; glass works; stone-products industries; grinding; buffing; and sandblasting.

Of the 49,000,000 workers in the United States, only 1,000,000, or 2 per cent, it is estimated, are in any way exposed to the hazard of silicosis. About half of this number, or 1 per cent of the total number of workers in the country, are exposed to a serious hazard, and approximately 110,000 of these have silicosis to some degree. Medical examination of men actually at work indicates that between 100,000 and 5,000 workers are seriously affected by the disease, in addition to

those already permanently disabled by their employment by reason of silicosis.

The status of the 1,000,000 exposed to the hazard of silicosis, thus by the committees made known, is as follows:

A percentage of the workers having silicosis are partially disabled because of the disease and have their difficulties complicated with tuberculosis.

The remainder of the workers having silicosis and disability is due to no tuberculosis.

Approximately 105,000 but no disability and no tuberculosis.

Approximately 900,000 workers have not been exposed to silica dust, but have not been examined.

Contrary to popular belief, silicosis does not develop. Usually it is the result of exposure to silica dust, which contracts the disease. It has been known to develop as one and one-half years under extreme and unusual conditions. On the other hand, many workers under ordinary exposure for more than 30 years without trouble whatever that contract silicosis.

Silicosis Prevention

Silicosis can be prevented by the following ways: First, by preventing the exposure to silica dust; second, by preventing the exposure of dust into the working area; and third, by applying the first two methods of personal protective equipment, respirators, to prevent dust.

In carrying out a program of prevention, many agencies must be called on. The committees set up by the Secretary of Labor and the employer is called on to spend most of the work and money with a view to maximum results in preventing silicosis by cooperating and assuming responsibility for the prevention of the disease and for using the proper methods.

In addition, physicians and public health officials part in preventing silicosis.

000152

s and one on
served without
of their time
tem from their
nine months of
these commit-
of Labor that
Consequently,
cond National
d in Washing-
committee re-
Secretary and
given them.
national confer-
ed, as Bulletin
bor Standards,
our committees
with an abstract
val findings and
a non-technical
committees, com-
ypewritten pages
I will go to the
is expected that
separate volumes
or early winter.

Silicosis

ce that silicosis,
a disease of the
air containing
mal lung tissue
tissue.

many industrial
is acute only in
ining; anthracite
and tunneling in
lstone; smelting
potteries; glass
astries; grinding;

rs in the United
per cent. it is es-
posed to the haz-
of this number.
number of workers
to a serious haz-
000 of these have
medical examina-
ark indicates that
workers are seri-
s in addition.

those already permanently detached from
their employment by reason of disabling sili-
cosis.

The status of the 1,000,000 workers ex-
posed to the hazard of silicosis was analyzed
thus by the committees making the survey:

A percentage of the 4,000 to 5,000
workers having silicosis are completely or
partially disabled because of this disease
and have their difficulties further com-
plicated with tuberculosis.

The remainder of the 4,000 to 5,000 have
silicosis and disability in some degree, but
no tuberculosis.

Approximately 105,000 have silicosis,
but no disability and no tuberculosis.

Approximately 900,000 are exposed to
silica dust, but have not contracted the dis-
ease.

Contrary to popular belief, silicosis is slow
to develop. Usually it takes seven years or
more of exposure to silica dust for a worker
to contract the disease. A few cases that
have been known to develop in as short a
period as one and one-half years occurred
under extreme and unusual conditions. On
the other hand, many workers have labored
under ordinary exposures to silica for more
than 30 years without demonstrating any
trouble whatever that could be diagnosed as
silicosis.

Silicosis Prevention

Silicosis can be prevented in three general
ways: First, by preventing the creation of
silica dust; second, by preventing the dis-
persal of dust into the atmosphere of a
working area; and third, if it is impossible
to apply the first two methods, by the use
of personal protective equipment such as
respirators, to prevent the inhalation of the
dust.

In carrying out a prevention program,
many agencies must cooperate. In the view
of the committees studying the problem, the
employer is called on to do most of the work
and to spend most of the money. But his
work and money will never accomplish the
maximum results unless the worker is will-
ing to cooperate and carry his share of the
responsibility for helping to control dust
and for using the personal protective equip-
ment.

In addition, physicians can play a definite
part in preventing silicosis, as can also en-

gineers, insurance companies, legislators, ad-
ministrators, and others.

The reports of the conference committees
on engineering control and medical control
recommend specific procedures for attack-
ing the problem through those two avenues
of prevention. A partial list of these recom-
mendations follows:

Survey the working conditions to deter-
mine the severity of the hazard and indi-
cate what needs to be done to decrease it.

Secure information on best procedure.

Design plant for dust control.

Provide building ventilation.

Store dusty materials in dusttight bins.

Enclose material-handling equipment.

Isolate dusty processes.

Provide wet methods of operation.—

Water, oil, and other liquids may be used
effectively—

1. To suppress dust at the point of origin
in such operations as rock-drilling; han-
dling, pulverizing, and milling rock and
ore; grinding metal on grindstones;
abrasive-wheel cutting of granite and sand-
stone.

2. To prevent the redispersion of dust
that has settled on the floors, walls, and
other surfaces such as in the granite in-
dustry and in foundries.

Design equipment to control dust.

Establish good maintenance and house-
keeping procedure.

Provide respirators.

Instruct the workers.

Responsibility of Workers

The cooperation of the workers is neces-
sary if the hazard of silicosis is to be re-
duced to the minimum. The worker should
realize that the safe practices recommended
and the equipment provided are designed to
prevent injury to himself and to his fellow
employees. The equipment should be used as
directed and every effort should be made to
maintain it in good working order. Further-
more, the worker is frequently in a posi-
tion to observe faulty equipment and unsafe
practices, and he should make it a point to
report all such conditions to his supervisor.

Statutory Regulation

While the primary approach to the prob-
lem must be the prevention and diminution

000153

of the hazard, the fact must be recognized that until silicosis has been wiped out, workers who now have the disease, as well as those who may contract it, must receive workmen's compensation just as do those workers who are injured in accidents.

Accordingly, the committees of the silicosis conference were unanimous in presenting the following recommendations:

Coverage for silicosis in the compensation acts of all States.

This coverage should be compulsory.

Because of competition between the same or similar industries in various States, the laws, rules, and regulations of the several States should be as uniform as practicable.

Should Be Insured

The employer should insure his obligation for compensation either through self-insurance subject to the posting of adequate funds, or with an authorized insurance institution.

Insurance should not be denied any employer, provided the State authorities certify that he complies with the State requirements as to proper safe working conditions, and pays the premiums involved.

Workers having active pulmonary tuberculosis and silicosis should be removed from employment. Such workers should be granted suitable compensation payments and medical care.

Workers disabled from silicosis (but with no tuberculosis) should ultimately be granted the same amount of compensation as those disabled by accidental injury.

Two years is a reasonable minimum limitation period for filing claims subsequent to the date of the last exposure.

Further Activities of the Department of Labor

In carrying out its duty as stated in the basic law, the U. S. Department of Labor has conceived that there is immediate need

for the conversion of known facts in the medical and engineering fields working toward prevention, and in the legal, insurance and regulatory phases looking toward adequate workmen's compensation, into a practical, readily understood program which will adequately take care of the silicosis problem.

In its program of cooperation with the 48 States, the Department of Labor has included a section covering silicosis and similar dust diseases. This breaks down into three main sub-headings: (1) Assistance in the preparation of reasonable and adequate codes, rules, and regulations prescribing methods of prevention; (2) assistance in the establishment of equitable standards of workmen's compensation for those employees disabled as a result of the disease; (3) a general educational program among State officials, factory inspectors, etc. so that they will be equipped with adequate knowledge concerning the disease, its method of prevention, and its proper treatment under the workmen's compensation law.

Follows Two Lines

This application program, which is just now getting under way has been commenced along two principal lines: (1) The compiling of practical information concerning actual dust control installations in typical silica hazardous plants, together with approximations as to cost, not only of installation, but also of maintenance of dust control equipment in such plants; (2) a program of visual education supplementing the summary and full committee reports of the National Silicosis Conference. It is contemplated that in this field such media as film strips, lantern slides, posters, illustrated bulletins, etc. will be utilized. Further, in connection with the various exhibits of the department, shown at various large expositions, trade association meetings, labor and technical organization meetings, etc., it is contemplated that much factual information will be used, pointing toward ways and means of correcting the hazard and of establishing adequate standards of workmen's compensation.

Following the address by Mr. Starr a round table discussion of outstanding

hazardous quarry operation took place. The Wednesday program.

000154

Methods Us

Safety Direct

The Lyman-Richey ration operates 22 plants in the state. Each plant has an individual unit, no foreman and from 1 shift. Total annual production to 250, with an average of 100 man hours.

All production operations, involving dredges, involving result in electrocution or drowning.

Although our safety record is the same as those of the year 1917, the year was 79 lost man hours' exposure to many accidents: very unfavorable Compensation Commission firms flatly re-

It was evident plant looking out "haywire," so a safety was decided upon the following manner:

First, an old employee plant operations v. rector, devoting his

Second, all employees pass a physical examination; employees passed number were four.

Third, all machinery made as mechanical guards placed on moving parts, ladders and guards built toe boards placed stairways, electrical improper wiring.

Fourth, a safety plan, a safety plan.

every employee in
of their ability to

unfortunate enough
accident in a given
department a "Red
in placed on the de-
He too called his
see the "Red Star"
board. The "Red
Gold Star" touches
uals in that depart-
h resolves to do his
on his board. At
reman informs his
ar" records of other
ks wonders in help-
that if workers of
et "Gold Stars" they

brought into play two
bit; pride in individual
omplishment, and the
ty thinking. That this
ery definite results is
at from June 30, 1936,
Lagerstown Plant had
1,000,000 man-hours
cident. Such a record
ed before. In our
s been only one lost
days in two years.

man safety chairman
properly educated on
ecture we peruse the
ch month by the Na-
and other sources of
illustrations of safety
describing in detail
may be applied are

To help maintain
his department is
ful to pass the outline
to his workers. The
of safety in the mine
and his workers are
ard of safety think-
cessary to prevent
mine workers are pro-
er principles and
in their depart-
ments just do it

Chemical Section

Officers 1936-37

- General Chairman*—H. L. MINER, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del.
Vice-Chairman in Charge of Program—RALPH L. ROGERS, JR., Tennessee Eastman Corp., Kingsport, Tenn.
Vice-Chairman in Charge of Engineering—J. J. B. FULENWIDER, Hercules Powder Co., Parlin, N. J.
Secretary—RALPH O. KEEFER, Aluminum Company of America, Pittsburgh, Pa.
News Letter Editor—F. W. DENNIS, Hooker Electrochemical Co., Niagara Falls, N. Y.
Membership and Publicity Committee Chairman—C. E. SEVRENS, Merrimac Chemical Co., Inc., Boston, Mass.
Occupational Disease Committee Chairman—DR. LEONARD GREENBURG, New York State Department of Labor, New York, N. Y.
Poster Committee Chairman—E. L. ROOT, Celluloid Corporation, Newark, N. J.
Statistics Committee Chairman—R. C. STRATTON, The Travelers Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.
- Members at Large—*
A. L. ARMSTRONG, Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N. Y.
E. F. KING, Lever Brothers Co., Cambridge, Mass.
S. D. KIRKPATRICK, Chemical & Metallurgical Engineering, New York, N. Y.
JOHN ROACH, Deputy Commissioner of Labor, Trenton, N. J.
JOHN S. SHAW, Hercules Powder Co., Wilmington, Del.
PLUMMER WHEELER, American Cyanamid Co., Linden, N. J.
S. E. WHITING, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.
PETER M. WILSON, Mathieson Alkali Works (Inc.), Niagara Falls, N. Y.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

- General Chairman*—H. L. MINER, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del.
Vice-Chairman in Charge of Program—RALPH L. ROGERS, JR., Tennessee Eastman Corp., Kingsport, Tenn.
Vice-Chairman in Charge of Engineering—RALPH O. KEEFER, Aluminum Co. of America, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Secretary—R. S. MACKIE, General Electric Co., Nela Park, Cleveland, Ohio.
News Letter Editor—F. W. DENNIS, Hooker Electrochemical Co., Niagara Falls, N. Y.
Occupational Disease Committee Chairman—DR. LEONARD GREENBURG, New York State Department of Labor, New York, N. Y.
Membership and Publicity Committee Chairman—C. E. SEVRENS, Merrimac Chemical Co., Inc., Boston, Mass.
Poster Committee Chairman—R. C. STRATTON, The Travelers Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.
Education Committee Chairman—E. L. ROOT, Celluloid Corporation, Newark, N. J.

Members at Large—

- A. L. ARMSTRONG, Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N. Y.
 E. F. KING, Lever Brothers Co., Cambridge, Mass.
 S. D. KIRKPATRICK, Chemical & Metallurgical Engineering, New York, N. Y.
 JOHN ROACH, Deputy Commissioner of Labor, Trenton, N. J.
 JOHN S. SHAW, Hercules Powder Co., Wilmington, Del.
 PLUMMER WHEELER, American Cyanamid Co., Linden, N. J.
 S. E. WHITING, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.

TUESDAY LUNCHEON SESSION

October 12, 1937

Sessions of the Chemical Section opened with a luncheon meeting with H. L. Miner, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del., general chairman of the section, presiding.

William P. Yant, director of research development, Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa., addressed the delegates on "Latest Protective Devices and Safety Equipment for Chemical Workers."

TUESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 12, 1937

Safety in Chemical Laboratories

By A. B. RITTER

Chemist, Hercules Powder Company, Wilmington, Del.

It is generally accepted that all of the hazards which arise in the several stages of chemical manufacture are present in the research laboratory on a small scale at least. It is also accepted that there exist the additional hazards of experimental chemical work. How can both types be designated in order to help the chemist protect himself and his equipment?

We suggest that this be done by dividing the hazards into two groups, known and unknown. In the known group we should include the physical hazards, not only as they pertain to machinery, equipment, apparatus, and temperature, and illumination, etc., but also as they relate to the human body. This known group includes exposure to harm from physical forces; such as, heat,

light, and electricity, and that physical strain which is associated with the human body.

For the dangers in the unknown group which the chemist must face, it is suggested that they be given the names under which they will probably be classified after their initial display. These terms may be defined as follows: *Explosion hazard* is exposure to danger from a violent expansion of gases. *Fire hazard* is exposure to danger from burning or combustion. *Toxicity hazard* is exposure to danger from poisons. *Personal equation hazard* is exposure to danger from the tendency of an individual to err. There may be a few who seldom err and there are those who are prone to err more or less frequently. Therefore, the

personal equation hazard is a safety unknown but as general.

We will now give you details of unknown hazards in the research chemical laboratory.

At the completion of a miniature test, two determinations by the method were made. The oil without check. The oil reacted with other materials were known. No particular sign. Attached to this observation. Made a day later to establish without a further miniature. A brief but impressive. The reaction bulb when it burst, bursting the. The oil was classified as. The oil as submitted was a explosion hazard in nitromethane of the exposure to danger.

