

EASTWELL LABORATORY FOR TOXICOLOGY
AND INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE

September 9, 1972

TO: C. F. Reinhardt
FROM: R. J. Ruziak

S&T "Asbestos"

Here are my comments to D. Windsor's letter:

- 2.1 I don't see the necessity for adding any statement about smoking. (If smokers haven't gotten the message by this time, I don't see where mentioning the point that smokers exposed to asbestos have a significantly higher potential for getting lung cancer.)
- 6. Medical Examinations. - I think it should be included. However, I think there is one oversight in this paragraph and the whole S&T - it should be OSHA regulations Section 1910.33g.

Additional comments on S&T

- 2.3 ~~Revised~~ Whenever feasible, nonasbestos materials should be considered for substitution of asbestos materials.
- 3.1.4 ~~Revised~~ Block insulation with a glass coating produces a minimal amount of dust during handling.
- 3.4.2.1 Why is this section "Blocked"? I don't believe that this is an OSHA regulation.
- 6.1 I disagree with the last statement. Read the Regulations - There are other materials that need monitoring without the implication of this wording that implies the excessive amount of sampling for asbestos. This statement should be included in its entirety or the sampling interval should only be suggested.

DUP 0901894

LS C3D

DU 023277

C. F. Reinhardt

- 2 -

September 5, 1972

- 6.2 Revised Equipment. Sampling equipment will consist of a pump and a filtration unit. Samples may be taken with a portable battery operated air sampler attached to the employee's belt or placed in the work area.
- 6.2.2 No need to include (0.8 micron pore). This is inherent in the Millipore Catalog designation.

RJR/bah

DUP 0901895

LS 031

DU 023278