1963

Volume 1

National Safety Congress Transactions

ALL-STATE LEGAL®
PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
08609-00

GENERAL SESSIONS

ANNUAL MEETING OF MEMBERS
CONGRESS BANQUET
LIST OF EXHIBITORS
GENERAL INDEX OF ALL VOLUMES

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

ALL-STATE LEGAL®
PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
WVRR 052

VOLUME 1 OF THE TRANSACTIONS

of the

51st NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS

CONTENTS

Five Years of Future Dates of the National Safety Congress 3

Officers of the National Safety Council 1963-64 5

Trustees of the National Safety Council 1963-64 7

Board of Directors of the National Safety Council 1963-64 10

ANNUAL MEETING OF MEMBERS

Invocation ..... *The Rev. Evagoras Constantinides* 21

Minutes of the Annual Meeting 22

Now, It's My Turn! ..... *Gayle Gillen* 25

A Traffic Report to the Nation ..... *Ray M. Whitton* 27

Address of the President ..... *Howard Pyle* 32

NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS BANQUET

Minutes of the Banquet Meeting 35

A Businessman's View of Safety in an Accelerating Society ..... *Stanley Learned* 37

EXHIBITORS—51st NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS & EXPOSITION 41

Index to All (27) Volumes of 1963 National Safety Congress Transactions 55

Other Volumes in 1963 National Safety Congress Transactions ..... Back Cover

National Safety Council

OFFICERS — 1963-64

Chairman, Board of Directors—STANLEY LEARNED, President, Phillips Petroleum Company, Bartlesville, Oklahoma

President—HOWARD PYLE, President, National Safety Council, Chicago, Illinois

Vice President for Farms—BRUCE LOURIE, Vice President, Deere & Company, Moline, Illinois

Vice President for Finance—ROBERT T. ROSS, Manager, Employee Programs Department, Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, Michigan

Vice President for Homes—J. P. WARNER, Vice President and General Manager, Manufacturing Division, Humble Oil & Refining Company, Houston, Texas

Vice President for Industry—J. S. QUINTER, Manager, Safety and Fire Protection Division, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware

Vice President for Labor—HUNTER P. WHARTON, General President, International Union of Operating Engineers, Washington, D. C.

Vice President for State and Local Safety Organizations—LOUIS H. ANTOINE, Resident Vice President, The American Insurance Group, St. Louis, Missouri

Vice President for Membership—JOHN L. GILLIS, Vice President, Monsanto Chemical Company, St. Louis, Missouri

Vice President for Motor Transportation—MARK ROBISON, Vice President, Yellow Transit Freight Lines, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri

Vice President for Production—HUGH CURTIS, Dean, School of Journalism, Drake University, Des Moines, Iowa

Vice President for Public Information—CHARLES W. PRAUSNITZ, Senior Editor, The Reader's Digest, Pleasantville, New York

National Safety Council

OFFICERS — 1963-64

Chairman, Board of Directors—STANLEY LEARNED, President, Phillips Petroleum Company, Bartlesville, Oklahoma

President—HOWARD PYLE, President, National Safety Council, Chicago, Illinois

Vice President for Farms—BRUCE LOURIE, Vice President, Deere & Company, Moline, Illinois

Vice President for Finance—ROBERT T. ROSS, Manager, Employee Programs Department, Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, Michigan

Vice President for Homes—J. P. WARNER, Vice President and General Manager, Manufacturing Division, Humble Oil & Refining Company, Houston, Texas

Vice President for Industry—J. S. QUEENER, Manager, Safety and Fire Protection Division, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware

Vice President for Labor—HUNTER P. WHARTON, General President, International Union of Operating Engineers, Washington, D. C.


Vice President for State and Local Safety Organizations—LOUIS H. ANTOINE, Resident Vice President, The American Insurance Group, St. Louis, Missouri

Vice President for Membership—JOHN L. GILLIS, Vice President, Monsanto Chemical Company, St. Louis, Missouri

Vice President for Motor Transportation—MARK ROBESON, Vice President, Yellow Transit Freight Lines, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri

Vice President for Production—HUGH CURTIS, Dean, School of Journalism, Drake University, Des Moines, Iowa

Vice President for Public Information—CHARLES W. FERGUSON, Senior Editor, The Reader's Digest, Pleasantville, New York



National Safety Council

TRUSTEES — 1963-64

Chairman of the Trustees—HOWARD PYLE, President, National Safety Council, 425 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60611

Vice Chairman of the Trustees—WM. WHITE, Chairman, The Delaware & Hudson Railroad Corporation, 250 Park Avenue, New York, New York

Members

MELVIN H. BAKER, Chairman, National Gypsum Company, Buffalo, New York

JOHN E. BIERWIRTH, Chairman of the Board, National Distillers and Chemical Corporation, New York, New York

JAMES B. BLACK, Chairman, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Francisco, California

S. BRUCE BLACK, Honorary Chairman, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company, Boston, Massachusetts

JUDSON B. BRANCH, President, Allstate Insurance Companies, Skokie, Illinois

D. W. BROSNAN, President, Southern Railway System, Washington, D. C.

WALTER F. CARRY, President, Automobile Carriers Inc., Flint, Michigan

WILLIAM G. CHANDLER, President, Scripps-Howard Supply Company, New York, New York

MORGAN J. DAVIS, Former Chairman, Humble Oil & Refining Company, Houston, Texas

J. DOYLE DEWITT, President, The Travelers, Hartford, Connecticut

E. F. DU PONT, Wilmington, Delaware

JOHN F. GORDON, President, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

JAKE L. HAMON, Dallas, Texas

E. ROLAND HARRIMAN, Brown Brothers Harriman and Company, New York, New York

J. V. HERD, Chairman of the Boards, The Continental Insurance Companies, New York, New York

National Safety Council

(Trustees, continued)

WILLIAM A. HEWITT, President, Deere & Company, Moline, Illinois

FREDERICK R. KAPPEL, Chairman, American Telephone and Telegraph Company, New York, New York

WILLIAM G. KARNES, President, Beatrice Foods Company, Chicago, Illinois

JOHN R. KIMBERLY, Chairman, Kimberly Clark Corporation, Neenah, Wisconsin

JOSEPH L. LANIER, President, West Point Manufacturing Company, West Point, Georgia

STANLEY LEARNED, President, Phillips Petroleum Company, Bartlesville, Oklahoma (Ex-Officio)

GEORGE E. LEIGHTY, President, The Order of Railroad Telegraphers, St. Louis, Missouri

NEIL McELROY, Chairman, The Procter & Gamble Company, Cincinnati, Ohio

JAMES F. OATES, JR., President, The Equitable Life Assurance Society, New York, New York

ROBERT S. OELMAN, Chairman and President, The National Cash Register Company, Dayton, Ohio

ROBERT G. PAGE, President, Phelps Dodge Corporation, New York, New York

SHERBURNE PRESCOTT, Belle Haven, Greenwich, Connecticut

GWILYM A. PRICE, Chairman, Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

HOWARD PYLE, President, National Safety Council, 425 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60611 (Ex-Officio)

WALTER P. REUTHER, Vice President, American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations, Detroit, Michigan

W. S. S. ROGERS, Former Chairman, Texaco Inc., New York, New York

ARTHUR E. STODDARD, President, Union Pacific Railroad Company, Omaha, Nebraska

National Safety Council

(Trustees, continued)

DR. JOHN F. THOMPSON, Honorary Chairman, The International Nickel Company, Inc., New York, New York

JUAN T. TRIPPE, President, Pan American World Airways, Inc., New York, New York

JOHN L. WEINBERG, Partner, Goodman, Sachs & Co., New York, New York

WM. WHITE, Chairman, The Delaware & Hudson Railroad Corporation, New York, New York

ROBERT W. WOODRUFF, Chairman, Finance Committee, The Coca-Cola Company, Atlanta, Georgia

LESLIE B. WORTHINGTON, President, United States Steel Corporation, New York, New York

National Safety Council

BOARD OF DIRECTORS — 1963-64

Chairman of the Board of Directors—STANLEY LEARNED, President, Phillips Petroleum Company, Bartlesville, Oklahoma

Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors—DR. LOWELL B. FISHER, Chairman, North Central Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois

Members

EDWARD S. ADAMS, Director of Safety, Iowa Farm Bureau, Des Moines, Iowa

FRANK R. AHLGREN, Editor, The Commercial Appeal, Memphis, Tennessee

ROBERT H. ALBISSER, Safety Manager, Merck Chemical Division, Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, New Jersey

FINLAY C. ALLAN, Assistant to the President, United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America, Washington, D. C.

HOMER ALLEN, Associate Professor, Physical Education, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana

W. M. ALLISON, Senior Safety Director, British Columbia Lumber Manufacturers Association, Vancouver, B. C., Canada

LOUIS H. ANTOINE, Resident Vice President, The American Insurance Group, St. Louis, Missouri

JOHN C. BAINE, President, Transit Services Corporation, St. Louis, Missouri

DR. DEWEY F. BARICH, President, Detroit Institute of Technology, Detroit, Michigan

CURTIS BARNES, Executive Vice President, Finance and Property, United Air Lines, Chicago, Illinois

F. R. BARNARD, Manager of Compensation and Safety, Bethlehem Steel Company, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania

RICHARD O. BENNETT, Secretary-Treasurer, The Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, Washington, D. C.

M. F. BIANCARDI, Manager, Safety Services, Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Company, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

J. T. BLALOCK, President, Pacific Coast Advisory Association, Los Angeles, California

National Safety Council

(Board of Directors, continued)

CLARK D. BRIDGES, Managing Director, Industrial Medical Association, Chicago, Illinois

ROBERT U. BROWN, Editor, Editor & Publisher, New York, New York

RUSSELL I. BROWN, President, Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, Washington, D. C.

E. J. BUHNER, Chairman of the Board, The Silver Fleet Motor Express, Inc., Louisville, Kentucky

ROBERT R. BURTON, Executive Vice President, Campbell-Mithun, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

BERNARD R. CALDWELL, Consultant, The Traffic Institute, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois

EARL F. CAMPBELL, Managing Director, California Traffic Safety Foundation, San Francisco, California

ALFRED W. CANTWELL, National Director Safety Services, The American National Red Cross, Washington, D. C.

WALTER F. CAREY, President, Automobile Carriers Inc., Flint, Michigan

ALLEN L. COBB, Director of Industrial Safety, Kodak Park Works, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, New York

RABBI SEYMOUR J. COHEN, The Anshe Emet Synagogue, Chicago, Illinois

JOHN D. CONNORS, Assistant to the President, American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations, Washington, D. C.

ERNEST G. COX, Chief, Section of Motor Carrier Safety, Bureau of Motor Carriers, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C.

STANLEY W. COX, Vice President, St. Joseph Light and Power Company, St. Joseph, Missouri

BREVARD CRIHFIELD, Executive Director, The Council of State Governments, Chicago, Illinois

NEIL J. CURRY, President, California Cartage Company, Inc., Los Angeles, California

HUGH CURTIS, Dean, School of Journalism, Drake University, Des Moines, Iowa

E. M. CUSHING, Vice President, Industrial Relations, United States Rubber Company, New York, New York

M. R. Darlington, Jr., Managing Director, Auto Industries Highway Safety Committee, Inc., Washington, D. C.

Hon. Frances L. Dawson, Illinois State Legislator, Evanston, Illinois

John Denson, Executive Editor, New York Journal American, New York, New York

Claude de St. Paer, Assistant Director, Program Development Division, American Farm Bureau Federation, Chicago, Illinois

J. Dewey Dorsett, General Manager, Association of Casualty and Surety Companies, New York, New York

Miss Dorothy Downs, Assistant to Director of Engineering, Firemen's Mutual Insurance Company, Providence, Rhode Island

James D. Dunlop, Director of Manpower Activities, National Association of Manufacturers, New York, New York

E. F. du Pont, Wilmington, Delaware

J. George Eichhorn, Grand Lodge Representative, International Association of Machinists, Chicago, Illinois

F. T. Fahlen, Jr., Vice President, Arizona Public Service Company, Phoenix, Arizona

Henry W. Fales, Vice President—Manufacturing, St. Croix Paper Company, Woodland, Maine

D. A. Farrell, Director of Safety, United States Steel Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Charles W. Ferguson, Senior Editor, The Reader's Digest, Pleasantville, New York

R. H. Ferguson, Assistant Director of Industrial Relations, Republic Steel Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio

A. C. Field, Jr., Manager, Public Affairs, WGN Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Dr. Lowell B. Fisher, Chairman, North Central Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois

Parker C. Folse, Safety Advisor, Socony Mobil Oil Company, New York, New York

Benson Ford, Vice President, Ford Motor Company, The American Road, Dearborn, Michigan

Harry E. Foulkrod, Vice President—Marketing, Fruehauf Trailer Company, Detroit, Michigan

EDWARD G. FOX, President, Bituminous Coal Operators' Association, Washington, D. C.

WESLEY M. FRANTZ, President, Eastern Express, Inc., Terre Haute, Indiana

ROBERT G. FRAZIER, M.D., Secretary, American Academy of Pediatrics, Evanston, Illinois

E. A. FREDERICK, Vice President, Allstate Insurance Company, Menlo Park, California

MRS. GERTRUDE H. FREER, General Personnel Director, New York Telephone Company, New York, New York

A. H. GALLOWAY, President, R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, Winston-Salem, North Carolina

ARTHUR P. GELDER, Secretary-Treasurer, United Brewery Workers, Cincinnati, Ohio

JOHN L. GILLIS, Vice President, Monsanto Chemical Company, St. Louis, Missouri

ROBERT E. GOCKE, Vice President, Safety, The Greyhound Corporation, Chicago, Illinois

GEORGE L. GOEBEL, Manager, Safety and Fire Protection, Monsanto Chemical Company, St. Louis, Missouri

WM. PAUL GRAY, National Executive Secretary, Future Farmers of America, Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D. C.

C. HUNTER GREER, Vice President and General Manager, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, Louisville, Kentucky

GERARD O. GRIFFIN, Manager, Hazard Control, Dravo Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

J. V. GRIMALDI, Consultant, Health, Safety & Plant Protection, General Electric Company, New York, New York

SAMUEL R. GUARD, Manager, Marketing Research, Chicago Sun-Times and Chicago Daily News, Chicago, Illinois

JOHN T. GUBARA, President, Pacific Employers Insurance Company, Los Angeles, California

R. P. HAMILTON, Superintendent of Safety, St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Company, St. Louis, Missouri

D. F. HAYES, Chief, Safety & Fire Protection Branch, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D. C.

ROBERT C. HENDON, Vice President Operations, REA Express, New York, New York

RUSSELL E. HESTON, Director of Engineering, Farmers Mutual Reinsurance Company, Grinnell, Iowa

DONALD M. HIGGINS, Director, Health and Safety Service, National Council, Boy Scouts of America, New Brunswick, New Jersey

RT. REV. MSGR. GEORGE G. HIGGINS, Director, Department of Social Action, National Catholic Welfare Conference, Washington, D. C.

PAUL J. HOOVER, Vice President, The Halle Bros. Co., Cleveland, Ohio

W. G. HOTCHKISS, Director—Corporate Personnel Services, Chrysler Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

W. L. HUFFMAN, Vice President and General Manager, Northwestern Bell Telephone Company, Minneapolis, Minnesota

FRED HULSEN, Corporation Director of Personnel, The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington

WILLIAM S. HULTS, Commissioner, Department of Motor Vehicles, State of New York, Albany, New York

H. M. HUNTINGTON, Supervisor of Safety, International Harvester Company, Chicago, Illinois

DR. HAROLD K. JACK, Director, Department of Health, Physical Education and Recreation, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

MRS. BERNE JACOBSEN, National Safety Chairman, National Congress of Parents and Teachers, Seattle, Washington

GEORGE A. JACOBY, Director of Personnel Relations, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

CLAUDE A. JESSUP, President, Virginia Trailways, Charlottesville, Virginia

NEWELL R. JOHNSON, General Manager, American Mutual Insurance Alliance, Chicago, Illinois

RUDARD A. JONES, Director, Small Homes Council, University of Illinois, Mumford House, Urbana, Illinois

GEORGE D. JOYNER, Secretary, R-C Motor Lines, Inc., Jacksonville, Florida

MISS ELIZABETH KASEY, Safety Consultant, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York, New York

(Board of Directors, continued)

CHARLES H. KELLSTADT, Former Chairman of the Board, Sears, Roebuck and Company, Chicago, Illinois

NORVIN C. KIEFER, M.D., Chief Medical Director, The Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, New York, New York

OTTO Z. KLOPSCH, Works Manager, Inca Manufacturing Division, Phelps Dodge Copper Products Corporation, Fort Wayne, Indiana

WERNER C. KNOOP, Executive Vice President, The Baldwin Company, Little Rock, Arkansas

WALTER K. KOCH, President, Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Denver, Colorado

T. A. KRAKLOW, Director of Safety, Deere & Company, Moline, Illinois

MRS. JOHN E. KREUSER, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

FRANK E. LADNER, Director of Safety, Nationwide Insurance Company, Columbus, Ohio

F. S. LAKE, Director of Safety, Interstate System, Grand Rapids, Michigan

R. J. LAMOUREUX, Director, Safety and Health Division (Canada), United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

EDWARD B. LANDRY, Director of Safety and Health, Bureau of Personnel, United States Post Office Department, Washington, D. C.

ARTHUR B. LANGLIE, Chairman of the Board, McCall Corporation, New York, New York

STANLEY LEARNED, President, Phillips Petroleum Company, Bartlesville, Oklahoma

IVAN F. LEGORE, Safety Director, Portland Cement Association, Chicago, Illinois

EUGENE L. LEIER, Deputy Chief, Division of Accident Prevention, Public Health Service, Washington, D. C.

G. M. LERLICH, Vice President—Operations, Western Maryland Railway Company, Baltimore, Maryland

C. E. LEMON, Director of School Bus Safety, New Mexico State Department of Education, Santa Fe, New Mexico

JAMES D. LOGASON, Superintendent, Thornton Township High Schools and Junior College, Harvey, Illinois

BRUCE LOURIE, Vice President, Deere & Company, Moline, Illinois

WILLIAM H. LOWE, Vice President and Treasurer, Inland Steel Company, Chicago, Illinois

FORST E. LOWERY, Manager, Greater Minneapolis Safety Council, Minneapolis, Minnesota

LOUIS F. LUCAS, Executive Director, National Rifle Association of America, Washington, D. C.

W. G. MACINTOSH, Manager, Engineering Department, Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, New York, New York

ALEX A. MALESKI, Program Service, Associate Director, Boys Clubs of America, New York, New York

H. G. MANGELSDORF, President, Esso Chemical Company, Inc., New York, New York

JOHN L. MARKS, Director—Rural and Education Division, Automotive Safety Foundation, Washington, D. C.

BURTON W. MARSH, Director, Traffic Engineering and Safety Department, American Automobile Association, Washington, D. C.

MISS MARION E. MARTIN, Commissioner of Labor and Industry, State of Maine, Augusta, Maine

STANLEY A. MAYE, Director, Training Activities, National Rifle Association of America, Washington, D. C.

HARRY O. MATHEWS, Vice President, Transportation and Distribution, Armour and Company, Chicago, Illinois

MRS. MARJORIE B. MAY, Director, Education and Home Division, Greater New York Safety Council, Inc., New York, New York

J. H. TYLER MCCONNELL, Secretary and Member of Finance Committee, Hercules Powder Company, Wilmington, Delaware

G. E. MCCOMSON, Chairman of the Board, Thilmany Pulp & Paper Company, Kaukauna, Wisconsin

BROOKS MCCORMICK, Executive Vice President, International Harvester Company, Chicago, Illinois

E. C. MCFARREN, Vice President, Texas Employers' Insurance Association, Dallas, Texas

H. S. MCFARLAND, Director of Personnel Services, General Motors Corporation, General Motors Building, Detroit, Michigan

DR. ROSS MCFARLAND, Professor of Environmental Health and Safety, Division of Environmental Health Sciences, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts

Dr. Harold Mendelsohn, Professor and Director of Research, School of Communication Arts, University of Denver, Denver, Colorado

F. C. Mesner, Vice President—General Manager, Moore Business Forms, Inc., Oakland, California

I. W. Millard, Pompano Beach, Florida

Norman C. Mindrum, Director, National 4-H Service Committee, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

J. T. Monahan, General Manager, Safety Products Division, American Optical Company, Southbridge, Massachusetts

Walter E. Montgomery, Safety Director, Quebec Asbestos Mining Association, c/o Robertson, Hall & Henshaw, Ltd., Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Thomas C. Morrill, Vice President, State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company, Bloomington, Illinois

A. W. Motley, Director, Bureau of Labor Standards, U. S. Department of Labor, Washington, D. C.

Edward C. Myers, Vice President and Assistant to President, United States Steel Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Arthur J. Naquin, Safety Counselor, New Orleans Public Service, Inc., New Orleans, Louisiana

Amos E. Neyhart, Director, Institute of Public Safety, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania

J. E. Nichols, Director of Safety, Reynolds Metals Company, Richmond, Virginia

George P. Nissen, President, Nissen Corporation, Cedar Rapids, Iowa

Robert A. Olen, Executive Vice President, Gar Wood Industries, Inc., Wayne, Michigan

George P. O'Rourke, Sr., Chairman of the Board, O'Rourke Construction Company, Dallas, Texas

C. W. Owens, Vice President, American Telephone and Telegraph Company, New York, New York

R. N. Papich, Safety Consultant, American Gas Association, New York, New York

Mrs. Louis L. Perlman, Wilmette, Illinois

Richard D. Peters, Editor, New York World-Telegram & Sun, New York, New York

M. J. Pitre, Vice President, American Fore Loyalty Group, New York, New York

R. M. Pittenger, Vice President, Farmers Insurance Group, Los Angeles, California

Fletcher N. Platt, Manager, Traffic Safety and Highway Improvement Department, Ford Motor Company, The American Road, Dearborn, Michigan

Harry L. Powell, Assistant to Vice President, The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, Akron, Ohio

Howard Pyle, President, National Safety Council, Chicago, Illinois

J. S. Quenner, Manager, Safety and Fire Protective Division, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware

Rev. Sheldon Rahn, Executive Director, Department of Social Welfare, National Council of Churches, New York, New York

Miss Mildred Reel, Assistant National Adviser, Future Homemakers of America, Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D. C.

Mark Robeson, Vice President, Yellow Transit Freight Lines, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri

Dr. O. Preston Robinson, Editor & General Manager, Deseret News Publishing Company, Salt Lake City, Utah

Admiral E. J. Roland, Commandant, United States Coast Guard, Washington, D. C.

H. I. Romnes, Vice Chairman of the Board, American Telephone and Telegraph Company, New York, New York

Jack J. Rosebrough, Executive Vice President, Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Company of Indiana, Indianapolis, Indiana

Robert T. Ross, Manager, Employee Programs Department, Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, Michigan

James A. Ryder, Chairman, Board of Directors, Ryder System, Inc., Miami, Florida

Mrs. Raymond Sayre, Ackworth, Iowa

C. F. Schlueter, Vice President—Accident Prevention, Employers Mutuals of Wausau, Wausau, Wisconsin

Karl Schulze, Supervising Safety Engineer, Standard Oil Company of California, Western Operations, Inc., San Francisco, California

National Safety Council

(Board of Directors, continued)

E. Weldon Schumacher, President, American Optical Company, Southbridge, Massachusetts

S. M. Sharp, Vice President, Southwestern Electric Power Company, Shreveport, Louisiana

Gordon H. Sheehe, Head, Traffic Center Program, Continuing Education Service, Highway Traffic Safety Center, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan

P. L. Siemiller, General Vice President, International Association of Machinists, Chicago, Illinois

Reuben D. Siverson, Manager, Department of Manufacture, Chamber of Commerce of the United States, Washington, D. C.

V. J. Skutt, President, Mutual of Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska

Donald Slutz, Managing Director, Traffic Safety Association of Detroit, Detroit, Michigan

Earl W. Smith, Managing Director, Baltimore Safety Council, Baltimore, Maryland

John E. Smith, Safety Director, Spencer Chemical Co., Pittsburg, Kansas

Robert R. Snodgrass, President, Atlas Finance Company, Inc., Atlanta, Georgia

S. F. Spence, Director, Safety and Loss Prevention, American Cyanamid Company, Wayne, New Jersey

Herman J. Spoerer, Vice President, Industrial Relations, The Youngstown Sheet and Tube Company, Youngstown, Ohio

K. E. Staley, Vice President, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

George H. Steel, Safety Director, Ralston Purina Company, St. Louis, Missouri

G. C. Stewart, Asheville, North Carolina

Hugh B. Sweeny, Jr., Program Director, Junior Achievement Inc., New York, New York

Edward W. Tanquary, Staff Engineer, Farm Equipment Research and Engineering Center, International Harvester Company, Hinsdale, Illinois

Huntington M. Turner, Vice President, Chemical Bank New York Trust Company, New York, New York

National Safety Council

(Board of Directors, continued)

LLOYD D. UTTER, Director, Industrial Health and Safety Division, United Automobile Workers, Detroit, Michigan

MRS. BERNICE T. VAN DER VRIES, Member, Chicago Transit Board, Chicago Transit Authority, Chicago, Illinois

DONALD G. VAUGHN, Assistant Vice President, Engineering Department, The Aetna Casualty and Surety Company, Hartford, Connecticut

DR. PRESTON A. WADE, Professor of Clinical Surgery, Cornell University Medical School, New York, New York

J. P. WARNER, Vice President and General Manager, Manufacturing Division, Humble Oil & Refining Company, Houston, Texas

MISS MARY M. WEEKS, Program Specialist, Health and Safety Education, Girl Scouts of the United States of America, New York, New York

HUNTER P. WHARTON, General President, International Union of Operating Engineers, Washington, D. C.

GEORGE M. WHEATLEY, M.D., Third Vice President and Medical Director, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York, New York

VICTOR E. WHITEHOUSE, Director of Safety, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Washington, D. C.

REX M. WHITTON, Federal Highway Administrator, U. S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C.

T. H. WILKINSON, Director of Safety, Department of the Army, Washington, D. C.

F. R. WILSEY, Safety Specialist, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana

E. CLARK WOODWARD, Corporate Director of Safety, A. O. Smith Corporation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

DR. D. WILLARD ZAHN, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

CECIL G. ZAUN, Supervisor, Safety and Driver Instruction Section, Los Angeles City Board of Education, Los Angeles, California

1963 National Safety Congress

Motivation

MOTIVATION STRATEGY IN IND BEHAVIOR

By WILLARD A. KERR
Prof. of Psychology, Illinois Institute of Techn

Among motionless bodies there tend to be no accidents—but there tends also to be little life, health, or productivity. Generally it is a body in motion which suffers an accident, and usually even a body engaged in more or less purposeful motion, even constructive motion. An accident victim is a casualty of production much like a soldier may be a casualty of war. Accidents tends to follow the power load in a plant; the more production turned out, the more accidents. So, it is apparent that accidents are related to striving, ambitious, constructive behavior. Yet, somehow, we all know that they don't rightly belong either—that all are somehow avoidable and may be largely eliminated if we persist in our attacks upon the problem. Let us quickly review the three major psychological theories of accident causation and safe behavior.

1. The accident proneness theory, so long over-emphasized, has now been shown to account for less then ten per cent of variance in the accident rate. This is fortunate, for it is a defeatist theory anyway.

2. The adjustment stress theory is well documented as a major explanation; adjustment stress accounts for approximately 55 per cent of the variance in the accident rates of industrial workers. The dramatic reduction in accident rates since 1912 is largely the result of persistent attack upon this problem, the reduction of extreme stress upon the individual; back-breaking drudgery is disappearing and the safety engineer has had tremendous impact on reducing the stress and threat of the workplace. Disturbed biochemical equilibrium due to fatigue, illness, disease, toxic conditions, alochol, etc., remains always as a potential stimulant to increased accidents.

3. The individual-goals-opportunity-freedom-alertness theory is the one to which

we might well
discussion. It is
which holds tha
the level of ale
rewards given
industry, this o
opportunity cli
operational int
that the individ
enticed, to set
long-term goal
be reasonably a
striving makes
truly is one of
really are there

Implementing
motivation for
inesslike proced
policies and ba
relations must
if they are psy
mately, if they
neither are they
this discussion
cident is merely
the accident hap
scrappage, but
it is the same f
man behaviors
Low quality beh
not using the b
opportunity in
genetic intellige
low quality be
but high *operat*
tee.

The problem,
much on eliciting
in the work situ
Antiquity didn't
slavery and all
retreat mentall
staying just ale
seer's whip. Th

)UCING SAFE

ology, Chicago

give major attention in this
psychological climate theory
t the quality of behavior and
rtness rise according to the
or alertness. In business and
f course, means that a true
nate elicits a high level of
lligence. This theory holds
nal must feel free and indeed,
a wide pattern of short and
ambitions which he feels to
ttainable with striving; such
for alertness if the climate
opportunity, if the rewards
and reasonably attainable.

such a theory to induce
safe behavior follows as bus-
ure. Many existing company
sic assumptions in personnel
be re-examined to determine
chologically sound, for, ulti-
are not psychologically sound,
economically sound. Basic to
is the important fact: an ac-
a low quality behavior. If
pens to materials, it is called
he causation is the same, and
or a host of low quality hu-
that are not called accidents.
avior tends to be the result of
rain to exploit environmental
high quality manner. High
nce is no guarantee against
havior, including accidents;
ional intelligence is a guaran-

, then, seems to hinge very
g high operational intelligence
ation. How can this be done?
even try; it institutionalized
owed its main workforce to
y into operational dullness,
rt enough to avoid the over-
e slave's retreat into dullness

was reward
sanity. By
in his work
about his
welfare of
some anima
ing, and sl
those ancie
find ourselv
from alertn
emotional e

But a ne
dominance i
places rewa
alert, creati
of the prot
outlooks an
to induce s

1. We m
monetary in
binations of
for best ove
incentives i
hour. The
production t
increase alo
load, but, d
not rise. Th
tion as to
incentives d
prove the
safety. Pay
incentives g
in the work
during each
sense of co
others.

