

1. In your letter of January 8 to Mervyn G. Bervin, Inc. we received our first advice that the report developed later that a on December 18, 1950. It appears, but this might be a holiday flood of mail. In any case, it never reached us.

2. On January 23 the request was made of Dr. Willett that he send us a copy of his report as the earliest time, and on February 2 he wired that the report had been sent at the time and in the manner indicated. Our wire on the same date gave the correct address for sending another copy.

3. The copy arrived at the Ketter Laboratory on May 6, at which time we were in Baltimore at the conference with our group.

The date of the conference was set as soon as we could arrange it to the mutual convenience of all concerned. The data our own observations had been assembled and studied for their own sake, but at the time the date of the conference was set, we had every expectation that Dr. Willett's report would be received in time to give it consideration. Such a report could not change our data or their potential significance, broadly speaking, but it could aid, as the weather observations were intended to do, in interpreting

大正 0004380

N 6985

March 1, 1951

Mr. H. Vernon Eney
Armstrong, Machen and Eney
10 Light Street
Baltimore 2, Maryland

Dear Mr. Eney:

We have discussed your letter of February 24 addressed to Mr. Roberts in the light of our recent conference with the Group of Attorneys and Industrialists on February . We are prepared to accept your decision with reference to the additional data on the weather and will prepare our report at the earliest possible time.

With respect to the contents of the fourth paragraph of your letter, we cannot but feel that we and ourselves are a little to speak at crossed purp At the time of our last meeting, endeavored to explain what did not have the report of either vice, Inc., and why we w into the conference on the to prevent ly set without having had the benefit of studying it. o that t record may be clear, it seems advisable restate the circumstances and their sequence.

pone the conference until we should have viewpoint, the conference served a useful end in mind - and without repeating the relationship at the conference, I had our position clear, to the satisfaction of if not, I am sorry, since I fear that we of our professional responsibility to our whichever term should apply - and to the poses are to obtain the facts, as fully sound advice based on such facts and upon advice is not acceptable, we are sorry, that reason. If it is tentative, for the tion, it is still relevant in that it indicates further information in extending it and in specific situation more adequately. That at the time of the conference. Our advice organizations stands as given, despite the will be more specific in its direct bearing on the question which is under study.

Your implication that we have been somewhat strained relationships with Dr. Willett is a charge taken lightly. As you knew, Mr. Roberts and Mr. Dr. Willett on October 27, 1950 after he field results. There was and is no disagreement necessarily separate interpretation of our the date. Moreover, his report is in no way in or with our general conclusions. At the Dr. Willett, we discussed the possibility of obtaining information on the degree of persistence in the direction in the Baltimore area. He said that funds would be required to obtain such data from the further funds of your group, because of the application of the results of such observations in the future, and because of the delay involved. Recently you gentlemen have come to a compromise which has been accepted as a fact, I answer to a problem of the scope of that promised, nor could it be promised. In the series of problems, here, which have been discussed previously, and which involve the development and coordination of disciplines and fields of study regarded as related. Investigators have been working as they come, with the funds that can be raised and with the interest and cooperation of such

K0504381

I have gone to some length, possibly un-
on your letter, since we are rather new
responsibility for fair and effective
trust I have made our position clear.

SAK of

C.C.: Mr. William D. Macmillan
Mr. Charles C. G. Evans
Mr. Julius A. Victor
Mr. Horwood B. Orrick
Mr. C. H. Spargo
Mr. C. E. Smith

KE 0004