Let us take another instance of explosion hazard. Nitrated cellulose had been used in the laboratory. Mixed nitrating acid was used. It had been customary to filter off the acid. Accordingly, the same small flask cleaned with acetone, dried with liquid acetone, and capped. Immediately started, an explosion occurred. The flask. The nitrate scattered but did not explode. We, as witnesses, fact that two workers. One seriously hurt. Unknown hazard had been. The explosion probably from vapor coming into nitrating acid.

Now let us consider another hazard. It was desired to determine the solubility of chlorine in the use in chlorination of certain base material. Chlorine and methane are dangerous. A comparison was made without thought. Thereupon, methanol was measured in a washing bottle and a chlorine was bubbled through.

000156

AGRESS

payments to injured workers
more real cost which is the
and anxiety that results from
which no amount of money can
etc.

Construction Section

Officers 1936-37

- General Chairman*—W. A. SNOW, Associated General Contractors of America, Inc., Washington, D. C.
Vice-Chairman for Heavy Construction—R. J. REIGELUTH, C. W. Blakeslee & Sons, New Haven, Conn.
Vice-Chairman for Highway Construction—F. I. ROWE, W. L. Johnson Construction Co., Hicksville, Ohio.
Vice-Chairman for U. S. Engineer Department—MAJOR ELLIOTT VANDEVANTER, C. of E., Office of the Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C.
Vice-Chairman for Building Construction—CHESTER W. WRIGHT, Wright & Kremers, Inc., Niagara Falls, N. Y.
Secretary—ROBERT MCKINLEY, General Accident, Fire & Life Assurance Corp., Detroit, Mich.
News Letter Editor—G. O. GRIFFIN, Dravo Corp., Neville Island, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Engineering Committee Chairman—THOMAS SUTLE, Industrial Indemnity Exchange, San Francisco, Calif.
Membership Committee Chairman—F. J. CRANDELL, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.
Poster Committee Chairman—S. M. LAUDERDALE, Civilian Conservation Corps, Washington, D. C.
Program Committee Chairman—V. P. AHEARN, National Sand & Gravel Assn., Washington, D. C.
Publicity Committee Chairman—W. P. CONN, "The Constructor," Washington, D. C.*
Statistics Committee Chairman—C. H. BLACK, Stone & Webster Engineering Corp., Boston, Mass.
Past General Chairmen—
W. F. AUSTIN, W. E. Wood Co., Detroit, Mich.
EDGAR N. GOLDSTONE, Consulting Safety Engineer, San Francisco, Calif.
JOHN RUSSELL, JR., Public Service Electric & Gas Co., Newark, N. J.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

- General Chairman*—W. A. SNOW, Associated General Contractors of America, Inc., Washington, D. C.
Vice-Chairman for Heavy Construction—R. J. REIGELUTH, C. W. Blakeslee & Sons, New Haven, Conn.
Vice-Chairman for Highway Construction—H. G. CAMPBELL, Harry T. Campbell Sons Co., Towson, Md.
Vice-Chairman for U. S. Engineer Department—MAJOR H. B. JOHNSON, JR., Corps of Engineers, War Dept., Washington, D. C.

Vice-Chairman for Building Construction—C. H. BLACK, Stone & Webster Engineering Corp., Boston, Mass.

Secretary—ROBERT MCKINLEY, General Accident, Fire & Life Assurance Corp., Detroit, Mich.

News Letter Editor—L. K. REINHARDT, Industrial Accident Commission, San Francisco, Calif.

Engineering Committee Chairman—THOMAS SOULE, Industrial Indemnity Exchange, San Francisco, Calif.

Membership Committee Chairman—F. J. CRANDELL, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.

Program Committee Chairman—JOHN RUSSELL, JR., Public Service Electric & Gas Co., Newark, N. J.

Statistics Committee Chairman—E. N. GOLDSTINE, 352 Funston Ave., San Francisco, Calif.

Visual Education Committee Chairman—S. M. LAUDERDALE, Civilian Conservation Corps, Washington, D. C.

TUESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 12, 1937

The first session was called to order by Edgar N. Goldstine, consulting engineer, San Francisco, who acted as chairman in the absence of W. A. Snow.

Awards for No Lost Time Accident Record

By R. J. REIGELUTH

Vice President, C. W. Blakeslee & Sons, New Haven, Conn.

Our company has always maintained the policy of trying to make its employees into one large family. We have about forty men who have been in our organization over twenty-five years, and a large number who have been with us over fifteen years, some of these men growing up from water boys to superintendents.

As all contractors today are seeking means to check the increasing costs of material and labor, we were concerned about a further increase in the cost of our compensation insurance. While the insurance costs are only a part of the cost of a high accident record, they are an indication of how those costs are running. Most of us construction men do not record all of the costs of an accident in our cost books and do not know the cost involved in time lost by the associated workers of the injured man, the cost

involved in replacing the injured man with another workman, the cost involved in replacing a broken machine or damaged piece of work; still we all know these costs exist and when our insurance rates increase, we get an idea of how those other costs are mounting up.

We made a thorough study of what was involved in our accident records and had conferences with two insurance companies who carry our compensation insurance. There were several jobs in our record which had operated without a lost time accident, including various typical kinds of construction work from operating a crushed stone quarry to reconstructing a bridge on which a pier had failed.

We determined what the saving in insurance costs would have been on the jobs which had a record of no lost time accident.

had been carrying insurance on that a job a year with no saving of payroll. It is the type of one foreman amount a If these men the annual payroll If this crew with no lost time \$25 per \$100 of a saving of \$125 in of time. It foreman having a saving would be cost the saving would a hazardous compensation rate that saving We set up for the of awards in Record:

We offer cash to foreman attending award. The foreman who carries his record a year with no lost time resulting in a perfect record. We offer \$25 to meet foreman almost accident record last week by one man to feel that had recognition have a perfect record.

To qualify must be a man payroll at least face no requirement of time that a foreman in any foreman's record baseball league average. We vote to "bat" as a perfect for only one accident record could be for performance.

000158

By Hours of the Day
 By Body Part Injured
 By Nature of Injury
 By General Cause
 By major sub-classifications under General Cause
 By Primary Basic Causes
 By Secondary Contributing Causes

By Foremen in Charge
 By Manner of Performance
 By Agency (Machine, Material, etc.)
 By Part of Agency
 By Method of Contact

The figures may be summarized using days of time lost, medical expense, compensation awards, or other associated data.

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 13, 1937

Methods for Protection Against Silicosis, and When They Are Justified¹

By DANIEL HARRINGTON

Chief, Health and Safety Branch, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C.

While the hysteria with regard to silicosis and other occupational diseases has largely subsided and this subject is being more carefully considered and soberly judged, it would be poor policy for any employer to maintain that the storm has ended and that he can relax and revert to conditions as they were some years ago. The fact is that the plan of paying occupational-disease compensation is now in its infancy and can be expected to grow rapidly.

At present while all the States of the Union, including the District of Columbia and excepting only Arkansas and Mississippi, have compensation acts, only the following make provision for occupational diseases to some extent: California, Delaware, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

Silicosis is compensable in one way or another in California, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Illinois, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Missouri, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, and possibly in other States. It will be surprising if comparatively comprehensive occupational-disease legislation is

not on the statute books of nearly every state in the Union within the next five years.

With this situation confronting him, the farsighted industrialist who has the interest of his organization at heart will immediately start to "put his house in order" by studying possible occupational disease hazards to his employees and promptly applying known remedial measures or attempting to devise such measures if none exist. Unquestionably one of the most important of the surveys to be made relates to dust occurrence.

While the state dust laws and regulations apply primarily to silica dust and resultant silicosis insofar as the health hazard is concerned, those most familiar with dust occurrence and its possible hazards recognize not only that the breathing of large quantities of dust of free silica (SiO₂) is probably the greatest hazard to health likely to be found where dust is involved, but that not even silica dust is harmful to health unless it occurs in very finely divided form and is breathed in relatively large quantities more or less continuously for a considerable length of time. Moreover, many thoughtful experts on dust harmfulness are now becoming convinced it is to be expected that health will be harmed when human beings are obliged to breathe high concentrations of any kind of dust more or less continuously. Professor Philip Drinker, of Harvard, as chairman of the Preventive Engineering Committee of the Air Hygiene Foundation, has

1. Published by permission of the Director, U. S. Bureau of Mines. (Not subject to copyright.)

largely, has been of a body of follows:

"There is at present too much is making a breathe heavy material. If it can be found scripts of some law in alleged silico breathed dust. The courts are impressed with dusts with 10 especially with to make definitive sign

"It would working in from respira do men who that excessive ful is beyond

It should inhaling of hazard in the compensation harmful effect necessarily conf of dust are effect on the passages as stomach, and Externally, eyes, ears, in tact with the definite harm

D

Moreover, the air reas onously, in ind limited amount able or in entirely, any air-dust con visibility amount to 7 result in m also in the r cidents acco pensation zinc, alumin eral and non

000159

Public Library

burgh, has expressed the considered opinion of a body of outstanding dust experts as follows:

"There is no satisfactory medical answer at present to this question, but the engineer is making a bad mistake if he lets men breathe heavy dust concentrations of any material. If no other reason for dust control can be found, then one should read transcripts of some of the recent suits at common law in which fantastic damages for alleged silicosis were granted to men who breathed dust containing little or no silica. The courts and compensation boards are not impressed with subtle distinctions between dusts with 10 per cent and 40 per cent quartz, especially when medical experts are reluctant to make definite statements as to the comparative significance of such differences.

"It would be well to realize that men working in dusty trades suffer far more from respiratory troubles of all kinds than do men who work in clean air. The evidence that excessive dustiness of any kind is harmful is beyond argument."

It should also be remembered that the inhaling of dust is by no means its only hazard in industrial work that may require compensation payment; and even here the harmful effect of breathing dust is not necessarily confined to the lungs, as some kinds of dust are known to have detrimental health effect on the nose, throat, and bronchial passages as well as (indirectly) on the heart, stomach, and possibly other internal organs. Externally, dust may cause injury to the eyes, ears, and skin; and some dusts, in contact with the perspiration, are absorbed with definite harm to the health of the victim.

Dust Cuts Visibility

Moreover, heavy concentrations of dust in the air reduce visibility materially. Obviously, in industrial occupations in which but limited amounts of natural light are available or in which artificial light is used entirely, any decrease in visibility due to air-dust concentrations (and the decrease in visibility may, under some circumstances, amount to 75 per cent or more) is likely to result in materially reducing efficiency and also in the more frequent occurrence of accidents accompanied by an increase in compensation commitments. Some dusts (coal, zinc, aluminum, and scores of others, mineral and nonmineral) are explosive and many

are subject to spontaneous combustion with consequent hazards to health, safety, and property. Many dusts have detrimental effects of various kinds on property as distinguished from persons. Machinery, growing vegetation, animals, dwelling houses, and other types of property are damaged by dusts, and compensation of some kind often is obtained from industrial concerns through damage suits or otherwise.

From the viewpoint of respiratory harmfulness, it is generally stated (though actually knowledge on this point is by no means certain) that the particle sizes of dust (such as that of free silica) that affect health adversely upon entering the lungs are those that are less than 10 microns in size, different persons having different ideas as to what the maximum may be—10 microns or 8 or 6, and some say that the harm really is done by particles less than 2 microns in size. As against this, it is stated that fibers of asbestos dust as long as 200 microns have been found in the lungs of men, and that undoubtedly these long asbestos fibers exerted at least some detrimental effect.

Since a micron is only about one twenty-five-thousandth of an inch, or much smaller than the naked eye can see, it is usually assumed that the larger dust particles that can be seen floating in air, and which settle out relatively fast are not harmful to health. Certainly, this is not so, as the larger particles that float in the air (some of them 100 or more microns in size), if present in considerable quantities clog the air passages to the lungs, some of which have agencies that under ordinary conditions intercept dust particles before they can reach the lungs and this clogging then allows the smaller and probably most dangerous dust to enter the lungs unimpeded.

A common-sense opinion as to what constitutes an atmosphere so dusty that dust-prevention action should be taken is that any atmosphere in which dust can be seen by the naked eye is too dusty, not only for health but also, at least in many cases, for safety and efficiency. If the visible dust is eliminated, much of the invisible or probably most dangerous dust will unquestionably have also been removed and very likely the health as well as other hazards much minimized or even removed.

After the visible dust has been removed, it may be necessary, under some conditions or with some types of dust, to make more in-

000100

...be done, and have
per wet drilling, c
rather.

Good Venti

Ventilation is probably the best dust-control practice in most industries, though it is not the best method in others. When applied effectively, it removes dust from the air or its concentration is kept so diluted as to be harmless to workers. It also minimizes dust hazards by excluding spontaneous combustion. It may enhance hazards in some instances. In some cases it should be induced by fans in others forced or blower. In more effective, this is the case in underground work where temperature air due to water or other condition of surrounding

To obtain really such work as tunneling is difficult usually because opening is driven in pairs as in coal mines flows in one opening and air in the other about by dust may find openings in many tunneling operations of the standing the vice suggestion will raise for believing that in be definite dollars a parallel-opening system ventilation, health, advantages, were used one-opening system. advantages and hazards real advantage the fact been customary.

Some types of rock cannot be drilled dry with any degree of effectiveness, and as yet the available types of rock-drilling traps are not workable with wet drilling; if dry drilling can be done, the traps ordinarily will do a good job of removing the dust from drilling, but this will leave the rock surfaces (top, sides, and floor in many cases) dry, and subsequent operations (blasting, mucking, timbering, hauling, etc.) will throw into the air dust certain to be created in large quantities by the other processes. The dust thus thrown into the air will settle on dry surfaces, ready to be thrown into suspension subsequently by any or all activities. Dust traps are somewhat cumbersome, especially when used in the more or less confined places in mining and tunneling.

However, dust traps with dry drilling have definite applicability for use in surfate and near-surface operations where dry drilling

Dry drilling is one of the most important types of work in mining, tunneling and construction. As it continues to be used in the United States; certainly, it is not allowed at all, it is still a danger twist drills on the use of up-to-date drilling

Wet drilling as States, with water the drill steel by m is now under fire

000161

ect crushing instead of washing down. In general the trial processes and most effective avail- tion of air dustiness. es wet drilling does.

Notwithstanding, some quarters to deter methods, up to now ably our best defense ion, especially in min-

such as hoods, traps, definite place in dust surface plants and ne conditions exhaust stalled and kept in re- only remove dust but themselves in dollars covered dust. It costs o install such a device rocessing one of the of the dust recovered \$25. In addition, the of the plant as well as is and other surfaces st.

the use of dust traps, definitely applicable to surface or near-surface k, has not been found rock-drilling practice in There are several rea- of which may be over-

cannot be drilled dry effectiveness, and as yet rock-drilling traps are t drilling; if dry drill- traps ordinarily will do ing the dust from drill- ave the rock surfaces in many cases) dry, and ns (blasting, mucking, tc.) will throw into the created in large quanti- ocesses. The dust thus will settle on dry sur- thrown into suspension or all activities. Dust cumbersome, especially more or less confined tunneling.

ps with dry drilling have for use in surface or ons where dry drilling

can be done, and have numerous advantages over wet drilling, especially in very cold weather.