2. Encou
mobility. B
of personn
substantial
on jobs, on
to be assoc
But it is n
higher tra
lower accid
possibility
suggests *op*
become m
qualified ar
tunities. A
policy is lil
the more e

3. Emph
reprisals. T

was rewarding—in fact, it preserved his sanity. By staying just above the sleep level in his work, he avoided too much thinking about his plight and the whereabouts and welfare of his loved ones, and obtained some animal pleasure out of surviving, eating, and sleeping. We, the descendants of those ancient slaves and serfs, sometimes find ourselves maneuvered into retreating from alertness too in order to preserve our emotional energies and sanity.

But a new psychology is struggling for dominance in the modern world, one which places reward squarely upon the results of alert, creative behavior. Let us look at some of the probable ways in which these new outlooks and research results may be applied to induce safer and higher quality behavior.

1. We must put renewed emphasis upon monetary incentives, providing optimal combinations of piece rate and group incentives for best over-all results. Research shows that incentives increase productivity per man-hour. Theoretically, under this increased production the accident frequency rate should increase along with the rise in the power load, but, dramatically, the accident rate does not rise. This is in such defiance of expectation as to constitute evidence that monetary incentives do increase alertness enough to improve the quality of behavior, including safety. Pay for time expanded into monetary incentives gives the employee a new interest in the work and in production units achieved during each period of the day, including a sense of competition with himself and with others.

2. Encourage inter-departmental transfer mobility. Because a high rate of transfers of personnel among departments implies a substantial number of inexperienced persons on jobs, one would expect such a condition to be associated with a high accident rate. But it is not in fact, departments with the higher transfer mobility rates that have lower accident rates, apparently because the possibility of transfer to other departments suggests *opportunity* to employees and they become more alert in order to become qualified and aware of the specific opportunities. An additional dividend from this policy is likely to be an actual reduction in the more expensive labor turnover.

3. Emphasize the right of appeal without reprisals. The employee needs to be reminded periodically, and emphatically, that he has the right of appeal up the line organization, over the heads of immediate supervision, if injustices are not corrected—and that top management will be ruthless in punishing reprisals for exercise of this basic moral right. Whether or not there is a union, this should be done. The employee is not an optimally free and alert human being unless he feels that he is in a reasonably permissive and just environment.

4. Tolerate and even encourage reasonable nonconformity. Conformists cannot invent or attain new heights of creative behavior. Rigid conformity lowers the quality of behavior, invites the mental dullness which invariably is accompanied by rising accident frequency. Perhaps God is the greatest nonconformist of all, because no two celestial days in the history of the universe have been alike, old celestial bodies growing cold, new ones being born, and the universe expanding. Mutational genetics teaches us the same lesson. Medieval philosophy which saw nature as all order and system simply was ignorant of nature. To be a harmonious part of nature, of the cosmos, man must be creative and free. His social, religious, and educational philosophies should contribute to this. So should his political and economic processes and philosophies. Out of this can come daring and undreamed of enterprises which will be undertaken in almost total safety.

Maximal emancipation of Americans from back-breaking, high-accident labor has come not because they are any more intelligent than other peoples, but because their psychological climate traditionally encourages them to question, criticise, disagree, argue, debate, and "prove a point." Proving a point often results in constructive invention and pushing forward the safety frontier.

5. Put the codified rules of the game in the hands of all participants. A management which does not provide for each employee a handbook of personnel policies is, inevitably, in the mind of the employee, to some degree a lawless management. Ultimately people in a free society refuse to work for a lawless management, write their own rule book, call it a collective bargaining contract, and compel the incompetent management to sign it. This is poetic justice, but the stockholder and consumer may be injured in this

process. Whether or not there is a union, every management has this handbook responsibility; it is a stable constitution of the workplace; it protects the emotional security of the worker so that he can put his alertness toward constructive opportunities where the rewards are real, not in looking over his shoulder to make certain that he will not be the victim of arbitrariness. We fought the American Revolution to get a government of law, not of men. Industry management contains the same problem in miniature, and safe behavior comes out of the emotional security of law.

6. Delegate authority generously and in proportion to responsibility. Whatever is accomplished properly in an organization ultimately must be accomplished through people; therefore, authority must not be hoarded. Delegation of responsibility without delegation of appropriate authority encourages "passing the buck" and irresponsibility at higher levels, so that personnel at lower levels become demoralized or suffer in drive and alertness. When a man is not "running under his own steam," he usually is not running at all—merely "walking" or, likely, "stumbling."

7. Management must maintain a valid image of manifest good intent. Management in the low-accident plant works to build, maintain, and reserve an employee, stockholder, and public image of itself that is ethically good. It is "ahead of the law" in reasonable provisions for the welfare of subordinates, without sacrificing obligations to stockholders and consumers. This requires high intelligence, high ethics, and high statesmanship, but the result can be high quality team performance, safe and creative.

8. Individualism must be actively encouraged. We come utterly insecure from the womb, and at all later ages we retain the primitive impulse to run for some kind of "collectivistic" cover at the first "clap of thunder." To be a true, free individual is difficult, perhaps the greatest challenge is being human; but God made the individual in his own image, not in that of a mob, and it is the individual who ultimately must conduct himself with safety in high quality behavior, on his own and "under his own steam." Research has shown that small groups have higher morals than large groups, and, more recently, that highest productivity comes from single indivi
individuals are add
productivity declin
tion. When person
they tend to settle
in which an accid
truder, detected to
dividual status a
automatic with pe
high quality, safe

Some managem
tion toward perso
subtle indications
and workspaces in
display on desks
as family photos,
offices and workro
terranean slave
results in personne
tivistic attitude to
agement must aba
tation and not jus
actively apply it
Lockers should be
Desks and work
unique individualit
ers should not be
but should be assi
offices, and partiti
extent that practic
respect and the r
alerting rewards
No man's work is
that both he and
The lowest man f
which is of little
little care. That,
quently includes h

9. Breaking in
for successful i
century of resear
levels reveals tha
expected to be eas
aspiration level a
rise. If it is expe
to be difficult, the
it is expected to
be difficult, the a
or even rises. Th
aspiration levels,
the casual, rathe
they are initially
tendency toward
setting. This pe
strong and fright
do well to adhere

duals working alone. As
ed to unit size (up to 49),
es as a straight-line func-
nel are treated collectively
down into a dull lethargy
nt is a misunderstood in-
o late, when accorded in-
id dignity with rewards
formance, their volume of
behavior rises.

nt's collectivistic orienta-
nnel (manifested by such
as painting all machines
dentical colors, forbidding
of such personal matters
, pin-ups, etc., arranging
om in the style of Medi-
galleys, etc.) typically
l responding with a collec-
ward management. Man-
ndon this dangerous orien-
t preach individualism but
at all levels of personnel.
ir names, not just numbers.
ocations should reveal the
y of each employee. Work-
thrown together like cattle
ned separate cubicles, labs,
ned work locations to the
al efficiency permits. Self-
espect of others constitute
of a non-monetary nature.
really safe unless he feels
his work are important.
eels, as you and I, that that
value can be handled with
athetically, but all too fre-
imself.

a new employee must allow
itial experience. Half a
ch on setting of aspiration
t if a new task or job is
y and found to be easy, the
id subsequent performance
ted to be easy and is found
aspiration level drops. If
e difficult and is found to
piration level holds steady
ie astonishing behavior of
however, has to do with
accidental way in which
set followed by the dogged
permanence of the initial
rmanence tendency is so
ning that management will
to two rules in introducing

a new man: (1
under-emphasiz
of the job or
initial experien
succeed and ea
the activity; th
tivity so compe
are strong and
taining alertnes
tivity. Surprisi
in a new job c
a high aspirati
later adversity

10. Insightfu
morale mainte
have more acc
behavior in ge
Morale is essen
level times in
adequacy-achie
feeling of well-
ing resulting
health, comfor
correlation eve
was that betw

Medical

The incidenc
by effective co
men. The pro
if a few rules
easily recalled
one H. The f
counsel. He m
chosen. He m
occur. He w
stances contrib
needs to have
the informatio

Whom

Injuries are
all workers.
prone" man. W
a propensity f
the inevitable.

a new man: (1) over-emphasize rather than under-emphasize the difficulty and challenge of the job or task; and, (2) structure his initial experience so that he is very likely to succeed and earn a confident appetite toward the activity; the intrinsic rewards of the activity so competently performed then usually are strong automatic rewards towards sustaining alertness and safe behavior in the activity. Surprisingly, a few such experiences in a new job cause a man to build and fixate a high aspiration level which rarely yields to later adversity and hard knocks on the job.

10. Insightful attention must be given to morale maintenance. Low morale people have more accidents and more low quality behavior in general than hign morale people. Morale is essentially the product of euphoria level times individual-goals-organizational-adequacy-achievement. Euphoria is one's feeling of well-being which is a totality feeling resulting from adequate sleep, food, health, comfort, etc. Probably the highest correlation ever obtained in safety research was that between the average rated comfort of work and accident frequency rates of factory departments.

Euphoria unquestionably is of prime importance for safe behavior. And so is the other component—an adequate and reasonable pattern of ambitions held with some sense of progress being made. Management can contribute to many positive euphoria factors such as a host of cold drinking fountains, good physical working conditions, adequate interim snacking and eating opportunities, pleasant rest rooms, etc., as all of these constitute alerting short-term goal fulfillments, sustaining safe behavior and holding off frustrative, trigger-happy behaviors.

Management, too, can enrich the constellation of other short and long-term goals that employees at all levels can strive for. They want opportunity. It is management's job and the safety directors' job to help develop and publicize these opportunities. Opportunities cause us to raise our eyes to the future and to do that very unprimitive thing —to *think*, the basis of safe and high quality behavior.

INJURY REDUCTION THROUGH FOREMEN COUNSELING

By DR. K. T. JOHNSTONE

Medical Dir., Central Foundry Div., General Motors Corp., Saginaw, Mich.

The incidence of injuries can be reduced by effective counseling of employees by foremen. The procedure can be made effective if a few rules are observed. These can be easily recalled by the use of four W's and one H. The foreman must know Whom to counsel. He must know Why that man was chosen. He must know Where the injuries occur. He wants to learn What circumstances contributed to the occurrences. He needs to have some ideas as to How to get the information from the worker.

Whom

Injuries are not evenly distributed among all workers. We talk about the "accident prone" man. Webster says that proneness is a propensity for something that approaches the inevitable. I am in thorough agreement with this concept. If injuries are to be reduced we can do more by correcting one injury prone man than by trying to improve any number of already safe men.

Quite a bit has been found out about the accident prone man. We know for instance that all of them together comprise a rather small percentage of the work force. We know that they contribute the majority of all injuries. We know that while the group is quite constant in size, the men that make it up are continually shifting in and out of it.

Up to now we have been able to single out the excessively accident prone man, one that gets two to three injuries of various types each week. How about the fellow that gets hurt once a week or once a month, or once a year? The last one certainly is not a

hazard. The difficulty has been in determining where to draw the line. This can be answered now.

Why

By Why is meant why a certain man is chosen for counseling. Since these men have a propensity for injury, they are reporting injuries with a greater frequency than the average. The logical starting point, then, is the average frequency. This is easily determined. For example, 100 injuries may occur in a certain plant during a week, and during this same week there are 50,000 man-hours of labor used. There have been two injuries for every 1,000 hours of work. The working man will contribute 2,000 man-hours a year (40 hrs. x 50 wks.). This mythical average worker, therefore, has four injuries per year. The accident prone man, by exceeding the average, will have more than four injuries per year and the more he exceeds this, the more accident prone he is.

The determination of the individual's injury rate is an easy procedure. The foreman needs a pocket notebook with a page for each man. When he sends the man to the medical department with an injury, he notes on this page the date and a sentence or two regarding the circumstances of the injury. As these notes accumulate, they will contain a wealth of information ready at hand. There will be occasion to refer to this notebook as the program develops. It is from this notebook that the foreman selects the men he will talk to the most.

Where

The foreman must know where the injuries occur. As the entries accumulate, it will be noted that they tend to cluster about certain groups of men doing a particular operation. A department in the plant is a group of men performing related jobs. It is well to determine the injury rates of departments in the same way it was done for the plant as a whole. Suppose that in the plant mentioned above, one of the departments experienced 16 of the 100 injuries and utilized 4,000 man hours. Their rate is four against the plant rate of two. There is a reason for this. Just as some men are accident prone, so too are some jobs.

As a matter of fact, whenever too many injuries occur, it is due to one or both of two reasons. There may be an unrecognized job hazard or th
his work in th
factors operate
be sure that the
of astronomical.
know Where his
to the plant as
his notebook can
he should take
before he spend
few years ago,
found that the
castings off a n
hooks were rep
mal burns of th
touching the iro
belt. No intervie
to this.

What

After the obvi
the foreman wa
tors are present
sure that we c
workers' desires
ment's, namely
every day with
sible. Furthermo
job day after da
his assignment a
cult areas of yo
that the foreman
job factor in thi
going about it i

How

Now as to Ho
There is one w
I mention it onl
foreman takes
man, in effect ca
hurt so often, th
will be effectivel
hand, he shows
sincere interest s
like the injuries
can we get aro
bit of honest fi
thing. The fore
to be the teache
is a job hazard,
open for solution

Where the wo
puts him on the
will likely make
true and then a d

e worker may be going about
e wrong manner. If both
at the same time, you can
injury rate will be just short
The foreman then needs to
operation stands in relation
a whole. If the injuries in
ter about a certain operation,
critical look at that factor
ls time in interviewing. A
when we started this, we
men engaged in taking hot
oving belt with the use of
rting, frequently with ther-
e forearms, due to the men
as they reached across the
w was needed to put an end

ous job factors are corrected,
nts to know what other fac-
that have escaped him. I am
an assume that the honest
are the same as manage-
he ability to work all day
as little interruption as pos-
ore, the man who is on the
y knows the rough spots of
well as you know the diffi-
ies. It is this information
is after. Either there is a
situation or the worker is
the wrong manner.

w best get the information.
ay I am sure won't work.
y to dispose of it. If the
his page and berates the
lling him stupid for getting
e channel of communication
y blocked. If, on the other
him the page and with a
ays, in effect, "Joe, I don't
my more than you do. How
nd them?", he is using a
attery, if there is such a
man is asking the worker
r for a moment. If there
this will bring it into the

ker is at fault the question
defensive, of course. He
allegations that just aren't
emonstration of his method

of working wi
to suggest he
still retain his

There are m
ment of injuri
nature or they
man's injury hi
may clearly in
beyond his phy
may be necessa
department, or,
from the perso
the man's capa

As the pro
hazards are co
are placed to
remain a few
demonstrating
these will com
the plant popu
men who cam
The accounting
being associat
sonnel section
them in the gr
ance departme
the cases whic
and illness to
The departme
names with al
of questionabl
medical depart
qualnted with
plaints of hea
ailments. Situ
something bas
ability to conf
The inability
part of his m
development in
individual. Th
that form my

The develop
previously we
arouse the int
Plants utilize
finished produ
men, men are
sive. Men are
place.

The supervi
cations of psy
general groun
apply. All of
When these a

of working will give the foreman a chance to suggest he try the proper method and still retain his cooperation.

There are many factors in the human element of injuries. These may be physical in nature or they may relate to attitude. The man's injury history and his job performance may clearly indicate that the assignment is beyond his physical capacity. Occasionally it may be necessary to obtain from the medical department, or, in the case of a small plant, from the personal physician, an evaluation of the man's capabilities.

As the program continues and the job hazards are corrected and the physical misfits are placed to the best advantage, there will remain a few individuals who persist in demonstrating a high injury rate. As a rule these will comprise about five per cent of the plant population. These workers are the men who cause problems in other respects. The accounting department knows them as being associated with garnishees; the personnel section has had repeated contacts with them in the grievance procedure; the insurance department is convinced that these are the cases which cause the benefits for injury and illness to exceed the premiums collected. The department supervisor associates their names with absenteeism which too often is of questionable validity. The nurse in the medical department has become too well acquainted with them and their repeated complaints of headache, nausea, and associated ailments. Situations of this type indicate something basically wrong with the man's ability to conform with accepted standards. The inability may be an apparently integral part of his make-up or it may be a new development in a previously well-performing individual. These are the true problem cases that form my subject.

The development of this syndrome in a previously well adjusted worker should arouse the interested concern of supervision. Plants utilize machines and men to make a finished product. Of the two, machines or men, men are the most complex and expensive. Men are also the most difficult to replace.

The supervisor cannot know all the ramifications of psychology, but there are some general ground rules which he can profitably apply. All of us have certain basic needs. When these are reasonably well satisfied we get along quite well. When one or more of these is disturbed, we will respond with aberrant behavior in one way or another. As individuals we may or may not realize this relationship.

The three basic needs are security (a reasonably stable job); shelter (a home); and affection and recognition (a family). Let us suppose that the worker is fearful of a layoff, or that a mortgage is about to be foreclosed, or that there is a wife or child seriously ill and recovery is doubtful. Situations of this type can be a very real threat to his stability. These are the types of worries that the man will bring on the job with him. Can we be surprised if he thinks about these when he is running a machine? I don't think so.

In cases where the records indicate that the man is doing well and recently has slipped and there has been no new job assignment in the picture, it should awaken a suspicion on the part of the supervisor that something else has happened. A few tactful questions by a sincerely interested foreman may uncover the source of the trouble. If the man can be led to talk about it, he will get relief. It is not necessary or even advisable that the foreman attempt to offer any suggestions. How many times have you and I found the answer to a vexing problem by talking it out with a friend even though he was in no position to offer any assistance?

The long-term problem cases evidence this type of behavior almost from the time of hiring. I say almost, since they usually conform fairly well to the accepted standards during the probationary period. Once the magical cloak of seniority is acquired, they feel free to act just about as they wish. This disposition may stem from childhood training, school experience, or family attitude. A great deal has been written about how these things arise and become ingrained in the person. Regardless of how it came about, we in industry are faced with the end result, in a worker who is not even meeting the average standard of performance, let alone a superior one.

These are the workers who spend the day anticipating the partying expected that night and return to work the next day carrying with them an alcoholic hangover coupled with exhaustion. It is really a wonder they don't get injured more severely than they do.

These are the workers who take a child-like delight in the startle reflex of others who are the butt of their practical jokes. They seem about the mental age of the four-year-old girl who suddenly clapped her hands over the eyes of her mother who was driving the family car and said, "Guess who, Mummy?"

In this group also is the brash know-it-all. We had one a few years ago who started to repair a fork truck with the fork elevated. As he loosened a bolt the fork dropped. He promptly did a combination ballet split and full forward bend at the time. After it was over, his chief reaction, believe it or not, was pride in his flexibility.

With this type of individual, it seems to me that the foreman must assume the role of a just and stable parent who says this sort of thing must cease now. The fact that the problem did not occur until after probation is a tip off that it can be controlled.

The individuals who comprise this group are immature because something somewhere interfered with their development. It is likely that they never had the good fortune to grow up in a family where rewards and punishments were determined by their actions and behavior. Since it was not done at home, the next place to try is the plant. Supervision says, in effect, show me you can handle responsibility and I'll see that you stay on the job, but if you don't show improvement in the next month, there will be a transfer to a lower paying job or a layoff if one isn't available. He isn't telling

the man he has to
what will happen
respond well whe
do thus and so.
if we are given a
of the period the
If it doesn't, the
He's lost face not
the men. By the
ceeds in curing o
who see the han
correct themselves

Summary

The foreman c
eliminating injuri
effective there is
mation he must
where his operati
with the plant as
of it are productiv
able to recognize
erating and corre
needs to know, wh
frequency of inju
of his men are e
better subject ma
than injuries the
form the subject o
of information. In
performer, there
the root of it, or
defect relating to
training. He may
of a stable and
attempt to correct

improve, he is telling him
if he doesn't. None of us
n we're told we *have* to
We will react much better
choice. At the conclusion
forecast must come true.
foreman is a paper tiger,
with one, but with all of
same token, when he suc-
s, there will be six more
lwriting on the wall and

n do an effective job of
s by counseling. To be
certain background infor-
ave. He needs to know
on stands in comparison
a whole and which parts
e of injuries. He must be
job hazards that are op-
t or minimize them. He
at constitutes the average
y in his plant and which
xceeding it. There is no
tter for a safety contact
nan has had. These must
f a productive interchange
the case of a chronic mal-
nay be a worry that is at
it may be a personality
previous or nonexistent
have to assume the role
just foster parent in an
the situation.

SMA

Be it large
never underesti
safety program
safety program
mize the injuri
remove and/or
reduce the nu
ultimately reduc

Before outlin
am proud to
Senior Vice P
a past presiden
an active suppo
Council, saw t
gram in 1964.
rectly responsi
ticipating hote
continuing succ
dents, their se
workmen's co
Furthermore,
safety imbued
or less solely
of a beginning
in 1954 to the
mulative accide
These figures
Standard meth
ing work injur

At the incep
in 1954 we we
rumblings of u
ing workmen's
Work man-hou
pered due to th
ployee accident
and employee
ebb. Therefor
steps were tak
patterned after
New York Ci
program, this
fully operated
counseled with
and received co
gestions. We
safety program

Industrial Hygiene

(CO-SPONSORED BY AMERICAN INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE ASSN. AND N.S.C.)

THE SIGNIFICANCE AND APPLICATION OF THRESHOLD LIMIT DATA

By V. K. ROWE

Biochemical Research Laboratory, The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Mich.

I was asked to talk to you today about the significance and application of industrial hygiene standards such as threshold limit values and maximal allowable, acceptable or permissible concentrations, commonly referred to as MAC values.

Since accepting this assignment, I had the privilege of spending a month as a member of a team of six that visited the Soviet Union under the auspices of the U.S. Public Health Service. Our purpose was to study Soviet methods used in industrial toxicology and to learn how they applied the results in their industrial work. I think that this experience will enable me to give you a better discussion of some of the problems associated with the interpretation of threshold limit and MAC values as we use them and as they are viewed by the Soviet scientists.

In the first place, what are these figures commonly referred to as threshold limit values and MAC values? In general, I interpret these values as guides to be used in controlling the degree of exposure to certain airborne contaminants in the environment of workmen exposed seven to eight hours per day, five days per week, for an indefinite period of time. They definitely do not represent a fine line between safe and hazardous concentrations.

Even though some persons consider threshold limit values and MAC values to be the same, I do not. This is a difference in philosophy which I believe to be fundamental.

The threshold limit values as published by the Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, in essence, refer to values obtained by time-weighting the exposure over a seven- to eight-hour day. Maximum acceptable, allowable, or permissible values are in my thinking, just what the name implies—maximum values below which normal fluctuation should occur.

Excursions above the maximum should be limited. The degree to which such excursions are permissible is contingent upon the normal baseline of average exposure intensity and upon the consequences of exceeding the maximum.

It is noteworthy that the ACGIH Threshold Limit Committee is now cautioning in their directions for using the threshold limit values, that care must be taken to avoid exceeding certain of the values because of the possibility of acute effects. This approach is to be commended and it is my hope that the trend will continue, even to the extent that the ACGIH tabulation or some other tabulation eventually will include not only levels acceptable on a time-weighted basis but also will include maximal values and other information pertinent to the intelligent use of such figures.

Back in the late '40's and early '50's the laboratory with which I am associated did a great deal of toxicological work on several of the common chlorinated solvents. This work convinced us that the toxicological properties of these materials were vastly different and that we could not express in a single figure the essential information we felt the industrial hygienist needed to properly assess the hazards of a given situation.

In an attempt to improve communication, we proposed a two-value hygienic standard

consisting of a maximum figure and a time-weighted average figure. The maximum was defined as the concentration below which there was little likelihood of any significant acute or chronic effect. The maximum figure was fairly easy to determine from the results of animal experiments and observations on human subjects but the time-weighted average figure was more difficult because in reality, it was an expression of opinion as to how much below the maximum the average should be.

This opinion must be based on several factors. First, such an average concentration should be one which in all probability will not cause significant adverse effects even though exposure be for a prolonged period of time. Secondly, it should include some appropriate margin of safety and this should be based on the consequences of overexposure. A material which causes injury to the central nervous system, the eyes, bone marrow, gonads, or other vital organs should be accorded a wider margin than one which causes slight inebriation or reversible functional changes in the central nervous system or other organs.

It should be noted that there are a number of values in the table of threshold limit values which are based, not upon toxicity, but upon objectionable odor or simple reversible eye or upper respiratory irritation. To me, a value based upon such consequences of overexposure must be used in a manner quite different than one which is based on frank toxic injury. I have no objection to establishing permissible levels in the workroom on the basis of objectionable odor or irritation, but I do believe that when such is done, it should be so designated.

I feel, from practical experience, that there are times when a little unpleasantness can be tolerated, and even permitted, if it is known that no hazard to health is involved in such exposure. True hazard to health cannot be compromised or negotiated, whereas discomfort is, in my opinion, a negotiable item.

Furthermore, it should be remembered that hygienic standards are being used by design engineers and safety engineers in designing plants. The degree to which the engineer must make certain that normal operation can be carried on within generally recognized hygienic standards will depend upon the severity of the consequences of overexposure. Over designing is expensive and unnecessary.

It is for these reasons that I am basically opposed to a one-value system of hygienic standards. I believe that whenever possible, values should be given indicating: (1) concentrations dangerous to life when exposures are for short periods (perhaps up to 30 minutes); (2) values (MAC values) which cannot be exceeded for more than short periods without causing an adverse effect; and (3) time-weighted average (TLV) values which should not be exceeded for a seven to eight hour day.

In addition, the tabulations should give the approximate odor threshold, the consequences of overexposure from both acute and chronic exposure, not in detail, but in simple language so that the practicing industrial hygienist or industrial physician will know what to expect.

I know this is a departure from our present day concept of such control standards, but we need this information badly now, and we will need it more in the future. I do not go along with those who say that we must keep these tables of industrial hygiene standards one-value tables for the sake of simplicity.

I say the one-value tables are far too complicated. The ordinary person doing safety work looks at such figures as law. He cannot be expected to know the basis upon which they were derived. Therefore he cannot be expected to make judgments, nor should he.

Even some of us who are practicing industrial toxicology and hygiene every day cannot keep up on all the values or the reasons for them. They need so much interpretation that opinions formed one week may be changed next week when new information becomes available. This is the avowed responsibility of the industrial toxicologist and industrial hygienist.

There are those who say that the data to make multiple entry tables are not available and hence there would be a preponderance of empty spaces. That simply means that there is more work to be done. It is no reason why the information available should not be given. Furthermore, I see no reason for not making educated guesses, calling them just that, and then revise the

tables each year, adding, changing, deleting as new information becomes available—as the Conference of Governmental Hygienists is now doing with their tabulation of threshold limit values.

It must be recognized in industrial hygiene work, however, that a limit or standard is not a sacred value, but is a guide to good operating conditions. Every practicing industrial hygienist knows that the concentration of atmospheric contaminants fluctuates as a process cycles, as leaks develop, as misoperation occurs, thus giving rise to "peak" concentrations. If these "peaks" go above the accepted maximum, it is important to know how high they go and how frequently they can be expected to occur.

Once this information is available, it is the job of the toxicologist and/or the hygienist to evaluate the significance to the overall conditions and act accordingly. This is by no means a simple assignment. It is quite possible for a single "peak" exposure to occur during a shift and last for but a few minutes and yet provide a total intensity of exposure in excess of what can be tolerated for the entire shift. If this sort of situation occurs very infrequently, it may not be too serious, but if it happens regularly, then it may present a condition which needs correcting.

If "peaks" are superimposed upon an exposure level which is already crowding the safe level, then they become very significant and may be expected to have an adverse effect. If they are superimposed upon a basic level which is well below the accepted limit, then they may have little significance.

It is not uncommon among industrial hygienists to average or to time-weight the values they obtain in a plant survey and to draw conclusions as to the safety of such conditions from the resultant figure. This is not a valid procedure.

Time-weighting assumes that concentration-time (CT) values are constant and this is true only if the slope of the response curve is one; it assumes that $CT = K$ for all ranges of concentration and this is not true because of variations and/or differences in factors such as rates of absorption and elimination and the nature of effects produced.

It also does not take into consideration the chronological order in which these peaks may occur. The response from two or three peaks occurring within a short time can be expected to be much more serious than if these peaks occur at widely separated intervals.

I think it is most important to emphasize the importance of sampling procedures. Spot sampling is a poor way to assess total exposure; it is too easy to miss "peak" exposures, and in the hands of the untrained investigator, it may be too easy to sample only obvious "peak" exposures. Samples taken over a long period may be adequate for estimating total exposure, but they only give the average concentration over the sampling period and this may be inadequate. Only when one employs continuous sampling procedures which record the concentration at successive short intervals of time over a prolonged period of time, can one get a true picture of the actual exposure.

