George J. Levinskas, Ph.D.
Manager, Environmental Assessment & Toxicology
Monsanto Co.
800 N. Lindbergh Blvd.
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

Re Aroclor - 2 year Rat Study

Dear George.

In regards to the comments and questions conveyed your letter, dated July 18, 1975; pertaining to the above, please note the following:

1) We will amend our statement in the last paragraph on page 2 of the Aroclor 1254 report to ~~to~~ read "does not appear to be carcinogenic" in place of "slightly tumorgenic" as requested.

2) In regards to the animals numbers in the Aroclor 1242 and 1260 reports, they are correct in our final revised report. In the original reports the ~~[illegible]~~ were ~~[illegible]~~.

Aroclor titles for these two materials were reversed. ~~The animal numbers pertaining to the reports to additional laser~~

PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 324 10-15-86 ag

014111

3) The animal identification numbers appearing in the reports on re-evaluation of additional liver sections are the same as those in our original report. The animals were not re-numbered.

4). We cannot find any discrepancy in animal identification numbers in the reports (original, re-evaluation, final revision) on Aroclor 1254. However, in the report on re-evaluation of additional liver sections, dated March 24, 1975; there was a typographical error on page 1. which refered to Aroclor 1260 instead of 1254. Perhaps this is the basis of your confusion.

014112

WATER_PCB-SD0000036094