Good Ventilation Needed

Ventilation is probably the most effective dust-control practice available for many in- dustries, though it ranks second to wet methods in others. Where ventilation can be applied effectively, dust can be removed from the air or its concentration can be kept so diluted as to render it practically harmless to workers; ventilation can mini- mize dust hazards of almost all kinds, in- cluding spontaneous combustion, though it may enhance hazards of dust explosibility in some instances. In some cases ventilation should be induced by exhaust methods, but in others forced or blowing systems are the more effective, this being particularly true in underground work in places having high- temperature air due to rock or dripping water or other condition, such as rapid ox- idation of surrounding rock or timber.

To obtain really effective ventilation in such work as tunneling and metal mining is difficult usually because ordinarily but one opening is driven instead of having workings in pairs as in coal mining, where fresh air flows in one opening and return or contami- nated air in the other. Conditions brought about by dust may force the use of parallel openings in many tunneling and metal-min- ing operations of the future, and, notwith- standing the violent objections this suggestion will raise, there are good reasons for believing that in many cases there would be definite dollars and cents savings if the parallel-opening system, with its numerous ventilation, health, drainage, and other ad- vantages, were used instead of the present one-opening system, with numerous disad- vantages and hazards and with about its only real advantage the fact that its use is and has been customary.

Dry drilling is unquestionably the dustiest work in mining, tunneling and quarrying, and it continues to be used far too much in the United States; certainly, if dry drilling is allowed at all, it should be done only with auger twist drills or with the simultaneous use of up-to-date dust traps.

Wet drilling as practiced in the United States, with water forced or drawn through the drill steel by means of compressed air, is now under fire as producing relatively

large quantities of dust even though the ma- terial floating in the air usually is wet; some of this criticism is undeserved but, some of it is legitimate. The trouble is due largely to the fact that the holes are collared or started dry and, in some cases at least, are drilled dry for several inches after starting, and the dust created by this dry starting im- pregnates the usually stagnant air of the ordinary underground-tunnel or metal-mine working place for much if not most of the working shift.

In some cases the driller drastically limits the amount of water sent through the drill steel and, while nominally drilling wet, the actual practice is closely analogous to dry drilling with compressed air, which flows through the drill steel and forces out of the drill hole and into the air of the working place undue quantities of water-entrained dust or particles. In some cases when the drill is out of repair, the driller allows far too much compressed air to flow through the drill steel.

When present types of wet drills are used properly, the dust from drilling can be kept within reasonable limits, and this is actually being done by numerous alert and conscien- tious mining and tunneling companies. Some companies in the United States and Canada are experimenting with rock drills that do not allow compressed air to go through the drill steel but require the water to be forced through the steel by its own pressure; such drills are said to produce less dust than the usual types in which water is sent through the steel by compressed air, but the practica- bility of the newer type of drill is question- able and it has been used relatively little in the United States or Canada.

Must Use Judgment

However, air dustiness can be kept well within safe limits if modern types of wet drills are operated with care and judgment in collaring wet with plenty of clean dirt- free water; if the drills are kept in good re- pair; if the proportion of water and compressed air flowing through the drill steel are adequately regulated; if the ex- haust from the drill is prevented from im- pinging on nearby dusty surfaces of muck piles, floors, walls, or timbers thereby throw- ing dust into the air; and, if, insofar as feasible, the dust of upper holes is re- duced to a minimum by upper holes, whether

000162

drilled dry or wet, are relatively heavy producers of dust in the air of working places.

Next to dry drilling, blasting causes more finely divided dust to be thrown into working places than anything else; and, in addition to throwing violently into the surrounding air immense quantities of finely divided dust, blasting with the heavy charges of explosive required in many mining and tunneling operations also impregnates the surrounding air as well as the muck piles with considerable quantities of poisonous gases, such as carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen and, in some kinds of rock, hydrogen sulphate and other dangerous sulphurous fumes, practically all of which occur in such quantities and percentages under some circumstances as to asphyxiate persons who may breathe them or to cause serious illness, often of a more or less permanent character. Many persons who have studied the incidence of dust disease are of the opinion that the breathing of even small quantities or percentages of extraneous or harmful gases, such as carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen, hydrogen sulphide, etc., inflames or otherwise adversely affects the respiratory organs, especially the lungs, and makes them much more easily and readily susceptible to harm from the breathing of dust particles.

Past blasting practice most certainly must be modified and reformed if the employer is to avoid heavy penalties in compensation and other charges due to the inclusion of legislation on occupational diseases (one being dust disease) in the laws of the various States. If at all feasible, blasting should be done at the end of the working shift or on an off shift, and the dust- and gas-laden air should be removed or thoroughly diluted before the men return to work.

Ventilation is, of course, an essential agency in cleansing the air where blasting has been done, but in addition to ventilating the place it should be thoroughly wetted (walls, floors, roof or top, timbers, etc.) before blasting is done, and a water blast should be kept in effect during and after the blasting; the region should be thoroughly wetted upon return to the face region after blasting, and the muck pile should be kept well wetted at all times while loading it out, as the water not only tends to allay the dust but also either absorbs or otherwise aids in the dilution or elimination of harmful or poisonous gases from blasting, which usually cling to the blasted material.

In general the larger the quantity of explosive used, the more finely divided the blasted material, the more heavily the air is impregnated with dust and smoke, and the greater the quantity of poisonous gases left at the places; hence, methods should be used which will tend to reduce the quantity of explosives used and of gases and dust produced. In this it will be found that the use of stemming or of some types of blasting plugs now available will more definitely confine the explosive; hence, increase its efficiency and reduce the quantity of explosive used and also reduce the amount of poisonous gas produced and possibly reduce the violence of the blast and its tendency to produce dust and disseminate it into the surrounding air.

And it would be well for users of explosives to investigate types of explosives that are known to give off minimum quantities of harmful gases on detonation and to use them; also the elimination of the use of fuse and the substitution of electric blasting would aid in reducing the amount of poisonous gases from blasting. Explosives that have been held in storage too long or that have been stored under unfavorable conditions as to moisture and temperature, are likely to give off maximum quantities of harmful gases if they detonate at all; hence utmost care should be taken in the storage of explosives and to see that they are not held in storage too long before being used.

Respirators Available

Dust respirators of various types are now available for use in excessively dusty atmospheres, and while they are cumbersome and have numerous features that make them undesirable for constant wear throughout a working shift (though they can be worn readily for several minutes at a time), they do give adequate protection to wearers against dust but give essentially no protection against either poisonous or asphyxiating gases. Dust respirators should be regarded as being temporarily useful for emergencies only, whereas protection for workers against dust should be more substantial.

What might be designated "good housekeeping" has a definite place in dust prevention. Dusty materials should be stored in dust-tight containers or bins; dusty products, including the handling of dusty materials, should be carried on, as far as

feasible, in dust-tight structures, the tightness maintained; ledges or surfaces where dust may lodge and be thrown into the air by some movement, should be changed frequently for such accumulation cannot be done, such surfaces cleaned periodically by washing down, or by some other means being a relatively poor method employed only when as few dusts as possible are present; kept as free of dust as possible by washing them with water; and the air for the working place kept free from dusty sources.

Physical examinations are an important part in the drive to keep harm from dust in industry. A controversy has been as to whether or not they are justified. Unquestionably employed in an industry where dust may be found in the air, they are examined by a competent physician to employment, and reveals the presence of dust in the person should not be employed, especially true if tuberculosis is found. At six- or twelve-month intervals, a person in dusty industry should have a rigid physical examination. If shown to be developing, he should be transferred to nondusty work, if available. Handling of

Safe Practice

Safety Eng

My personal experience is mainly to work of river bridge building. Our work falls into these two classes.

River work consists of building and rip-rapping river bridges. The U. S. Government.

Bridge classification is highway bridges of the type where their foundations are "open dredged."

River work, being mainly plant and with a large

quantity of ex-
plosives divided the
heavily the air is
smoke, and the
poisonous gases left
should be used
the quantity of
es and dust pro-
und that the use
types of blasting
are definitely con-
increase its effi-
cacy of explosive
amount of poison-
ously reduce the
its tendency to
nate it into the

users of explo-
sives that
imum quantities
ation and to use
of the use of
electric blasting
amount of poison-
Explosives that
too long or that
unfavorable con-
temperature, are
um quantities of
mate at all; hence
ken in the storage
that they are not
before being used

available

ous types are now
very dusty atmos-
cumbrous and
that make them
near throughout
ey can be worn
s at a time), the
tion to wearers
tially no protec-
s or asphyxiating
ould be regarded
d for emergencies
r workers again
ntial.

ted "good house-
ace in dust pro-
ould be stored in
ins; dusty and
ng of dust pro-
om, as for ex-

feasible, in dust-tight compartments or structures, the tightness of which should be maintained; ledges or surfaces on which dust may lodge and accumulate, to be thrown into the air by some shock or movement, should be changed to prevent opportunity for such accumulation, or, if this cannot be done, such surfaces should be cleaned periodically by vacuum process, by washing down, or by sweeping (the latter being a relatively poor method, and should be employed only when as few of other workers as possible are present); floors should be kept as free of dust as possible preferably by washing them with water; and, certainly, air for the working places should not come from dusty sources.

Physical examinations should play an important part in the drive to minimize health harm from dust in industry, but so much controversy has been aroused because of them that their effectiveness has been largely nullified. Unquestionably, every person employed in an industry where considerable dust may be found in the air should be rigidly examined by a competent doctor previous to employment, and if the examination reveals the presence of respiratory trouble, the person should not be employed; this is especially true if tuberculosis is indicated. At six- or twelve-month intervals every person in dusty industry should submit to a rigid physical examination; and if disease is shown to be developing, the victim should be transferred to nondusty employment if such is available. Handling physical examinations

in a manner fair to the worker as well as to the employer should be worked out, and it must be admitted that to date this has not been done at all adequately.

Prevention of dust disease is chiefly an employer responsibility he should shoulder willingly, not only to safeguard the health of persons in his employ but also to relieve his firm of the heavy financial burden likely to be thrust upon it by compensation or legal authorities, should employees become afflicted with dust disease; and when one takes into account how little is known as to the cause of the disease and how to relieve it if it is incurred, it would seem to be the acme of common sense to do anything practicable to reduce the dust content of the air of places where employees must work. This does not mean that the employee should not help himself, as it is manifestly as much to the interest of the employee to avoid dust or any other occupational disease as to avoid having accidents. However, information as to occupational disease (including dust disease) is not readily available to the worker in understandable form, and the employer should carry on an educational campaign to inform his safety and operating officials about occupational disease matters and to have them transmit the information to the workers so the latter can cooperate wholeheartedly in trying to eradicate these dread diseases from industry or at least control them to a far greater extent than has been done.

Safe Practices in Use of Heavy Construction Equipment

By E. A. BLANPIED

Safety Engineer of Kansas City Bridge Co., Kansas City, Mo.

My personal experience has been confined mainly to work of river improvement and bridge building. Our records are divided into these two classes.

River work consists of driving pile dikes and rip-rapping river banks, generally for the U. S. Government.

Bridge classification covers railroad and highway bridges of the heavier type including their foundations, both "pneumatic" and "open dredged."

River work, being mostly done by floating

skilled labor, differs greatly from the more complicated bridge work which uses mostly skilled and semi-skilled labor under greater hazards.

The bridge classification is sub-divided into erection and foundation as each has its own special hazards and treatment.

River work has been the subject of extensive research along accident prevention lines by the U. S. Corps of Engineers and most of the fatalities are due to drowning. Special safety requirements to lessen this hazard have been contributed by being

000124

handy man about the draughts into the dispensary. The nurse notes the condition of the work. He states he has 20 years and has been doing work. He has been out at the ends, but does not think he is ed to poison ivy, although he does not know what it looks like at present but will be back soon. The nurse knows the of the drafting room. Upon inquiry finds that a man is to carry blue prints to the room. A new process has been blue printing within the last 24 hours. The man is given routine preliminary

ation is submitted to the doctor, and when, next day, the diagnosis is made, the doctor changes the man's job, the foreman picks up, and the nurse deserves the credit for steering the case into the hands of the doctor. Had she not known something of contact dermatitis, or of the work, and had she not referred the case to the proper authorities in the draft, the case might have become a disaster. The doctor saw it, and it was not until it was too late to do anything but into disability and compensation.

ance of occupational diseases is overlooked now that so much is in the press on the subject. Approximately 50 million people are employed in the U. S. at the present time, about 15 million are in industry. A statement is made in the U. S. Public Health report that we are spending five billion dollars annually for compensation and other expenses for occupational diseases, injuries and occupational dis-

only a small percentage of this for occupational diseases, while him a much higher figure. But toward a reversal of this. Accidents controlled to a great extent cost is decreasing, while occurrences, though probably not increasing, certainly receiving a great deal more than heretofore.

ates to state how many states now

wide compensation for industrial disease liability at a time when new legislation is enacted so rapidly, but most of the important industrial states now compensate some or all of the conditions which can be attributed to occupational diseases. Some give blanket coverage for all such conditions, while others name specific diseases or specific occupations. There have been advances to both employee and employer, but more time and increased study of conditions

we shall eventually arrive at fairer, more equable adjustments.

The Industrial Nurse finds herself today in this arena of changing policies and evolutionary social adjustment. It is her privilege to be one of the connecting links between employee and employer. If she is the type that commands the respect and trust of the men and realizes her responsibility to them, she will likewise be most valuable to her employer.

The Nurse's Part in the Sickness Prevention Program

By PHOEBE BROWN, R.N.

Consolidated Water Power & Paper Co., Wisconsin Rapids, Wis.

The extent to which the nurse enters into the sickness prevention program depends upon the type of industrial medical service maintained.

Some industrial plants maintain a nurse primarily for their Employees' Benefit Association, and thus her work is chiefly that of welfare. She administers not only to the members, but to their families as well. What a fine opportunity she has to influence such employees and their families to a better understanding of good health and better living. For after all, the problem of sickness prevention concerns not only the individual employee, but also his family.

To fill her place successfully in such a program the nurse must recognize one basic principle—*she must be a humanitarian*, and regardless of the problem confronting her she must give relief through aid or counsel, whichever the case may be. She must have knowledge of the personnel she serves, their modes of living, their idiosyncrasies, their families and environments. By keeping her ear to the ground constantly she learns of their problems so that she may then solve them.

Naturally a knowledge of the community served is vital, for often through constructive criticism he is able to elevate the health standards through better sanitation and civic pride.

Perhaps a better conception of the sickness prevention program in connection with welfare work may be had by actual case analysis:

Not long ago I was called to the home of an employee who had been reported sick at frequent intervals during the past two years. He was underweight, nervous, and irritable. On my first visit he was confined to bed and vomiting excessively. His wife informed me that he had attacks of this type periodically. He would not have a doctor, because his doctor did nothing for him except give him a prescription that cost too much and tell him to be careful what he ate.

While in the attack he was careful enough, for he ate nothing for two or three days; but as soon as the illness was over he went back to his old diet of meat, potatoes, plenty of spices and relishes, copious amounts of coffee, two packages of cigarettes a day, plus a goodly amount of beer each week-end.

At one time the doctor had said he should have an X-ray of the stomach, but he complained that this would cost him \$40 which he couldn't afford, and anyway he couldn't get away from work when he wasn't sick.