Now perhaps I should say a few words about what we saw in the Soviet Union. As many of you know, the maximum allowable concentrations in the U.S.S.R. are almost all considerably below ours and lower than those employed by most other countries.

The reason is that they attach a great deal of significance to any change, whether functional, biochemical, or pathological that can be detected in exposed animals or human beings. By this I mean that if they can detect any change they consider this to be a detrimental effect even if the change is readily reversible or if acclimation readily occurs.

We saw electroencephalograms taken from animals which showed changes for a few days after the start of a repeated exposure and then the charts were entirely normal even though exposure continued. Even such changes were considered adverse and the limits promulgated were below those causing such effects. I have considerable difficulty justifying such low levels on the basis of such observations.

In another instance, we saw curves representing the effect upon certain conditioned reflexes which indicated quite conclusively that prolonged daily exposure caused a progressive change which could only be considered adverse. This was at a stated concentration below that considered to be with-

out effect in the U.S.A. If this change was actually caused by the stated concentration, then they are right and we are wrong. The only question in my mind in this case is whether their analytical method is reliable and this I have no way of knowing.

There is no doubt that the criteria they are using to measure neurological change are more sensitive than those which have been routinely employed in this country in industrial toxicology. On the other hand, I saw very little analytical equipment which was comparable to ours. They are doing little, if any, continuous analysis of their industrial environments or of their experimental inhalation chambers. They are using spot sampling but even here, I was not impressed by the reliability of their sampling or methods of analysis.

I also have the impression that in many instances where they believe they have observed adverse effects in human beings as a result of exposure at levels similar to our standards, they really don't know what the exposure has been—because of inadequate sampling and analytical procedures.

Insofar as industrial hygiene practice in industry is concerned, I can only say that those plants which we were permitted to see were clean insofar as environmental exposure is concerned. They have used various methods of achieving these goals. We saw plants in which every pump was ventilated—and ventilated well. They have employed methods of fabricating which avoid the use of solvents, or other materials which might create a toxic hazard. How widely the excellent practices which I saw are actually in use can only be surmised.

In spite of their avowed objective of preventing all exposure to hazardous chemicals, they seem to pay little attention to safety. The steps in their factories are broken, there are low overhead pipes and beams, there is unguarded fast moving equipment, there are few barriers at ditches, and rarely did I see safety glasses or eye protection. This apparent lack of attention to such mechanical hazards is indeed hard to rationalize.

In closing I should like to return to my subject—the significance and application of industrial hygiene standards.

The values, regardless of what they are called, are not fine demarcations between safe and unsafe exposures, they cannot be used to compare the toxicity of one material with another, they are not toxicity ratings nor are they hazard ratings, and they should not be made a part of laws where interpretation is not possible.

They are figures which represent an over simplification of an attempt to interpret a very complex collection of information. To use them properly, one must know not only the facts upon which they are based, but also the details of the conditions under which they are to be used. The knowledge which enables one to do this, comes only from years of training and experience in the field of industrial hygiene.

SAFETY EXPOSITION EXHIBITORS—1963

Acme Protection Equipment Co., 1201 Kalamazoo St., South Haven, Mich.
Industrial gas masks and accessories.

Adolf and Company, Loveline Industries, 4915 N. Avers Ave., Chicago 25
Industrial clothing.

Advance Glove Mfg. Co., 901 W. Lafayette Ave., Detroit 26
Industrial work gloves and clothing.

Aetna Life Affiliated Companies, 151 Farmington Ave., Hartford 15, Conn.
Safety films and safety pamphlets.

Air Space Devices, Inc., 5428 Vineland Ave., N. Hollywood, Calif.
A safety device for protection from falling when climbing.

Akron Brass Co., 1450 Spruce St., Wooster, Ohio
Fire fighting equipment and accessories.

Alan Wood Steel Co., Conshohocken Road, Conshohocken, Pa.
Abrasive rolled steel floor plate.

American Allsafe Co., Inc., 1245 Niagara St., Buffalo, N. Y. 14213
Drybrow sweatbands, nylon fan guards and related products.

American Biltrite Rubber, 22 Willow St., Chelsea 50, Mass.
A complete line of safety soles and heels.

American Chain and Cable Co., Inc., 929 Connecticut Ave., Bridgeport 2, Conn.
Sling chains and sling chain assemblies, wire rope slings and assemble-your-own wire rope slings.

American Industrial Safety Equipment Co., 3500 Lakeside Ave., Cleveland 14
Welding and cutting goggles, spectacles, welders' lenses, hard hats, visitors' spectacles, and goggle clips.

American Jet Spray Industries, 1240 Harlan St., Denver, Colo. 80214
Sign making equipment.

American LaFrance, 100 E. LaFrance St., Elmira, N. Y.
Fire apparatus and fire fighting equipment.

American Optical Co., 14 Mechanic St., Southbridge, Mass.
Head, eye, respiratory, protective devices; safety clothing; safety specialty products.

American Safety Equipment Co., 261 Madison Ave., New York 16
Automobile seat belts.

American Society of Safety Engineers, 5 N. Wabash Ave., Chicago 2
Professional society.

American Viscose Div., FMC Corp., 1617 Pennsylvania Blvd., Philadelphia 3
Rayon cord strapping.

Ampco Metal, Inc., 1791 S. 38th St., Milwaukee 1
Hand tools of spark-resistant alloys for use in explosive or flammable conditions.

Antrex Corp. 1, 2001 W. Willow St., Chicago, Ill. 60647
Safety Talker Message Repeater, portable public address systems.

Apex Safety Products, Washington and Elm Sts., Cleveland 13
Safety hats and caps.

Sheffield, Div. Armco Steel Corp., 7100 Roberts, Kansas City, Mo. 64125
Wire rope and slings.

Atlas Safety Equipment Co., Inc., 175-179 N. 10th St., Brooklyn 11, N. Y.
Industrial safety belts, harnesses, and straps. Ladder climber's safety device. Sky Genie descent control.

Aurora Plastics Corp., 44 Cherry Valley Road, West Hempstead, L. I., N. Y.
Table-top layout of visual aid for traffic safety education in the school classroom.

Avon Sole Co., Avon, Mass.
Soles of various compounds and designs for use on safety shoes and work shoes.

B & K Instruments Inc., 3044 W. 106th St., Cleveland 11
Portable audiometer calibrators.

W. M. Bashlin Co., 119 W. Pine St., Grove City, Penn.
Linemen's and industrial safety belts, slings, high voltage rubber gloves, sleeves.

Bausch & Lomb Inc., 635 St. Paul St., Rochester 2, N. Y.
Vision and audio testing equipment.

Beam's Mfg. Co., 1327 N. Robinson, Oklahoma City
Automobile seat belts and shoulder straps.

Best's Safety Publications, A. M. Best Co., Inc., 75 Fulton St., New York 38
Safety publications.

Bethlehem Steel Co., 701 E. Third St., Bethlehem, Pa.
Wire rope, sling and boom pendants, beam guard rail, and anchor safety nets.

V. Bibicoff Tool Co., Box 1204, Ann Arbor, Mich.
Safety band cutter.

H. L. Bouton Co., Inc., 320 Main St., St. Buzzards Bay, Mass.
Industrial eye protection, goggles, and spectacles.

Breath-O-Life Oxygen, 419 High Ave., Cleveland 44115
Emergency oxygen administration set.

Lester L. Brossard Co., 540 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago 11
Safety mirrors for industrial use. Liquid hand cleaner.

Stewart R. Browne Mfg. Co., 258 Broadway, New York
Portable explosionproof inspection lights.

R. H. Buhrke Co., 1403 W. Congress Pkwy., Chicago 7
Occupational safety equipment—safety belts, straps, strap assemblies, harnesses, and allied products.

E. D. Bullard Co., 2680 Bridgeway, Sausalito, Calif.
Safety hats, caps, and accessories, industrial first aid kits, safety hoist hooks, vehicle alarms, air purifiers, and ear plugs.

Cah Industries, 10245 Pacific Ave., Franklin Park, Ill.
Self-extinguishing waste receptacle.

Campbell Chain Co., P. O. Box 1667, York, Pa.
Sling chains.

Canrad Precision Industries, Inc., 2834 W. Lake St., Chicago 12
Phosphorescent tape and fluorescent tape for vehicle and personnel markers.

Casco Products Corp., 512 Hancock Ave., Bridgeport 2, Conn.
Hand-portable pressurized dry chemical fire extinguishers.

A. B. Chance Co., 210 N. Allen St., Centralia, Mo. 65240
Hot line maintenance and line construction tools. Hot line tools.

Charleston Rubber Co., 16 Stark Industrial Park, Charleston, S. C.
Rubber, plastics, and rubber coated gloves, aprons and sleeves.

The Chemical Corp., 54 Waltham Ave., Springfield 9, Mass.
Protective creams, skin conditioners, and hand cleaners.

Chicago Eye Shield Co., 2727 W. Roscoe St., Chicago 18
Head, eye and respiratory protection equipment.

Chrysler Corp., 341 Massachusetts Ave., Detroit
Safety features in 1964 line of automobiles and trucks.

David Clark Co., Inc., 360 Franklin St., Worcester 2, Mass.
Muff-type ear protection.

The Coca-Cola Co., P. O. Drawer 1734, Atlanta 1
Coca-Cola served through the courtesy and cooperation of the Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Chicago.

Columbus McKinnon Chain Div., Columbus McKinnon Corp., Tonawanda, N. Y.
Sling chains, hooks, and coupling links.

Cramer Posture Chair Co., Inc., 625 Adams St., Kansas City 5, Kans.
Aluminum safety ladders and safety stools.

Custom Materials Inc., 279 Billerica Road, Chelmsford 1, Mass.
Static-free and permanently conductive polyolefin.

Davis Emergency Equipment Co., Inc., 55 Halleck St., Newark 4, N. J.
First aid kits, gas masks and breathing equipment, combustible and toxic gas analyzers, and protective hats.

Demp-Nock Co., 21433 Mound Road, Warren, Mich.
Pressure sensitive plastic film lettering kit.

Detex Watchlock Corp., 76 Varick St., New York 13
Watchmen's clocks.

R. E. Dietz Co., 225 Wilkinson St., Syracuse 1, N. Y.
Lanterns, torches, flashers, barricades, and safety equipment.

Dickson Corp., 3839 Wabash Ave., Detroit 8
Spectacles, goggles, face shields, helmets, hats, and respirators.

Dow Corning Corp., Box 7038, Greensboro, N. C. 24707
Sight Savers, lens cleaning stations.

Dymo Industries, Inc., Box 1030, Berkeley 1, Calif.
Labeling device for use in office or shop.

Eagle Mfg. Co., 24th and Charles Sts., Wellsburg, W. Va.
Safety cans, cigarette-cigar butt cans, drain cans, drip cans, brass oilers.

Edmont, Inc., 1205 Walnut St., Coshocton, Ohio
Coated and impregnated work gloves.

Electro-Beam Corp., Box 375, St. Joseph, Mich.
Warning lights, barricades, and accessories.

Electronic Specialties Co., Island Ave., Batavia, Ill.
Warning lights, barricades, and accessories.

Elkhart Brass Mfg. Co., Inc., 1302 W. Beardsley Ave., Elkhart, Ind.
Nozzles and other fire department supplies and fire fighting equipment.

J. H. Emerson Co., 22 Cottage Park Ave., Cambridge 40, Mass.
Resuscitation equipment.

Erdco Engineering Corp., 136 Official Road, Addison, Ill.
Gas vapor alarm systems.

John Evans' Sons, Inc., 500 N. Thirteenth St., Philadelphia, Pa. 19123
Collapsible, portable, traffic cone.

Falcon Alarm Co., Inc., 20 Stern Ave., Springfield, N. J.
Fire alarms, sirens, horns, bells and other signaling devices.

Federal Sign and Signal Corp., 13625 S. Western Ave., Blue Island, Ill. 60406
Sirens, horns, bells, and warning lights for visual and audible signaling.

Fendall Co., 2222 Diversey Pky., Chicago 47
Spectacles, face shields, and cup-type and cover goggles.

Ferno-Washington, Inc., 6th and Pine Sts., Greenfield, Ohio
Emergency patient handling equipment and accessories for the first aid room.

Fibre-Metal Products Co., 5th and Tilghman Sts., Chester, Pa.
Safety hats and caps, face shields, safety masks, welding helmets, headrest and combination goggles.

Fine Organics, Inc., 205 Main St., Lodi, N. J.
Solvents, carbon removers, emulsion cleaners, polishers, and disinfectants.

Ford Division—Ford Motor Co., Box 658, Dearborn, Mich.
Ford passenger car with safety features.

Franklin Metal Products, 213-215 N. Des Plaines, Chicago 6, Illinois
Waste cans and drum covers.

Frommelt Industries, Inc., 465 Huff St., Dubuque, Iowa
Wrap-around portable safety welding shield; portable welding screen.

Fyrepel Products, Inc., 160 S. Williams St., Newark, Ohio
High heat protective clothing and equipment for industry, space-military, and fire fighting agencies.

The Fyr-Fyter Co., 221 Crane St., Dayton 1, Ohio
Fire extinguisher, automatic sprinkler systems, electronic alarm systems.

General Fire Extinguisher Corp., 4444 W. Irving Park Rd., Chicago 41
Fire extinguishers.

Gentex Corp., Carbondale, Pa.
Safety helmets, life vests, sound reducers.

Glendale Optical Co., Inc., 600 W. Merrick Rd., Valley Stream, N. Y.
Eye and face protective equipment.

The Globe Co., 4000 So. Princeton Ave., Chicago 9
Safety grating, cable tray, channel and fittings, slotted angles.

Globe Safety Products, 125 Sunrise Place, Dayton 6, Ohio
Resuscitators.

Gojer Inc., Box 991, Akron 9, Ohio
Protective skin coat, skin cleaner, anti-seize and gasket compound.

Golden State Signs, 1260 San Fernando Rd., Los Angeles 65
Safety signs, danger and caution tags, badges.

B. F. Goodrich, Industrial Products Co., 36 Nichols Ave., Watertown 72, Mass.
Industrial rubber safety footwear, and protective clothing.

The Granet Corp., 25 Loring Drive, Framingham, Mass.
Coated fabric work glove.

A. E. Halperin Co., Inc., 20 Thorndike St., Boston 18
Stretchers, first aid kits, and accessories.

Hanover Aeronautical Engineering Corp., 107 Washington St., Hanover, Ill.
Safety cans for flammable liquids, oily towel bins, and excelsior storage bins.

Hawkins-Hawkins Co., Inc., 1255 Eastshore Highway, Berkeley, Calif. 94710
Traffic safety signs and devices. High level warning scope.

Haws Drinking Faucet Co., 4th and Page Sts., Berkeley, Calif.
Emergency eyewash and showers.

Hazard Controls, Inc., 8100 Holman Ave., Delair, N. J. 08110
Warning lights, barricades, signs, reflectors, cylinder clamps, lockouts, ladder shoes, can carriers, flags, and barrier rope.

Warren Heim Corp., 222 N. 5th Ave., Mt. Vernon, N. Y.
Canvas buckets, bags, and protective covers.

Hild Floor Machine Co., Inc., 1217 W. Washington Blvd., Chicago 7
Explosionproof vacuum cleaners and floor scrubbing and polishing machines.

Hilti Fastening Systems, 360 Fairfield Ave., Stamford, Conn.
Power-assisted drive tools that fasten pins and threaded studs into concrete masonry and steel.

HIMCO (Hopfeld Industrial Mfg. Co.), 745 A St., San Rafael, Calif.
Safety ladders, and fiberglass safety diving boards.

Holland-Racine Shoes, Inc., 386 W. 15th St., Holland, Mich.
Horsehide and cowhide safety shoes in work and dress styles.

Hygiene Research, Inc., 684 Broadway, New York 12
Hand protective ointments and fogproofing cloth.

Hy-Test Safety Shoes, Div. of International Shoe Co., 1509 Washington Ave., St. Louis 66
Safety shoes and conductive and nonconductive footwear.

Industrial Gloves Co., 700 Garfield St., Danville, Ill.
Industrial clothing and accessories.

Industrial Incentives, Inc., 253 Freeman Pkwy., Providence 6, R. I.
Employee safety education program.

Industrial Products Co., 2820 N. Fourth St., Philadelphia 33
Lightweight rainwear, fluorescent vests, hat covers, barrier rope, flags.

Institute of Industrial Launderers, 1025 Connecticut Ave. N.W., Washington 6, D. C.
Rental service for uniforms, wiping towels, etc.

Insto-Gas Corp., 998 W. Woodbridge Ave., Detroit 7
UL-approved LPG plumbers' torches and furnaces, LPG hand cylinders and torches, LPG salamanders, blower and infrared heaters, and electric infrared heaters.

International Film Bureau, Inc., 332 S. Michigan Ave., Chicago 4
Safety films available on a sales and rental basis.

Interstate Rubber Products Corp., 908 Avila St., Los Angeles 12
Traffic cone system for traffic control.

Iron Age Shoe Co., 1205 Madison Ave., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15212
Work and dress-style safety shoes and safety rubber footwear.

Jackson Products, 5523 E. Nine Mile Rd., Warren, Mich.
Goggles, welding helmets, face shields, safety hats and caps.

Johnson-Williams, Inc., 2300 Leghorn St., Mountain View, Calif.
Portable instruments for detection of toxic combustible gases.

Jomac-North, Inc., 6128 Woodstock St., Philadelphia 35
Chemical and protective vinyl clothing and gloves, and terry cloth gloves and products.

Jones and Co., 861 Broad St., Providence 7, R. I.
Full-vision visor goggles and replaceable lenses.

Junkin Safety Appliance Co., Inc., 3121 Millers Lane, Louisville, Ky. 40216
Interlocking barrier gate guard for power presses; safety shields for grinders; stretcher cases and stretchers.

Justrite Mfg. Co., 2061 N. Southport Ave., Chicago
Safety cans, bench cans, plunger cans, Oily waste cans. Electric lanterns and flashlights for use in hazardous areas.

K & H Industries, Inc., Box 86, Angola, N. Y.
Safety lamp.

Karel First Aid Supply Co., 4342 Ogden Ave., Chicago 23
Medical equipment for industrial hospitals and first aid rooms.

Kelmore, Inc., 599 Springfield Ave., Newark 3, N. J.
Explosion proof refrigerators and freezers.

Kennedy-Ingalls, Inc., 3735 N. 35th St., Milwaukee 16
Protective clothing.

Keystone View Co., Market and Center St., Meadville, Pa.
Occupational visual service, driver vision test service, and gas sensing and recording instruments.

Walter Kidde and Co., Inc., 675 Main St., Belleville 9, N. J.
Portable fire extinguishers, and accessories. Automatic carbon dioxide fire extinguishing systems.

Kimball Safety Products Co., 9310 Wade Park Ave., Cleveland 6
Personal protection devices for employees in industrial plants.

Mathias Klein and Sons, Inc., 7200 McCormick Road, Chicago 45
Linemen's and electricians' hand tools, linemen's safety equipment, wire-pulling tools.

Knapp Brothers Shoe Mfg. Corp., 173 Spark St., Brockton, Mass.
Safety shoes.

J. Kunz Glove Co., 2141 W. North Ave., Chicago 47
Leather and rubber work gloves.

Lapstrap Inc., 443 N. St. Francis, Wichita, Kan.
Automobile safety belts. Rear view mirrors.

Lectron Corp. of America, 9929 W. Silver Spring Rd., Milwaukee 18
Audio-visual training equipment.

Walter G. Legge Co., Inc., 101 Park Ave., New York 17
Safety floor maintenance materials. Personnel and equipment grounding devices.

Lehigh Safety Shoe Co., First and Minor Sts., Emmaus, Pa.
Leather and rubber safety footwear including conductive and electrical hazard shoes.

Lineman Protector Co., 1061 Eton Rd., Birmingham, Mich.
Rubber protective equipment.

Logan Emergency Showers, Box 111, Glendale, Calif.
Emergency eyewash and showers.

Lyn Products, Inc., 44 Industrial Road, Crystal Lake, Ill.
Magnetic safety switch.

Lynrus Finishing Co., Inc., South Water at Union St., Poughkeepsie, N. Y.
Flame retardant process for safety clothing.

Thom McAn Shoe Co., 25 W. 43rd St., New York 36
Safety Shoes.

Julian A. McDermott Corp., 1639 Stephen St., Ridgewood, Brooklyn 27, N. Y. 11227
Warning and safety lighting for municipal, industrial and utility use.

McQuarrie Enterprises, 6029 Washington Blvd., Culver City, Calif.
Automobile safety belts.

Machinery Center, Inc., 1201 S. 6th West, Box 964, Salt Lake City 10
Sala Safety Block.

Madigan Electronic Corp., 520 Mineola Ave., Carle Place, L. I., N. Y.
Self powered glow belt, exit sign, pylon street sign.

Martindale Electric Co., 1375 Hird Ave., Cleveland 7
Protective masks and refills, protective eyeshields, and electrical testing instruments.

Medical Supply Co., 1027 W. State St., Rockford, Ill.
First aid kits and accessories. Resuscitation training aids, stretchers.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., One Madison Ave., New York 10
Materials for use in community and off-the-job safety programs.

Meyer Machine Inc., Box 114, Red Wing, Minn.
Safety products for the protection of person while climbing.

Micro Switch, A Division of Honeywell, 11 W. Spring St., Freeport, Ill.
Safety interlock switches and electric controls for power machines.

Milburn Co., 3246 E. Woodbridge, Detroit 7
Protective skin creams. Acid-caustic resistant and clean room work clothes.

Miller Allied Corp., 2425 Santa Clara Ave., Alameda, Calif.
Material handling slings and adjustable lifting safety beams.

Miller Equipment Co., Inc., 13th and New Sts., Franklin, Pa.
Linemen's safety equipment and accessories.

Mine Safety Appliances Co., 201 N. Braddock Ave., Pittsburgh 8
Complete line of safety equipment for industry and mining.

Minnesota Mining and Mfg. Co., 2501 Hudson Road, St. Paul 19
Filter mask, heat reflective fabric, abrasive flooring, safety masking tape.

Mott Corp., 500 Shawmut Ave., LaGrange, Ill.
Safety mowers.

National Chemsearch Corp., Box 10087, Dallas, Texas
Safety solvent; cleaners; degreaser; disinfectants; weed killers detergents; insecticides; and water treatments for industry.

National Medical Supply Co., 6121 W. Belmont Ave., Chicago 34
Medical supplies, instruments, equipment, and drugs for industrial medical departments.

Newco Mfg. Co., Inc., 3636 Main St., Kansas City, Mo. 64111
Wire rope fittings, minature slings, and a minature crane demonstrating various lifts.

Norman Industries, Inc., 814 Diversey Pkwy., Chicago 14
Complete line of traffic control mirrors and security devices.

Occupational Hazards, 812 Huron Road, Cleveland 15
Occupational Hazards Magazine.

E and J Resuscitators—Ohio Chemical and Surgical Equipment Co., 1400 E. Washington Ave., Madison 10, Wis.
Resuscitation and oxygen breathing apparatus.

Onox, Inc., 121 Second St., San Francisco 5
Skin-toughener solution for the treatment of athlete's foot.

Osborn Mfg. Co., Box 271, Warsaw, Ind.
Safety pliers, lockout devices, mufflers, and stretcher cases.

Oxygen Equipment and Service Co., 8335 S. Halsted St., Chicago
Resuscitator, inhalator, and aspirator.

Pac-Kit Safety Equipment Co., Inc., 1 Seneca Pl., Greenwich, Conn.
Industrial and vehicle first aid kits.

G. H. Packwood Mfg., Co., 1545 Tower Grove Ave., St. Louis
Hand cleaners, waterless cleaners, germicidal cleaners, sanitizing compounds, and maintenance cleaners.

Patent Scaffolding Co., Inc., 38-21 Twelfth St., Long Island City 1, N. Y.
Wood and light metal ladders and stages, steel and aluminum scaffolds.

Pfizer Laboratories, 235 E. 42nd St., New York 17
Hand-operated apparatus for applying artificial respiration.

Pinkerton's National Detective Agency, Inc., 100 Church St., New York 7
Applicant investigations, uniformed guards, surveillance, security surveys, sign service, and investigations.

The Pioneer Rubber Co., 1939 Tiffin Road, Willard, Ohio
Industrial gloves.

Portable Light Co., Inc., 65 Passiac Ave., Kearny, N. J.
Portable searchlights, warning lights, and vehicular sirens.

Porto-Clinic Industries, Inc., 298 Broadway, New York 7
Complete portable driver testing and training unit.

The Positive Safety Mfg. Co., 4403 Perkins Ave., Cleveland
Power press safety devices.

Prairie State Products Co., 3822 Lawrence Ave., Chicago
Industrial safety signs.

Protectseal Co., 1920 S. Western Ave., Chicago
Safety containers for the handling, storing, and dispensing of flammable liquids.

Pulmosan Safety Equipment Corp., 644 Pacific St., Brooklyn, N. Y. 11217
Respirators, eye protection, helmets, protective clothing, abestos and leather gloves, safety glasses, first aid kits, and face shields.

Quabang Rubber Co., 17 School St., North Brookfield, Mass.
Safety tread soles and heels.

RMC Canvas & Rope Co., 428 Atlantic Ave., Brooklyn 17, N. Y.
Safety nets and rope ladders.

Racine Glove Co., Inc., Box 38, Rio, Wis.
Safety gloves and apparel for industry and fire protection, safety specialty products.

Radiant Color Co., 461 W. Erie St., Chicago 10
Daylight fluorescent colors in paints, pressure-sensitive vinyl sheeting and papers.

Radiant Mfg. Co., 8220 N. Austin Ave., Morton Grove, Ill. 60053
Automotive highway warning sign, installed in metal case for permanent mounting on under lid of auto trunk.

Radiator Specialty Co., 1400 W. Independence Blvd., Charlotte 8, N. C.
Traffic guides; cones, lights, flags.

Rainfair, Inc., 1501 Albert St., Racine, Wis.
Wet weather and safety clothing.

Randolph Laboratories, Inc., 1450 Frontage Rd., Northbrook, Ill.
Fire extinguishers and automatic fire extinguishing systems.

Record Industrial Co., 3301 Arch St., Philadelphia 4
Industrial safety shoes, work clothing and work gloves.

Red Wing Shoe Co., 119 Main St., Red Wing, Minn.
Safety workshoes, oxfords, and boots.

J. C. Renfroe and Sons, Inc., 1926 Spearing St., Jacksonville, Fla.
Safety clamps for lifting steel.

Rockwell Mfg. Co., 400 North Lexington Ave., Pittsburgh 8, Pa.
Aetna Drivotrainer.

Rockwell Sprinkler Co., 38 Harlow St., Worcester 5, Mass. 01605
Fire fighting and extinguishing devices.

Rose Mfg. Co., 2700 W. Barberry Pl., Denver
Automotive seat belts. Industrial safety belts, lanyards, nets, and ladder safety devices.

Rubber Fabricators, Inc., Grantsville, W. Va.
Life preservers, life rafts, and protective rubber clothing.

Safeguard Mfg. Co., Pomperaug Ave., Woodbury, Conn.
Guards for power presses.

Safety Box Toe Co., 812 Statler Office Bldg., Boston 16
Steel toes for dress, semi-dress, and work shoes.

Safety Clothing and Equipment Co., 1990 E. 69th St., Cleveland 3
Safety clothing and equipment for industrial workers.

Safety First Products Corp., 175 Saw Mill Road, Elmsford, N. Y.
Portable stored pressure dry chemical fire extinguishers.

Safety First Shoes, Inc., 9th and Greenleaf Sts., Allentown, Pa. 18105
Safety shoes for industry, railroad, and military use.

Safety Research Corp., 515 White St., College Park, Ga.
Rubber safety blade for rotary power lawn mowers.

Saf-T-Boom, Corp., 1513 Main St., Little Rock, Ark.
Dielectric shields for crane booms.

Salescaster Displays Corp., 1010 E. Elizabeth Ave., Linden, N. J.
Illuminated moving message signs.

W. H. Salisbury and Co., 401 N. Morgan St., Chicago
Linemen's rubber protective devices.

Sawyer-Tower Products, Inc., 76 Stanley Ave., Watertown 72, Mass.
Industrial protective, and safety clothing.

Schuberth-American Div. of Tremount Corp., 471 Pompton Ave., Cedar Grove, N. J.
Helmets, safety hats, and caps, safety goggles, and wrap-around protective goggles.

Scott Aviation Corp., 225 Erie St., Lancaster, N. Y. 14086
Respirators, inhalators, and environmental protection equipment.

Scroll Studio, 53 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago 4
Safety awards.

Searjeant Metal Products, Inc., P. O. Box 74, Mendon, N. Y.
Power press safety guards, and aluminum alloy hand tools.

Selberling Latex Products, 215 Plum St., New Bremen, Ohio
Industrial rubber gloves.

Sellstrom Mfg. Co., Highway 53 at U. S. 14, Palatine, Ill.
Eye and face protective equipment.

The Servus Rubber Co., 1136 Second St., Rock Island, Ill.
Rubber footwear of all types.

