

To: Jackson, Ryan[jackson.ryan@epa.gov]
From: David Schnare
Sent: Tue 6/27/2017 10:46:49 PM
Subject: Head's up on two things.

Either you or Scott will be getting a call from either Chairman Smith (House Sci Tech) or his chief of staff (Mark Marin), suggesting that EPA recall and replace **Lisa Matthews, Environmental Protection Agency**, currently serving as the Executive Director of the NSTC Committee on Environment, Natural Resources, and Sustainability at OSTP. This position has management responsibility over the entire committee and can stop action on the rewrite of the National Climate Assessment, a quiet effort now under way to impose the IPCC view of the science on the U.S. government. Replacing Matthews will allow development of a "red team" at OSTP to reexamine the basis for the assessment. EPA will be at arms length on this redux of the science.

Second item. Scott delivered remarks to a conservative policy group last week. Attendees noted that he made "remarks" about the ineptitude of "the bureaucracy" and regaled them with the speed with which the retraction of the ICR on methane was done. Scott and others laughed when I told him that it would take slightly over a week to get the first registered letter out and it would be completed within a couple of weeks. Apparently Scott is telling people that through his direction, the letters went out the next day. They did not and some people at the meeting knew they had not. If he keeps telling this story, eventually someone in the Agency is going to hear about it and contact the press, harming Scott's credibility.

Scott never asked me why it would take over a week to get the process started and at that point I didn't impose on him with the facts. I never thought he would boast about all this, but now that he has put the incident into his stump speech, he needs to learn about how this kind of thing must be done and why it actually took the amount of time I told him it would take.

In one long sentence, this is what had to (and did) happen. OAR was directed to send out the letters as registered letters to each party who got them before; OAR management had to find a contract under which to perform that task and a project manager to handle it; the project manager had to draft a scope of work; that had to be transmitted to the contracts office who had to validate that the contract could perform that scope of work under the scope of the contract; the contract officer then had to prepare a task order which they had to send to the contractor; the contractor had to prepare a work plan that listed who would do the work, their seniority and their hourly rates, along with a total cost, to be transmitted back to the contract officer; the contract officer had to send the work plan to the project officer to ensure the plan would do what was wanted and that the right mix of contract staff was assigned; the project officer then had to approve the work plan, send it back to the contract officer who then had to send a formal approval letter to the contractor. Only then could the contractor begin. In the mean time, the project officer had to draft a formal withdrawal letter which had to be ok'd by OGC. At that point, the contractor had to clean up the mailing list which was flawed in the first place (many returned original letters) and the contractor staff had to hand write the registration labels for the several thousands of letters. The first letter went out 8 days after Scott directed the work be done and the final letter went out just over two weeks thereafter.

d.

--

David W. Schnare, Esq. Ph.D.

To: Davis, Gail[Davis.Gail@epa.gov]; EPA@BCDTRAVEL.COM[EPA@BCDTRAVEL.COM]; Jackson, Ryan[jackson.ryan@epa.gov]; Willis, Sharnett[Willis.Sharnett@epa.gov]
From: EPA@BCDTRAVEL.COM
Sent: Tue 6/6/2017 4:54:34 PM
Subject: UPDATED 06Jun - Travel Receipt for JACKSON/RYAN T Travel date 07Jun
Travel Receipt Communication Attachment - [REDACTED] June 7 2017.PDF

TRAVELER NOTICE - Many airlines charge fees for baggage and other services. Amounts vary by airline and are subject to change.

Travelers are responsible for verifying all fees charged by individual carriers.

Please visit the operating carrier website of your ticketed itinerary for applicable fees.

To view your trip via Viewtrip, please click [here](#)

Printer Friendly

Total Amount: 53.24 USD

This ticket information applies to the following trip(s):

Delta Air Lines Flight [REDACTED] from [REDACTED] on June 07 (**Operated By: Endeavor Air Dba Delta Connection**)

Delta Air Lines: [REDACTED] to Rome on June 07

ElectronicTicket Number: [REDACTED]

Invoice Number: 000168606

Ticket Amount: 789.60 USD

Prior Ticket: [REDACTED]

Old Ticket Value: 1,842.76 USD

Penalty/Exchange Fee: 0.00 USD

Add/Collect: 0.00 USD

Form of Payment: CA*****5946

Service Fee Number: 8900693781330

Service Fee Amount: 53.24 USD

Form of Payment: CA*****5946