I finally made him realize that he was losing much more time and money being ill every two or three months, losing four or five days at a time, than if he were to find out just what did ail him and then do something about it.

I took him to a clinic which was conducted on a part-payment basis, and complete examination was made with X-rays and blood work. After he was convinced of the necessity of a proper diet, his attacks became less frequent, he gained weight and was a much pleasanter fellow at work.

000105

Other diseases of

A high frequency of indigestion, nausea and fatigue is often traceable to improper ventilation, suggesting proper air-conditioning or protective equipment to eliminate this hazard.

It is quite true that such a program presents a real problem in selling the idea of sickness prevention to executives—but patience and frequent reference to the time lost through sickness will eventually bring results.

I wish to quote a few paragraphs from the reports of disabling illness among industrial employees in 1936 as compared with earlier years. This report was released by the Division of Industrial Hygiene of the National Institute of Health and compiled by Dean K. Brundage.

Respiratory Diseases

The respiratory group of diseases accounted for most of the increase in the incidence of illness in 1936. With the exception of tuberculosis of the respiratory system and diseases of the pharynx and tonsils, each respiratory disease sub-group occurred at a higher incidence rate in 1936 than in 1934 or 1935.

The frequency of influenza and grippe in 1936 (15.1 cases per 1,000 men), was 19 per cent higher than the rate for 1935 (12.7 cases per 1,000 men) and 50 per cent greater than for 1934 (10.1 cases per 1,000 men). Nevertheless, the rate for 1936 was 4 per cent below the average rate (15.8) in the preceding five-year period."

"Another development of an unfavorable nature was an increase in the number of cases of bronchitis, acute and chronic. In 1936 this disease occurred at a rate of 4.8 cases per 1,000 men which was 41 per cent above its average incidence during the years 1931-1935. The incidence of diseases of the pharynx and tonsils in 1936, although 4 per cent higher than in the preceding five-year period, was below the rate for 1935. The rate for pneumonia (all forms) in 1936 (2.6 cases per 1,000 men) was 13 per cent above the rate for 1935 (2.3 cases per 1,000 men), and in turn exceeded the rate for any year since 1929. Among the industrial policyholders of the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, the death rate from this disease in 1935 compared with 1935 increased 5 per cent."

"Other diseases of the respiratory system,

including diseases of the upper respiratory tract, showed a sizeable increase in frequency in 1936 as compared with all the former years. Most noteworthy in the record since 1921 is the downward trend in the new cases of tuberculosis of the respiratory system. The incidence has decreased from 1.9 cases per 1,000 in 1921 and 1922 to .8 cases in 1936."

This is an excellent indication of the effectiveness of educating the child and the adult.

"Rheumatism, acute and chronic, which showed definite improvement in 1934 and 1935 (4.0 cases per 1,000 men) as compared with earlier years increased to 4.2 cases per 1,000 in 1936. This rate was below the five-year average. Diseases of the organs of locomotion, except diseases of the joints, occurred at a rate of 3.2 cases per 1,000 men in 1936, which exceeded the rates for 1933, 1934, and 1935, as well as the average for 1931-1935."

How frequently and to what extent sickness among employees is a factor in causing lost time accidents is often revealed when a thorough investigation is made of all incidents leading up to the accident; such facts properly presented enable one to improve plant conditions for better health.

A good share of the sickness prevention program is education. Few employees, for example, realize that they have more leisure time than they have ever had before. The employee should be taught to use this with discretion. Today we practically have a national 40-hour work week, and allowing at least 56 hours for sleep, we find the employee has 72 hours left out of every week to use as he wishes.

Does he spend his idle time disastrously, thus adding fatigue to an already fatigued body? To safeguard against this you may find it worthwhile to afford him recreational facilities, stimulating in him a desire for hobbies, home ownership, or any other activities that may enable him to spend his time more profitably.

Plan a definite program of Better Health talks during the winter months.

The National Safety Council and leading insurance companies can provide excellent material for these discussions. "Four-state and national health departments are glad to furnish valuable information free of charge.

000167

One of your local physicians will be pleased to talk to the employees on sickness prevention.

Carefully located bulletin boards with

timely health topics are useful. Articles in plant magazines are far reaching, and pay-envelope inserts discussing health topics have proved beneficial.

ADJOURNMENT

General Chairman—E

Vice-Chairman (In C.

N. J., Marine Dept.

Vice-Chairman (In C.

New York, N. Y.

Vice-Chairman (For

Wilmington, Calif.

Secretary—CARL F. V

News Letter Editor—

cisco, Calif.

Engineering Committee

ship Co., New York,

Membership Committee

York, N. Y.

Program Committee C

Francisco, Calif.

Statistics Committee C

York, N. Y.

Endorsing Committee

Francisco, Calif.

Members at Large—

FRANK E. AMES, L

W. P. BIGGS, Navy

C. F. BLACKTON, E

FRANK H. COGAN,

N. Y.

I. M. GRISER, Alab.

HENRY J. HEIDORN

JOHN S. HUNTER, T

WILLARD F. JONES,

T. F. KAVANAGH, G

FRANK C. LAURIE, I

E. E. LUCKENBACH,

JOHN McGRATH, Jo

CARL E. PETERSEN,

H. W. PROOM, 1 Br

K. ROBISON, Sinc

RUMSEY, Stanc

S. SCHRAMM, Bla

000168

timely health topics are useful. Articles in plant magazines are far reaching, and pocket envelope inserts discussing health topics have proved beneficial.

ARNAMENT

Marine Section

Officers 1936-37

- General Chairman*—E. C. HOLDEN, JR., United States P. & I. Agency, Inc., New York, N. Y.
Vice-Chairman (In Charge of Posters and Slides)—ROBERT F. HAND, Standard Oil Co. of N. J., Marine Dept., New York, N. Y.
Vice-Chairman (In Charge of Publicity)—W. E. STEWARDSON, Colombian Steamship Co., New York, N. Y.
Vice-Chairman (For the Pacific Coast)—ALBERT O. PEGG, Union Oil Company of Calif., Wilmington, Calif.
Secretary—CARL F. VANDER CLUTE, Admiralty Attorney, New York, N. Y.
News Letter Editor—HENRY BLACKSTONE, United States P. & I. Agency, Inc., San Francisco, Calif.
Engineering Committee Chairman—B. C. EDWARDS, Atlantic Gulf and West Indies Steamship Co., New York, N. Y.
Membership Committee Chairman—E. W. FISKE, JR., Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., Inc., New York, N. Y.
Program Committee Chairman—BYRON O. PICKARD, Pacific Coast Marine Association, San Francisco, Calif.
Statistics Committee Chairman—BENJAMIN H. SELF, Travelers Insurance Company, New York, N. Y.
Swedoring Committee Chairman—F. C. GREGORY, Waterfront Employers Association, San Francisco, Calif.
Members at Large—
FRANK E. AMES, Lykes Bros.-Ripley Steamship Co., New Orleans, La.
W. P. BIGGS, Navy Department Safety Engineer, Washington, D. C.
C. F. BLACKTON, Erie Railroad Co., Jersey City, N. J.
FRANK H. COGAN, The Delaware, Lackawanna and Western Railroad Co., New York, N. Y.
J. M. GRISER, Alabama Dry Dock and Shipbuilding Co., Mobile, Ala.
HENRY J. HEIDORN, Standard Oil Co. of N. J., Marine Department, New York, N. Y.
JOHN S. HUNTER, The Atlantic Refining Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
WILLARD F. JONES, Gulf Oil Corp., New York, N. Y.
T. F. KAVANAGH, Grace Line, Inc., New York, N. Y.
FRANK C. LAURIE, Lago Petroleum Corp., Maracaibo, Venezuela.
J. L. LUCKENBACH, American Bureau of Shipping, New York, N. Y.
JOHN McGRATH, John W. McGrath Corp., New York, N. Y.
CARL E. PETERSEN, Newport News Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co., New York, N. Y.
H. W. PROOM, 1 Broadway, New York, N. Y.
J. K. ROBISON, Sinclair Navigation Co., New York, N. Y.
J. A. RUMSEY, Standard Oil Co. of Calif., Richmond, Calif.
S. A. SCHRAMM, Black Diamond Steamship Co., Pier K, Weehawken, N. J.

H. L. SEWARD, Yale University, New Haven, Conn.
 A. J. SMITH, Marine Office of America, New York, N. Y.
 ARTHUR M. TODE, Consulting Marine Engineer, New York, N. Y.
 G. E. TAYLOR, Federal Barge Lines, New Orleans, La.
 J. H. TOMB, New York State Merchant Marine Academy, New York, N. Y.
 ELLIOTT VANDEVANTER, Office of the Chief of Engineers, Munitions Bldg., Washington, D. C.
 L. H. WESTDAHL, Dollar Steamship Lines, San Francisco, Calif.
 A. O. WOLL, General Petroleum Corp. of Calif., Terminal Island, Calif.
 JOHN WRIGHT, American Export Lines, New York, N. Y.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

General Chairman—E. C. HOLDEN, JR., United States P. & I. Agency, Inc., New York, N. Y.
Vice-Chairman (In Charge of Posters and Slides)—ROBERT F. HAND, Standard Oil Co., N. J. Marine Dept., New York, N. Y.
Vice-Chairman (in Charge of Publicity)—HAROLD J. HARDING, Marine Progress, New York, N. Y.
Vice-Chairman (For the Pacific Coast)—ALBERT O. PEGG, Union Oil Company of California, Wilmington, Calif.
Secretary—CARL F. VANDER CLUTE, Admiralty Attorney, New York, N. Y.
News Letter Editor—HENRY BLACKSTONE, United States P. & I. Agency, Inc., San Francisco, Calif.
Engineering Committee Chairman—FRANK H. COGAN, The Delaware, Lackawanna and Western Railroad Co., New York, N. Y.
Membership Committee Chairman—E. W. FISKE, JR., Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., Inc., New York, N. Y.
Program Committee Chairman—W. P. BIGGS, Navy Department Safety Eng., Washington, D. C.
Statistics Committee Chairman—BENJAMIN H. SELF, Travelers Insurance Company, New York, N. Y.
Stevedoring Committee Chairman—FRANK E. AMES, Lykes Bros.-Kipley Steamship Co., New Orleans, La.
Members at Large—

C. F. BLACKTON, Erie Railroad Co., Jersey City, N. J.
 THOMAS BLAU, Grace Line, New York, N. Y.
 LOGAN CRESAP, Isthmian Steamship Co., New York, N. Y.
 W. C. GARBUTT, Pittsburgh Steamship Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
 F. C. GREGORY, Waterfront Employers Assn., San Francisco, Calif.
 J. M. GRISER, Alabama Dry Dock and Shipbuilding Co., Mobile, Ala.
 HENRY J. HEIDORN, Standard Oil Co., of N. J., New York, N. Y.
 JOHN S. HUNTER, The Atlantic Refining Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
 J. G. JOHNSON, Sinclair Navigation Co., New York, N. Y.
 WILLARD F. JONES, Gulf Oil Corp., New York, N. Y.
 FRANK C. LAURIE, Lago Petroleum Corp., Maracaibo, Venezuela.
 J. H. LOVE, Federal Shipbuilding & Drydock Co., New York, N. Y.

L. LUCKENBACH, Am
 JOHN McGRATH, John
 BYRON O. PICKARD, P.
 J. P. RONEY, The Tex
 J. A. RUMSEY, Standa
 S. A. SCHRAMM, Black
 H. L. SEWARD, Yale U
 A. J. SMITH, Marine C
 L. R. SORENSON, New
 W. E. STEWARDSON, C
 ARTHUR M. TODE, Cos
 J. H. TOMB, New Yor
 MAJOR H. B. VAUGH
 L. H. WESTDAHL, Do
 A. O. WOLL, General
 JOHN WRIGHT, Amer

TU

The opening session
 by Byron O. Pickard
 Steamship Assn., San F
 gram chairman of the

The A

President, An

The advancement of
 result of an endless
 error. It is amusing to
 day attitude toward s
 some eighty years ag
 article published in th
 and Naval Chronicle
 in which it was de
 causes for loss of ship

1. Teetotalism—the
 stead of rum;
2. The presence of
 other women;
3. Insanity;

000170

F. A. LAUERMAN, Republic Steel Corp., Chicago, Ill.
 T. H. MCKENNEY (retired), Carnegie-Illinois Steel Co., Chicago, Ill.
 D. V. MEDALIE, Interlake Iron Corp., Chicago, Ill.
 JOHN O'ROURK, Bethlehem Steel Co., Sparrows Point, Md.
 C. S. PHILLIPS, Revere Copper & Brass, Inc., Rome, N. Y.
 FRANK ROWE, Wheeling Steel Corp., Portsmouth, O.
 A. C. SCHULTZ, Bucyrus-Erie Co., So. Milwaukee, Wis.
 H. J. SPOERER, The Youngstown Sheet and Tube Co., East Chicago, Ind.
 E. J. STERNER, Bethlehem Steel Co., Bethlehem, Pa.
 J. A. VOSS, Republic Steel Corp., Cleveland, O.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

General Chairman—R. A. CHAFFIN, Continental Steel Corp., Kokomo, Ind.
Vice-Chairman—MELL E. TRAMMELL, Republic Steel Corp., Alabama City, Ala.
Secretary and News Letter Editor—H. J. GRIFFITH, Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Contest Committee Chairman—ROBERT L. SCHMITT, Louisville Car Wheel and Railway Supply Co., Louisville, Ky.
Engineering Committee Chairman—W. T. FILMER, The Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co., Youngstown, Ohio.
Foundry Committee Chairman—IRVIN A. BRINKMAN, Mackintosh-Hemphill Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Health Committee Chairman—DR. R. C. ENGEL, Republic Steel Corp., Cleveland, O.
Membership Committee Chairman—FRED E. WARR, Pittsburgh District, Carnegie, Illinois Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Poster Committee Chairman—H. H. HENRY, Otis Steel Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
Program Committee Chairman—R. H. FERGUSON, Republic Steel Corp., Cleveland, Ohio.
Publicity Committee Chairman—JOHN O'ROURK, Bethlehem Steel Co., Sparrows Point, Md.
Railway Car Builders Committee Chairman—P. J. BRAND, Pullman Standard Car Manufacturing Co., Pullman, Chicago.
Statistics Committee Chairman—H. J. SPOERER, The Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co., East Chicago, Ind.
Members at Large—
 C. M. ALLEN, The American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio.
 F. G. BENNETT, The Buckeye Steel Castings Co., Columbus, Ohio.
 R. A. BEYER, Central Tube Co., Ambridge, Pa.
 J. A. COLTRIN, National Radiator Corp., Johnstown, Pa.
 C. S. CRAIGMILE, Belden Manufacturing Co., Chicago, Ill.
 H. M. CROGHAN, Inland Steel Co., East Chicago, Ind.
 J. E. CULINNEY, Bethlehem Steel Co., Bethlehem, Pa.
 C. A. BIANCHI, H. H. Robertson Co., Ambridge, Pa.
 H. W. DARR, Bethlehem Steel Co., Johnstown, Pa.
 M. W. DUNDORE, Beloit Iron Works Co., Beloit, Wis.
 B. T. DYE, Republic Steel Corp., Warren, O.
 E. A. ELLIS, Wheeling Steel Corp., Wheeling, W. Va.
 EARL FYLER, Carnegie-Illinois Steel Corp., Chicago, Ill.
 E. F. BLANK, Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.