Shelter-All Safety Stations, Parkside Ave. at 51st St., Philadelphia 31
Highway safety stations for school children.

Showcard Machine Co., 320 W. Ohio St., Chicago 10
"Make your own sign" equipment.

Singer Safety Products, 860 Weed Street, Chicago, Illinois
Gloves, portable welding screens.

Snyder Mfg. Co., Inc., 1458 Fifth St., New Philadelphia, Ohio
Air suits, hoods, splash and dust suits, shoe covers, safety vests, flags, fabric and film laminations, and fiberglass containment closures.

S. O. S. International, Inc., 668 W. 27th St., Hialeah, Florida
Safety vests and raincoats.

Speakman Co., 301 E. 30th St., Wilmington 99, Del.
Emergency eyewash and showers.

The Sperry and Hutchinson Co., 114 Fifth Ave., New York 11
Trading stamps for use as incentives in safety.

Standard Alarm and Signal Co., 67 E. Court St., Cortland, N. Y.
Fire detection systems.

Standard Industrial Products Co. of Ill., 3527 Farmington Rd., Peoria, Ill.
Dunking station smoking receptacles.

Standard Safety Equipment Co., 431 N. Quentin Rd., Palatine, Ill.
Acid and chemically-resistant clothing.

Standard Signs Inc., 3190 E. 65th St., Cleveland 27
Industrial accident prevention signs and road work area protection equipment.

Stearns Automotive Products Co., 92 Gomez St., Winnipeg 2, Man.
Frost and condensation shields for vehicle windows.

Stephenson Corp., Box 1000, Red Bank, N. J.
Radar speed control, breath test for intoxication, resuscitator.

Stephen-William Co., 2014 W. Wabansia Ave., Chicago 47
Safety signs and posters.

Sterling Equipment Manufacturers, 2630 Cherry Street, Erie, Pa.
Traffic guides.

Stonehouse Signs, Inc., 842 Larimer St., Denver 4
Accident prevention signs and tags.

Stop-Fire, Inc., New Brunswick, N. J.
Fire extinguishers.

Surety Rubber Co., North High St., Box 97, Carrollton, Ohio 44615
Safety apparel, gloves, sleeves, aprons, and specialized protective equipment.

Survivair, Division of U. S. Divers Co., 3323 W. Warner Ave., Santa Ana, Cal.
Self-contained breathing equipment. Wet pick-up vacuum unit. Voice amplifiers.

Swiveller Co., Inc., 30 Irving Place, New York 3
Industrial lighting.

Synchro-Mat Equipment Corp., 714 Byrant St., Jackson, Mich.
Audio/visual equipment.

S. G. Taylor Chain Co., Inc., 3-141st St., Box 509, Hammond, Ind.
Alloy steel chain and fittings.

Tirri Distributors, 8016 W. Marion St., Milwaukee, Wisc.
Safety glass accessories.

Timms Optical Co., Inc., 1015 Commerce St., Petersburg, Va. 23804
Safety frames, safety lenses and vision tester.

Tri-Tix, Inc., 100 N. Lake St., Port Washington, Wis.
Safety cones and traffic posts.

Tulareloft, Inc., 300 E. San Joaquin St., Box 248, Tulare, Cal.
Seat belts and harnesses.

Turner Halsey Co., Inc., 40 Worth St., New York
Textiles for safety garments and work clothing.

Union Steel Products Co., 640 N. Berrien St., Albion, Mich.
Wire mesh "Palletainers" for storage, transporting, and handling of finished or in-process material.

United States Rubber Co., 1230 Avenue of the Americas, New York 20
Safety footwear.

United States Safety Service Co., 1535 Walnut St., Kansas City, Mo. 64108
Industrial eyewear and hearing protection.

Vogt Mfg. Corp., 100 Fernwood Ave., Rochester 21, N. Y.
Fluorescent safety clothing and equipment.

Wagner Sign Service, Inc., 218 S. Hoyne Ave., Chicago 12
Changeable copy displays for employee relations and safety programs.

Wamsutta-Pacific Industrial Fabric, 1430 Broadway, New York 18
Flame retardant fabrics.

Watchemocket Optical Co., Inc., 232 W. Exchange St., Providence 3, R. I.
Safety goggles, respirators, face shields and safety signs.

Welsh Mfg. Co., 9 Magnolia St., Providence 9, R. I.
Respirators, safety spectacles, goggles, safety hats, faceshields, and welding helmets.

R. D. Werner Co., Inc., Box 580, Greenville, Pa.
Nonconductive fiber glass ladders, stages, and scaffolds.

Westinghouse Electric Corp., 2519 Wilkens Ave., Baltimore 3
Portable pneumatic pump unit for closed chest cardiac resuscitation.

Wheeler Protective Apparel, Inc., 224 W. Huron St., Chicago 10
Industrial safety apparel.

Whelen Engineering Co., Inc., 42 Kirtland St., Deep River, Conn.
Emergency and warning lights, flashers, and beacons.

Williams Jewelry and Mfg. Co., 10 S. Wabash Ave., Chicago 3
Safety plaques, trophies, awards, emblems, badges, and incentives.

Willson Products Div., Ray-O-Vac Co., 2nd and Washington Sts., Reading, Pa.
Personal protective equipment for industry.

The Wilson Rubber Co., 1200 Garfield Ave. S.W., Canton, Ohio 44701
Rubber, neoprene, and plastic gloves.

Wire Rope Corp. of America, Inc., 609 N. 2nd St., St. Joseph 1, Mo.
Wire rope slings.

Daniel Woodhead Co, 15 N. Jefferson St., Chicago
Electric safety equipment.

Workton, Inc., 63 New York Ave., Huntington, N. Y.
Special purpose clothing—acid-resistant, lint-free, static-free and fire retardant.

American Industrial Hygiene Association, 14125 Prevost, Detroit 27
Educational material on industrial hygiene.

American Optometric Association, 4030 Chouteau Ave., St. Louis 10
Educational material on vision and highway safety, and industrial safety techniques.

American Seat Belt Council, 271 North Ave., New Rochelle, N. Y.
An association of independent manufacturers of seat belt assemblies and component parts formed to maintain industry standards.

Chicago Fire Department
Information on the work of the Fire Prevention Bureau.

Chicago Police Dept., Traffic Div.
Traffic safety.

Inter-American Safety Council, 2 Rector Street, New York
Safety publications, visual aids, and films, in Spanish for industrial, highway, and home.

National Medical Foundation for Eye Care, Washington, D. C.

Prevention of Blindness Inc., National Society for the, 16 E. 40th St., New York, N. Y. 10016
Literature and information on industrial and vocational school eye health and safety programs.

President's Committee on Employment of the Handicapped, Washington 25, D. C.
Information on Hire-the-Handicapped Program.

U. S. Department of Commerce, Coast and Geodetic Survey, Washington, D. C.
Small craft safety.

U. S. Department of the Interior, 18th and C Streets N.W., Washington, D. C. 20240
The exhibit directed to Public Safety in national parks.

U. S. Public Health Service, 4th and C St., S.W., Washington 25, D. C.
Information on the dangers of glass doors.

Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, Broadway at 34th St., Kansas City, Mo. 64111
The Veterans of Foreign Wars youth activities.

1963

Volume 3

National Safety Congress
Transactions

AUTOMOTIVE and MACHINE SHOP; POWER PRESS and FORGING

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

OFFICERS OF THE

AUTOMOTIVE & MACHINE SHOP SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—ROBERT F. BEESON, Safety Coordinator, Perfect Circle Corp., Hagerstown Plant, Hagerstown, Ind.

Vice Chairman—OLLIE J. PICKEL, Supervisor, Safety & Medical Service, Wagner Electric Corp., St. Louis 33, Mo.

Program Committee—ANTHONY RUZICH (Chairman), Group Safety Coordinator, Power Train Group, Chrysler Corp., Detroit 31, Mich.; LEWIS R. MORRISON (Associate Chairman), Safety, ACF Industries, Inc., New York 17, N. Y.

Newsletter Committee—KENNETH L. LEWIS (Chairman), Director of Safety, Delco-Remy Div., General Motors Corp., Anderson, Ind.; *JERRY E. MOORE (Associate Chairman), Vice President, Engineering Corporate Service, Inc., Detroit 1, Mich.

Engineering Methods and Procedures Committee—JOHN B. HINES (Chairman), Safety Supervisor, Oldsmobile Div., General Motors Corp., Lansing 21, Mich.; ROBERT H. CROSS (Associate Chairman), Supervisor of Safety, Ternstedt Div., General Motors Corp., Flint 2, Mich.; CLIFFORD E. BLANKENSHIP, Safety Supervisor, A. O. Smith, Granite City, Ill.; RALPH BATTEN, Safety Administrator, Trenton Div. Chrysler Corp., Trenton, Mich.; F. J. "BUD" DERY, Safety Director, Industrial Safety Section, Ford Motor Co., Dearborn, Mich.; QUINTON W. GOODE, Supervisor, Industrial Safety, International Harvester Co., Chicago 1, Ill.; KENNETH S. HEDGES, Safety Director, General Motors Corp., Detroit 2, Mich.; DONALD E. SCHAFER, Control Engineer, Royal Globe Insurance Cos., Detroit 2, Mich.

Education and Training Committee—LEO A. JOHNSON (Chairman), Safety Director, John Deere Harvester Works of Deere & Co., East Moline, Ill.; HUGO PETERSON (Associate Chairman), Manager of Training, Bendix Corp., Detroit 2, Mich.; *GEORGE E. HUMPHREY, Safety Director, Cadillac Motor Car Div., General Motors Corp., Detroit 32, Mich.; EDWARD W. JAMES, Safety Director, Sundstrand Corp., Rockford, Ill.; ROBERT H. OWEN, Manager, Security & Safety, Knolls Atomic Power Lab., Schenectady, N. Y.; JOHN A. PAUGH, Division Safety Engineer, Metal Stamping Div., Ford Motor Stamping Div., Ford Motor Co., Dearborn, Mich.; MILTON A. RATHERT, Personnel & Safety Director, C. Hager & Sons Hinge Mfg. Co., St. Louis 4, Mo.

Fire Prevention Committee—V. J. HASSELL (Chairman), Technical Advisor, Alexander & Co., Chicago 3, Ill.; *E. O. PRANGE (Associate Chairman), Safety Director, Bendix Products Div., South Bend 20, Ind.; JAMES S. DOYLE, Director of Plant Protection and Security Officer, Delco Remy Div., General Motors Corp., Anderson, Ind.; R. C. WIGGER, Manager, Casualty Engineering Dept., Detroit Insurance Agency, Detroit 2, Mich.; E. E. WILLIAMS, Assistant Superintendent Inspection Dept., Factory Insurance Association, Chicago 4, Ill.

Health Maintenance Committee—DONALD L. ESCHELBACH (Chairman), Chief, Industrial Hygiene Section, Chrysler Corp., Detroit 31, Mich.; GERALD SATTELMEIER (Associate

Chairman), Industrial Hygiene Unit, Ford Motor Co., Dearborn, Mich.; FRED COOK, Industrial Hygienist, Bituminous Casualty Corp., Rock Island, Ill.; ROBERT T. FOSTER, Manager, Health, Safety and Fire, The Bendix Corp., Kansas City 41, Mo.; WILLIAM G. HAZARD, Personnel Relations Dept., Owens-Illinois Glass Co., Toledo, Ohio; *JAMES W. LAKE, Safety Specialist, SNPO Nevada Extension, Jackass Flats, Nevada; WILLIAM R. REITZER, Industrial Hygienist, Medical Div., Caterpillar Tractor Co., Peoria, Ill.; ARTHUR E. SULEK, M. D., Medical Director, National Lock Co., Rockford, Ill.

Membership Committee—HARRY A. TILSON (Chairman), Works Safety Supervisor, Indianapolis Works, International Harvester Co., Indianapolis 19, Ind.; EUGENE C. PUGH (Associate Chairman), Safety Engineer, Iowa National Mutual Insurance Co., Cedar Rapids, Iowa; WM. A. CAVANAUGH, Safety Engineer, Michigan Mutual Liability Co., Detroit 26, Mich.; JOHN E. KANE, Supervisor-Safety, Ternstedt Div., General Motors Corp., Trenton 5, N. J.; GILBERT A. SNYDER, Supervisor-Safety & Sanitation, Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Co., LaPorte, Ind.

Off-The-Job Committee—EDWARD EBERT (Chairman), Safety Director, A. C. Spark Plug Div., General Motors Corp., Milwaukee 1, Wis.; DONALD WELDER, (Associate Chairman), Safety Director, Guide Lamp Div., General Motors Corp., Anderson, Ind. JOHN S. BLAIR, Safety Director, Clark Brothers Co., Olean, N. Y.; ROBERT G. REEVE (Chairman), Manager-Safety Services Section, Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Co., Milwaukee 1, Wis.

Staff Representative—JOSEPH H. VANSICKLE, Industrial Dept., National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago 11, Ill.

*Past General Chairman

OFFICERS OF THE

AEROSPACE SECTIO

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL

General Chairman—B. J. WILKE, JR., Chief Safety Engr., C Corp., Bethpage, Long Island, N. Y.

Vice Chairman—JOHN W. GARRISON, Chief Safety Engr., Gene tronautics, San Diego, Calif.

Secretary-Treasurer—C. D. ATTAWAY, Safety & Security Dir Corp., Longhorn Div., Marshall, Texas.

Newsletter Editor—DANA A. FRASIER, Asst., Ind. Hygiene & Sa: Autonetics Div., Anaheim, Calif.

Associate Newsletter Editor—HOWARD P. CUTTER, Safety Coord & Space Co., Vandenberg AFB, Calif.

Senior Program Chairman (West)—H. J. STONE, Chief Safety I & Space Co., Sunnyvale, Calif.

Program Chairman (Central)—WIN H. STAPLES, Safety Supvr., L Div., Dallas 22, Texas.

Program Chairman (East)—GORDON C. NEFF, Safety Engr., Do Charlotte, N.C.

Education & Training Chairman—W. G. HAYDEN, Mgr., Safe Chemical Corp., Wasatch Div., Brigham City, Utah; TERRAN Safety, General Electric Co., Apollo Support Dept., Daytona I Safety Engr., Grumman Aircraft Eng. Corp., Bethpage, Long

Senior Engineering Chairman—THOMAS F. MORRISSEY, Supv.-Sa: Div., Ford Motor Co., Newport Beach, Calif.

Engineering Chairman (West)—M. L. WILLIAMS, Chief, Indust Aero-Space Div., The Boeing Co., Renton, Wash.

Engineering Chairman (East)—J. M. DURHAM, Chief, Safety, M Md.

Industrial Hygiene Chairman—HARRY DONALDSON, Mgr., Indust The Brush Beryllium Co., Elmore, Ohio.

Membership Chairman—*E. P. MARCONI, Mgr., Safety Branc Air Force Station, Tenn.

)N

1963-64

grumman Aircraft Eng.

ral Dynamics Corp./As-

ector, Thiokol Chemical

fety, Inertial Navigation,

inator, Lockheed Missile

Engr., Lockheed Missiles

TV Vought Aeronautics

glas Aircraft Co., Inc.,

ty & Security, Thiokol
CE LANIGAN, Specialist-
Beach, Fla.; J. GAIGAL,
Island, N.Y.

fety Dept., Aeronutronic

rial Hygiene & Safety,

lartin Co., Baltimore 3,

rial Hygiene & Safety,

h ARO, Inc., Arnold

Off-the-Job S
Dynamics (

Statistics & (
Convair Di

Long Range I
Rohr. Airc

Military Repr

Nominating C
ices, Rohr
Lycoming
Insurance,

Advisory Con
netics, A.
Safety Di
I. HEMPHI
Calif.; *Fi
Div., Fort
Air Force
Inc., Long
Tulsa 15,
San Diego
Mfg. Corp.

Staff Represe
Chicago, Il

*Past General

Off-the-Job Safety Chairman—B. G. BONNER, Safety Engr., General Atomic Div., General Dynamics Corp., San Diego 12, Calif.

Statistics & Contest Chairman—M. C. VAL DEZ, Chief, Safety Engr., General Dynamics, Convair Div., San Diego 12, Calif.

Long Range Planning Chairman—*JOHN D. DONOHUE, Supv.-Safety & Employee Services, Rohr. Aircraft Corp., Chula Vista, Calif.


Military Representative—*COL. W. L. TUBBS (Ret.), Laguna Beach, Calif.

Nominating Committee Chairman—*JOHN D. DONOHUE, Supvr.-Safety & Employee Services, Rohr Aircraft Corp., Chula Vista, Calif.; *KENNETH L. STEBBINS, Dir. of Safety, Lycoming Div., AVCO Mfg. Corp., Stratford, Conn.; *M. M. CLANCY, Dir.-Safety & Insurance, Ryan Aeronautical Co., San Diego 12, Calif.

Advisory Committee—*R. WILKINS, Asst. Director, Personnel Employee Relations Autonetics, A. Division of North American Aviation, Downey, Calif.; *ROBERT S. MOORE, Safety Dir., Grumman Aircraft Eng. Corp., Bethpage, Long Island, N.Y.; *HAROLD I. HEMPHILL, Safety Adm., AiResearch Mfg. Co., A Div. of Garrett Corp., Los Angeles, Calif.; *FRED R. TEMPLE, Chief Safety Engr., General Dynamics Corp., Fort Worth, Div., Fort Worth 1, Tex.; *E. P. MARCONI, Mgr.-Safety Branch, ARO, Inc., Arnold Air Force Station, Tenn.; *FRANK W. STARR, Safety Engr., Douglas Aircraft Co., Inc., Long Beach 8, Calif.; *LYLE A. GATES, Safety Engr., Douglas Aircraft Co., Inc., Tulsa 15, Okla.; *M. M. CLANCY, Dir., Safety & Insurance, Ryan Aeronautical Co., San Diego 12, Calif.; *KENNETH L. STEBBINS, Dir. of Safety, Lycoming Div., AVCO Mfg. Corp., Stratford, Conn.

Staff Representative—HUGH J. MCRAE, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60611.

*Past General Chairman.



1963

Volume 4

National Safety Congress
Transactions

CEMENT, QUARRY and MINERAL AGGREGATES

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

OFFICERS OF THE

CEMENT, QUARRY AND MINERAL AGGREGATES SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

Chairman—Arvid Tienson, Safety Director, Material Service, Div. General Dynamics Corp., Lyons, Illinois.

First Vice Chairman—Leslie S. Voltz, Safety Engineer, Vulcan Materials Co., Consumers Co. Div., Hillside, Illinois.

Second Vice Chairman—Francis W. Bush, Sr., Director Safety, M. J. Grove Lime Co., Frederick, Maryland.

Secretary—Walter A. Dearth, Jr., Supervisor, Employee Relations, The General Crushed Stone Co., Easton, Pa.

Newsletter Editor—G. E. Williams, Safety Director, American Aggregates Corporation, Greenville, Ohio.

Associate Newsletter Editor—Francis W. Bush, Sr., Director Safety, M. J. Grove Lime Co., Frederick, Maryland.

Program Committee Chairman—Leslie S. Voltz, Safety Engineer, Vulcan Materials Co., Consumers Co. Div., Hillside, Illinois; G. E. Midyette, Safety Director, Superior Stone Company, Division of Martin Marietta Corporation, Raleigh, North Carolina; Kenneth Flicker, Safety Director and Eastern Division Labor Relations Manager, Marquette Cement Manufacturing Co., Chicago, Illinois; Leonard R. Flicker, Director of Safety, Permanente Cement Co., Oakland 12, Calif.

Membership Committee Chairman—J. R. Treadaway, Safety Director, Vulcan Materials Co., Birmingham 13, Ala.; G. D. Raver, The France Stone Company, Toledo, Ohio 43604; Leonard R. Flicker, Director of Safety, Permanente Cement Co., Oakland 12, Calif.

Statistics and Contests Committee Chairman—Forrest Moyer, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Washington 25, D. C.; E. W. Bauman, Managing Director-Secretary, National Slag Assn., Washington 4, D. C.; Vincent P. Ahearn, Jr., Assistant to the Associate Managing Dir., National Sand & Gravel Assn., Washington 5, D. C.

Engineering Committee Co-Chairman (West)—George J. Reynolds, Technical Manager, Electrical, U. S. Gypsum Co., Chicago 6, Illinois; Leslie S. Voltz, Safety Engineer, Vulcan Materials Co., Consumers Co. Div., Hillside, Illinois; Arvid Tienson, Safety Director, Material Service, Div. General Dynamics Corp., Lyons, Illinois; E. C. Beuthin, Manager, Safety, U. S. Gypsum Co., Chicago 6, Ill.; Derrell M. Cornell, Safety Engineer, Portland Cement Assn., Chicago 10, Illinois.

Co-Chairman (East)—Roy G. Stott, Mining Health and Safety Engineer, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Washington 25, D. C.; Francis W. Bush, Sr., Director Safety, M. J. Grove

Lime Co., Frederick, Maryland; MAJ. WILBAR MARDEN HOXIE, Safety Engineer, Corps of Engrs., New England Div., Waltham, Mass.; KENNETH A. GUTSCHICK, Manager Technical Service, National Lime Assn., Washington 5, D. C.; JOHN J. SYMONDS, Safety Engineer, Stone and Slag Operations, Bethlehem Lindsfore Co., Steelton, Pa.

Visual Aids Committee Chairman—WILLIAM A. MCCORMICK, JR., Safety Supvr., Keystone Div. of Dravo Corp., Pittsburg, Pa.; VINCENT GALLAGHER, Ass't. Safety Dir., Material Service Corporation, Lyons, Ill.; LEONARD R. FLICKER, Dir. of Safety, Permanente Cement Co., Oakland 12, Calif.

Off-The-Job Safety Committee Chairman—MARTIN D. GENTRY, Manager-Industrial Relations, General Portland Cement Co., Chicago 3, Illinois; PATRICK C. HATLER, Labor Relations Assistant, Ideal Cement Company, Denver 2, Colorado; EDWARD J. WARING, Laverack & Haines, Inc., Buffalo, New York.

Publicity Committee Chairman—L. E. CUNNINGHAM, Safety Director, The Georgia Marble Co., Tate, Ga.; VINCENT P. AHEARN, JR., Assistant to the Associate Managing Director, National Sand & Gravel Assn., Washington 5, D. C.; WILLIAM CARTER, Exec. Dir., National Crushed Stone Assoc., Washington, D. C. 20007.

Training Committee Chairman—RALPH M. RICHIE, Director of Safety and Community Relations, Medusa Portland Cement Co., Cleveland 1, Ohio; A. J. CLAUSER, Ass't. Safety Mgr., Alpha Portland Cement Co., Easton, Pa.; *WILLIAM A. KIPP, Director-Safety, Universal Atlas Cement Div. of U. S. Steel Corp., New York 17, N. Y.; ALFRED C. KEMP, Dir. of Safety, Martin Marietta Corp., New York, N. Y. 10022.

Long Range Planning Committee Chairman—*HOWARD F. JOHNSTON, Supervisor, Industrial Relations, Roofing Granule Div., Ruberoid Co., Hagerstown, Md.; *DORSE O. SEIPLE, JR., Safety Director, The Standard Slag Co., Youngstown 1, Ohio; *HOWARD RIEFENSTAHL, Manager of Training & Safety, Alpha Portland Cement Co., Easton, Pa.; *FRED E. STORCH, Director Safety & Personnel, The Whitehall Cement Mfg. Co., Cementon, Pa.

Hospitality Committee Chairman—KENNETH FLICKER, Safety Director and Eastern Division Labor Relations Manager, Marquette Cement Mfg. Co., Chicago, Illinois; MARTIN D. GENTRY, Manager-Industrial Relations, General Portland Cement Co., Chicago 3, Illinois; WILLIAM CARTER, Exec. Dir., National Crushed Stone Assoc., Washington, D. C. 20007.

Nominating Committee—DORSE O. SEIPLE, JR., Safety Director, The Standard Slag Co., Youngstown 1, Ohio.

Personal Protective Equipment Committee Chairman—*PAUL J. WORSECK, Manager, Safety & Welfare, Lehigh Portland Cement Co., Allentown, Pa.; *HOWARD RIEFENSTAHL, Manager of Training and Safety, Alpha Portland Cement Co., Alpha Bldg., Easton, Pa.; *FRED E. STORCH, Director, Safety and Personnel, The Whitehall Cement Mfg. Co., Cementon, Pa.; ARTHUR P. LENNON, Safety Dir., New York Trap Rock Corp., West Nyack, N. Y.

Members-At-Large—IVAN F. LEGORE, Safety Director, Portland Cement Assn., Chicago 10, Illinois; LLOYD YEAGER, General Manager, Gypsum Assn., Chicago 6, Ill.; *T. W. JONES, Vice President in Charge of Production, New Haven Trap Rock Co., New Haven 9, Conn.

Staff Representative—CHARLES S. WOLFF, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60611.

*Past General Chairman

1963

Volume 5

National Safety Congress
Transactions

CHEMICAL and FERTILIZER INDUSTRIES

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Chemical Publishing Company, Inc., New York 10, N. Y.

Toxicity of Industrial Organic Compounds. Ethel Browning.

National Association of Mutual Casualty Companies, Chicago 6, Ill.

Handbook of Organic Industrial Solvents, 2nd edition (1961).

Respiratory Protective Devices

Dusts, Fumes, vapors and gases arising from chemical operations can be controlled for the most part by engineering methods. In some situations, however, the engineering control measures cannot be used or may not be sufficient by themselves or in combinations. Respiratory protective devices may then be used.

For information refer to:

Respiratory Protective Devices Manual. Published by American Industrial Hygiene Association and American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (1963). Available from Braun and Brumfield, Inc., Box 1203, Ann Arbor, Mich.

Lists of respiratory protective devices approved by the U. S. Bureau of Mines are published periodically and may be obtained from that Bureau, 4800 Forbes Street, Pittsburgh, Penn.

American Standard Safety Code for Head, Eye, and Respiratory Protection, Z2.1 (1959). Published by American Standards Association, Inc., 10 East 40th Street, New York 10, N. Y.

Periodicals:

National Safety News—Published by National Safety Council, 425 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago 11, Ill.

Chemical Week—Published by McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., McGraw-Hill Building, 330 W. 42nd Street, New York 36, N. Y.

Industrial and Engineering Chemistry—Published by American Chemical Society, 1155 Sixteenth Street, N. W., Washington, D. C.

American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal—Published by the American Industrial Hygiene Association, 14125 Prevost, Detroit 27, Mich.

Archives of Environmental Health—Published by American Medical Association, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago 10, Ill.

Journal of Occupational Medicine—Official Publication of the Industrial Medical Association, 55 East Washington Street, Chicago 2, Ill.

Industrial Medicine and Surgery—Published by Industrial Medicine Publishing Company, Miami, Fla.

THEORY, APPLICABILITY AND DESIGN OF AN EXPLOSION SUPPRESSION SYSTEM

By R. J. KEATS
Mgr., Manufacturing Engineering, Chemical & Metallurgical Div.,
General Electric Co., Pittsfield, Mass.

The only system of its kind in operation within the General Electric Company and known to be the only installation of its kind on phenolic molding compound in the United States, the Fenwal explosion suppression system, now installed and operating in Pittsfield, Mass., is a welcome addition to the field of explosion protection.

Description

The system consists of three basic components—the sensors, the control unit, and the inerting or quenching devices. In the design of the protecting system, the first action to be taken was selection of the most probable locations for a potential explosion. Two areas in the phenolic manufacturing process stream were selected, namely, points where grinding was to take place. Here the combustible source, the dust generated in grinding, is present. There is sufficient oxygen present to bring the dust to oxygen ration within the explosive range. Here also, and the basic reason for select-

OFFICERS OF THE

CHEMICAL SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—G. R. CUMMINGS, Head, Safety and Industrial Hygiene Dept., Eli Lilly & Co., Indianapolis 6, Ind.

Vice Chairman in Charge of Program—J. J. PRABULOS, Safety Mgr., National Distillers and Chemical Corp., New York 16, N. Y.

Secretary—H. W. RAPP, JR., Suprvg. Chemical Engr., Engineering & Loss Control Div., The Travelers Insurance Co., Hartford 15, Conn.

Laboratory and Pilot Plant Subsection—W. S. WOOD (Chairman), Safety Engr., Research and Development Div., Sun Oil Co., Marcus Hook, Pa.; E. L. STOUT (Chairman-Elect), Safety Engr., Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, N. Mex.; L. C. WEBER (Secretary), Safety Dir., Research Center, Monsanto Chemical Co., St. Louis 66, Mo.

Newsletter Committee—J. N. ROMINE (Chairman), Safety Engr., Research & Development Dept., Phillips Petroleum Co., Bartlesville, Okla.; A. H. CHRISTIAN, Corporate Safety Engr., American Viscose Corp., Philadelphia 3, Pa.; D. T. SMITH, Supt, Protection Div., Employee Relations Dept., E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Penns Grove, N. J.

Engineering Committee—H. A. VOLZ (Chairman), Safety Dir., Callery Chemical Co., Muskogee, Okla.; J. B. BLACK, Safety Officer, Public Health Service, U. S. Dept. of Health, Education, & Welfare, Washington 25, D. C.; P. J. McDONOUGH, Mgr. of Loss Prevention, Chemicals Div., Olin Mathieson Chemical Corp., New York 22, N. Y.

