

Daniel J. Ross, et ux
v.
Conoco, Inc., et al

cross reference: 12 of 22

Our File No.: 1395-0128

Phase I (formally Phase II)
Box 15

VC Makarov & Makarenki - the Effects of & VC
VC Marsteller & Lebach - Splenomegalic L Workers
VC Mason - Cancer Mortality in U.S. Coun Related Industries
VC Mastrangelo, et al - PVC Pneumoconiosis Study
VC Metabolism
VC Miller - Changes in Pulmonary Function to VC
VC Monitoring 1978 - 1979
VC Monitoring 1976 - 1977
VC Monitoring 1975
VC Monitoring 1974 - #2
VC Monitoring 1974 - #1
VC Monitoring 1969 - 1973
VC Monitoring - Industrial Hygiene Survey
VC Monitoring - Monthly Personnel Summary
VC Monitoring - Other
VC Monitoring - UCC Distribution
VC Monson, et al - Mortality Among VC Workers
VC Muratov, et al - Max. Permissible Concentration in the Air
VC Mutagenesis
VC National Institute of Health Reports
VC Nicholson, et al - Mortality Experience
VC Nicholson, W.J. - Occupational Hazard
VC NIOSH - Industrial Hygiene Survey
VC NIOSH - Industrial Hygiene Report

**PRIVILEGED AND
"CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL
SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE
ORDER"**

UCC
033631

CC: ADS TBC GFT
RHW RLF
RWC RER

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
270 PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10017
LAW DEPARTMENT

RECEIVED
MAY 14 1975
R. N. WHEELER, JR.

May 7, 1975

Mr. Merle E. Eisenhauer
Product Manager
Vinyl Resins
Chemicals and Plastics Division
Post Office Box 471
Texas City, Texas

Re: OSHA Vinyl Chloride Monomer
Standard - Test Reliability

Dear Mr. Eisenhauer:

I have been in receipt of your letter of April 29, 1975 in which you indicate some question as to whether the methods of testing for vinyl chloride intended for use in connection with OSHA regulations will be as appropriate in the field under actual plant working conditions as in the laboratory and indicating that perhaps further review would be helpful in ascertaining the answer to this question.

There is no doubt in my mind but that it would be important for us to insure that our testing methods are valid both in the field and in the laboratory. It is particularly important for us not only in the event of citations against Union Carbide by OSHA but also in the event that we determine the need for assisting our customers in defending against OSHA citations where we have specified our product (solution and dispersion resins) to contain less than one part per million retained monomer by weight.

If there is no question as to the validity of our sampling techniques, I certainly think it would be necessary to have such techniques validated both for the field as well as for the laboratory for the reasons above stated.

Very truly yours,

John W. Whittlesey

JWW:me

cc: A. S. Hart, Esq.

PRIVILEGED AND
"CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL
SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE
ORDER"

UCC
030934