J. P.
 O. F.
 S. E.
 H. G.
 W. A.
 F. A.
 N. B.
 T. H.
 D. V.
 C. S.
 C. E.
 FRANK
 A. C.
 J. A.

The ses
 eral Chair

The man
 ced to
 manage
 sponsible
 mattresses
 or commo
 cess is de
 the morale

All of
 be upset
 frame of
 working c
 we must e
 to such r
 conditions
 complaint
 nation of
 heating, li
 place. Pe
 more to k
 security i

000173

- J. P. GATHERUM, Great Lakes Steel Corp., Ecorse, Mich.
 O. F. HARVEY, American Car and Foundry Co., New York, N. Y.
 S. E. HAWKES, MacWhyte Company, Kenosha, Wis.
 H. G. HENSEL, The Youngstown Sheet and Tube Co., Chicago, Ill.
 W. A. JARVIS, Chase Brass & Copper Co., Inc., Waterbury, Conn.
 F. A. LAUFMAN, Republic Steel Corp., Chicago, Ill.
 N. B. MACHOSE, Bethlehem Steel Co., Lackawanna, N. Y.
 T. H. MCKENNEY, 2542 E. 73rd Street, Chicago, Ill. (Retired)
 D. V. MEDALIE, Interlake Iron Corp., Chicago, Ill.
 C. S. PHILLIPS, Revere Copper & Brass, Inc., Rome, N. Y.
 C. E. RALSTON, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
 FRANK ROWE, Wheeling Steel Corp., Portsmouth, O.
 A. C. SCHULTZ, Bucyrus-Erie Co., So. Milwaukee, Wis.
 J. A. VOSS, Republic Steel Corp., Cleveland, O.

TUESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 12, 1937

The session was called to order by General Chairman C. M. Allen. The American

Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio, who introduced the speakers.

Safety as a Morale Builder

By ROY W. KELLY

Chief, Personnel and Training Division, Soil Conservation Service,
 Washington, D. C.

The maintenance of morale is readily conceded to be one of the major objectives of management. Whether the executive is responsible for building locomotives, making mattresses, managing a Government bureau, or commanding an Army division, his success is dependent in large upon keeping up the morale of his associates.

All of us tend to be influenced by, and to be upset and kept in a most unsatisfactory frame of mind by unsatisfactory living or working conditions. If we want high morale, we must expect to pay constructive attention to such matters as wages, hours, working conditions, basic causes for grievances and complaints, improvement of sanitation, elimination of unnecessary noise, and proper heating, lighting, and ventilation of the work place. Perhaps no other causes contribute more to lowered morale than a feeling of insecurity in one's occupation, fear as to the

future of one's earning power and doubt as to opportunities for advancement and for satisfying achievement.

These are all recognized as matters properly belonging in the field of personnel administration or labor management. They are mentioned merely for the sake of pointing out that safety is an integral part of this functional field. Organization for accident prevention and the maintenance of the safety program, if they are to contribute to improved morale, must be planned and carried out with a view to their direct relationships with these other essential human factors in management. This is a point on which there is much diversity of opinion as well as practice.

Nevertheless, the experience of many organizations tends to indicate that a safety program cannot hope to succeed no matter how well planned, unless considered in an

000174

it respond to the controls, and little responsibility for keeping the unit in operating condition—hence the necessity of an organized maintenance crew to take care of such equipment. The only exception is the crane man who may have limited responsibilities as to the inspection, lubrication and adjustment of his machine, as well as in other machine operators.

Overhead traveling cranes, gantry cranes, trolleys and various types of hoists comprise a class of equipment which require special attention from the standpoint of inspection and preventive maintenance. Due to hazards of falling material, whether of material, broken parts of the equipment or loads dropped due to failure of mechanism, every precaution must be taken to keep this class of equipment in good repair. It has been found desirable to supplement the work of the foreman and repairmen with the proper maintenance of such equipment by the use of Crane Inspectors.

The crane inspector goes over all equipment in detail, recording all items of a mechanical or electrical nature which need adjustment or replacement. This survey provides a double check and does not lessen in degree the responsibility of the authorized foreman and repairmen. Copies of these reports are forwarded to the superintendent and foreman involved, as well as the Plant Safety Department head. Commended repairs or alterations must be disposed of, either by being carried out, or by being rejected for cause.

The inspection covers cleanliness and condition of the equipment in the interest of reduced fire hazard and increased safety factors and repairmen. Safety equipment such as sanders, fire extinguishers, ladders, safety belts, gongs, warning lights, extension cords, gear guards etc. are checked. Loose or scrap material on the approaches or runways is not tolerated.

Loose, worn or broken parts are noted and recommended for correction. Inspections at intervals of one to two weeks have been found sufficient for average conditions, with more attention given to particularly hard-worked cranes. Unusual operating conditions, or failures of parts may make it desirable for the inspector to make special checks and reports when requested.

Mining Section

Officers 1936-37

- General Chairman*—C. W. GIBBS, Harwick Coal and Coke Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Vice-Chairman in Charge of Engineering—G. J. BARRETT, U. S. Steel Corporation Subsidiaries, Duluth, Minn.
Vice-Chairman in Charge of Health—LEE LONG, Clinchfield Coal Corp., Dante, Va.
Vice-Chairman in Charge of Membership—ANGUS D. CAMPBELL, McIntyre Porcupine Mines, Ltd., Schumacher, Ont., Canada.
Secretary and News Letter Editor—DANIEL HARRINGTON, United States Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C.
Poster and Slide Committee Chairman—J. J. FORBES, United States Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Program Committee Chairman—L. C. CAMPBELL, The Koppers Coal Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Publicity Committee Chairman—M. R. BUDD, The Explosives Engineer, Wilmington, Del.
Statistics Committee Chairman—W. W. ADAMS, United States Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C.

Members at Large—

- THOMAS ALLEN, Chief Coal Mine Inspector, State of Colorado, Denver, Colo.
 J. W. ALT, Calumet & Hecla Consolidated Copper Co., Calumet, Mich.
 P. M. ARTHUR, American Zinc Co. of Tennessee, Mascot, Tenn.
 FRED E. BEDALE, Consolidation Coal Co., Fairmont, W. Va.
 JOHN L. BOARDMAN, Anaconda Copper Mining Co., Butte, Mont.
 MAURICE COULTER, Clearfield Bituminous Coal Corp., Indiana, Pa.
 H. T. HARPER, Tennessee Copper Co., Copperhill, Tenn.
 H. C. HENRIE, Phelps Dodge Corp., Bisbee, Ariz.
 RUSH N. HOSLER, Coal Mine Section, Pennsylvania Compensation Rating & Inspection Bureau, Harrisburg, Pa.
 C. F. KECK, Jamison Coal Corp., Greensburg, Pa.
 THOMAS E. LIGHTFOOT, The Koppers Coal Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
 WM. G. METZGER, Hudson Coal Co., Scranton, Pa.
 D. D. MOFFAT, Utah Copper Co., Salt Lake City, Utah.
 V. O. MURRAY, The Union Pacific Coal Co., Rock Springs, Wyo.
 R. B. PAUL, New Jersey Zinc Co., New York, N. Y.
 JOHN T. RYAN, Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
 L. T. SICKA, St. Joseph Lead Co., Bonne Terre, Mo.
 JOHN TREWEEK, Homestake Mining Co., Lead, S. D.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

- General Chairman*—G. J. BARRETT, Oliver Iron Mining Co., Duluth, Minn.
Vice-Chairman—LEE LONG, Clinchfield Coal Corp., Dante, Va.
Vice-Chairman—ANGUS D. CAMPBELL, McIntyre Porcupine Mines, Ltd., Schumacher, Ont., Canada.

Secretary and News Letter Editor—DANIEL HARRINGTON, United States Bureau of
Washington, D. C.

Publicity Committee: Chairman—M. R. Budd, The Explosives Engineer, Wilmington.

Members at 1000

J. W. Allen, Calumet & Hecla Consolidated Copper Co., Calumet, Mich.

FRED E. BEDARD, Consolidation Coal Co., Inc., Fairmont, W. Va.

MAURICE GORTER, Clearfield Bituminous Coal Corp., Indiana, Pa.

H. T. HARTER, Tennessee Copper Co., Copperhill,

RUSH N. HOSKIN, Coal Mine Section, Pennsylvania Compensation Rating & Insurance Bureau, Harrisburg, Pa.

THOMAS F. LIGHTFOOT, The Koppers Coal Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.

D. D. Moore, Utah Copper Co., Salt Lake City, Utah.

R. B. PARR, New Jersey Zinc Co., New York, N. Y.

L. T. SICKS, St. Joseph Lead Co., Bonne Terre, Mo.

JOHN TREWICK, Homestake Mining Co., Lead, S. D.

October 12, 1937

The session was called to order by General Chairman C. W. Gibbs, Harwick Coal and Coke Co., Pittsburgh, Pa., who introduced the speakers.

By JAMES K. RICHARDSON

Safety Director, Climax Molybdenum Company, Climax, Colorado

No person will deny that there can be but two ways of doing work—a right way and a wrong way. The whole scheme of mining methods is basically a question of safety and efficiency. If the ore cannot be extracted economically, the system is abandoned. If the ore cannot be extracted without endangering

the lives of the employees the system is abandoned. Unquestionably the latter though has often been overlooked. We cannot, however, overlook the fact that anything that kills or maims men is morally wrong. Furthermore, if our process or method tears up machines it is ~~surely~~ not efficient.

Let's just put it this way. The men are not due to the wages with the work—although the ultimate answer is the men refuse to work. When a mine working condition is poor workers pay ones stay. A worker realizes organization the ability. Each of the physics coming fear that "such men daily, or the best. These are exaggerated—b is fire! If your too among the

The basic principle are: Superfine. It is no principles. How of each day's men, due to unman must specify faulty condition: previous shift, place, or any circumstances, varying.

The efficient standardizing are built up first are adopted in the employee. When an employer seldom is it.

From my perspective the standardizing step an organization proving under also believe that accident risk is. Every task is is enough value practice so that becoming more knows he must.

000176

Petroleum Section

Officers 1936-37

General Chairman—A. W. BREELAND, Lone Star Gas Co., Dallas, Texas.
Vice-Chairman—A. J. MARTINSON, Union Oil Co. of California, Los Angeles, Calif.
News Letter Editor—J. H. BROWN, Tide Water Oil Company, New York, N. Y.
Engineering Committee Chairman—E. D. MURPHEY, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corp., Tulsa, Okla.
Health Committee Chairman—DR. V. C. BAIRD, Humble Oil and Refining Co., Houston, Texas.
Poster Committee Chairman—H. T. MARKEE, Phillips Petroleum Co., Bartlesville, Okla.
Program Committee Chairman—R. B. ROAPER, Humble Oil and Refining Co., Houston, Texas.
Publicity Committee Chairman—GLENN BYERS, Shell Petroleum Corp., St. Louis, Mo.
Statistics Committee Chairman—J. W. MYERS, Standard Oil Co. (N. J.), New York, N. Y.
Atlantic Division Chairman—R. L. SIMPSON, The Texas Company, New York, N. Y.
Great Lakes Division Chairman—F. R. McLEAN, Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., Inc., White Star Div., Detroit, Mich.
Gulf Division Chairman—D. B. HIATT, Texas Pacific Coal & Oil Company, Fort Worth, Texas.
Mid-Continent Division Chairman—KRAUS EARHART, The Texas Company, Tulsa, Okla.
Pacific Division Chairman—L. K. BUTLER, Union Oil Company of Calif., Los Angeles, Calif.
Rocky Mountain Division Chairman—B. V. OSBORN, Standard Oil Co. (Ind.), Casper, Wyo.
Past General Chairmen—
R. W. BLACK, Standard Oil Co. of N. J., Elizabeth, N. J.
R. S. BONSB, Standard Oil Co. (N. J.), New York, N. Y.
V. R. CURRIE, The Texas Company, Houston, Texas.
R. E. DONOVAN, Standard Oil Co. of Calif., San Francisco, Calif.
C. L. HIGHTOWER, United Gas System, Houston, Texas.
G. O. LOCKWOOD, The Empire Companies, Bartlesville, Okla.
GEO. F. PRUSSING, Union Oil Co. of Calif., Los Angeles, Calif.
R. B. ROAPER, Humble Oil and Refining Co., Houston, Texas.
E. J. SENNE, Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., Inc., New York, N. Y.
C. W. SMITH, Standard Oil Co. (Ind.), Chicago, Ill.
D. J. WALLACE, Sinclair Prairie Oil Co., Tulsa, Okla.
Secretary—H. N. BLAKESLEE, American Petroleum Institute, New York, N. Y.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

General Chairman—H. W. BOGESS, Sinclair Prairie Oil Co., Tulsa, Okla.
Vice-Chairman—J. H. BROWN, Tide Water Associated Oil Co., New York, N. Y.
News Letter Editor—F. R. McLEAN, Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., Inc., White Star Div., Detroit, Mich.

Engineering Committee Chairman—E. D. MURPHEY, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corp., Tulsa, Okla.

Health Committee Chairman—P. E. KEEGAN, Shell Petroleum Corp., Houston, Texas.

Program Committee Chairman—A. W. BREELAND, Lone Star Gas Co., Dallas, Texas.

Publicity Committee Chairman—J. L. RISINGER, Magnolia Petroleum Co., Dallas, Texas.

Statistics Committee Chairman—J. W. MYERS, Standard Oil Co. (N. J.), New York, N. Y.

Visual Education Committee Chairman—C. A. MILLER, The Texas Co., Houston, Texas.

Atlantic Division Chairman—L. C. WOODBRIDGE, Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., Inc., New York, N. Y.

Great Lakes Division Chairman—R. T. HENDERSON, Standard Oil Co. of Ohio, Cleveland, Ohio.

Gulf Division Chairman—WM. GRANT, JR., Humble Oil & Refining Co., Baytown, Texas.

Mid-Continent Division Chairman—J. D. MCCAMEY, JR., The Carter Oil Co., Tulsa, Okla.

Pacific Division Chairman—C. J. NOBMAN, Shell Oil Co., San Francisco, Calif.

Rocky Mountain Division Chairman—R. O. TRAYLOR, Standard Oil Co. (Ind.), Casper, Wyoming.

Past General Chairmen—

R. W. BLACK, Standard Oil Co. of N. J., Elizabeth, N. J.

R. S. BONSH, Standard Oil Co. (N. J.), New York, N. Y.

A. W. BREELAND, Lone Star Gas Co., Dallas, Texas.

V. R. CURRIE, The Texas Company, Houston, Texas.

R. E. DONOVAN, Standard Oil Co. of Calif., San Francisco, Calif.

C. L. HIGHTOWER, United Gas Pipe Line Co., Houston, Texas.

G. O. LOCKWOOD, Cities Service Oil Co., Bartlesville, Okla.

GEO. F. PRUSSING, Union Oil Co. of Calif., Los Angeles, Calif.