Public Relations Committee—A. P. OSTI (Chairman), Corporate Safety Engr., Chas. Pfizer & Co., Inc., New York 17, N. Y.; *J. R. BOLLMAN, Safety Dir., Proctor & Gamble Co., Ivorydale, Cincinnati 17, Ohio; A. L. HEESEMAN, Chief Safety Engr., Lockheed Propulsion Co., Redlands, Calif.

Technical Publications Committee—R. NEARY (Chairman), Safety Engr., Safety Codes Dept., Union Carbide Corp., New York 17, N. Y.; M. A. SNELL, Supvr. of Technical Services, Engr. Dept., Hartford Accident & Indemnity Co., Hartford 15, Conn.; E. LEVENS, Safety Mgr., Aerojet-General Corp., Azusa, Calif.; G. H. MENTER, Safety Engineer, Bristol Laboratories, Division of Bristol-Myers Co., Syracuse, N. Y.; C. MACDIARMID, Senior Chemist, Polymer Corporation, Sarnia, Ontario, Canada

Health Committee—J. A. HOUGHTON (Chairman), Supvr., Industrial Chemical Service, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston 17, Mass.; E. L. ALPAUGH, Supvr., Industrial Hygiene Service, International Harvester Co., Chicago 1, Ill.; R. E. HAWKINSON, Industrial Hygienist, Employers Mutuals of Wausau, Milwaukee 16, Wis.; D. J. KILIAN, M.D., Medical Dir., Texas Div., Dow Chemical Co., Freeport, Texas; MRS. ANNE KLOIBER, R.N., Supervising Nurse, Pabst Brewing Co., Milwaukee 1, Wis.; MRS. V. MAGLIO, R.N., Plant Nurse, Great Lakes Carbon Corp., Niagara Falls, N. Y.

Training Aids Committee—A. KLING (Chairman), Director of Loss Prevention, Olin Mathieson Chemical Corp., New York 22, N. Y.; D. M. VAN WEZEN, Safety Coordinator,

Stauffer Chemical Corp., Chauncey, N. Y.; A. Mims, Safety Engineer, Proctor & Gamble Co., Cincinnati 17, Ohio; R. H. Scott, Hanford Laboratories, Bldg. 3702, Hanford Atomic Products Operations, General Electric Co., Richland, Washington; R. Daschke, Administrator, Allied Chemical Corp., New York 6, N. Y.

Membership Committee—F. C. Gaugush (Chairman), Dir. of Safety, Sherwin-Williams Co., Chicago 28, Ill.; W. J. Rankin, Division Safety Engineer, Tape Group, 3M Co., St. Paul 19, Minnesota; W. Lauderback, Safety Director, Texas Eastman Co., Longview, Texas

Statistics Analysis and Safety Awards Committee—W. K. Gibson (Chairman), Safety Coordinator, Union Carbide Corp., New York 17, N. Y.; F. E. Macaulay, Safety Supvr., Wyandotte Chemicals Corp., Wyandotte, Mich.

Off-the-Job Committee—M. Krikorian (Chairman), Corporate Mgr. of Safety, Brunswick Corp., Chicago 5, Ill.; C. M. Olson, Supvr. Health & Safety, Hooker Chemical Corp., Niagara Falls, N. Y.; A. Wilson, Safety Manager, 401 Bldg., Midland Div., Dow Chemical Co., Midland, Michigan; P. C. Lamb, Safety Adm., Lever Bros. Co., New York 22, N. Y.

Educational Liaison Committee—American Chemical Society: *H. H. Fawcett, Consulting Engr., Research Laboratory, General Electric Co., Schenectady, N. Y.; *Manufacturing Chemists' Association:* G. G. Fleming, Dir. of Safety and Plant Protection, Celanese Corp. of America, Charlotte, N. C.; *Scientific Apparatus Makers Association:* J. R. Irving, Executive Secretary, Chicago 6, Ill.; *Inst. of Electrical & Electronic Engineers:* W. T. Rogers, Ebasco Services, Inc., New York 6, N. Y.; *American Nurses' Association:* Mrs. A. Klobber, R.N., Supervising Nurse, Pabst Brewing Co., Milwaukee 1, Wis.; *American Material Handling Society:* E. V. Clancey (Chairman of the Bulk Handling and Safety Committees), Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester 4, N. Y.; *American Inst. of Chemical Engineers:* H. W. Rapp, Jr., Supvrg. Engr., Engineering & Loss Control Div., The Travelers Insurance Co., Hartford 15, Conn.

Nominating Committee—*E. R. Wallace (Chairman), Senior Safety Engineer, Safety and Fire Protection, Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester 4, N. Y.; *H. H. Fawcett, Consulting Engr., Research Laboratory, General Electric Research Laboratory, Schenectady, N. Y.; *J. E. Nichols, Dir. of Safety, Reynolds Metals Co., Richmond 19, Va.

Research and Planning Committee—*S. F. Spence (Chairman), Dir., Safety and Loss Prevention, American Cyanamid Co., Wayne, N. J.; *R. H. Albisser, Safety Mgr., Merck Chemical Div., Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, N. J.; *E. J. Meyers, Asst. Mgr., Safety & Fire Protection Div., E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Wilmington 98, Del.

Advisory Committee—*G. L. Gorrell (Chairman), Mgr., Safety and Fire Protection, Monsanto Chemical Co., St. Louis 66, Mo.; *A. L. Cobb, Dir. of Industrial Safety, Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester 4, N. Y.; *S. M. MacCutcheon, Asst. Mgr., Safety and Loss Prevention, Dow Chemical Co., Midland, Mich.

Staff Representative—G. Shibley, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago 11, Ill.

*Past General Chairman

FERTILIZER SECTION

SAFETY IN HANDLING AMMONIUM NITRATE

By RALPH D. MILLER
Technical Dir., Agricultural Chemicals Div., Spencer Chemical Co., Kansas City, Mo.

I have been asked to speak on the subject of safe storage and handling of ammonium nitrate, both in bulk and in bags. This happens to be a subject that I am always happy to speak about, as ammonium nitrate has been one of the main interests of my work for the last 20 years.

Much has been said about ammonium nitrate which is both true and untrue. I will attempt to sort out the facts of the information given out and attempt to give you the straight story as it is best known to us today.

The first thing, I suppose, that anyone thinks about in regard to ammonium nitrate is the disaster at Texas City. This certainly was a terrible catastrophe which caused a tremendous wave of fear of ammonium nitrate to sweep over the entire country. As a result of this disaster, many organizations and technical groups pooled their abilities to study the facts which evolved from the information found.

Let us study some of the facts and see what are the characteristics of ammonium nitrate itself before I attempt to talk about the storage of bulk or bagged material.

The ammonium nitrate which was involved in the Texas City catastrophe was a product coated with a combustible substance known as PRP. This was applied to prevent the ammonium nitrate, which is a very hygroscopic material, from picking up moisture and becoming caked. PRP consisted of one-third petrolatum, one-third rosin, and one-third paraffin. This was applied to the ammonium nitrate at the rate of 1 per cent, which was the ordnance department's specification for material which was being shipped to the ravaged countries overseas as a result of World War II. The same composition was used in fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate used domestically.

Ammonium nitrate itself is a very stable material, but it is known for its ability to supply oxygen upon decomposition. It is, therefore, referred to as an oxidizing agent, which means that it will increase the intensity of a fire when involved in a fire with combustible materials. Pure ammonium nitrate itself is almost noncombustible. You should try this sometime to acquaint yourself with ammonium nitrate. Get a bag of fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate and dump it out in a pile and then attempt to burn it. It just simply is almost impossible — even try a blow torch on it.

Knowing the burning characteristics of ammonium nitrate and the characteristics of the product which was used at Texas City, let us examine the mechanisms of this characteristic. An example is air—something we have around us and breathe every day—which is definitely an oxidizing agent because it contains oxygen. It is perfectly safe but it causes things to burn. Mix it in a confined container with a combustible such as atomized oil or coal dust or flour or anything of that nature. Ignite it and you have a real explosion. Ammonium nitrate, being a solid—which has the same characteristics when mixed intimately with a combustible such as oil, coal dust, carbon black, and so forth—is then at that time considered a blasting agent.

After this information, you may realize that the Texas City ammonium nitrate had one per cent combustible coating on it; this material is known to be sensitive if sufficiently initiated and could explode if a sufficient booster is set off in its midst. This type of material will burn completely if placed in a pile and ignited.

Now, let us see what has been done today to change this situation. After the Texas City disaster and the inter-agency reports which were made as a result of the studies of that accident, the manufacturers have discontinued the use of a combustible as a coat-

ing agent and have replaced it with either kaolin clay or diatomaceous earth. Both of these materials, as you know, are quite inert, and, of course, absolutely noncombustible. Since this change has been made in the manufacture of fertilizer-grade ammonium nitrate to include the inert parting agents, there has never been an explosion of this material as such. Contrary to many newspaper reports, the material has been handled safely and has been involved in dozens of fires with no difficulty whatsoever. As a matter of fact, there are well over a million tons of this material shipped, handled, and stored every year.

Let me attempt to straighten out some of the confusion as has been produced in the past, by such as the incident at Roseburg, Oregon, which was immediately reported by the press as being fertilizer ammonium nitrate. The report was absolutely false, as the material involved in this cargo contained 3,000 pounds of dynamite and 9,000 pounds of the nitro-carbo-nitrate. Nitro-carbo-nitrate is a compound which consists of an oxidizing agent and a combustible, usually ammonium nitrate and diesel fuel. With 3,000 pounds of dynamite and 9,000 pounds of the blasting agent, it was expected that this material would explode, as it was manufactured for that specific purpose. The truck containing this material was properly marked and identified. It simply was left standing in the city, which was in a most unfortunate position, as a fire started in a warehouse adjoining it. Leaving the truck in a congested area in the first place was contrary to regulations.

The explosion at Norton, Virginia, was reported to have involved ammonium nitrate fertilizer. Again, this was nitro-carbo-nitrate at a mixing plant for a mining area. The plant mixed ammonium nitrate with diesel fuel and supplied it to the people using it for blasting purposes. A fire developed in the plant as a result of welding. In the fire were acetylene cylinders and oxygen cylinders. Although no explosives were reported to be present in the building, it did involve nitro-carbo-nitrate, a blasting agent.

Shipping Port, Pennsylvania, was another incident in which a fire developed involving nitro-carbo-nitrate and not fertilizer ammonium nitrate.

Traskwood, Arkansas, was the site of a train wreck that resulted in an explosion. This, although not officially explained, probably was caused by the mixing of ammonium nitrate with oil which was part of the shipment. This train wreck involved many cars of petroleum products—tank cars and box cars containing drums. There were two cars of ammonium nitrate involved in this wreck and, I believe, there were 21 cars piled up in this accident. The accident was caused by a hot box on one of the tank cars containing petroleum. This condition resulted in a sheared axle, causing the wreck and the pile-up of the cars. The pile-up of the cars resulted in a mixture of many of the products, of course. The investigation of the accident indicated that one car of ammonium nitrate actually blew up. The other car was blown to bits, but it, itself, did not blow up, and ammonium nitrate was scattered over the entire area. Of course, it is believed that the one car which was broken open must have gotten well mixed with oil which was flowing in the ditches in the area and burning with a tremendous fire. The big problem was this: it had never been definitely proven that a mixture of ammonium nitrate and oil would explode by fire alone. As a result of this particular accident, the industry commissioned the Bureau of Mines to investigate the accident. This investigation has been financed by the ammonium nitrate manufacturers.

One thing that did not immediately come to the attention of the investigators was the fact that in this accident, there was a tank car containing fuming nitric acid, or 100% nitric acid. As a result of the investigation, it was found that when fuming nitric acid is mixed with oil, an immediate explosion results. The instant the nitric acid is added to burning petroleum, a detonation results with high speed velocity. This has been proven, since this investigation started, completely, and has been confirmed by many other laboratories.

Here, then, was the possible booster for the material, and it could have been the cause of the initial explosion which occurred at Traskwood, Arkansas.

The National Fire Protection Association has prepared a code for storage of ammonium nitrate, but it is still in a tentative state. I think the code is very good, and, I might say, is the result of much work by a

committee consisting of representatives of various groups, including insurance companies, fire marshals, industry representatives, and transportation people, as well as several expert consultants. I personally feel that it is too restrictive, but it is certainly a good model code for use by all regulatory groups.

I have strayed from the subject, but I feel that a background and a better understanding of the material is necessary before we discuss proper storing methods of bulk ammonium nitrate fertilizer. I think you will agree from this information that the storage of ammonium nitrate is reasonably safe provided it is handled according to MCA or NFPA recommendations and is not intimately mixed with a combustible, such as oil or particulated metals or powdered combustibles such as carbon black, coal, and so forth.

Actually, the bulk material is easier to store from a fire standpoint than is the bagged material because the paper bags are easily ignited, and the bulk product simply is not easy to ignite.

Let me go through the procedure as specified by the model code of the National Fire Protection Association. I will not attempt to read this entire code to you because I think much of it would not be of value at this meeting. I will start with this part:

"The code shall apply to all persons, firms, corporations, co-partnerships, and associations storing, having, or keeping ammonium nitrate; and to the owner or lessee of any building, premise, or structure in which ammonium nitrate is stored.

"Not more than 50 tons of ammonium nitrate shall be stored within any building or structure, unless the location and storage facility has been approved. Storage location shall be subject to approval by the authority having jurisdiction with respect to nearness of residential occupancies, places of public assembly, schools, hospitals, railroads, and public highways. Limitations on storage quantities shall be considered with regard to the proximity of these exposures and congested commercial or industrial districts. Approval of large quantity storage shall be subject to due consideration of possible vapors from burning ammonium nitrate."

The main consideration in this type of storage is the burning vapors, because when ammonium nitrate does burn or is involved in a fire, it can decompose into two compounds—mainly into nitrous oxide or laughing gas, and into nitric acid vapors which are very toxic and dangerous to personnel. I will go on with the code:

"Storage buildings shall not be over one story in height or have basements unless approved for such use and shall be equipped with lightning-rod protection if located in an area where lightning storms are prevalent. Storage buildings shall be adequately ventilated or be of a construction that will be self-ventilating in the event of fire. The walls of storage buildings shall be of fire-resistive construction, if located adjacent to other buildings.

"Piles of combustible materials and similar exposure hazards.—The roof covering shall be fire retardant. All flooring in storage and handling areas shall be a noncombustible material or protected against impregnation by ammonium nitrate and shall be without open drains, traps, pits, or pockets in which any molten nitrate could flow and be confined in the event of fire.

"The continued use of existing storage buildings or structures not in strict conformity with this code may be approved in cases where such continued use will not constitute a hazard to life or adjoining property.

"Buildings and structures shall be dry and free from water seepage through the roof, walls, floors."

Now, I will take up the section on bulk storage:

"Compartments.—Bins shall be clear and free of material which may contaminate the ammonium nitrate. Due to the corrosive and reactive properties of ammonium nitrate and to avoid contamination, galvanized iron, copper, lead, and zinc shall not be used in bin construction, unless suitably protected. Aluminum bins and wooden bins protected against impregnation by ammonium nitrate are permissible. Steel and wood can be protected with two or more coats of paint which is resistant to ammonium nitrate, such as an epoxy or polyvinyl chloride base paint. The warehouse may be subdivided by partition walls into any desired number of ammonium nitrate storage compartments or bins. Piles or bins shall be so sized and arranged that

all material in the pile is moved out periodically. Height or depth of pile shall be limited by the pressure-setting tendency of the product. Ammonium nitrate shall not be accepted for storage when the temperature of the product exceeds 130°F.

"Dynamite, other explosives, and blasting agents shall not be used to break up or loosen caked ammonium nitrate.

"Ammonium nitrate shall be separated by approved-type walls of not less than one-hour fire resistive rating from storage of organic chemicals, acids, or corrosive liquids, compressed flammable gases, flammable and combustible materials, or other contaminating substances, including but not limited to animal fats, baled cotton, baled rags, baled scrap paper, bleaching powder, burlap, or cotton bags, caustic soda, chloride of lime, coal, coke, charcoal, cork, camphor, excelsior, fiber of any kind, fish oils, fish meal, hay, lubricating oil, linseed oil, or other oxidizable or drying oils, naphthalene, calcium, oiled clothing, oil paper, oil textiles, paint, quicklime, straw, sawdust, wood shavings, or vegetable oils.

"Walls referred to in this section need only extend to the underside of the roof covering.

"In lieu of separation walls, ammonium nitrate may be separated from the materials referred to in this section by a space of at least 30 feet or more, as required by the authority having jurisdiction; and if necessary, sills or curbs shall be provided to prevent mixing during fire conditions.

"Prohibited Articles. — Flammable liquids such as gasoline, kerosene, solvents, and light fuel shall not be stored on the premises, except as provided by the Flammable Liquids Code, NFPA No. 30. LP Gas shall not be stored on the premises, except as provided in the Standard for Storage and Handling of Liquefied Petroleum Gases, NFPA Bulletin No. 58. Sulphur and finely divided metals shall not be stored in the same building with ammonium nitrate. Explosives and blasting agents shall not be stored in the same building or on the premises with ammonium nitrate unless proper distances are maintained in accordance with the American Table of Distances for Total Quantity of Explosives, Blasting Agents, and Ammonium Nitrate. See the Code for the Manufacture, Transportation, and Storage and Uses of Explosives and Blasting Agents, NFPA Bulletin No. 495.

"Automatic Sprinklers. — [This is under the chapter on fire protection.] Unless the storage of a greater quantity is approved by the authority having jurisdiction, not more than 2,500 tons of ammonium nitrate shall be stored in a building or structure not equipped with an automatic sprinkler system. When determining whether greater quantities shall be permitted, the authority having jurisdiction shall take into consideration exposure of the storage building to built-up areas, possible presence of contaminants in the storage building and the availability of water supplies. Sprinkler systems shall be of the approved type and installed in accordance with the Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems, National Fire Protection Association's Bulletin No. 13. Silo-type storage structures need not comply with provisions above.

"Extinguishing Devices. — Suitable fire-control devices, such as small hose or portable extinguishers shall be provided throughout the warehouse and in loading and unloading areas. Water supplies and fire hydrants shall be available in accordance with recognized good practices and as required by the authority having jurisdiction. The requirements for automatic sprinklers, water supplies and fire hydrants set forth in this section may be waived by the authority having jurisdiction when storage facilities are located in remote areas."

This code is quite lengthy, and I will not attempt to go into the legal provisions that are supplied for suggested provisions for municipal regulations. But I have given you the basic facts as to the contents of the code for the storage of bulk ammonium nitrate.

Some of you may wonder about the critical mass of ammonium nitrate as it was reported in the Inter-Agency report. I would like to clarify that issue for you by stating that the critical mass of 126.8 tons—as stated in the Inter-Agency report following Texas City—was in regard to a problem, purely theoretical, as calculated for a mass consisting of, I presume, a sphere in which, of course, the center would be equidistant to all sides in which ammonium nitrate was heated to the point at which the heat could not be conducted out-

ward; and at such a point, self-heating could start and continue. This calculation was based, I understand, on the mass being solid, which would apply to a mass of stored, pelletized or granulated ammonium nitrate. Some of the outstanding scientists today have made some calculations in regard to critical mass of granulated or pelletized ammonium nitrate, and the amount is 2.22×10^{8} tons. It certainly cannot apply to any of the quantities which we have discussed here today, or would ever be considered as storage quantities.

The Manufacturing Chemists's Association's Bulletin A-10 and Supplement for Bulk is the best known reference for handling ammonium nitrate, and I recommend the reading of the National Fire Protection Association's bulletin on the Behavior of Ammonium Nitrate in Fires.

LIQUID NITROGEN—MIXING, STORING AND APPLICATION

By ELMER C. PERRINE
Dir., Technical Service, Nitrogen Div., Allied Chemical Corp., New York City

Short and sweet could be the subject if it were confined to the safe handling of nitrogen solutions alone.

It would be short because operators could be advised to use properly designed and safeguarded equipment made of corrosion resistant materials. Corrosion is at the base of many serious accidents, for much of the welding and cutting is performed on equipment which has suffered destructive corrosion. The subject could be shortened by advising the operator to handle his present nitrogen solution in a manner to avoid salting out of the nitrogen salts or to choose a solution which would minimize those prospects. Many accidents in cold weather are associated with attempts to free blocked lines with high heats.

The responsibilities for many accidents could be placed on acids, pressures, heats or equipment failures where many accidents do develop.

Unusual in a safety subject, the talks could also be sweet if the influence of personalities on accidents were not discussed. The subject could then be closed by advising close supervision of the operations and inspection of the equipment.

But such a subject, if short and sweet, would be useless.

For we do have some materials, designs and techniques which leave much to be desired. We do have many other ingredients which are inseparable from the process.

The above short, sweet, and useless approach to safety could be adopted for each of the ingredients without solving any problems.

Today's marvelous fertilizer is not only nitrogen solutions, phosphates, and potash. It is what can be made with ammonia, ammonium nitrate, urea, sufuric acid and, phosphoric acid, potassium chloride, steam, water, hot air, cold air and all the potentials for good and evil when they are handled with skill and without skill.

We also have human beings and much of safety is in protecting people from themselves.

An honest treatment of any one of several factors in the safe manufacture of fertilizer cannot be short nor sweet if it is to be useful.

The chemical industry has progressed partly because it has found ways of safely handling any material and by realizing that precautions must be used in the handlings.

The results of combining nitrogen solution with other ingredients as well as the properties of all of the ingredients and the nature of the finished product have their influences on the handling of nitrogen solutions.

For nearly 30 years nitrogen solutions have been handled in large quantities with a good safety record in nearly every mixed

fertilizer plant in this country. For about the first 15 years, there were very few serious accidents of any nature. As practically no attention was given publicly to safety, the good early record must have resulted from the amounts used being small. The nitrogen solution was tossed unceremoniously into crude equipment. The pipe which delivered the solution into the mixer was not even referred to as a distributor until the rates of ammoniation were about doubled in the late '40's, by the same means of good distribution throughout the mass. The use of acid as such was practically unheard of and heat was something to be avoided as a plague, which it was in those days. Blasting was common and quite safe because the amount of nitrogen salt was far below a critical point even if the pile temperature was high.

The ammoniating nitrogen solution can be handled safely if a few basic facts are respected.

In nitrogen solutions, vapor pressure results from the contained ammonia. The gas which may escape from the liquid is ammonia gas. It will usually not be released in such large amounts and as rapidly as will the same gas from anhydrous ammonia, which is about 99.5 per cent ammonia.

Ammonia gas is flammable or explosive in mixtures with air. The range of about 11 per cent to 29 per cent in air by volume is the widest range which appears in print for ammonia. For safety, it must be assumed that explosive mixtures can exist in any vessel where ammonia and air can exist together. It would require from about 20 to 60 times as much ammonia in air for an explosion or burning than can be endured by an unprotected man. It is unlikely that an explosion or a fire from ammonia would occur in the immediate vicinity of a person but the vagaries of ventilation could make this possible. Ammonia gas is lighter than air.

Concentrations as low as ½ of one per cent or one per cent ammonia in air can be fatal in a short time and it requires very little ammonia to create uncomfortable working conditions. The full-view cartridge type ammonia gas mask with speaking diaphragm is suitable for atmospheres which contain no more than three per cent ammonia. The compressed air tank and the hose-supplied types are suitable for much higher concentrations. There is, however, danger to the skin in high concentrations of ammonia in the air.

Any vapor pressures above that of the atmosphere must be taken into account, for it alone can cause a large flow of nitrogen solution at breaks such as gauge glasses, hoses, piping, leaks in tanks, and broken or faulty valves.

Some accidents occur when operators are uncertain whether a tank has been emptied of nitrogen solution during operations. The use of enough air pressure on the supply tank to avoid volatilization, or bubbling, at every point in the entire system will practically eliminate the uncertainties. This adequate air pressure is necessary whether the solution is moved by air pressure or by pumps. Accurate outrun and inventory records made constantly available to the operator will give a check on measuring devices and on the process at a time when the records can be of some service.

The contained nitrogen salts, ammonium nitrate and urea, as they exist in the solutions, are not serious safety hazards as shown by the fact that many thousands of tons of the dry material is handled annually. But they may be a serious factor in some equipment failures and where formulation practices crowd other safety factors very much.

Too many serious and fatal accidents result from applying heats beyond that of low pressure steam to equipment which has any nitrogen salt deposits in or on it. The high heats are applied knowingly to bring back into solution the salts which have precipitated out of the solution and blocked some passage, or in altering, repairing, scrapping, or salvaging equipment. The choice of the correct material of construction and the correct nitrogen solutions should greatly reduce these dangerous acts. Insulation of pipe lines should also contribute to safety.

All surfaces should be cleaned, as clean as when they were new, with water, wire brushed, and more water, before any high heat is applied near the area of the deposits. Deposits from spillage should be flushed away with generous amounts of water.

One type of accident occurs in the presence of nitrogen solution but the cause or causes are from other sources, for the nitrogen solution is almost always being used

exactly as before the accident. The variations are in other factors in most of these cases. When materials such as nitrogen solutions, sulfuric acid and potassium chloride are being mixed in large quantities at 230° F. with only five per cent or less of water, there is not much room for error. Sometimes, through the corrosion in meters, faulty metering of sulfuric acid, or local concentrations through faulty distributor pipes, enough sulfuric acid may be delivered to cause flash fires or explosions in the mixer. When the mass fails to mix but merely rocks or slides in the mixer, there may be a direct contact between nitrogen solutions and sulfuric acid without much buffering effect of the other materials.

Nitrogen solutions and acids are safely and successfully mixed directly in the pre-reactor where the good, controlling influence of water is effective.

Nearly all formulae as determined by management are theoretically safe for the equipment as it was originally designed. But operators may add more acid as an easy way to control ammonia fumes rather than determine the cause and correct it, which is usually easily done. Faulty meters, plugged or corroded distributor pipes for acid, nitrogen solutions and water, interrupted recycle, and failure of mixing for any reason may cause dangerous local or general concentrations or shortage of materials with a formula that is, overall, well-balanced.

If the safety margin is thinned in a process in efforts to meet competition, there must be some offsetting influence. Increased understanding of the potentials and alertness seem to be needed. Here is where human nature enters; the nature of management, supervision, and labor as well as equipment and material suppliers.

It isn't enough to know the properties and potentials of one material such as nitrogen solution. Where is the gain in supplying the fine equipment and techniques for handling one material when dangerous amounts of another material may be added through the use of improper equipment or techniques?

All along the industrial front, processes are being stepped up or scrapped if they cannot be stepped up. This pressure, like all pressures, is spreading in all directions to include materials, designs, and above all, people.

Remember—the handling of any material requires some precautions. Also remember that every material can be handled safely.

Many things which are being done now can be done almost equally well in some other way with some other material. When a thing is performed safely and economically by a large number of people, a man marks himself by doing less well.

Francis Bacon once said, "That which man altereth not for the better nature altereth for the worse." From experience we have learned that there is no end point in safety work; in learning this we have learned humility.

HUMAN RELATIONS AND SAFETY GO HAND-IN-HAND

By H. H. VAN BOCKEL
Dir., Personnel & Safety, Smith-Douglass Co., Inc., Plant City, Fla.

No matter what your title, no matter what the size of your organization, if you have become the administrator of the safety program, it is up to you to get things going, it is up to you to employ your skills and facilities to keep it going. Working out a successful safety program is a man-sized job. But you have to get at it!

This speech is directed to safety people who are removed from the actual operations and those directors who have the time, but not the inclination, to enhance their program by getting out of the office and into the field. They are those safety people who think only of gimmicks to enliven some interest in safety without being seen working at safety by supervisors and employees.

If you are a safety director responsible for several representatives, this will be of interest to you. It will also be of value to you if you are a safety man whose program needs something besides gimmicks and contests; if your program needs good, honest and sincere representation with line supervisors and employees; and if it needs full cooperation from management, supervisory staff and hourly employees. You will recognize that safety is selling and that you must be a top-notch salesman. You have a product to sell; one of the best ways of selling safety is through human relations.

People responsible for maintaining a successful safety program must maintain the interest and safety consciousness and cooperation of (1) top management; (2) the supervisory staff; and (3) the employees. In dealing with all these people, remember this point: you can be the best of safety engineers and have great technical knowledge, but if the before-mentioned persons do not respond to you, cooperate with you, your department will accomplish very little.

I believe that many safety people forget the one important facet of their being, that they must accomplish through others. You must enlist their sincere cooperation, interest, and concern for a conscientious well-established safety program. I don't mean being subservient. I don't advocate vacillation. You must have everyone's support. You must be a human relations expert. A good safety engineer who has technical knowledge to solve and anticipate safety hazards but who is held in dislike, who is scoffed at, and has no one pulling for him, soon will be seeing a program fail.

The safety man does not have to be a "good time Charlie." He need not make apologies for pushing safety. This I do not advocate. But he does have to get with key men in the organization to get his work done. A safety man's work is not done primarily in his office; his best work is done in the field. He has to make his personnel contacts while the people are on the job.

Successful safety comes about when you recognize your basic safety problems, and spend time in the plants discussing these pertinent problems and mutually seeking answers to them.

This presentation will be three-fold.

