6.

EPA had a strange chart in the background that received considerable media attention. Based on Gibson's description, it looked something like the following:



Bailey fact!

At levels found in our environment — no evidence of human effects

But based on animal tests — we consider it a potential (suspected) carcinogenic

Calls for labeling transformers: So workers will know what is in them!

GE (Hudson) - 1#/day but land around is soaked & rains result in 5# day -

EPA wants "zero" discharge but if not possible — ounces/day!

✓ Will you push Monsanto to establish timetable to stop mfg. when you meet in January [illegible]

users [went from 84 to 115]

MONS C60800

700M Produced since 1929

400M entered Environment

[500M not in service]

200M landfill

100M degrades

100M air

W. Easley

NGK PLANT interest by media - another - asbestos dioptine issue?

What know about effects of plant workers at Sargent on residents.

They obviously must inhale - residues - since HS made in such large quantities.

Monsanto discharge - 2-3 #/day. into municipal treatment plant.

Labrow - Monsanto less than 5 #/day

Quantities in environment not from Monsanto plant but from quantities it ships to others -

People around plant + Plant Community not problem - Food is the problem - not only fish -

MONS 060801

20X more persistent than DDT -
Causes tumors in animal

Time bomb - delayed action —

its in sediment, water, land, ban there for years

-> I will push users to eliminate non-essential uses — where fire protection is non-essential —

where fire safety is necessary — I will urge R&D to develop substitutes.

Will suggest Monsanto stop —

Train No — That would shut down electrical power industry / railroads in short order — we must find a way to proceed w/o shutting countrydown

MONS 060802