R. B. ROOPER, Humble Oil and Refining Co., Houston, Texas.

E. J. SENNE, Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., Inc., New York, N. Y.

C. W. SMITH, Standard Oil Co. (Ind.), Chicago, Ill.

D. J. WALLACE, Sinclair Prairie Oil Co., Tulsa, Okla.

Secretary—H. N. BLAKESLEE, American Petroleum Institute, New York, N. Y.

MONDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 11, 1937

The session was called to order by General Chairman A. W. Breeland, Lone Star Gas Co., Dallas, Texas, who introduced the speakers and directed the discussion.

Industry's Responsibilities in Community Safety

By HENRY W. BOGGESS

Superintendent of Safety, Sinclair Prairie Oil Company, Tulsa, Okla.

During 1936 111,000 American citizens died in accidents. Sixteen per cent, or 18,000 of them, met death while employed at occupations from which they earned their livelihoods and so are called *industrial fatalities*. The remaining 93,000 accidental deaths are broadly referred to as *public fatalities*; at least, they were non-occupational.

The ratio of public to industrial deaths is more than six to one. It is obvious that the broadest need for safety is outside and not within the ranks of industry. Whether or not industry has any responsibility for these public or outside accidents, whether or not it has within its power the ability to prevent any of them, is the question.

That there accident caus classed as incidents of the s one to ponder of arbitrarily accidents. So the fundamen differ not at the home, on hazardous eq plant, it will highway or i

From the there can be ing a green worker a we giving a gre a modern. Trouble is p- trievable loss- question shor—the question ish things do

It does see brought to b of accidents of, but at le piecemeal th causes that : It is altogeth well organize appear on ti

It is readi legislation m efficient. T outstanding islation. M deed be spo men. But a and based t subject.

Aside from entering the just what ch accidents o industry's re recognized c

One critic nological d which so nu is the resul American i this researc ial tone of Beethove

000178

Public Utilities Section

Officers 1936-37

- General Chairman*—JOHN J. BARADA, The Laclede Gas Light Co., St. Louis, Mo.
Vice-Chairman for Telephone and Telegraph Interests—W. P. ELSTON, American Telephone and Telegraph Co., New York, N. Y.
Vice-Chairman for Gas Interests—E. P. DURFEE, Consolidated Edison Co. of N. Y., Inc., New York, N. Y.
Vice-Chairman for Electric Interests—WM. H. BROWN, Northern States Power Co., Minneapolis, Minn.
Secretary—H. A. PROCEMY, Public Service Co. of Northern Illinois, Chicago, Ill.
News Letter Committee—
D. C. DUNCAN, Chairman, Appalachian Electric Power Co., Bluefield, W. Va.
P. L. G. HASKKARL, Pennsylvania Power Co., Allentown, Pa.
JAMES D. HALL, Atlantic City Electric Co., Atlantic City, N. J.
E. R. KROPP, Union Electric Light and Power Co., St. Louis, Mo.
E. S. LIGHT, New York State Electric & Gas Corp., Geneva, N. Y.
D. E. WOODS, Central Power & Light Co., Corpus Christi, Tex.
Engineering Committee—
W. H. MULLIGAN, Chairman, Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario, Toronto, Ont., Canada.
A. A. KLINGE, Public Service Co. of Colorado, Denver, Colo.
PAUL R. KUHN, Penn Central Light & Power Co., Altoona, Pa.
JOHN P. McCANN, New England Power Assn., Boston, Mass.
W. J. McVAY, Consolidated Electric and Gas Co., New York, N. Y.
Health Committee Chairman—DR. HART E. FISHER, Chicago Rapid Transit Co., Chicago, Ill.
Visual Education Committee Chairman—C. L. HIGHTOWER, United Gas System, Houston, Texas.
Publicity Committee Chairman—R. S. METZGER, The Toledo Edison Co., Toledo, Ohio.
Membership Committee—
H. W. LUECK, (Chairman), Commonwealth Edison Co., Chicago, Ill.
HARRY BERMAN, Consolidated Gas & Electric Co., Baltimore, Md.
M. T. CASTER, Lincoln Telephone and Telegraph Co., Lincoln, Nebr.
E. P. NOYES, Central Maine Power Co., Augusta, Maine.
E. A. RUST, Erie County Electric Co., Erie, Pa.
H. E. SUEDD, Appalachian Electric Power Co., Bluefield, W. Va.
R. STEELE, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company, Oklahoma City, Okla.
P. K. STILES, Compania Cubana de Electricidad, Habana, Cuba.
Program Committee Chairman—C. H. DIXSMORE, Wisconsin Power & Light Co., Madison, Wis.
Statistics and Contest Committee Chairman—CHARLES S. BOWDEN, Ohio Public Service Co., Alliance, Ohio.
Special Representatives—W. A. BUCHANAN, Appalachian Electric Power Co., Welch, W. Va. (E. E. I.)
CHARLES J. GEFVERT, Chairman, Accident Prevention Committee, American Gas Association.

Councillors—

E. S. BEAUMONT, The Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co., Chicago, Ill.
 C. B. BOULET, Wisconsin Public Service Corp., Milwaukee, Wis.
 G. A. DOELLER, The Dayton Power & Light Co., Dayton, Ohio.
 JAMES B. DOUGLAS, The Philadelphia Gas Works, Philadelphia, Pa.
 ROY M. GODWIN, Philadelphia Electric Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
 E. J. KREH, Philadelphia Company, Pittsburgh, Pa.
 WILLS MACLACHLAN, Electrical Engineer, Toronto, Ont., Canada.
 B. B. McCULLOCH, Bureau of Safety, Chicago, Ill.
 GEORGE OPP, Detroit Edison Company, Detroit, Mich.
 F. M. PEPPER, Illinois Bell Telephone Co., Chicago, Ill.
 C. J. RUTLAND, Texas Power & Light Company, Dallas, Texas.
 C. B. SCOTT, Bureau of Safety, Chicago, Ill.
 J. L. VANDEGRIFT, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co., Washington, D. C.
 H. F. WEBB, West Penn System, Pittsburgh, Pa.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

General Chairman—W. P. ELSTON, American Telephone & Telegraph Co., New York, N. Y.

Vice-Chairman—E. P. DURFEE, Consolidated Edison Co. of N. Y., Inc., New York, N. Y.

Secretary—H. A. PTOLEMY, Public Service Co. of Northern Illinois, Chicago, Ill.

News Letter Committee—

D. C. DUNCAN, *Chairman*, Appalachian Electric Power Co., Bluefield, W. Va.
 H. H. BERMAN, Consolidated Gas, Electric Light & Power Co. of Baltimore, Baltimore, Md.
 S. C. DICKINSON, Pacific Gas & Electric Co., San Francisco, Calif.
 E. R. KROPP, Union Electric Company of Missouri, St. Louis, Mo.
 W. J. McVAY, Stone & Webster Service Corp., New York, N. Y.
 P. K. STILES, Compania Cubana de Electricidad, Maximo Gomez No. 1 Apartado 1715, Habana, Cuba.
 D. E. WOODS, Central Power & Light Co., Corpus Christi, Texas.

Program Committee Chairman—R. S. METZGER, Toledo Edison Co., Toledo, Ohio.

Publicity Committee Chairman—C. H. DINSMORE, Wisconsin Power & Light Co., Madison, Wis.

Visual Education Committee—

H. W. LUECK, *Chairman*, Commonwealth Edison Co., Chicago, Ill.
 E. S. MINER, American Telephone & Telegraph Co., New York, N. Y.
 C. N. RAKESTRAW, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Engineering Committee—

W. H. MULLIGAN, *Chairman*, Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario, Toronto, Ont., Canada.
 E. C. BOOKMAN, Virginia Electric & Power Co., Richmond, Va.
 C. H. DAVIS, Commonwealth Edison Co., Chicago, Ill.
 J. D. HALL, Atlantic City Electric Co., Atlantic City, N. J.
 P. L. G. HASKARD, Pennsylvania Power & Light Co., Allentown, Pa.
 PAUL R. KUHN, Pennsylvania Edison Co., Altoona, Pa.

J. F. McCABE,
 JOHN P. MCCABE,
Health Committee
 Ill.

Membership Com

E. J. HANLON
 W. H. BROWN
 M. T. CASTER
 R. A. EDWARDS
 A. A. KLING
 E. S. LIGHT
 C. E. McBRIDE
 E. P. NOYES
 H. E. SHEDDEN
 J. R. STEELE

Statistics and
 Co., Alliance.

Councillors (Pa

JOHN J. BA
 E. S. BEAU
 C. B. BOULET
 G. A. DOELLER
 JAMES B. DOUGLAS
 ROY M. GODWIN
 E. J. KREH
 WILLS MACLACHLAN
 B. B. McCULLOCH
 GEORGE OPP
 F. M. PEPPER
 C. J. RUTLAND
 C. B. SCOTT
 H. F. WEBB

Special Repre

C. J. GEORGE
 L. M. SHAW

The oper
 by John J.

000180

Chicago, Ill.

ee, Wis.

Ohio.

Philadelphia, Pa.

Pa.

Canada.

Texas.

Phone Co., Washington, D. C.

7-38

& Telegraph Co., New York

of N. Y., Inc., New York, N. Y.
ern Illinois, Chicago, Ill.er Co., Bluefield, W. Va.
Power Co. of Baltimore, Md.

isco, Calif.

Louis, Mo.

rk, N. Y.

no Gomez No. 1 Apartado

-ti, Texas.

ison Co., Toledo, Ohio.

n Power & Light Co., Mad.

Chicago, Ill.

New York, N. Y.

Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Commission of Ontario, T.

and, Va.

J.

Allentown, Pa.

J. F. McCABE, Duquesne Light Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.

JOHN P. McCANN, New England Power Service Co., Boston, Mass.

Health Committee Chairman—DR. HART E. FISHER, Chicago Rapid Transit Co., Chicago, Ill.

Membership Committee—

E. J. HANLON, Chairman, Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co., Chicago, Ill.

W. H. BROWN, Northern States Power Co., Minneapolis, Minn.

M. T. CASTER, Lincoln Telephone and Telegaph Co., Lincoln, Nebr.

R. A. EDWARDS, San Diego Consolidated Gas & Elec. Co., San Diego, Calif.

A. A. KLINGE, Public Service Co. of Colo., Denver, Colo.

E. S. LIGHT, New York State Electric & Gas Corp., Geneva, N. Y.

C. E. McBRIDE, Northern Indiana Public Service Co., Fort Wayne, Ind.

E. P. NOYES, Central Maine Power Co., Augusta, Maine.

H. E. SHEDD, Appalachian Electric Power Co., Bluefield, W. Va.

J. R. STEELE, Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co., Oklahoma City, Okla.

Statistics and Contest Committee Chairman—CHARLES S. BOWDEN, Ohio Public Service Co., Alliance, Ohio.

Councilors (Past General Chairmen)—

JOHN J. BARADA, The Laclede Gas Light Co., St. Louis, Mo. (1936-1937)

E. S. BEAUMONT, The Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co., Chicago, Ill. (1930-31)

C. B. BOULET, Wisconsin Public Service Corp., Milwaukee, Wis. (1932-33)

G. A. DOELLER, Dayton Power & Light Co., Dayton, Ohio (1931-32)

James B. DOUGLAS, The Philadelphia Gas Works Co., Philadelphia, Pa. (1916-17)

ROY M. GODWIN, Philadelphia Electric Co., Philadelphia, Pa. (1935-36)

E. J. KREH, Philadelphia Co., Pittsburgh, Pa. (1934-35)

WILLS MACLACHLAN, Electrical Engineer, Toronto, Ont., Canada (1919-20)

B. B. McCULLOCH, Bureau of Safety, 20 N. Wacker Drive., Chicago, Ill. (1925-26)

GEORGE OPP, Detroit Edison Co., Detroit, Mich. (1926-27)

F. M. PEPPER, Illinois Bell Telephone Co., Chicago, Ill. (1933-34)

C. J. RUTLAND, Texas Power & Light Co., Dallas, Texas (1927-28)

C. E. SCOTT, Bureau of Safety, 20 N. Wacker Drive, Chicago, Ill. (1917-18)

H. F. WEBB, West Penn System, Pittsburgh, Pa. (1925-29)

Special Representatives—

C. J. GEFFERT, Consolidated Edison Co. of N. Y., Inc., New York, N. Y. (A.G.A.)

L. M. SHADGETT, Georgia Power Co., Athens, Ga. (E.E.I.)

TUESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 12, 1937

Evening session was called to order St. Louis, Mo., who introduced speakers.
J. Barada, Laclede Gas Light Co.,

000181

Quarry Section


Officers 1936-37

General Chairman—ALEXANDER FOSTER, JR., Warner Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
Vice-Chairman—RUSSELL RAREY, The Marble Cliff Quarries Co., Columbus, Ohio.
Secretary and News Letter Editor—N. B. SIPE, J. E. Baker Co., York, Pa.
Poster Committee Chairman—H. F. YOTTER, The General Crushed Stone Co., Easton, Pa.
Publicity Committee Chairman—J. R. BOYD, National Crushed Stone Assn., Washington, D. C.
Statistics Committee Chairman—W. W. ADAMS, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C.
Members at Large—
V. P. AHEARN, National Sand and Gravel Assn., Washington, D. C.
WILLIAM H. BAKER, J. E. Baker Co., York, Pa.
O. M. GRAVES, The General Crushed Stone Co., Easton, Pa.
S. WALTER STAUFFER, National Lime Association, Washington, D. C.
A. L. WORTHEN, The New Haven Trap Rock Co., New Haven, Conn.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

General Chairman—ALEXANDER FOSTER, JR., Warner Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
Vice-Chairman—RUSSELL RAREY, The Marble Cliff Quarries Co., Columbus, Ohio.
Secretary and News Letter Editor—N. B. SIPE, J. E. Baker Co., York, Pa.
Poster Committee Chairman—H. F. YOTTER, The General Crushed Stone Co., Easton, Pa.
Publicity Committee Chairman—J. R. BOYD, National Crushed Stone Assn., Washington, D. C.
Statistics Committee Chairman—W. W. ADAMS, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C.
Members at Large—
V. P. AHEARN, National Sand and Gravel Assn., Washington, D. C.
WILLIAM H. BAKER, J. E. Baker Co., York, Pa.
O. M. GRAVES, The General Crushed Stone Co., Easton, Pa.
S. WALTER STAUFFER, National Lime Association, Washington, D. C.
A. L. WORTHEN, The New Haven Trap Rock Co., New Haven, Conn.

The Quarry Section met jointly with the ~~Section~~ ^{ings} will be found on pages 249-250 of this volume.



Part III. When cure time is up, time regulator (9) turns air pressure into line (10) closing direct acting valve (7), also closing lower inlet of three-way diaphragm valve (5) which shuts air pressure from air line (10) and vents air from reverse action diaphragm valve (4) causing this valve to close.

Part IV. As steam is exhausted from the boiler and the pressure has reduced to less than 12 oz. on diaphragm valve (5), spring (12) will pull bolt (6) up, and heater door will be opened.

Note. (a) Tension on spring (12) can be adjusted either to raise or to lower the pressure factor.

(b) Steam line has two valves, one closed with air pressure and the other closed with air off.