I. Dealing With Top Management

There is nothing more desirable for good management thoughts regarding safety than that it is being directed by a person who has a keen sense of the importance of safety coordinated with production. Indoctrinating a change that hinders production can do serious harm, not only to you but to the safety program. When you are instituting changes, do them as cheaply as possible, so long as they are effective.

Keep your boss or bosses informed about the savings realized from the program—the savings *he* has made by having a safety program.

Persuade your management to attend and co-chair every supervisors' safety meeting. This is vital. Fortunately, we have a manager who does this regularly. We hold a regular supervisor safety meeting each month and he speaks at each meeting. There are occasions when other pressing business may lure him away, but get with him and ask that he show his fervor for the program. A few choice words to any manager about the necessity for his sponsorship spurs him.

No manager today who is aware that his branch or division or corporation is enjoying a good safety record will consciously let it regress. Make him aware that his attendance is necessary to keep the supervisors interested. Don't mince words, be frank: tell him he is needed, and that by his helping here, you, in turn, can do a much better job. Also, keep in mind that his attendance helps to keep him interested.

A very successful role is played by our manager also during visits to the plant. I am always reminding him that a few choice remarks or comments from him to supervisors and employees about safety during every plant tour does more to promote safety than anything else I know. And he has been tactfully direct.

Therefore, our supervisors know as well as our employees that the top people of the company are interested in the safety program. Recently, the manager came to me and said that he had seen a couple of our mechanics working in a bucket elevator. Not only did he ask the men if they had locked out the switches or pulled the fuses, but he personally checked to see. He didn't have to tell me this, because earlier in the

day members of the maintenance crew and an operating supervisor had already informed me, although I was pleased the boss did tell me. Believe me, this word got around. A safety program can't help but succeed with such backing. You know this as well as I. How many of you have managers who will do such a thing besides just giving lip service? Your first move is to enlist or frankly insist that your top people or managers express the same interest.

How do you get such backing? Frankly, I just plain asked him and I got it. You will have to decide for yourself how to enlist management support. You should make them realize their importance in showing support of safety. Be insistent, but be tactful. A safety man has to use all his wiles to win support. But, the most important aspect of all is to make your top people realize that your safety program is tempered with practicality and that you are enthusiastic and sincere in promoting successful safety.

Once you tell your boss that the safety program is saving money for the firm, and you can prove this, keep reminding him that it is due to *his* efforts that we are on top of the heap. We have a good safety reputation, but without his continued support, there is only one way we can go. No manager relishes such a thought. Many times I have heard our manager say, "We have a good safety record. We work hard at it, and we are going to do our best to keep it that way." Personally, I hate the thought of working without such support. I need it, you need it, every safety man needs it, and you have to enlist this support—do it openly! Don't beat around the bush. Make your intentions and desires known.

II. Dealing With Supervisors

As safety people, we believe the supervisor is also the key to a good program. He is required to investigate every accident. He is required to participate in the training of his men, to maintain good housekeeping, to perform various safety functions. He is also responsible for *production*.

Without a doubt, building a good, sound safety program through the cooperation of supervision is easier with management backing. A superintendent or supervisor realizes more and more that safety runs a close race with production if management openly states it is *policy*. Much of what I said about top management applies to supervision regarding participation in safety meetings, etc.

What about your dealings as a safety representative with line supervision? How do you effectively use your management skills to coordinate a successful program through the supervisors? Supervisors must take active part in safety decisions so that there is no loss of production. It is common practice for many plants to let the line supervisors carry out the safety inspections, accident investigations, and all safety functions by themselves. This is very good up to a point. We believe that is as effective and stimulating for supervisors to team up with safety representatives in periodic plant investigations. I don't mean for line supervisors to lean on the safety personnel like a crutch; however, as you both double-man a department, you not only gain a closer working knowledge of each other, but a mutual respect for the department's hazards. Further, it is psychologically sound for supervisors and safety people to be seen by the employees openly working toward a common goal: *their safety*.

We ask our supervisors for ideas and suggestions to help us do a better job. I myself lean heavily on several key supervisors as to what we should do and when. I present the issues and they come up with the answers. They then realize fully that safety is also their program and more often than not, their suggestions and ideas will be superior to your original thinking.

Many times I felt like stomping down to the plant and shutting down some equipment until certain safeguards were installed. Instead, I reconsidered and approached the department head and explained the situation to him. Invariably he was already aware of it and had made plans to alleviate it as soon as practicable. If it is of a serious nature and it is imperative that it be fixed immediately, he and you both realize this, and by discussing the problem the situation is then taken care of immediately.

Of course, we have those cases where it is difficult to get some supervisors to consider safety and production simultaneously. The temporary set-ups with their hazards in the respective departments run on and on. I am not advocating a soft touch policy for those supervisors. However, you should use

all the management skills you know to first bring them into your camp. Use only as a last resort your authority either by yourself or with management backing to see that safety takes its proper place in their departments' scheme of things. Once you sway the hard ones, they invariably become your staunchest supporters.

Your job is to hold each supervisor responsible for maintaining a climate of safety in the area under his supervision. You can only do so by being man on the spot. It is quite obvious that the supervisor who is in direct contact with the workers will be the person needing most of your attention. The safety man who counsels foremen and who spends most of his time working and helping tactfully with safety problems is making headway.

You must get along with the supervisors pushing safety with you; your ability to get the job done through them is imperative. Each supervisor brought into the camp of the safety representative is one more salesman for safety and it behooves the safety man to work with him untiringly. Don't let him feel he is carrying it alone. Get with him. Supervisors are the spokesmen for the safety program. We must understand them and respect them for the job they do.

III. The Employees

As for maintaining the interest and safety consciousness of the employees, here again, as with management and supervisors, we ask our employees to help us through their ideas and suggestions. We have an employee safety suggestion program that has reaped benefits for our entire organization.

The most important element in dealing with employees is *recognition* and giving it to them as often as possible. Recognition for safety costs you nothing. We feel we do an effective job on employee education training, etc. Our training is very similar in most aspects to that used by others.

Any successful program must have at its very core a sincere, genuine, personal interest in the welfare and well-being of the individual employee by each echelon of plant management, particularly interest by the employee's immediate supervisor and by the safety director. Any indication of non-sincerity or lackadaisical interest in the program by any supervisor, by you, a safety director, or any member of management, will do irreparable harm to the program. It can throw years of hard work right out the window.

We openly give recognition to our employees for performing jobs safely. It is done without reservation. What you must do is get people to participate in any kind of safety activity. By participation, they get sold on the benefits and become involved, so much so, that they then sell others on safety's value.

We achieve the participation and involvement of our employees in safety through a suggestion program a very successful one. Don't scoff—I know some of you have tried such programs to get employee participation without much success. Why yours was not successful, I couldn't begin to tell you. All I know is that ours is the best thing that ever happened to keep our program progressing. We need employee participation. We sorely need the suggestions. We get an average of 15 suggestions per month. These suggestions get our people involved in thinking safety and do a tremendous amount of accident hazard ferreting that you and supervisors may not even see in your inspections.

First of all, if you have to double "in brass," as in my case, wherein you are responsible for personnel and labor functions in addition to safety, you simply cannot devote more than 30 to 50 per cent of your time to safety, and even if you could devote all of your time, someone else has got to help carry the load. Your supervisors—yes. But thats not enough!

Summary

The person responsible for safety is usually most successful when he does not rely solely on his own technical knowledge, but makes use of the technical knowledge and skills of others in his organization in meeting safety problems. He is most effective as a coordinator. He must have the ability to get people to do things for him, the safe way. He must be the man on the spot.

Persons responsible for safety must have these ingredients: enthusiasm, sincerity, patience, and persuasiveness.

Caring or not caring can spell the difference between success and failure in safety—in fact, in every human relationship. "Nothing great was ever achieved without

enthusiasm," said Emerson. And what is enthusiasm but passionate caring?

I have told you nothing that you didn't already know, but perhaps you have been made aware of some aspect of human relations in safety that you may feel should be highlighted in your organization or that has been neglected lately.

A WORKABLE SMALL PLANT SAFETY PROGRAM

By FOSTER C. RINEFORT, JR.
Mgr., Safety & Claims, Finance Div., International Minerals & Chemical Corp., Skokie, Ill.

I am very happy to be talking about a small plant safety program because if you have the right kind of safety program or, putting it another way, if you are correctly and soundly organized for safety, your organization, whether it be one small plant or many small plants, can effectively cope with production changes such as producing fertilizers by granulation, the bulk blending of fertilizers, the addition of the sale of nitrogen products and pesticides, and new ways of producing plant foods that haven't been dreamed of yet.

With a sound safety activity, your organization or plant can expand from 20,000 tons a year to 60,000 or 80,000 tons a year and still control work injuries. With a sound safety activity, you can take full advantage of new information or ideas which become available in the safety field whether it be new ways to guard machinery or new ways to guard the mind. Many breakthroughs seem to be on the horizon in the area of understanding, anticipating, and controlling human behavior.

With a sound organization, you will be able to take full advantage of all of these changes. Many locations are experiencing two to three times as many injuries away from the job as they are on the job. With a sound safety activity, your organization will be able to begin to control this kind of injury, too.

Before we discuss the mechanics of organization, I think it is important that we make perfectly clear what we are trying to do. What is our objective? In some organizations the objective may be to keep your boss happy. In some organizations the objective may be to keep the insurance company inspector who visits your plant from time to time off your back. This organization would greet him at the door, shown him as little of the plant as possible, and get rid of him as soon as they could. Maybe what you are trying to do is keep your home office management group or home office safety man off your back. You may greet that man at the door when he visits your plant, show him around, and when he leaves say, "O.K., now that man is gone; we can relax and do things the way we like to do them and were doing them before he visited."

Perhaps your objective is to achieve a low injury frequency rate. Or, your objective might be to make your product efficiently. Currently the product is mixed fertilizers of various grades. In the future, the product might be more bulk blended fertilizers, greater emphasis on nitrogen solutions, or new ways to fertilize soil that we haven't even thought of. If this would be your objective, you would be making your products safely; because if your people are away from work in hospitals due to work injuries, you can't produce plant foods with maximum efficiency.

If you are making your products efficiently, you undoubtedly will be doing all you can do to make your product as profitably as possible. I maintain that the objective we should set before ourselves in the plant food industry is to make our product efficiently. If we do this, we will then make our products safely, we will reduce the cost of work injuries as much as possible, and we will allow our hard earned money to go to the owners of our

business rather than be eroded away by payments to people who are hurt or to doctors for things that do not in any way contribute to what we are trying to do.

If we agree that this is our objective, we should first write this objective down and should communicate it to our people. In larger organizations the objective can be prepared by formulating a policy. The policy could be: "This company insists on safe operations because it believes that every employee is entitled to a safe place to work and because it realizes that safe operations are efficient operations. The company will make every reasonable effort to maintain safe operations and it expects the active cooperation of all employees in the achievement of this goal."

Or, the objective could be: "Responsibility for safe operations extends from top management of the company to the newest employee in the plant. Safety is an every day, all-the-time job for everyone." In a very small plant, the objective could be prepared much more informally. It could be written in the manager's own words, typed up, and put on the bulletin board.

If this objective is going to be meaningful, it has to truly reflect the attitude of the plant manager or of the management of the company. If management has a high and noble objective of eliminating all accidents and then won't spend a nickel for a guard, that management group would have done better setting no objective at all. If management is being hypocritical, people will soon see through this and will know that management is trying to kid them. The best objective is an honest statement of the attitudes of management on this subject.

To be meaningful this objective has to be communicated to all of the people in the plant down to the newest employee. It should tell what the company expects from its people so that shortly after they enter the door of the plant or go through the gate they will soon understand what is expected of them. If this approach is taken, you will find that your people are working with you to achieve the objective, and not against you. With this communication the objective becomes almost a condition of employment in your operation.

Some locations say that their company insists upon safe operations and that unsafe employees will not be retained. What you do at your location should be dependent upon how you normally deal with your people on other matters. It is foolish to fire people for working unsafely if they are retained when they are alcoholics or have very high absentee rates. What you do in this area should be consistent with how you deal with your people on other matters.

How can you reach your objective? How it can be attained will vary from plant to plant depending on the size of the company or plant but primarily in the way that the company or plant normally do business. At a small plant of five or six people, you can have a very informal organization, while at large plants, plants of 100 or more employees, you have to organize along more formal lines to be able to do anything, whether it be to carry out a safety function, to manufacture a product, or to maintain quality controls.

Secondly, the right spirit is necessary if you are to reach your objective. If management is truly sincere, and if the people engaged in the mechanics of carrying out the desires of management are truly sincere and have a great deal of enthusiasm and high morale, this attitude can overcome flaws or details which are wrong in the safety activity itself. A location can be organized along the soundest lines, have a theoretically perfect safety activity, and yet have a poor safety record if this essential spirit is missing.

If management has its mind on things other than the safety activity, the safety performance can go as sour as last month's milk. The reason that spirit and sincerity of purpose is so important is because you must change the behavior of your people to achieve success. You are not filling out reports or doing a simple mechanical job. With our still very incomplete knowledge and skills, you and I are trying to bring behavior changes in fellow human beings. And believe me, this is challenging work.

Now that we have determined what our objective is, let's discuss those things which are necessary to achieve this goal.

First, management must accept responsibility for controlling injuries. The purpose

of this portion of our safety activities is to determine who will be held accountable for performance. This item is being mentioned first because it is the most important. In our company we define management responsibility as follows: "Responsibility for the control of injuries rests with operating management from plant manager to each foreman as a part of the regular management duties. Management must take active leadership in and support safety activities by their participation in the control of accidents for injuries to be prevented."

If management is going to behave responsibly, it must take the lead and must always set the example. The situation is the same as it can be when you are at home with your children. If you tell your children to eat their spinach but you yourself won't touch the stuff, you undoubtedly are going to find that not much spinach is being eaten at your house.

At a plant one of the supervisors actually tried this. He stood in front of a group of his men and said, "I insist that all of you wear hard hats." But he didn't wear one himself because he didn't believe they were necessary. Can you possibly guess what the attitude of the people in his group was toward hard hats? The answer is obvious. His people didn't wear hard hats when he was out of sight. So management must set the example and take the lead before employees will follow them.

I was talking the other day to the safety director of a large company and he said, "Thank God management wants safety where I work. If they didn't, my efforts would just fall flat and we would have a horrible safety record. Then I would start looking for a job where I was wanted." With management support, a location has a fighting chance to prevent injuries. This is a make-or-break item.

Without management responsibility, you are not going to prevent injuries. With it, you have the possibility of doing so. If there are any unsatisfactory or weak links in an organization, the safety effectiveness of that organization is going to be weakened. By weak link I mean a person who has not fully accepted the responsibility for preventing injuries. If that weak link is high enough up in an organization, the efforts of many people down the line and of many dedicated safety people will go for nothing. So it is important that management, from top to bottom, clearly accept responsibility for the control of injuries and show this acceptance by actively participating in the control of accidents and by insisting upon this control.

The second aspect of organizing for safety is the appointment of a safety supervisor or safety engineer, whatever title he may go by. At a plant of five or six employees, this man would be the man who gets all the production out, does the hiring, and does everything else that gets done in the plant. He would be the one and only member of management in the plant.

In a plant of 50 or 60 employees, this duty might be delegated to a general foreman or to one of the key foremen at the plant. At larger plants this person might be the personnel manager who would do this work part time. In a very large plant a full-time employee might carry out the duties of safety supervisor.

It is important to remember that this person is not responsible for preventing injuries. As we have discussed, that responsibility rests with line management. But this man does have very important responsibilities. This person is responsible for the quality of the advice he gives management, or, in other words, the way in which he counsels management. If safety people give management good advice, counsel them correctly, and tell them about the things that should be done, they carry out one of their responsibilities. To the degree that they do not give management sound advice, they behave irresponsibly.

The second responsibility of safety people is the responsibility to fully carry out their duties to the last detail, and with enthusiasm and spirit. Many people who have worked in the area of safety will remember that there are three basic "E"'s in safety activities. They are Engineering, Education, and Enforcement. I have always felt that there should be a fourth "E". The fourth "E" would stand for Enthusiasm, because if a safety person is enthusiastic when he carries out his delegated duties, that enthusiasm may well be catching and will, therefore, help instill management with that same spirit.

One of the plant managers in our own company recently told me that he thought

of the safety man at his location as his conscience. He said that he had his own built-in conscience that told him what to do and what not to do in his personal life, but at the plant he sometimes got so busy that didn't have time for that built-in human conscience of his to operate. Therefore, he had a man on his staff, whom he asked to act as his conscience and to tell him what he should do in safety and when to do it. The appointment of a competent employee to carry out the duties of safety supervisor is very important. However, this is not nearly so important as management acceptance of responsibility in the first place, because if management has accepted responsibility, it will see that a competent person is appointed.

The third aspect I would like to review is the instruction and training of employees. The purpose of this portion of a sound plan for safety is to show what behavior you expect and to show your people how to achieve this expected behavior. Because there are few mind readers in the population, the plant superintendent, the foreman, and the safety supervisor must work together to make sure all employees are told what is expected of them.

One idea that I picked up in this area recently is the sponsor system or the buddy system which a plant with an outstanding safety record recently created. The plant appoints an experienced employee who knows all the ropes to act as sponsor to help orient new employees. This sponsor shows new people around the plant, helps explain the plant safety activities, and undoubtedly tells them many things about the plant which their foremen or another member of management never would tell them. This person tells them as one employee to another how they are expected to behave at the plant.

Safety rules are a very useful tool to explain expected behavior. These rules or work practices may be told to all new or transferred employees. At larger plants there can be a set of safety rules for all employees for the work done in different parts of the plant. It may be necessary to prepare a different set of safety rules for each different part of the operation. In very large plants these rules may be presented in a booklet or a pamphlet. If there is any choice, it usually is best to write down your safety rules so that there can be no question about them.

If these safety rules or required practices are going to be an effective part of your safety activities, they must be communicated to every employee, down to the newest one. And every person must understand and accept these rules. This will require more than the posting of rules on a bulletin board or reading them at breakneck speed in a safety meeting. This will require conscientious explanation and enough time for questions.

For your efforts in explaining the required behavior to pay off, you must then impartially enforce safety rules. At a plant about which I read about recently, one of the employees said, "The company drums safety into your head. They tell you what you have to do, and as long as you do this you're O.K." At the same plant the manager said, "We don't dare be soft with anyone who doesn't wear safety glasses or who violates safety rules. The people know where we stand, and they respect us for it." To show expected behavior and how to reach it, you must set down the rules of the game and make everyone play it that way.

The fourth key part of a sound safety activity is safety inspections. Safety inspections are necessary to find and correct unsafe conditions before those conditions catch up with one of your people. Probably the best way to locate unsafe conditions in a plant is to talk to the people who are exposed to the hazards in the plant every day—the person who almost got caught in a machine or who almost got splashed with acid.

Probably the man in the second-best spot to find physical hazards is the foreman of the group or crew. He spends most of his time in the department, and he should know pretty well what is wrong in it. Other help is available from the plant safety supervisor who should have the specialized vision necessary to find hazards.

You can also get help from insurance company people and from state agencies. These people would have the advantage of being able to compare the plant being inspected with many other plants that they have seen, but the disadvantage here is unfamiliarity with the plant being seen.

The best way to find unsafe conditions, then, is to ask a wide variety of people to try to locate them.

When you ask your people to help find unsafe conditions, you get another benefit from this beyond finding out which guards are off or which stairways are slippery. If you get your people involved in safety inspections, they start thinking about safety generally and their own safety specifically. This reporting of hazards increases the safety awareness. If your people report hazards and then see them get corrected, they will feel that the company truly wants them to behave safely because the company is willing to provide them with a safe place in which to work.

In some cases the condition which your employees report will be very dangerous. This will, of course, be helpful to you. In other cases the item reported will take a great deal of time and significant amount of money to correct. If you are sincere about providing safe working conditions, you should prepare a plan of organized approach to the correction of this hazard —and you should tell your people what you are doing.

In a number of cases they may point out things which just aren't a hazard. In these cases you should thank the person for the idea and tell him why the idea is not practical. By telling your people what you have done about each recommendation, you will avoid the attitude of an employee I talked to recently. He said, "I used to tell them about the unsafe things around here, but when I found out they didn't care, I finally gave up. It took me two years to give up, but finally did give up."

The next subject is safety communications. The purpose of this part of the safety plan is to establish good two-way communications between all people in your plant. At many plants management has little idea of what is in the minds of the people working in the plant, and the people have no clear idea of what management wants.

It is very hard to effectively and accurately communicate with people. Most of us do a great deal of sending without paying much attention to whether or not we are received. I read about a study made recently which indicated that only between 15 and 20 per cent of the things which top management said got down to the people for whom the message was intended.

There are many reasons for this failure to communicate which I only intend to touch upon. Often the top management in a company uses words in one way, plant superintendents understand these same words in another way, and the hourly people in a plant interpret these same words in still a third way. When a person has a preconceived opinion of the individual talking, the message may fall on deaf ears. I do not mean to try to analyze fully the reasons for this failure to communicate now. I only mean to make you aware of how hard it is to really communicate.

The best way I have found to communicate the safety message is a program called "Do It Today." An operation which has achieved an excellent safety record requires each foreman to talk to each employee each day about safety. I can't think of a better way to get the word down to your people than to "do it today."

Another way to get the word about safety to your people, and one which requires quite a bit less time, is to have regular safety meetings. At a plant of 20 or fewer people, this can be a meeting of all employees. In larger plants each foreman can talk to his crew. These meetings should be held monthly or more often if there is a special problem. They should be informal and should last only 10 or 15 minutes. Many plants schedule these meetings on a Monday morning so that, after a big weekend, people will get their minds back on their work.

At the larger plants a regular meeting of all supervisors to discuss safety may be necessary. This meeting can be run by the safety supervisor or by various foremen in turn. At these meetings material can be presented which your foremen can then use in their own safety meetings.

Another approach to safety communications is to establish safety committees. This is a poorer approach than holding safety meetings of all employees because the message only gets to five or six people who usually are already very interested in safety rather than to those people whom the message should get through if you are going to prevent accidents at your location. Safety committees also have another serious

disadvantage. Management normally handles production problems, maintenance problems, and personnel problems by individual conversations, by memorandums, or by conferences called to discuss a special problem. It is going to seem awkward to a plant superintendent to try to solve his safety problems by working through a safety committee. Safety problems should be solved in the same way that management solves other types of problems, and management should communicate with its employees about safety essentially the same way that it communicates with them on other problems.

A plant can also establish a joint safety committee which would consist of some members of management and some hourly employees. These committees can be a poor way to communicate the safety message to your employees for the same reasons that tend to make a safety committee inefficient. In addition to these reasons, employees can by-pass their own foreman in their comments about safety and thereby undermine the authority and position of the foreman. Employees should have the opportunity to discuss problems with people other than their foreman, but they should discuss problems with the foreman first.

Of all the approaches to safety communications, the best is between a foreman and each of his people separately, today and every day. If time does not permit this approach, then this communication should be between each foreman and his people in a group on a regular basis.

When you have done the things I have mentioned—assumed responsibility, appointed a safety supervisor, trained employees, inspected the plant, and communicated the message down to all employees regularly—the problem of keeping the activity going still remains. In other words, the problem of keeping or maintaining interest in safety must now be considered.

There are many things available to help you keep your people interested in safety. You can buy and put up posters. You can announce safety weeks or months and run pictures and cartoons. You can participate in National Safety Council contests or set up your own safety contests.

Do all of these things really help prevent injuries? I honestly don't know. I don't think that posters prevent accidents. There is a poster just outside my home town which says "drive safely." I don't drive a bit differently after I see that poster than I did before I saw it. But somehow this combination of posters, contests, gimmicks, and publicity does seem to contribute to the prevention of injuries—not by taking the place of the meat and potatoes items of management, responsibility and safety communications, but by keeping interest in these fundamentals at a high level.

The next part of a sound safety activity is the maintenance of records. The purpose of this is primarily to allow you to spot and head off unfavorable injury trends. If you keep some sort of a record of the number of cases which require only first aid, and when possible, near misses, as well as a record of all cases which require a doctor's attention, you will have a record which should be very helpful in your efforts to head off a serious accident.

According to a study made many years ago, there are 300 near misses and 29 cases requiring first aid or a doctor's attention for every injury in which the employee is forced to miss time from work because of the injury. There usually is quite a bit of notice or warning before a serious injury occurs in a plant. If there is one serious injury at your plant, there probably were about 300 cases in which your people almost were seriously hurt. The real advantage of keeping a good record of your safety experience, therefore, is to allow you to spot and head off serious injuries.

The area of medical and first aid should be considered. The purpose of this portion of a safety program is to get people into jobs for which they are physically qualified and to make sure that people who do get hurt get prompt treatment. I know of no reason why all employees who regularly work in a plant or who are regularly called back to work during a peak season should not get a physical examination. These physical exams certainly are much less expensive than one serious back injury.

All plants should make some sort of provision to take care of people if they get hurt. At a smaller plant, this can consist of a clean area where those who get hurt

can lie until an ambulance arrives and a few first aid supplies to treat minor injuries. Most important of all, at least one person should be trained so that he can give first aid in an emergency. The American Red Cross and the U. S. Bureau of Mines give this first aid training at no charge. The doctor who gives physical exams and who treats your people who get hurt would undoubtedly be happy to work with you to help you in this area.

The last thing I would like to discuss is the safety of your people while they are away from work. Studies have been made which show that for every injury occurring on the job, two to three happen while workers are away from work or are off the job. If a person gets seriously hurt while he is away from work, the company has to train a replacement or get along without him, and there are often company group insurance expenses. You can reduce the number of these injuries by keeping a record of these injuries and then by talking about off-the-job safety as a part of your regular safety activities.

To summarize my comments, I would like to again mention two things which really are make-or-break items. With them your efforts to control injuries will undoubtedly be successful. Without these two things, your efforts will never be successful. First, management must insist upon good safety performance in the same way that it insists upon good sales performance and low manufacturing costs. Second, this message must be communicated down to all employees in such a way that they accept the responsibility of doing their very important part in preventing accidents.

To put it another way, a safety program will be successful if three things are done. First, management must be willing to spend money to allow all people to participate in the safety activities. Second, there must be good two-way communication between management and all employees. And third, there must be teeth in the safety program.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Appel, J. R. "How to Prepare a Safety Manual," *National Safety Council News*, Nov., 1951.

Banga, Scholer. "Line Workers Put Safety on the Line," *Occupational Hazards*, July, 1963.

Dato, H. E. "Safety in Scattered Operations," *National Safety News*, April-July, 1947.

Drucker, Peter F. *The Practice of Management*. New York: Harper Brothers, 1954.

Johnson, J. L. "The Safety Organization—Line or Staff," *American Society of Engineers Journal*, June, 1963.

McGregor, Douglas. *The Human Side of Enterprise*. New York: Random House.

Saul, J. D. "How to Break a World's Record," *National Safety Council News*, Sept., 1963.

Stone, J. R. "You Have to Have a Plan." Talk given at 1963 National Safety Congress.

Thorton, W. M. "Safety—High, Low, Jack, Joker, and Game." Talk presented to IMC Safety Directors, Nov., 1962.