ENT

Safety Equipment Section

Accident Prevention Equipment Manufacturers
Who Exhibited at the Twenty-Sixth National Safety Congress
and Exposition

Kansas City, Mo., October 11-15, 1937

Exhibition Hall—Municipal Auditorium

- Accident Protection Equipment Co., Inc., Pittsburgh, Pa.
- Gas Masks.
- Advertising Displays, Inc., Covington, Ky.
- Safety Education Materials in Display Form With Moving Messages.
- Aetna Casualty & Surety Co., Hartford, Conn.
- Safe Driving Tests. Safety Educational Films.
- A. S. Aloe Co., St. Louis, Mo.
- First Aid and Hospital Equipment.
- American Abrasive Metals Co., Irvington, N. J.
- Safety Treads for Walkways and Safety Gratings.
- American-LaFrance and Foamite Industries, Inc., Elmira, N. Y.
- Fire Protection Equipment.
- American Mutual Liability Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.
- Insurance, Workmen's Compensation, Property Damage and General Automobile.
- American Optical Co., Southbridge, Mass.
- Head and Eye Protection Products.
- American Safety Tank Co., Kansas City, Mo.
- Automotive Fuel Supply Tanks.
- Switzen, Inc., Chicago, Ill.
- Auxiliary & Emergency Stretcher First Aid Equipment.
- Automatic Traffic Control Corp., St. Paul, Minn.
- Traffic Actuated Control Equipment
- Manufacturing Co., Rock Island, Ill.
- Safety Inspection and Correction Equipment.
- Indix Products Corp., South Bend, Ind.
- Automotive Equipment.
- Sivalls & Bryson, Inc., Kansas City, Mo.
- Safety Heads, Tank- and Pressure Vessels.
- Washfountain Co., Milwaukee, Wis.
- Group Washing Equipment.
- Shoe Co., St. Louis, Mo.
- Men's and Women's Safety Shoes.
- W. R. Browne Manufacturing Co., New York City.
- Safety and Special Purpose Lights and Lamps.

R. H. Buhrke Co., Chicago, Ill.
Safety Equipment for Construction and Maintenance.
E. D. Bullard Co., San Francisco, Calif.
Personal Protective Equipment.
Burroughs Wellcome & Co. (U.S.A.), Inc., New York City.
First Aid Kits and Medical Equipment.

Champion Brake Corp., Chicago, Ill.
Hand Brakes for Freight Cars.
Chicago Eye Shield Co., Chicago, Ill.
Head, Eye and Respiratory Protective Equipment.
Columbus McKinnon Chain Corp., Tonawanda, N. Y.
Alloy Steel Sling Chains and Safety Hoists.
H. S. Cover, South Bend, Ind.
Respirators and Safety Goggles.
Crouse-Hinds Co., Syracuse, N. Y.
Traffic Signals and Floodlights.
M. E. Cunningham Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Safety Steel Stamps and Type Holders.

Davis Emergency Equipment Co., Inc., New York City.
First Aid and Industrial Safety Equipment.
C. H. Dockson Co., Detroit, Mich.
Head and Eye Protection.
DoMore Chair Co., Inc., Elkhart, Ind.
Posture-Health Chairs for Office and Factory.
Dual Parking Meter Co., Oklahoma City, Okla.
Parking Meter Equipment.
Donald F. Duncan, Inc., Chicago, Ill.
Parking Meter Equipment.

Eagle Eignal Corp., Moline, Ill.
Traffic Signals.
Electronic Control Corp., Detroit, Mich.
Electronic and Photo-Electric Equipment.
Elliott Service Co., New York City.
Promotion of Efficiency and Safety.
Emerson Drug Co., Baltimore, Md.
Bromo-Seltzer.
Employers Mutuals, Wausau, Wis.
Fire and Casualty Insurance. Workmen's Compensation.
Enameled Steel Sign Co., Chicago, Ill.
Day and Night Steel Reflecting Signs.

Fairway Laboratories, Inc., Belleville, Ill.
Anti-Fatigue and Heat Exhaustion Antidote Tablets and Dispensing Equipment.

Harley-Davidson Motor Co., Milwaukee, Wis.
Police Motorcycles and Accessory Equipment.
Hercules Friction Grip Co., Kansas City, Mo.
Brake Dressing.
Hild Floor Machine Co., Chicago, Ill.
Electric Floor Scrubbing Machines and Vacuum Cleaners.
Holcomb Safety Garment Co., Chicago, Ill.
Industrial Safety Clothing.
Hoof Products Co., Chicago, Ill.
Motor Vehicle Speed Control Governors.

Huntington Lat
Disinfecting
Hynson, Westec
Mercurochr

Indian Motocycl
Police Moto
Industrial Glove
Industrial S
Industrial Safet
Association
International Sh
Safety Shoe

Junkin Safety A
Power Pres
Juvenile Wood
Automobile

The Karpark Co
Parking Me
Keystone View
Visual Safet
Walter Kidde &
Fire Protect
Kimball Safety
Industrial Sa

Lehigh Safety S
Leather and
Liberty Mutual
Automobile

The Lima Cord S
Non-Slip So
Lumbermens Mu
Automobile,

Macwhyte Co., K
Wire Rope a
The Martindale
Dust Respira
McCloskey Torc
Bomb Shell
B. F. McDonald
Safety Hats
Metropolitan Life
Life Insuranc
Michigan Malleab
Traffic Stop
Milburn Co., Dete
Protective Cr
Mine Safety Appl
Safety Applia
Modern Engineer
Welding Tor
Murray Safety S
Plated Wire

000184

Maintenance.

New York City.

Equipment,
N. Y.

New York City.

Company.

Compensation.

Tablets and Dispensing Equipment.

Machine Cleaners.

Huntington Laboratories, Inc., Huntington, Ind.
Disinfecting and Sanitation Products.
Hynson, Westcott & Dunning, Inc., Baltimore, Md.
Mercurochrome First Aid Antiseptic, Thantis Lozenges.

Indian Motorcycle Co., Springfield, Mass.
Police Motorcycles and Equipment.
Industrial Gloves Co., Danville, Ill.
Industrial Safety Clothing.
Industrial Safety Equipment Assn., New York City.
Association of Manufacturers of Industrial Safety Equipment.
International Shoe Co., St. Louis, Mo.
Safety Shoes.

Junkin Safety Appliance Co., Louisville, Ky.
Power Press Guards.
Juvenile Wood Products, Inc., Fort Wayne, Ind.
Automobile Safety Seats for Children.

The Karpark Corp., New York City.
Parking Meters.
Keystone View Co., Meadville, Pa.
Visual Safety Tests.
Walter Kidde & Co., Inc., New York City.
Fire Protection Equipment.
Kimball Safety Products Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
Industrial Safety Clothing and Supplies.

Lehigh Safety Shoe Co., Inc., Allentown, Pa.
Leather and Rubber Safety Shoes.
Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.
Automobile and Industrial Safety Service.

The Lima Cord Sole & Heel Co., Lima, Ohio.
Non-Slip Soles and Heels.
Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Co., Chicago, Ill.
Automobile, Compensation and General Casualty Insurance.

Macwhyte Co., Kenosha, Wis.
Wire Rope and Braided Wire Rope Slings.
The Martindale Electric Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
Dust Respirators and Highway Safety Signals.
McCloskey Torch Co., Toledo, Ohio.
Bomb Shell Torches and Truck Flares.
B. F. McDonald Co., Los Angeles, Calif.
Safety Hats and Respirators.
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., New York City.
Life Insurance, Industrial, etc.
Michigan Malleable Iron Co., Detroit, Mich.
Traffic Stop Signs.
Milburn Co., Detroit, Mich.
Protective Creams for Prevention of Occupational Skin Diseases.
Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Safety Appliances and First Aid Materials.
Modern Engineering Co., St. Louis, Mo.
Welding Torches and Safety Goggles.
Murray Safety Slings Co., Inc., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Plated Wire Rope Slings.

000165

National Bureau of Casualty & Surety Underwriters, New York City.
 Safety Service, Casualty Insurance.
 National Safety Council, Inc., Chicago, Ill.
 Posters, Bureau of Information, Research and Education Division.

G. H. Packwood Manufacturing Co., St. Louis, Mo.
 Soaps and Cleaning Compounds.
 Panther-Panco Rubber Co., Inc., Chelsea, Mass.
 Safety Soles and Heels.
 The Patent Scaffolding Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Safety Ladders and Scaffolds.
 The Phister Manufacturing Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.
 Fire Protection Equipment.
 Protectoseal Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Safety Devices for Hazardous Liquids.
 Pulmosan Safety Equipment Corp., Brooklyn, N. Y.
 Respiratory Protection and General Safety Equipment.

Railway and Industrial Engineering Co., Greensburg, Pa.
 Interlock Systems, Potential and Phase Detectors, Insulator Testers.
 Reece Wooden Sole Shoe Co., Columbus, Nebr.
 Wooden Sole Safety Shoes.
 Rich Signs Corp., Wichita, Kans.
 Highway Reflecting Traffic Markers.
 Rockwood Sprinkler Co., Worcester, Mass.
 Fire Protection Equipment.
 Rose Manufacturing Co., Denver, Colo.
 Industrial Safety Equipment.

Safeguard Electric Co., Inc., Brooklyn, N. Y.
 Shockproof Portable Lamp Guards.
 Safety Engineering, Alfred M. Best Co., Inc., New York City.
 "Safety Engineering," Insurance Publications and Reports.
 The Safety Equipment Service Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
 Goggles and All Types of Accident Prevention Equipment.
 Safety First Shoe Co., Holliston, Mass.
 Safety Shoes.
 W. H. Salisbury & Co., Inc., Chicago, Ill.
 Linemen's Rubber Protective Devices.
 Shepherd Safety Shoe Corp., Chicago, Ill.
 Safety Shoes.
 The Spencer Turbine Co., Hartford, Conn.
 Industrial Vacuum Cleaners and Dust Collection Equipment.
 Standard Safety Equipment Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Industrial Safety Equipment.
 Stonehouse Signs, Inc., Denver, Colo.
 Accident Prevention Signs and Tags.
 The Strauss Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
 Safety Belts, Safety Hats, and Foot Guards.
 Sugar Beet Products Co., Saginaw, Mich.
 Industrial Soaps.
 The Surety Rubber Co., Carrollton, Ohio.
 Linemen's Safety Equipment and Synthetic Rubber Industrial Equipment.
 The Surty Manufacturing Co., Inc., Chicago, Ill.
 Dust Counters, Exhaust Units, Safety Guards and
 S-W Supply Co., Girard, Kans.
 Highway Railroad Signals.

Threeway Signal Co., Oklah.
 Safety Signal Equipment.
 Traffic Boy, Inc., Canton, O.
 School Zone Traffic Wa

United States Steel Corpora:
 Steel Products and Cem

Wahlert Products Corp., Bro
 Industrial Safety and Fi
 Weaver Manufacturing Co.,
 Automotive Testing Equ
 West Disinfecting Co., Chic
 Products for the Promo:
 The Western Union Telegra
 Communication Service.
 Willson Products, Inc., Read
 Personal Protective Dev

000186

Congress

York City.

ion Division.

it.

ulator Testers.

City.
Reports.

Equipment.

Equipment.

Industrial Equipment.

Shields.

Safety Equipment Section

585

Threeway Signal Co., Oklahoma City, Okla.
Safety Signal Equipment.

Traffic Boy, Inc., Canton, Ohio.

School Zone Traffic Warning Signs.

United States Steel Corporation Subsidiaries, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Steel Products and Cement.

Wahlert Products Corp., Brooklyn, N. Y.

Industrial Safety and Fire Protection Equipment.

Weaver Manufacturing Co., Springfield, Ill.

Automotive Testing Equipment.

West Disinfecting Co., Chicago, Ill.

Products for the Promotion of Industrial Sanitation.

The Western Union Telegraph Co., Kansas City, Mo.

Communication Service.

Willson Products, Inc., Reading, Pa.

Personal Protective Devices.

000187

Textile Section

Officers 1936-37

General Chairman—T. A. WILSON, North Carolina Industrial Commission, Raleigh, N. C.
Vice-Chairman (In Charge of Program)—E. A. ROBERTS, American Mutual Liability Insurance Co., Atlanta, Ga.
Vice-Chairman in Charge of Membership—WALLACE GILL, JR., Collins and Aikman Corp., Philadelphia, Pa.
News Letter Editor—P. M. CAMAK, The South Carolina Industrial Commission, Columbia, S. C.

Secretary—E. M. SALLEY, American Enka Corp., Enka, N. C.

Engineering Committee Chairman—HARVEY SAUL, U. S. Finishing Co., Pawtucket, R. I.

Statistics Committee Chairman—W. R. MCCALLUM, South Carolina Industrial Commission, Columbia, S. C.

Members at Large—

E. V. ALBRECHTSON, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Richmond, Va.
H. R. CORY, Beacon Manufacturing Co., Swannanoa, N. C.
CHARLES H. EAMES, Lowell Textile Institute, Lowell, Mass.
RUSSELL T. FISHER, National Association of Cotton Manufacturers, Boston, Mass.
G. H. GEROKE, Sibley-Enterprise Manufacturing Co., Augusta, Ga.
D. J. GLAZEBROOK, Kendall Co., Walpole, Mass.
M. W. HEISS, Proximity Manufacturing Co., Greensboro, N. C.
WALTER HUMPHREYS, National Association of Woolen Manufacturers, New York City.
R. J. JENNINGS, Lanett Cotton Mills, West Point, Ga.
A. S. JOHNSON, American Mutual Liability Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.
R. J. DE LAMETER, Bigelow Sanford Carpet Co., Inc., Amsterdam, N. Y.
CARL E. LINDENEYR, Forstmann Woolen Co., Passaic, N. J.
A. F. MCGARR, Simmons Co., San Francisco, Calif.
FORREST H. SHUFORD, North Carolina Department of Labor, Raleigh, N. C.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

General Chairman—T. A. WILSON, North Carolina Industrial Commission, Raleigh, N. C.
Vice-Chairman in Charge of Program—E. A. ROBERTS, American Mutual Liability Insurance Co., Atlanta, Ga.

Vice-Chairman in Charge of Membership—W. R. MCCALLUM, South Carolina Industrial Commission, Columbia, S. C.

News Letter Editor—FRAZER PATTERSON, W. C. Bradley Interests, Columbus, Ga.

Statistics Committee Chairman—R. J. JENNINGS, Lanett Cotton Mills, West Point, Ga.

Members at Large—

CHARLES H. EAMES, Lowell Textile Institute, Lowell, Mass.
M. W. HEISS, Proximity Manufacturing Co., Greensboro, N. C.
FRANK E. MORRIS, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.

crintendent made his rounds whenever he observed a soft spot on the floor he picked it up, at the time and the department found and handed the bottle to the overseer. Unless the overseer could find the person who was responsible for the bottle on the floor, he was to carry it constantly in his hands during the shift.

Required to acknowledge publicly of his department to observe with respect to placing these containers provided, it was the every overseer had, in this fashion, discovered a way to get the guilty employee and thus to this unsafe practice.

Example of what may be done to prevent occurrence when the overseer is job seriously is found in a mill in eastern Alabama approximately two and one half hours per year which, for the period January 1, 1934 to January 1, 1935, had 18 accidents with a frequency rate of 8.02, and for the period January 1, 1935, to November 1, 1936, had 18 accidents with a frequency rate of 4.02. Not satisfied by this progress, the plant designated the month of November as a "No-Accident" month. They were successful in achieving the record during the month of November. Their campaign through the month. At the time this paper was published, the plant has operated approximately two and one quarter million hours without a single lost time accident.

Wood Products Section

Officers 1936-37

General Chairman—HARRY J. KELLEY, American Seating Co., Grand Rapids, Mich.
Vice-Chairman—WILLIAM HAGGERTY, Edward Hines Lumber Co., Hines, Harney Co., Ore.
Secretary—M. C. GOODSPEED, General Electric Co., Erie, Pa.
News Letter Editor—H. E. SEELY, The Brunswick-Balke-Collender Co., Chicago, Ill.
Engineering Committee Chairman—J. H. LEE, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Rockford, Ill.
Membership Committee Chairman—S. T. DIBSDALE, The J. G. Brill Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
Poster Committee Chairman—R. L. WOODS, Fisher Lumber Corp., Memphis, Tenn.
Program Committee Chairman—NILS JUELL, Hayes Body Corp., Grand Rapids, Mich.
Publicity Committee Chairman—ROLAND JONES, The Surty Mfg. Co., Inc., Chicago, Ill.
Statistics Committee Chairman—F. A. POLLOCK, Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Co., Chicago, Ill.
Members at Large—
 R. C. BARR, Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Co., San Francisco, Calif.
 F. W. BRAUN, Employers Mutuals, Wausau, Wis.
 E. ROSS FARRA, Grand Rapids Safety Council, Grand Rapids, Mich.
 LOUIS W. KERBERG, Mengel Body Co., Louisville, Ky.
 J. A. PURDY, Michigan Mutual Liability Co., Detroit, Mich.

Officers Elected for 1937-38

General Chairman—H. E. SEELEY, The Brunswick-Balke-Collender Co., Chicago, Ill.
Vice-Chairman—J. H. LEE, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Rockford, Ill.
Secretary—M. C. GOODSPEED, General Electric Co., Erie, Pa.
News Letter Editor—S. T. DIBSDALE, The J. G. Brill Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
Membership Committee Chairman—R. L. WOODS, Fisher Lumber Corp., Memphis, Tenn.
Publicity Committee Chairman—ROLAND JONES, The Surty Manufacturing Co., Inc., Chicago, Ill.
Statistics Committee Chairman—F. A. POLLOCK, Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Co., Chicago, Ill.
Members at Large—
 R. C. BARR, Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Co., San Francisco, Calif.
 F. W. BRAUN, Employers Mutuals, Wausau, Wis.
 E. ROSS FARRA, Grand Rapids Safety Council, Grand Rapids, Mich.
 LOUIS W. KERBERG, Mengel Body Co., Louisville, Ky.
 J. A. PURDY, Michigan Mutual Liability Co., Detroit, Mich.
 HARRY J. KELLEY, American Seating Co., Grand Rapids, Mich.

MONDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 11, 1937

The session was called to order by General Chairman Harry J. Kelley, American Seating Co., Grand Rapids, Mich., who introduced the speakers.

Combating the Dust Problem in the Wood Products Industry

By J. G. SISSMAN

Director of Research, Surty Manufacturing Company, Inc., Chicago, Ill.

The best protection against fire in wood working plants is dust control cleanliness, which means keeping the air dust-free, preventing the accumulation of litter on floors, benches, and tools, and guarding against the accumulation of dust on oily moving parts where friction will readily raise the temperature to the burning point. An adequate dust collecting system is the best protection against wood-shop fires.

Remember, a dust collecting system itself must be designed with this fact in mind; fire as well as air and dust can be carried through air ducts.

The fire hazards in wood plants are well known. A study of such hazards, made by the National Fire Protective Association which published a report in 1932, gives a full analysis of some 1,700 fires in wood-working plants and shows the proportion of danger in different parts of the plant and the ratio of fires of dust origin in comparison with others.

The explosion hazard also has been carefully surveyed. It is present when dust is sufficient for combustion to be instantaneous over a considerable area. Explosion hazards, like fire hazards are best controlled by ventilation which precludes the accumulation of dangerous dust in plant atmosphere.

Fire danger is present in wood working operations whether performed in open sheds or totally enclosed buildings. Explosion hazard is increased with enclosure of the process in closed buildings. Bulletins 562 and 617 U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, and several pamphlets of the National Safety Council contain complete safety information for prevention of dust explosions. They sum up the collected, classified knowledge in this field and point out the close linkage between fire hazard and explosion hazard and show that either may be the cause of or the consequence of the other. These bulletins in conjunction with the rules or laws effective in your own state for removal of dust and gases, will enable you to work out a campaign against the hazard of dust explosion.

The nuisance problem in woodworking plants also requires dust control. Nuisance

problems fall into several groups. Dust may impair the value of the product by marring its finish. Furniture factories and cabinet makers suffer most from this nuisance. Dust may cause accidents by making the footing uncertain, by hiding obstructions on or defects in floors or the exact location of knives and cutting edges. Dust of any kind in the eyes is a nuisance and a dangerous nuisance. Nuisance dust, like fire hazard dust and explosive dust is adequately and satisfactorily controlled by ventilation.

Wood working dusts, with the possible exception of a relatively small amount of abrasive material which they contain, are bulky. There must be continuous removal of wastes of manufacture to leave room for men, machines and work in the plant. For many years this was the only aspect of the case considered. Broom and shovel methods, which once were standard in the wood working trade for removal of wastes, were sufficient and would still be sufficient, but, the presence of other hazards of wood dusts complicate the problem. Broom and shovel methods are no longer acceptable. Moving air is the one effective means of disposal of wood wastes because it does so in a satisfactory manner and because it fits into the scheme for guarding against other hazards.

The material so collected is frequently usable either as fuel within the plant or is salvagable and saleable which helps reduce substantially the cost of safeguarding the plant. This is the only phase of the dust control problem which shows a direct profit. The others are an immediate charge on the industry which must be met as part of general overhead. They show their profit indirectly in the prevention of injuries to men and the saving of the cost of injury to industry.

The last of the quintette, according to my classification, is wood dust as a health hazard. This quint has several characteristics: effect of wood dust on the human system; effect of abrasive dusts on the human system; effect of dust or materials other than wood or abrasive used in place of wood or in combination with it, on the human system; effect of dust resulting from the combination of the human system.

Wood
of silica
innocuous
quantities
dust is n

The in
York fo
Adelaide
ship ber
ease is s
wood we
the dusts
respirato
working
are not p
more silic
Studies t
present in
not been r
tail over a
statistical
working i
there is se
by the high
culosis.

In the st
death rate
of the res
standard o
150; stone
wood work
facturers 2
is a true pic
ing trades
as compare
obvious tha
mental to h

Reports
England and
situation. U
workers are
with agricul
59 per cent
pared with
180. In the
is higher, pe
than wood d
where they b
eighty-eight tr
rated from
respiratory
rate 117, oth
"bronchitis"
wood worker
met makers 5
cepting under

000180

Products Industry

ny, Inc., Chicago, Ill.

to several groups. Dust may be of the product by, marring furniture factories and cabinet most from this nuisance. Dust is removed by making the footing and obstructions on or de- for the exact location of knives. Dust of any kind in the face and a dangerous nuisance. Like fire hazard dust and ex- inadequately and satisfactorily ventilation.

ing dusts, with the possible a relatively small amount of material which they contain, are must be continuous removal manufacture to leave room for and work in the plant. For is was the only aspect of the d. Broom and shovel methods, are standard in the wood work- removal of wastes, were suffi- could still be sufficient, but, the other hazards of wood dusts is a problem. Broom and shovel no longer acceptable. Moving is effective means of disposal of because it does so in a satis- and because it fits into the guarding against other hazards. al so collected is frequently as fuel within the plant or is l saleable which helps reduce the cost of safeguarding the s the only phase of the dust m which shows a direct profit. re an immediate charge on the h must be met as part of gen- l. They show their profit in- the prevention of injuries to saving of the cost of injury

the quintette, according to my is wood dust as a health haz- int has several characteristics: d dust on the human system; asive dusts on the human sys- i dust or materials other than asive used in place of wood or on with it on the human sys- i dust resulting from the finish- on the human system.

Wood dust is not dangerous in the sense of silica or lead dust; but wood dust is not innocuous. Any dust inhaled in sufficient quantities is detrimental to health and wood dust is not excepted.

The industrial bulletin of the state of New York for July, 1936, contains an article by Adelaide Ross Smith in which the relation- ship between wood dust and respiratory dis- ease is set forth. Dr. Smith points out that wood working is a dusty trade and while the dusts are not primarily siliceous, more respiratory disease is found in the wood working plants than in plants where dusts are not present. She suggests that perhaps more silica dust is present than is suspected. Studies to determine which of the dusts present in woodworking are dangerous have not been made, at least not with sufficient de- tail over a long enough period of time. But statistical evidence definitely places wood working in that class of industry in which there is some exposure to silicosis, as shown by the high mortality from pulmonary tuber- culosis.

In the study made by Oliver, in which the death rate of the agriculturist from diseases of the respiratory system was taken as a standard or 100, the rate for potters was 150; stone quarriers 261; cotton workers 244; wood workers 238; masons 215; wood manu- facturers 202; and bakers 177. If this figure is a true picture of deaths in the wood work- ing trades due to respiratory diseases, 238 as compared with 100 as the standard, it is obvious that wood dusts are definitely detri- mental to health.

Reports from the Registrar General of England and Wales, show the same general situation. Under the English system wood workers are rated at 103 per cent, compared with agricultural workers who are rated at 99 per cent. Woodworkers rate 339 com- pared with the general population rate of 180. In the case of cabinet makers the rate is higher, perhaps because more dusts other than wood dusts are present in the atmos- phere they breathe. One hundred and sev- enty-eight trades are listed in this report, rated from 1 to 178. Under the heading "respiratory tuberculosis" cabinet makers rate 117, other wood workers 81. Under "bronchitis" cabinet makers rank 121, other wood workers 64. Under "pneumonia" cab- inet makers 51, other wood workers 28. Ex- cepting under the heading of "pneumonia,"

cabinet makers do not rank well. Other wood workers seem to occupy a middle place.

Dust in wood working trades is therefore a definite hazard to the health of workers and of particular significance in the impetus which it gives to diseases of the respiratory system.

Of less actual significance, but of consider- able interest because it is seldom considered as a hazard to wood workers, is contact with woods or wood dusts which are poisonous. Bulletin 616 issued by the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, also the Handbook of Labor Statistics, 1936 edition, contain two interesting references to poisonous woods. The first of these, which appears on page 350, refers to an outbreak of dermatitis in a wood working plant employing 100 men. As a result of contact with Brazilian wal- nut wood dusts, 11 cases, a rate of about 10 per cent developed dermatitis of varying severity which, in some cases, incapacitated the man for several weeks. The inference was that a large measure of tolerance of toxins in that particular wood can be devel- oped.

An extensive account appears on page 354 of the same bulletin. It is a reprint of a bulletin issued by the International Union of Wood Workers for September-October, 1931. This bulletin states that there are many woods, particularly those grown in the tropics, which contain active poisons.

The actual number of cases reported is relatively small. This is due to the slow ac- tion of the dust and the fact that many physicians are not familiar with occupational exposure or the resulting disabilities, and so do not associate the illness with patients' trades.

The most frequent symptom of wood poi- son is dermatitis which may be slight or severe. Other effects may be palpitation of the heart, shortening of breath, or dizziness. Such symptoms also come from inhaled dust. Repeated contacts do not confer a tolerance of these poisons; in fact they may make one super-sensitive, so that working on ordinari- ly harmless woods causes a re-occurrence of incapacity. The toxic substance occurs as volatile oils or alkaloids. Quite a number of woods are enumerated.

It is the good fortune of the wood working trade to be able largely to stand aside from the most serious dust problem, the problem of silica dust, since so far there is little sta-

000191

show that silica is a substantial danger in the wood working field. This is true despite the reference to the report of Dr. Smith. While that danger is slight at present, it is a growing danger, due to the use of extremely high speed sanding devices for finishing operations, using siliceous material as abrasives, and to the growing use of products of non-wood origin in the woodworking shops. Many of the panels now being worked in wood shops contain high proportions of asbestos and other silicious minerals. Where this is done, the worker may be exposed to concentrations of silica dust sufficient to present a definite hazard. It is well to make occasional survey of your plant to determine exposure continuous or intermittent.

While perhaps finishing operations are not properly a part of the woodworking operation they do occur in so many plants that their existence should be pointed out. Changes in the stains, paints or other finishing coatings causing lead poisoning lessen that hazard compared to 20 or 25 years ago. The nitrocellulose and pyroxylin finishes which are displacing paints, are distinctly dangerous. Volatile materials comprise about three-fourths of the mass, which is then thinned by the addition of other volatiles to several times the original volume.

They are dangerous. In addition to health hazard, these finishes add to the fire and explosion hazard of the wood working plant. Acetone and acetates, ethylenes and alcohols are the principal toxics which enter the new finishes. Descriptions of these ingredients will be found on page 337 of Bulletin 116 previously mentioned.

It appears that, from the point of view of health hazards, these conclusions can be drawn: (1) Wood dust is dangerous to health and increases the incidence of respiratory disease. (2) Dermatitis is a result of contact with woods of tropical origin and their dusts, and will follow exposure. (3) Silicious materials are a factor in the high incidence of pulmonary diseases in the wood working plants. (4) New materials, particularly those having appreciable silica content, present new dangers which must be carefully guarded against. (5) The new surface finishes, to a large extent replacing the lead pigment paints, obviate one danger and introduce new dangers of their own.

Dust then presents five different problems to the wood working trade. A good ventilating system will solve all five problems, reducing dangers in four instances, and in the fifth reducing direct costs.

Good Housekeeping in Woodworking Plants

By JAMES C. WILSON

Vice President, Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Company, Chicago, Ill.
Chicago, Ill.

Good housekeeping is and always has been one of the most important features of a successful manufacturing program. Fundamentally it is the outstanding feature if considered in its broadest terms. It includes not only a sensible, intelligent handling of sales, accounting methods, packaging and shipping, but, more specifically, production methods and the habits of the men engaged in production.

It seems obvious to us that when a new plant is being designed or when an old one is being rebuilt, the plans should include and provide for good housekeeping through the following details:

A complete and efficient dust collecting system is a basic necessity, and once installed it must be serviced constantly to retain its efficiency. The removal of all waste material from floors and around machines is a full-time job requiring definite assignment to a clean-up crew.

In laying out the machine setup, good housekeeping requires an intelligent plan under which the machines are grouped so that the progress of stock being processed is such that there is a continuous flow towards completion with no back-tracking.

In many of the older plants, the output has changed and new machines have been

added. This is a costly housekeeping

Proposed gears altered

The equipped hoods many in very machinery constant open riskers ment ar generally exhaust dangerous

The fire insu building space be sheds, et his fire d and fire

With an intelli stalling points of regular gram, we

Is each job? Inquires a know tha running varicose ing lumb keeping.

cause of working c

Why do problem is it so imp just happ

One diff accidents considerat pensation importance unless he production which is aid by an

000182