1963

Volume 6

National Sal
Transactions

CIVIC
LEADE
SESSIO

Farm • Home
Women's •



NATIONAL SAFE
425 N. Michigan Ave
Chicago, Illinois 6061

icrofilm

fety Congress

RSHIP
NS

• Religious
Youth

ETY COUNCIL
nue

MEMBERSHIP OF THE

FARM CONFERI

NATIONAL SAFETY COUN

Vice President for Farms—BRUCE LOURIE, Vice Presiden

Members—DR. JOE ACKERMAN, Managing Director, Far
WARD S. ADAMS, Director of Safety, Iowa Farm Bu
50309; MRS. ALMER ARMSTRONG, Information Spe
Electric Cooperative, Indianapolis, Ind.; JOHN BANN
and YMW Programs, Federal Extension Service,
Washington, D.C.; DR. BOND BIBLE, Council on
Assn., Chicago, Ill.; RALPH BRADLEY, Agriculture
for Traffic Safety, Springfield, Ill.; W. J. BRAKE,
Lansing, Mich.; BERT BROWN, State Supervisor,
Wash.; HENRY BRYSON, Executive Vice President, A
City 6, Mo.; W. M. BUESCHER, Farm Equipment Div
ing Co., Milwaukee 1, Wis.; ELLIS N. CHRISTIANS
Mower Electric Cooperative, Albert Lea, Minn.; D
Extension, College of Agriculture, University of Illin
Des Plaines, Ill.; GRAHAM T. COULTER, Director o
Chicago, Ill.; RAYMOND O. DARLING, Public Relati
Detroit 2, Mich.; HARVEY DASTRUP, Director, Livest
Ill.; EMIL DIETZ, Director of Safety, California
KENNETH V. FISKE, Director of Farm Operations, Ve
60611; CHARLES FREDERICK, Executive Secretary,
Assn., St. Louis 40, Mo.; ROBERT GILDEN, Extensio
Extension Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, W
GREENE, President, National Extension Homemakers
B. HALTER, Managing Director, Farm Equipment M
Mo.; DENIS HAYLEY, Director of Information, Natio
Washington 6, D.C.; PROFESSOR G. E. HENDERSON, U
RUSSELL HESTON, Director of Engineering, Grinnell
nell, Ia.; DOUGLAS HEWITT, Farm Equipment Institute
JARVIS, Safety Director, National Extension Homem
WALTER, JOHNSON, Public Health Advisor, U.S. Pu
25, D.C.; MRS. DORSEY KIRK, Illinois State Grange,
Associate Farm Director, Radio Station WHO, Des M
Manager, Accident Prevention Division, Allstate Ins
KNAPP, Assistant Professor and Agricultural Safety
tural Medicine, College of Agriculture, State Univ
WILLIAM KUHFUSS, President, Illinois Agricultural
LADERER, Director of Safety, Nationwide Insurance C
MANN, Urbana, Ill.; BRUCE LOURIE, Vice President,
MABEL MACK, Assistant Director of Extension, Extens
sity, Corvallis, Ore.; JOHN MARKS, Director—Rural ar
Safety Foundation, Washington 6, D. C.; C. W. McMILL
National Cattlemen's Assn., Denver 18, Colo.; NORMAN
Service Committee, Chicago 5, Ill.; HERSCHEL NEWSOM
ington 6, D. C.; GUY L. NOBLE, Monte Vista Lodge, I
SON, E. I du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Atlanta, Ga
bus 14, Ohio; HARRY POWELL, Assistant to Vice Presid
Akron, Ohio; L. W. RANDT, Director of Product Prc

ENCE

ICIL 1963-64

t, Deere & Co., Moline, Ill.

m Foundation, Chicago, Ill.; Ed-
ireau Federation, Des Moines, Ia.
cialist, Indiana Statewide Rural
ING, Assistant Director, 4-H Club
U.S. Department of Agriculture,
Rural Health, American Medical
Consultant, President's Committee
Lecturer, Michigan State Grange,
Agricultural Education, Olympia,
gricultural Hall of Fame, Kansas
ision, Allis-Chalmers Manufactur-
ON, General Manager, Freeborn-
R. JOHN B. CLAAR, Director of
ois, Urbana, Ill.; MAYNARD COE,
f Publications, Kraft Foods Co.,
ons Staff, General Motors Corp,
ock Conservation, Inc., Chicago 9,
Farm Bureau, Berkeley, Calif.;
lsicol Chemical Corp., Chicago, Ill.
National Retail Farm Equipment
n Agricultural Engineer, Federal
Vashington 25, D.C.; MRS. HOMER
Council, Tutwiler, Miss.; HAROLD
Manufacturers Assn., St. Louis 5,
nal Agricultural Chemicals Assn.,
niversity of Georgia, Athens, Ga.;
Mutual Reinsurance Co., Grin-
, Chicago, Ill. 60611; MRS. LIONEL
akers Council, Georgiaville, R.I.;
iblic Health Service, Washington
Oblong, Ill.; KEITH KIRKPATRICK,
loines 7, Ia.; EDWARD R. KLAMM,
urance Co., Skokie, Ill.; L. W.
Engineer, Institute of Agricul-
ersity of Iowa, Iowa City, Ia.;
Assn., Bloomington, Ill.; FRANK
o., Columbus, Ohio; E. W. LEH-
Deere & Co., Moline, Ill.; MRS.
ion Service, Oregon State Univer-
d Education Division, Automotive
EN, Executive Secretary, American
MINDRUM, Director, National 4-H
, Master, National Grange, Wash-
emon Grove, Calif.; V. S. PETER-
. 30308; HARRY PONTIOUS, Colum-
ent, Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co.,
gramming, Oliver Corp., Chicago,

Ill. 60606;
burgh 30,
tive Ext
FARMEI
Richmond
Division,
SCHWAN
St. Paul
GRICE SE
B. SHUM
STAPEL,
sistant to
Columbus
ture, Uni
Internatio
Farm Sy
TENNEY,
Welfare,
of Hom
Washing
cultural
Service,
Director,
Research

Ill. 60606; FRANK REYNOLDS, Manager, Agricultural Extension, U. S. Steel Corp., Pittsburgh 30, Pa.; MISS LOUISE ROSENFELD, Assistant Director—Home Economics, Cooperative Extension Work, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa; ROBERT RUPP, THE FARMER, St. Paul 16, Minn.; PAUL SANDERS, Editor SOUTHERN PLANTER, Richmond 9, Va.; CARL SCHUSTER, Market Research Coordinator, Farm Equipment Division, Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Co., Milwaukee, Wis.; PROFESSOR A. J. SCHWANTES, Head, Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Minnesota, St. Paul 1, Minn.; C. G. SCRUGGS, Editor, PROGRESSIVE FARMER, Dallas 2, Texas; GRICE SEXTON, Director of Safety, Kansas Farm Bureau, Manhattan, Kansas; CHARLES B. SHUMAN, President, American Farm Bureau Federation, Chicago, Ill. 60654; ARTHUR STAPEL, Manager, Fox Valley Cooperative, Inc., Appleton, Wis.; W. E. STUCKEY, Assistant to the Director of Extension, College of Agriculture, Ohio State University, Columbus 10, Ohio; RANDALL C. SWANSON, Farm Safety Specialist, College of Agriculture, University of Wisconsin, Madison 6, Wis.; E. W. TANQUARY, Staff Engineer, International Harvester Co., Hinsdale, Ill.; ROBERT E. TAYLOR, Editor—The Republic Farm Spokesman, Republic Steel Corp., Republic Bldg., Cleveland 1, Ohio; A. W. TENNEY, Chief, Agricultural Education Branch, Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Washington 25, D. C.; MRS. HELEN TURNER, Division of Home Economics, Federal Extension Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C.; JAMES WALL, Executive Secretary, National Vocational Agricultural Teachers' Assn., Inc., Lincoln 4, Nebr.; F. R. WILLSEY, Federal Extension Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C.; C. O. YOUNGSTROM, Director, Extension Service, University of Idaho, Boise, Idaho; CARLTON ZINK, Product Research Department, Deere and Co., Moline, Ill.

OFFICERS OF THE

HOME CONFE

NATIONAL SAFETY COU

NSC Vice President for Homes—J. P. WARNER, Vic
Manufacturing Division, Humble Oil & Refining Com

Members—J. ROBERT ANDERSON, Director, Bureau of
ment of Health, Richmond, Va. 23219; MRS. HA
PAUL E. BASELER, Executive Director, Building Of
cago, Ill. 60637; MRS. MILDRED BEVIL, Sacramento,
rector of Technical & Maintenance Services, Nat
Redevelopment Officials, Chicago, Ill. 60637; CAESA
Services and Health Education, Bureau of Health E
Society, New York, N.Y. 10019; PERCY BUGBEE,
Protection Association, Boston, Mass. 02110; MRS.
tion Director, Johnson's Wax, Racine, Wis. 53403;
ordinator, Accident Prevention Program, Mississipp
Miss. 39205; WARD V. BUZZELL, Assistant Directo
and Technology Division, National Association of
20036; CHARLES M. CAMERON, JR., M.D., Profess
School of Public Health, The University of North
ALFRED W. CANTWELL, National Director, Safety
Cross, Washington, D.C. 20036; MISS JESSIE CA
nomics, Norge Sales Corporation, Chicago, Ill. 60654;
dent Prevention Coordinator, Tennessee Department
37219; MISS BERNARDINE CERVINSKI, Director, Di
Dakota State Department of Health, Bismarck, N.I
Health Consultant, National Council on the Aging,
C. CHIDESTER, JR., Field Representative, Delaware
Del.; WALTER A. CUTTER, Ph.D., Director, Center
University, New York, N.Y. 10003; MISS NETTIE
Section, Division of Epidemiology, North Carolina
N. C.; MISS HELENE DEMING, Acting Director, Di
Safety, Iowa State Department of Health, Des Moines
Director, Family Safety Division, New Jersey State S
CLINTON W. DREYER, Managing Director, Eastbay
Oakland, Calif.; MISS VIOLET G. DUBOIS, Chief, Div
Douglas County Health Department, Omaha, Nebr.;
partment of Health Education, American Medical
HOWARD ENNOS, Director, Office of Community Ser
table Life Assurance Society, New York, N.Y. 10019
Director, American Nursing Home Association, Wa
EVERIST, M.D., Chairman, Committee on Accident I
Pediatrics, Ruston, La.; MRS. LAWRENCE FISHER,
Demonstration Council, Valders, Wis.; ROBERT G. F
Academy of Pediatrics, Evanston, Ill. 60204; VICT
Prevention Program, Kentucky State Department o
E. M. GEARHART, JR., Managing Director, Spokan
Wash. 99201; GEORGE GEHRES, Consultant, Accident
Local Health Services, Florida State Board of Healt
SALLY GENDREW, Director, Home Division, Louisvill
VICTOR C. GILBERTSON, Minneapolis, Minn. 55416; DA

RENCE

NCIL 1963-64

e President and General Manager, pany, Houston, Texas.

Health Education, Virginia Depart- RIET G. BAKER, Des Plaines, Ill.; ficials Conference of America, Chi- Calif.; THOMAS J. BLAKELY, Di- ional Association of Housing and E BRANCHINI, Office of Community Education, Equitable Life Assurance General Manager, National Fire LUCILE BUSH, Consumer Educa- DENNIS M. BUTLER, Advisory Co- i State Board of Health, Jackson, r of Technical Services, Research Home Builders, Washington, D.C. or, Public Health Administration, Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C. 27515; Services, American National Red TWRIGHT, Director of Home Eco- MRS. MARILEE B. CAVENDER, Acci- of Public Health, Nashville, Tenn. ision of Health Education, North). 58501; MISS MARY CHAMPLIN, New York, N.Y. 10036; AUSTIN Safety Council, Inc., Wilmington, for Safety Education, New York DAY, Chief, Accident Prevention State Board of Health, Raleigh, ision of School, Farm and Home , Ia. 50319; MISS EDITH I. DONNE, afety Council, Newark, N.J. 07102; Chapter National Safety Council, ision of Health Education, Omaha- DONALD A. DUKELOW, M.D., De- Association, Chicago, Ill. 60610; vices and Health Education, Equi- ; ALFRED S. ERCOLANO, Executive shington, D.C. 20036; BRUCE W. Prevention, American Academy of Vice President, National Home AZIER, M.D., Secretary, American OR B. FUQUA, Director, Accident f Health, Frankfort, Ky. 40601; e Area Safety Council, Spokane, Prevention Program, Bureau of th, Jacksonville, Fla. 32201; MRS. e Safety Council, Louisville, Ky.; N D. GOWINGS, Director, Division

of Envi
HAPGOOI
D. Hoc
WILKIE
Nurse I
Safety
RUDARD
61803;
Bureau,
KENYON
N.Y.;
Refining
vention,
Chief, I
20201;
Ill.; M
& Gam
M.D., I
MASON,
Nationa
MAY, I
New Y
New Y
York, I
for the
Health
Assista
ROSENF
Health,
tion Di
SCHARR
Americ
Nationa
ate Dir
ABNER
Finance
neer, I
20201;
TIBONI,
phia D
Executi
Park,
Council
New Y
tion Se
M.D. C
Berkele
cation,
Duluth,
tration,
27515;
and W
V. WE
Health
ment,
Inc., W
Depart

of Environmental Safety, Pennsylvania Department of Health, Harrisburg, Pa.; L. A. HAPGOOD, Assistant Secretary, Kiwanis International, Chicago, Ill. 60611; MISS LILLY D. HOCKSTRA, Administrator, St. Louis Children's Hospital, St. Louis, Mo.; MISS WILKIE HUGHES, Assistant to Executive Director, National Association for Practical Nurse Education and Service, Inc., New York, N.Y. 10027; MRS. BERNE JACOBSEN, Safety Chairman, National Congress of Parents and Teachers, Seattle, Wash. 98118; RUDARD A. JONES, Director, Small Homes Council, University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill. 61803; MISS ELIZABETH KASEY, Safety Consultant, Safety and Occupational Health Bureau, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York, N.Y. 10010; MARK KENYON, M.D., Executive Director, Nassau County Medical Society, Garden City, N.Y.; WILLIAM J. KERNS, Safety Advisor, Manufacturing Division, Humble Oil & Refining Company, Linden, N.J.; ARVILLE KRAUS, Director, Division of Accident Prevention, Arkansas State Board of Health, Little Rock, Ark.; EUGENE L. LEHR, Deputy Chief, Division of Accident Prevention, U.S. Public Health Service, Washington, D.C. 20201; FRED LONG, M.D., Director of Health, Peoria City Health Department, Peoria, Ill.; MISS ANNE LYNG, Associate Director, Home Economics Department, Proctor & Gamble Company, Ivorydale Technical Center, Cincinnati, O.; LUCILLE J. MARSH, M.D., Regional Medical Director, Children's Bureau, Chicago, Ill. 60607; ROBERT J. MASON, M.D., Birmingham, Mich.; STANLEY A. MATZ, Director, Training Activities, National Rifle Association of America, Washington, D.C. 20036; MRS. MARJORIE B. MAY, Director, Home and Education Division, Greater New York Safety Council, New York, N.Y. 10016; LEVITTE MENDEL, Associate Director, National Health Council, New York, N.Y. 10019; DONALD E. MUMFORD, Trustee, Kiwanis International, New York, N.Y. 10017; JAMES E. O'NEIL, Director of Industrial Service, National Society for the Prevention of Blindness, New York, N.Y. 10016; EDWARD PRESS, M.D., Public Health Director, Evanston Department of Health, Evanston, Ill.; J. C. ROBERTSON, Assistant State Fire Marshal, Office of the Fire Marshal, Baltimore, Md. 21201; A. B. ROSENFIELD, M.D., Director, Division of Special Services, Minnesota Department of Health, Minneapolis, Minn. 55455; MISS LUIDA E. SANDERS, Director, Health Education Division, Wisconsin State Board of Health, Madison, Wis. 53702; GEORGE L. SCHARRINGHAUSEN, JR., Park Ridge, Ill.; C. GEORGE SEGELER, Engineer of Utilization, American Gas Association, New York, N.Y. 10016; ALBIN SELLA, Supervising Engineer, National Board of Fire Underwriters, Chicago, Ill. 60606; MISS JANE SHOVER, Associate Director, National Society for Crippled Children and Adults, Chicago, Ill. 60612; ABNER D. SILVERMAN, Assistant Commissioner for Management, Housing and Home Finance Agency, Washington, D.C. 20413; HENRY C. STEED, JR., Senior Sanitary Engineer, Division of Environmental Engineering and Food Protection, Washington, D.C. 20201; JOHN C. THORNTON, Consulting Architect, Royal Oak, Mich. 48067; EMIL A. TIBONI, Chief, Accident Control Section, Division of Environmental Health, Philadelphia Department of Public Health, Philadelphia, Pa. 19146; MISS RUTH TUCKEY, Executive Director, Community Nursing Service of Oak Park and River Forest, Oak Park, Ill. 60302; JAMES T. WADKINS, Managing Director, Richmond Area Safety Council, Richmond, Va.; SPALDING WAGGENER, Building Editor, House and Garden, New York, N.Y. 10017; EARL R. WALLACE, Senior Safety Engineer, Accident Prevention Section, Industrial Safety Department, Rochester, N.Y. 14604; JULIAN A. WALLER, M.D. Coordinator of Accident Prevention, California State Department of Public Health, Berkeley, Calif.; MISS MARY M. WEEKS, Program Specialist, Health and Safety Education, Girl Scouts of the U.S.A., New York, N.Y. 10022; MRS. GEORGE WELLES, JR., Duluth, Minn.; MISS JANICE R. WESTABY, Assistant Professor, Public Health Administration, School of Public Health, The University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N. C. 27515; GEORGE M. WHEATLEY, M.D., Third Vice President & Medical Director, Health and Welfare, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York, N.Y. 10010; WILLIAM V. WHITE, Chief, Family Safety Branch, Division of Accident Prevention, U.S. Public Health Service, Washington, D.C. 20201; S. L. WILLIAMS, Employee Relations Department, Safety and Fire Protection Division, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Inc., Wilmington, Del.; FRANKLIN D. YODER, M.D., Director of Public Health, Illinois Department of Public Health, Springfield, Ill. 62706.

1963

Volume 7

National Safety Congress Transactions

COAL MINING

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

OFFICERS OF THE

COAL MINING SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—C. E. Linkous, Island Creek Coal Co., Holden, W. Va. 25625

First Vice Chairman—Harry Gandy, Jr., National Coal Assn., Coal Building, Washington, D. C. 20036

Second Vice Chairman—Andrew Hyslop, Jr., Hanna Coal Co., Cadiz, Ohio 43807

Secretary—H. F. Weaver, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior, Washington, D. C. 20240

Newsletter Editor—Warren H. Moss, Consolidated Coal Co., Morgantown, W. Va. 26501

Labor Representatives—John Ghizzoni, United Mine Workers of America, District 2, Ebensburg, Pa. 15931; Rex Lauck, United Mine Workers of America, Washington, D. C. 20005; Leonard Pnakovich, United Mine Workers of America, Dist. 31, Fairmont, W. Va. 26555

Bituminous Coal Representatives—J. W. Pero, Pocahontas Fuel Co., Div. of Consolidation Coal Co., Pocahontas, Va. 24635; Robert Williams, Colorado Fuel & Iron Co., Pueblo, Colo. 81002; Coy South, Bell and Zoller Coal Co., Johnson City, Ill. 62951; E. E. Quenon, Peabody Coal Co., St. Louis, Mo. 63102; *F. J. Fortsman, The Pittsburg and Midway Coal Mining Co., Kansas City, Mo. 64105

Anthracite Representatives—William Bellano, Glen Alden Coal Company, Wilkes-Barre, Pa. 18703

Coal Associations' Representatives—J. B. Benson, Southern Coal Producers Assn., Washington, D. C. 20036; Harry Gandy, Jr., National Coal Assn., Washington, D. C. 20036; Ford Sampson, Ohio Coal Assn., St. Clairsville, Ohio 43950; George Tryonnow, Bituminous Coal Operators, Assn., Washington, D. C. 20006; George Sall, American Mining Congress, Washington, D. C. 20036

U. S. Bureau of Mines Representatives—*M. J. Ankeny, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior, Washington, D. C. 20240; James Westfield, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior, Washington, D. C. 20240

Mine Inspectors' Institute of America Representative—R. D. Bradford, U. S. Bureau of Mines, McAlester, Okla. 74502

State Mine Inspectors' Representatives—J. Lester Zimmerman, Ohio Div. of Mines, Columbus, Ohio 43215; Wilbur F. Eichenrod, West Virginia Dept. of Mines, Charleston, W. Va. 25302; Leon Ruff, Illinois Department of Mines and Minerals, Springfield, Ill. 62706; Dr. H. B. Charmbury, Pennsylvania Department of Mines and Mineral Industries, Harrisburg, Pa.; A. H. Mandt, Kentucky Department of Mines and Minerals, Lexington, Ky. 40501; Ward Padgett, Oklahoma Department of Mines and Mining, Oklahoma City, Okla.; H. T. Williams, Alabama Div. of Safety and Inspection, Birmingham, Ala. 35203; W. Dean Aubrey, Iowa Department of Mines and Minerals, Des Moines, Iowa; W. Foster Mullins, Div. of Mines, State of Virginia, Big Stone Gap, Va. 24219; Ralph Newman, Indiana Department of Mines and Minerals, Terre Haute, Ind.

Engineering Committee—Andrew Hyslop, Jr. (Chairman), Hanna Coal Co., Cadiz, Ohio 43807; H. A. Quenon, Eastern Associated Coal Corp., Melcroft, Pa. 15462; E. J.

HLINSKY, Goodman Manufacturing Co., Chicago, Ill. 60609; S. H. YOST, Jeffery Manufacturing Co., Columbus, Ohio 43216; C. A. BAILEY, National Tube Div., U. S. Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15219; JAMES ELKIN, Duquesne Light Co., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15219; DONALD MITCHELL, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213; WILLIAM CALDER, Joy Manufacturing Co., Franklin, Pa. 16323; D. S. KINGERY, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213; S. P. POLACK, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213; ALBERT PASS, United Mine Workers of America, District No. 19, Middlesboro, Ky. 40965; JAMES F. WILDEY, Bethlehem Mines Corp., Johnstown, Pa. 15901

Entertainment Committee—EVERETT WHITE, (Chairman), Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15208; H. E. MAUCK, Freeman Coal Mining Corp., Chicago, Ill. 60606

Poster and Visual Aids Committee—EWALT HERZOG, (Chairman), Hanna Coal Co., Cadiz, Ohio 43807; S. H. MOONEY, Woodward Iron Co., Woodward, Ala. 35189; MAURICE FOWLER, Duquesne Light Co., Harwick, Pa. 15049; MAX EDWARDS, North American Coal Corp., Powhatan Point, Ohio 43942

Program Committee—PAUL LINGO (Chairman), Bituminous Coal Operators, Assn., Washington, D.C. 20006; GEORGE TREVORROW, Bituminous Coal Operators' Assn., Washington, D.C. 20006; C. GERALD EVANS, North American Coal Corp., Cleveland, Ohio 44120; J. B. BENSON, Southern Coal Producers' Assn., Washington, D.C. 20006; JAMES F. WILDEY, Bethlehem Mines Corp., Johnstown, Pa. 15901

Membership Committee—WOODS G. TALMAN (Chairman), U. S. Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15230; ALEX KELEMAN, Armco Steel Corp., Montcoal, W. Va. 25135; EVERETT WHITE, Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15208; CLARENCE JESSE, Semet-Solvay Div., Tralee, W. Va. 24749; J. B. BENSON; S. H. MOONEY, Woodward Iron Co., Woodward, Ala. 35189; H. E. MAUCK; EMERY C. OLSEN, Columbia-Geneva Steel Div., Dragerton, Utah 84520

Roof Control Committee—WILLIAM PARISI (Chairman), Pittsburgh Coal Co., Library, Pa. 15129; NATHANIEL KIRK, Ingle Coal Co., Terre Haute, Ind. 47803; PAUL BUDZAK, Freeman Coal Mining Corp., West Frankfort, Ill. 62896; A. D. SISK, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240; JAMES LEEBER, JR., United Mine Workers of America, District No. 29, Beckley, W. Va. 25801; A. J. BARRY, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213; E. E. QUENON, Peabody Coal Co., St. Louis, Mo., 63102; CLYDE DAVIS, Semet-Solvay Div., Longacre, W. Va. 25127; FRANCIS R. BOYLE, U. S. Steel Corp., Uniontown, Pa. 15401; *JAMES D. REILLY, Hanna Coal Co., Cadiz, Ohio 83807; A. J. NAIRN, Pennsylvania Department of Mines and Minerals Industries, Washington, Pa. 17884; WALTER DAVIS, Pennsylvania Department of Mines and Mineral Industries, Pine Grove, Pa. 17963; E. C. WORKMAN, W. Va. Department of Mines, Charleston, W. Va. 25302; F. S. LEONARD, Colorado Fuel and Iron Co., Trinidad, Colo. 81082; D. C. JONES, The Pennsylvania State University, College of Mineral Industries, University Park, Pa. 16802; CHARLES T. HOLLAND, West Virginia University, School of Mines, Morgantown, W. Va.

Publicity Committee—REX LAUCK (Chairman), United Mine Workers of America, Washington, D. C. 20005; HARRISON GILMER, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior, Washington, D. C. 20240; W. W. MCCLANAHAN, JR., National Coal Policy Conference, Washington, D. C. 20006; IVAN A. GIVEN, Coal Age, McGraw-Hill Publishing Corp., New York, N.Y. 10030; GEORGE SALL, American Mining Congress, Washington, D. C. 20036; GEORGE C. LINDSAY, McLean-Hunter Publications, Chicago, Ill. 60603; WARREN H. MOSS, Consolidation Coal Co., Morgantown, W. Va. 26501

Off-The-Job Safety Committee—L. E. BRISCOE, (Chairman), Ayrshire Collieries Corp., Indianapolis, Ind. 46225; T. K. SUTHERLAND, Clinchfield Coal Co., Dante, Va. 24237; PAUL HALBERSLEBEN, Sahara Coal Co., Inc., Harrisburg, Ill. 62946; THOMAS LINDLE, Stonega Coke and Coal Co., Big Stone Gap, Va. 24219; EWALT HERZOG, Hanna Coal Co., Cadiz, Ohio 43807; WILBUR F. EIGENBROD, West Virginia Department of Mines, Charleston, W. Va. 25302; PAUL BUDZAK, Freeman Coal Mining Corp., West Frankfort, Ill. 62896; LEONARD PNAKOVICH, United Mine Workers of America, District No. 31,

Fairmont, W. Va. 26555; GEORGE W. MANDEL, Bethlehem Mines Corp., Johnstown, Pa. 15901

Advisory Committee—*M. J. ANKENY, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior, Washington, D. C. 20240; *M. F. BRENNAN, United Mine Workers of America, District No. 7, Hazleton, Pa. 18201; *JAMES D. REILLY, Hanna Coal Co. Cadiz, Ohio 43807; *JOSHUA SMITH, Eastern Gas and Fuel Associates, Mt. Hope, W. Va. 25880; *L. H. JOHNSON, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Vincennes, Ind. 47951; *CHARLES FERGUSON, United Mine Workers of America, Washington, D. C. 20005

Nominating Committee—*M. J. ANKENY (Chairman), U. S. Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior, Washington, D. C. 20240; *M. F. BRENNAN, United Mine Workers of America, District No. 7, Hazleton, Pa. 18201; *JAMES D. REILLY, Hanna Coal Co., Cadiz, Ohio 43807

Staff Representative—CLINTON H. HOCH, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60611

*Past General Chairman

SECTION

NCIL 1963-64

nt & Fire Prevention Dept., National
s 60606

ineer, United Engineers & Construc-

gineer, Massman Construction Co.,

roduct Line Mgr., Mine Safety Ap-

rance & Safety Manager, The Rust
rson, *(Engineering Vice-Chairman)*,
olk, Va. 23501; †John D. Bonham,
ngineer, Joyce & Co., Chicago, Ill.
rpenters & Joiners of America, Car-
. Dodson, *Mgr.*, Safety Engineering,
Harold F. Ferley II, Director, Edu-
rriers & Common Laborers Union,
stant Manager, Accident Prevention
w York, N. Y. 10038; Warren C.
nnon Contracting Co., St. Louis, Mo.
, Teichert & Sons, Inc., Sacramento
, Gust K. Newberg Construction Co.,
hief, Safety Section, Office of Con-
). C. 20421; Fred M. Livingston,
rk, N. Y. 10017; Aulton D. Mul-
Division, The American Insurance
y Engineer, Master Builders' Associ-
a. 15222; J. George Robinson, Ex-
te Association, Jefferson City, Mo.
Engineering Dept., American Mutual
harles F. Sparrell, Division Service
o., Chicago, Ill. 60606; Richard N.
nc., Milwaukee, Wis. 53213; Gene
onstruction Co., Inc., Newton High-
afety Branch, U. S. Army Engineer
ald B. Wilcox, Professor of Indus-
Fla. 32603;

gineer, Department of Navy, Bureau
me J. Williams, *(Engineering Vice-*
o., Inc., Boise, Idaho 83701; †Joseph
, Field Construction Specialist, Em-
5; Rudolph J. Behley, Supervising

OFFICERS OF THE

CONSTRUCTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COU

General Chairman—*†Frederick H. Deeg, Mgr., Accide
Association of Mutual Casualty Cos., Chicago, Illinoi

Vice-General Chairman—*George E. Aro, Safety Eng
tors, Inc., Philadelphia, Pa. 19105

General Secretary—*Robert J. Massman, Safety Ei
Kansas City, Mo. 64111

Assistant General Secretary—Fred A. Hornsby, Jr., F
pliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15208

Divisions—Building—*W. R. Fritz *(Chairman)*, Insu
Engineering Co., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15222; W. G. Br
Safety Director, Tidewater Construction Co., Norf
(Engineering First Vice-Chairman), Supervising I
60606; Paul Connolly, United Brotherhood of Ca
penters Bldg., N.W., Washington, D. C.; Donald W
Aetna Casualty & Surety Co., Chicago, Ill. 60603; I
cation, Welfare & Safety, International Hod Ca
Washington, D. C. 20006; Robert Hagopian, Assi
Dept. Association of Casualty & Surety Cos., Ne
Hargreaves, Personnel & Safety Director, Fruin-C
63103; William G. Hawkins, Safety Director, A
4, Calif.; William H. Kirkwood, Safety Engineer,
Civic Center, Chicago, Ill. 60602; E. L. Krause, C
struction, Veterans Administration, Washington, I
Safety Director, Turner Construction Co., New Y
lendore, Assistant Superintendent, Engineering
Group, Newark, N. J. 07101; Paul J. Naim, Safet
ation of Western Pennsylvania, Inc., Pittsburgh, P
ecutive Secretary, Missouri Ready Mixed Concre
65101; Richard E. Schroeder, Liability Engineer,
Liability Insurance Co., Wakefield, Mass. 01881; Ch
Manager, Construction, Liberty Mutual Insurance
Vandekieft, President, Safway Steel Products, I
Wasserman, Manager, Cost Department, Wexler C
lands, Mass. 02161; Robert A. Wendell, Chief, S
Division, South Atlantic, Atlanta, Ga. 30301; Don
trial Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville,

Heavy—*Earl W. Wheeler, *(Chairman)*, Safety En
of Yards & Docks, Washington, D. C. 20390; Jero
Chairman), Director of Safety, Morrison-Knudsen C
F. Huntman, *(Engineering First Vice-Chairman)*
ployers Mutuals of Wausau, River Forest, Ill. 6030

Construction Engineer, Travelers Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn. 06102; SAM BOOKER, Safety Supervisor, Contracting Division, Dravo Corp., Neville Island, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15225; CHARLES W. BOSMEN, Jr., Director of Safety Engineers, Johnson, Drake & Piper, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402; CARLYLE F. BUNN, Chief, Safety Branch, U. S. Army Engineer District, Kansas City, Kansas City 6, Mo.; C. M. CAHILL, Safety Engineer, Massman Construction Co., Kansas City, Mo. 64111; BEN T. CHENEY, Safety Manager, H. K. Ferguson Co., Cleveland, Ohio 44114; DAN C. CHRISTIE, Asst. Chief Safety Engineer & Fire Marshal, California Dept. of Water Resources, Sacramento, Calif. 95814; NEIL E. GARNER, Chief, Safety Branch, U. S. Army Engineer Division, North Central, Chicago, Ill. 60605; ROBERT J. HARTNETT, Managing Engineer, Continental National Insurance Group, Chicago, Ill. 60604; ROBERT L. JENKINS, Chief, Safety Office, Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, Washington, D. C. 20315; CLAYTON C. KILPATRICK, Safety Engineer, MacLean Grove & Co., Inc. & Grove-Shepherd, Wilson & Kruge, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10011; T. J. LASKOWSKI, Project Safety Engineer, Oro Dam Constructors, Oroville, Calif. 45965; HOWARD S. LATHAM, Bureau Safety Engineer, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver 25, Colo.; DANIEL W. LENAHAN, Project Engineer, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Atlanta 8, Ga.; J. R. O'NEILL, Safety Supervisor, The Fluor Corp. Ltd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90022; ERIC L. PEDLEY, Pedley-Knowles & Co., San Francisco, Calif. 94107; JAMES A. RAMSEY, Jr., Safety Engineer, C. H. Leavell & Co., El Paso, Texas 79987; JAMES REGAN, Safety Engineer, Office of Engineering Design, c/o Tennessee Valley Authority, Knoxville, Tenn.; WILLIAM T. ROGERS, Safety Director, Ebasco Services, Inc., New York 6, N. Y.; ARTHUR L. SCHMUHL, Director, Safety & Training Division, The Associated General Contractors of America, Inc., Washington, D. C. 20006; O. C. WAKEFIELD, Chief, Safety Office, U. S. Army Engineer District, St. Paul, Minn. 55101

Highway—*ELLIOTT R. MARTIN, *(Chairman)*, Safety Director, Hendrickson Bros., Inc., Valley Stream, N. Y. 11582; ROY D. WOODWORTH, *(Engineering Vice-Chairman)*, Director, Construction Service, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston, Mass. 02117; ROBERT O. NIMMO, *(Engineering First Vice-Chairman)*, Safety Coordinator, Peter Kiewit Sons', Inc., Omaha, Nebraska 68131; †FRED J. BOLES, Mgr., Safety Engineering Dept., Marsh & McLennan, Inc., Chicago, Ill. 60604; W. D. BRUNIG, Safety Director, D. W. Winkelman Co., Inc., Syracuse, N. Y.; HOMER F. CLARK, Safety Officer, Department of Commerce, Bureau of Public Roads, Washington, D. C. 20235; FRANK R. DWYER, Mgr., Engineering Pacific Dept., Reliance Insurance Co., San Francisco 26, Calif.; DEAN O. LUNDAHL, Mgr., Engineering Department, Reliance Insurance Cos., Philadelphia, Pa. 19106; DALE R. MEDSKER, Dale Medsker & Associates, Atlanta, Ga. 30301; ROY H. OLSON, Safety Engineering Consultant, Michigan Mutual Liability Co., Lansing, Mich. 48915; FRANCIS L. OTTO, Safety Engineering Consultant, Office of Occupational Safety, Bureau of Labor Standards, Washington, D.C.; ROY R. PETERSON, Asst. Supt., Engineering & Auditing Dept., Insurance Company of North America, Philadelphia, Pa. 19101.

Home Building—*JOHN J. RILEY *(Chairman)*, Labor Director, National Assoc. of Home Builders, Washington, D.C.; †ROBERT L. MOORE *(Engineering Vice-Chairman)*, Supt. of Engineers, Lumbermans Mutual Casualty Co., Chicago, Ill. 60640; HERBERT R. WESTLUND *(Engineering First Vice-Chairman)*, Chief Safety Engineer, Argonaut Underwriters Inc., Menlo Park, Calif. 94025.

Specialty—*T. S. MCKOSKY, *(Chairman)*, Supervisor of Toolhouses & Safety, Bethlehem Steel Co., Bethlehem, Pa.; DEAN W. WARD *(Engineering Vice-Chairman)*, John F. Beasley Construction Co., Dallas, Texas 75201; †MARTIN F. MULHALL *(Engineering First Vice-Chairman)*, Mgr., Safety Engineering Dept., Fred S. James & Company, Chicago, Ill. 60602; FRED S. CAMERON, Safety Consultant, Ebasco Services, Inc., New York, N.Y.; TREVOR DAVIDSON, Mgr., Research & Development, Bucyrus-Erie Co., South Milwaukee, Wis.; R. J. DOUGHERTY, Director of Safety, Stearns-Roger Corp.,

Manufacturing Co., Denver, Colo. 80217; SAM S. E
agement, Dallas, Texas, 75225; H. EARL FULLILOVE
Building Trades Employers' Assn., New York, N. Y. 1
Hazard Control, Dravo Corp., Neville Island, Pittsbu
Research Engineer, National Electrical Contractors
E. F. JONES, Mgr., Labor Relations & Safety, Comb
Conn. 06095; J. J. KELLY, Safety Supervisor, M. W
WARDIE W. KING, Chief, Safety Office, U. S. Army
Portland, Oregon 97209; JOHN V. O'BRIEN, Safety
Bechtel Corp., San Francisco, Calif. 94119; HENR
Methods & Equipment, New York 36, N.Y.; HUNTE
International Union of Operating Engineers, Was
WHITEHOUSE, Director of Safety, International Br
Washington, D.C.; EVERETT WITZEL, Eastern Distric
port, Conn. 06882.

Projects Coordinator—RUDOLPH J. BEHLEY.

Assistant Projects Coordinator—SAM FIFE, JR.

Program Committee—GEORGE E. ARO *(Coordinator)*.
(Building); JEROME J. WILLIAMS *(Heavy)*; ROY D. W

Membership Committee—GENE WASSERMAN *(Chairman*
ELLIOTT R. MARTIN, JOHN J. RILEY, EARL W. WHEELE

Newsletter Editor—SAM S. ELKINS.

Health Committee—RICHARD G. BARRITT *(Chairman)*,
Rockford, Ill. 61101; DR. N. G. LONG *(Vice-Chai*
Insurance, Chicago, Ill. 60640; CLARE B. SCHWAR
Wausau, River Forest, Ill. 60305; JEAN R. WELSH, I
Chicago, Ill.

Research Committee—DANIEL W. LENAHAN *(Chairman)*.

Public Relations Committee—HUNTER P. WHARTON *(Cha*

Training Committee—FRED M. LIVINGSTON *(Chairman)*.

Visual Aids Committee—R. J. DOUGHERTY *(Chairman)*.

Standards Committee—FRANK M. BOODRO *(Chairman)*,

Special Projects Committee—JOHN V. O'BRIEN *(Chairma*

Off-The-Job Committee—FRED S. CAMERON *(Chairman)*.

Nominating Committee—ROBERT A. WENDELL *(Chairma*
G. HAWKINS, ROBERT L. JENKINS, ROBERT L. MOORE.

LKINS, Construction Safety Man-
, Chairman, Board of Governors,
0017; GERALD O. GRIFFIN, Manager
rgh, Pa. 15225; CHARLES J. HART,
Assn., Inc., Washington, D.C.;
ustion Engineering, Inc., Windsor,
Kellogg Co., New York, N.Y.;
Engineer Division, North Pacific,
Supv. & Labor Relations Repr.,
T. PEREZ, Editor, Construction
P. WHARTON, General President,
hington, D.C. 20006; VICTOR E.
otherhood of Electrical Workers,
t Mgr., E. D. Bullard Co., West-

Co-Chairmen, WM. G. BRYSON
OODWORTH *(Highway)*.

), W. R. FITZ, T. S. McKOSKY,
R.

President, Medical Supply Co.,
man), Medical Director, Kemper
RZ, R.N., Employers Mutuals of
R.N., Crane Construction Co., Inc.,

irman).

JAMES REGAN *(Vice-Chairman)*.

n).

n), GERARD O. GRIFFIN, WILLIAM

Staff Rep
Ave., C

Past Gene
W. F.
RICHA
GOLDST
1943-'4
1948-'4
1951-'5
1955-'5
R. A.

*Administ


†Task Fo

Staff Representative—CLEMENT J. LUEPKE, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60611.

Past General Chairmen—1918-'21, LEO D. WOERTZ; 1921-'23, F. A. DAVIDSON; 1923-'24, W. F. AMES; 1924-'26, W. F. AUSTIN; 1926-'28, E. C. HASKINS; 1928-'29, W. R. RICHARDS; 1929-'31, GEORGE WIDUA; 1931-'34, JOHN RUSSEL, JR.; 1934-'35, EDGAR N. GOLDSTINE; 1935-'39, W. A. SNOW; 1939-'41, R. J. REICHLUTH; 1941-'43, W. A. HAZARD; 1943-'45, G. O. GRIFFIN; 1945-'47, LLOYD A. BLANCHARD; 1947-'48, FRANK J. CRANDELL; 1948-'49, OTTO S. HOLMSKOG; 1949-'50, J. A. DE LUCA; 1950-'51, HARRY J. KIRK; 1951-'52, H. W. RICHARDSON; 1952-'54, H. B. ALEXANDER; 1954-'55, G. P. O'ROURKE; 1955-'57, R. L. JENKINS; 1957-'59, W. G. HAWKINS; 1959-'61, R. L. MOORE; 1961-'63, R. A. WENDELL.

*Administrative Committee

†Task Force



1963

Volume 9

National Safety Congress
Transactions

ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

OFFICERS OF THE

ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—F. C. PERRIGOY, Safety Engineer, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, Calif.

Vice Chairman and Secretary—H. A. PERKINS, Chief of Health & Safety Section, Western Electric Co., Inc., Baltimore 24, Md.

Membership Committee—S. J. CURTIS, Chairman, Supvr. of Safety & Security, Burroughs Corp. Detroit 32, Mich.,; H. R. SINCLAIR, Vice Chairman, Supvr. of Safety & Security, Burroughs Corp., Plymouth, Mich.; J. W. LOOPER, Safety Director, The National Cash Register Co., Dayton 9, Ohio; L. A. MILLER, Safety Manager, Electronics Div. of General Dynamics, Rochester 4, N. Y.

Program Committee—W. A. COLEMAN, Chairman, Chief of Safety & Medical Records Section, Western Electric Co., Allentown, Pa.; R. E. WHITESIDE, Vice Chairman, Branch Accident Prevention Mgr., Employers Mutuals of Wausau, River Forest, Ill.; GEORGE MAC DONALD, Vice President, Self-Insurers Service, Inc., Chicago 4, Ill.; J. A. EDMONDS, Vice President, Daniel Woodhead Co., Chicago, Ill.

Newsletter Committee—M. J. QUINCANNON, Chairman, Supervisor of Safety, Western Electric Co., Inc., Winston-Salem, N. C.; D. PRIEST, Vice Chairman, Safety Administrator, International Business Machines Corp., Kingston, N. Y.; J. M. TRANSUE, Security Director, Philco Corp., Philadelphia 34, Pa.

Research and Engineering Committee—W. J. LEEMHUIS, Chairman, Safety Engineer, Distribution Transformer Div., General Electric Co., Pittsfield, Mass.; ALLAN REED, Vice Chairman, Project Engineers, Daniel Woodhead Co., Chicago, Ill.; C. A. BUCHANAN, JR., Manager of Safety Programs, International Business Machines Corp., Owego, N. Y.; JOHN CAPPS, Associate Managing Engineer, Underwriters' Laboratory, Chicago, Ill.; G. R. SMITH, Safety Director, Champion Lamp Works, Lynn, Mass.

Training and Publications Committee—B. B. TURNEY, Chairman, Safety Director, Semiconductor-Components Div., Texas Instruments, Inc., Dallas 22, Texas; E. J. DYMEK, Vice Chairman, Fire Protection & Safety Engineer, Automatic Electric Co., Northlake, Ill.; THOMAS MCBRIEN, Supvr. of Safety, Air-Arm Div., Westinghouse Electric Corp., Baltimore 3, Md.; W. F. MCCHESNEY, Safety Engineer, International Business Machines Corp., Owego, N. Y.

Off-The-Job Committee—J. A. WALDRON, Chairman, Safety Supervisor, Packard Electric Division, General Motors Corp., Warren, Ohio; W. G. MOORHEAD, Vice Chairman, Administrator, Safety & Health Dept., AMP, Inc. Harrisburg, Pa.; C. T. LANG, Supervisor of Safety, Meter Division, Westinghouse Electric Corp., Newark, N. J.; G. W. KOCH, Supervisor of Safety, Western Electric Co., Inc., Kearney Works, Kearney, N. J.

Long Range Planning Committee—George Mac Donald, Vice President, Self-Insurers Service, Inc., Chicago 4, Ill.; M. L. Miller, Supervising Safety Engineer, Westinghouse Electric Corp., East Pittsburgh, Pa.; E. E. Gebhart, Supervisor of Safety, Frigidaire Div., General Motors Corp., Dayton 2, Ohio; J. J. Lawler, Loss Prevention Manager, General Telephone and Electronics Corp., New York 17, N. Y.

Staff Representative—Joseph H. Vansickle, Industrial Dept., National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago 11, Ill.

mean response time and variability both decrease as stress is applied. At some point the operator becomes disorganized, as evidenced by the variance of the response time increasing rapidly. This large variability is an obvious key to production errors and unsafe acts and subsequent accidents. It has long been believed that quality of performance and safety are highly correlated. Thus, we have an example of an indirect measure of safety since quality of output is much easier to obtain and describe than an unsafe act. Variability in output falls into this same category. An operator who loses control of his task is very likely to lose safety control. If this relationship can be demonstrated, those of us in safety will have arrived at a communication with management that they truly understand. We will have built a bridge between safety and production. Too long we have suffered under the unfair criticism of being opposed in some sense to production.

This stress-variability-unsafe act linkage is one which is particularly appealing to those of us who labor for safety in the dungeons of research. The critical question is whether there exists a basis for this in the industrial setting. It is certainly true that we cannot measure safety performance exclusive of product on performance, as we have attempted to do in the past.

We need to focus our concern more on safety measurement at the microscopic or individual level as suggested by unsafe acts or response variability. Microscopic or plant-wide measures are less instructive in pointing out what must be done. There is no panacea for the safety measurement problem. Our present measures leave much to be desired and there are alternative approaches which may permit better description. Perhaps research of this kind may lead us to greater insights into the true nature of the accident phenomenon and ways of measuring and controlling it.

OBSERVATION—PERCEPTION

By A. TIMOTHY WAAD
Special Services Asst., E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Wilmington, Del.

An old-fashioned word for supervisor is overseer—one who inspects, examines, and observes. Though the title may be outdated, the idea holds true: A supervisor spends much of his time looking over the job. Whether he's checking progress against plan on a scheduled operation, or sizing up personnel, or looking for methods improvement, he's making observations on which he will probably base some action. The effectiveness as a supervisor—depend to a large extent on his capacity for sharp and thorough observation.

"Just looking" sounds easy, but good observation is an art. To test the truth of this statement, take two or three untrained observers, of different occupations, on a quick tour of your department. At the end, ask each one to write down what he saw. You'll probably find their impressions quite different—and also different from your own. A machinery salesman may note the makes and models of machines, and may already be planning to talk to you about replacements; a painter may see the machinery only as a blur, but chances are he'll know whether or not your walls need painting; a personnel man may estimate the number of employees engaged in various tasks, and he may form some impression of their morale. Each person sees the same department, but each pays attention to only a segment.

Though we see with our eyes, we observe with our minds. The factors that make the difference are perception and attention.

Sight is like a door; through it passes everything in front of our eyes. *Perception* is what the mind makes of these sights coming through the door; because people differ, their perceptions of the same sights will also differ. *Attention* represents the opening or closing of the door; and *observation* is the welcoming in through the door of the particular sights that interest us.

How well we see is determined by the physical condition of the eyes, but how well we observe is determined by interest and training. Helen Keller, without sight or hearing, has learned to observe better than many people with all their senses.

Certainly, anyone with normal physical equipment can train himself to become a better observer.

At Du Pont, an observation-perception training program is offered for supervisors. As a part of the training session, the men go out on a field trip into a section of a plant. They divide themselves into groups—one group looking for safety factors, another for cost-reduction ideas, a third for conditions affecting quality, and so on. Invariably, even in the best-run plants, they are able to spot a number of conditions that could stand improvement.

In one plant, the central safety committee, which had received training, made a tour to check on such matters as condition of fire extinguishers, handling of flammable materials, housekeeping, and condition of tools. Despite the fact that this was considered a "clean" plant, the committee found 69 conditions that needed attention. The tour paid off not only in safety, but in recognition, since the plant received top rating in the next plant audit by the safety and fire inspectors.

Spotting problems is not, however, the only goal of observation. An observer looks also for new ideas to improve an operation, a process, or a product. There are few, if any, plants or offices where observation won't yield suggestions for money-saving and money-making improvements.

Ideas can be found off the job as well as on it. Clarence Birdseye got the idea for frozen-foods processing by watching Eskimos quick-freeze their food supply. Dr. Max O. Kramer was on an ocean trip when he noticed porpoises moving faster than he thought any creature that size and shape could move in the water. He surmised that they must have some sort of device to reduce surface drag. When he got ashore, Dr. Kramer hurried to get himself a live porpoise for observation. As a result, he has now developed a rubber skin for submarines, patterned on porpoise skin, which is expected to reduce drag on the submarines, and double their speed under water.

"Chance favor
Louis Pasteur,
and discoveries
means little, ob
people could tell
who first happene
cillin in destro
almost everyone
Fleming, who *ob*
what to do about

In industry as
has trained himse
self operating ma
and more comfo

Fortunately, an
learn to become a
Here are eight st
practice that ha
supervisors to im

1. Be selective

Any situation c
from many diffe
once by the same
wildering to try t
trick is to concen
to observe at a p
ing open to gene
sor might look o
safety, a second
operations, a thir
and so on. What
will help him wit
he'll see his whol
than if he hadn
time.

Both narrow-a
observation can
goal is clear. On
centrated on the
handling. He can
improving the us
material. On the
was asked to loo
in the departme
for a master pla
only relieved the
real economies i
ment.

2. Know what to

The more a s
job and his respo
server he will
know-how if the
ceptance of super

What does management in your company expect of you: Production? Safety? Morale? Cost control? Quality? The better you understand your job, the better you can choose what to look for in any given situation.

A seemingly small finding can be tremendously important—if it indicates something wrong in a process or product. In one plant, a scale was only slightly off zero. Because the scale was used to mix a product, the off-zero position spoiled the proportions of the mix. For some products, such as dyes, the consequences of even a slight off-zero position could be expensive.

3. Practice observing

The oftener you look with the conscious intention to observe, the more you will see at each fresh trial. Like all skills, observation improves with practice.

You'll get even better results if you check from time to time on the accuracy of your impressions. At Du Pont, a supervisor of an area being observed walks around with the observers. He learns many things he hadn't noticed about his own area of responsibility—and he is also able to correct false impressions or interpretations. On one trip, an observer mentioned that three men were working on a job that should normally have been done by two. The supervisor explained that the third man was a trainee receiving on-the-job instruction.

Don't define observation to your own job or your own department. Any new experience, like a field trip to another plant or department, or a visit to a new area, offers a chance for exciting new impressions. Needless to say, good observation is also an asset in conducting one's personal affairs. In driving, especially, the habit of noticing the important factors may some day save your life.

4. Keep an open mind

"Keep an open mind" sounds like simple advice; actually it's the most difficult to follow. We are all, without exception, biased to some extent by our own experiences, interests, and desires. We have all developed the ability to see what we want to see and to blot out unwanted impressions. Fortunately for man's survival, we also have interests that urge us to learn more and more about the world.

It might be worth while to examine some of the forces within us that tend to keep our minds either open or closed.

The strongest emotional barrier to information is *resistance to change*—the desire to retain the status quo. Everyone resists change to some extent, and some people are either so fearful or so smug that they can't imagine any change as an improvement. Fear of change blocks recognition of all but the most inescapable facts that might threaten the familiar and accepted situation.

Some desires are ambivalent. The desire to have friends, *to belong to a group*, will increase a person's awareness of anything that will help the group. But at the same time, it may close his eyes to facts that might reflect on, or might be resented by, other members of the group.

The *desire to think well of oneself* also cuts both ways. A situation that can be used for personal advancement will command plenty of attention; at such a time, observation may be keen. But the same feeling may cause a person to shut his eyes to any facts indicating that he's not quite as smart, as able, or as powerful as he would like to believe.

The *need for action* usually spurs observation. Have you ever noticed how fast you can find suitable Christmas presents on Christmas Eve? Or take another example: If a man learned that his company would have to go out of business unless it could reduce its costs, how do you think this would affect his ability to find cost-reduction opportunities?

Along with resistance to change, *desire for change* is built into most people's personalities. This is the greatest gate opener of all. Some fortunate people have tremendous curiousity. They are always looking for ways to change things—and they frequently succeed. The person who desires change is usually open-minded, and he can usually recognize the importance of his observations.

One way to increase open-mindedness is not to judge facts in advance. Don't deny the fact, no matter what conclusion it may seem to lead to. Keep your mind open, at least until you have all the facts.

5. Don't be satisfied with general impressions

There's more to most situations than meets the eye. A trick drawing is designed to fool

you—but a "clean" shop or a careful routine can fool you, too. An example of this occurred in a laboratory where a technician was running titrations—testing the strength of a chemical solution by dropping it into a graduated glass tube to find the smallest amount needed to bring about a reaction with another substance. He made three tests of the same chemical and got the same answer each time—yet according to the specification sheet, his answer was wrong. He and his foreman took the procedure apart step by step without finding an answer. Then the foreman happened to pick up the graduated glass tube, newly issued from the stockroom, that the technician had used for the readings Incredible as it may seem there were two number 27's printed on the scale.

One of our teams walked through a spic-and-span plant area. Everything seemed in order until they looked up and saw a conveyor moving on a monorail system without catch pans, directly over a bin where chemicals were stored. Grease from the rail could drop directly into the bin and contaminate the chemicals.

6. Guard against habit and familiarity

You've probably heard the story about the middle-aged man who bought his wife a size 12 dress for their twenty-fifth wedding anniversary—because that was the size she wore when they were married! In the story, she enjoyed his mistake. In real life, she might wonder whether he'd taken a single good look at her in the past 10 years.

Habit and familiarity can blind you. It is well said that you can walk by a leaky pump in your own territory and not see it. Most supervisors spot an emergency fast enough—but little troubles pile up unobserved because the supervisor's general impression of the department, based on years of experience, blots out details. What we think we see—both in people and in things—is often only an assumption based on habit.

There's no easy cure for distortions based on familitarity, but you've won part of the battle when you recognize, and constantly remind yourself, that these distortions exist. Further remedies: As you walk around your department, imagine for the moment that you're somebody else: the plant manager, the safety inspector, the quality-control man, or a visitor. Let yourself wonder about what

you see; ask qu
The questions
(especially) wh
the real meanin

7. Record obse

Most of us
don't keep jou
even though th
later.

On the job,
supervisor to m
each day—parti
ing action. The
space for comm
results. The no
reminder and a

8. Prepare a ch

For some kin
is desirable. W
you'll get much
visit if you lear
This is true als
know what the
how it distribu
you can observ
better questions

For some ty
list is necessary
If you inspect
"safety," you m
—everything w
check for litte
flammables, con
ment, you're lik
that can be cor
gency-escape ro
found 13 instanc
tenance, or poo
might have blo
out of a danger

It's harder t
serve things—y
tion already dis
Do you pigeonl
Try keeping an
change; and, be
him incorrectly

Do you hire
good," only to
might have avo
to be satisfied w
ing prepared fo

uestions as an outsider would. *what, where, when, how,* and y will often help you uncover g of the situation.

rvations systematically

re not the Boswell type and rnals of our observations—ey might make good reading

though, it's important for a ake notes of what he observes icularly of situations requir- notes should be dated, with ıent on action taken and on tebook can serve both as a a record of progress.

eck list

ds of observing, preparation hen you travel, for instance, more from each place you n a little about it beforehand. o of plant visits; when you plant makes, how it produces, tes, who buys the products, e more intelligently and ask

pes of observation, a check . Consider safety inspection. for the amorphous idea, ay see little or nothing wrong ill look "safe." But if you r, obstructions, handling of- dition of fire-fighting equip- ely to find tangible problems rected. In a survey of emer- utes in one plant, observers es of obstruction, poor main- housekeeping, any of which cked employees trying to get ous area.

observe people than to ob- et the principles of observa- ussed remain about the same. ole a person at first sight? open mind, the person may sides, you may have observed in the first place.

a person because he "looks discover a fatal flaw? You ided this if you had refused ith a general impression. Be- r the interview (even to the

extent of would hav

Too ma words alo or trainin you *obser* Respond facial exp subtle clu movement watching many sug organizing your exp every idea reveals th

It's imp people are ance stem and his s ently, and point of v

In such usually su

Dr. Ha University tion Cente a Princet game was star playe mouth pla

One we mouth col to groups mouth. Ea

extent of having a mental check list) also would have helped.

Too many people try to communicate with words alone. Your next interview, meeting, or training session will be more fruitful if you *observe* the person you're talking to. Respond not only to his words, but to his facial expression or lack of it, and to the subtle clues given by hand movements, foot movements, and posture. Remember that he's watching you, too. You're not going to get many suggestions from a subordinate on reorganizing a work method, for example, if your expression tells him that you resent every idea he offers or if your restlessness reveals that you're itching to get away.

It's important to remember also that few people are objective observers. Many a grievance stems from the fact that the supervisor and his subordinates see a situation differently, and that neither allows for the other's point of view.

In such misunderstandings, both sides are usually sure they're right.

Dr. Hadley Cantril, director of Princeton University's famous Perception Demonstration Center, performed an experiment after a Princeton-Dartmouth football game. The game was rough—so rough that Princeton's star player, Dick Kazmaier, and a Dartmouth player were badly hurt.

One week later Dr. Cantril and a Dartmouth colleague showed a movie of the game to groups of students at Princeton and Dartmouth. Each group was asked to add up the number of times each team broke the rules, and also to comment on the game.

Princeton students "saw" Dartmouth break twice as many rules at the Princeton team. But Dartmouth students saw only half as many violations by their own team.

Most Princeton students blamed Dartmouth for starting the trouble. Most Dartmouth students thought both teams were equally at fault.

Fifty-five per cent of the Princeton men said that Dartmouth had tried to "get" Kazmaier. But most of the Dartmouth students felt this charge was unjustified.

"It seems clear," Dr. Cantril concluded, "that 'the game' was actually many different games, and that each version of the event . . . was just as 'real' to one person as other versions were to other people."

In industry as in football, the participants' emotions and loyalties determine how they see the game.

It should be clear by now that nobody ever becomes a perfectly impartial observer. Perhaps, though, it's equally clear that everyone can improve his observation skills.

This improvement can't, however, be achieved by reading articles about observation—not even this one. Reading at best will provide some guidance for effective practice. By knowing the pitfalls, a reader can overcome them to the point where he will more often be able to recognize conditions as they actually exist.

OFFICERS OF THE
GLASS AND CERAMICS SECTION
NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—JOHN RHEINHEIMER, Kaiser Refractories, Mexico, Mo.

First Vice-Chairman—ROBERT W. MOULTON, Ball Brothers Co., Inc., Muncie, Ind.

Second Vice-Chairman—DOAN NOCE, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Crystal City, Mo.

Secretary—W. W. BOXELL, Foster-Forbes Glass Co., Marion, Ind.

Newsletter Editor—JOHN W. BLOOM, Owens-Illinois, Fairmont, W. Va.

Off-The-Job Safety Committee—JOHN J. LONG, *(Chairman)*, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Cumberland, Md.; SAM D. WANG, Kaiser Refractories, Columbiana, Ohio; FRANK MANNING, Ford Motor Co., Glass Div., Dearborn, Mich.; *JAMES H. GATRELL, Blue Ridge Glass Co., Kingsport, Tenn.

Program Committee—FRANK J. BENTLEY, *(Chairman)*, Brockway Glass Co., Inc., Brockway, Pa.; SAM D. WANG, Kaiser Refractories, Columbiana, Ohio; JERRY AGNEW, Owens-Illinois, Clarion, Pa.; *JOHN B. FULLEN, Kopp Glass, Inc., Swissvale, Pittsburgh 18, Pa.

Engineering Committee—TERRY CASTLE *(Chairman)*, Castle-Hanson Corp., Rochester 11, N.Y.; RICHARD PHILLIPS, Owens-Illinois, Libby Div., Toledo, Ohio; *JOSEPH E. MORRISON, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Pittsburgh 22, Pa.; ROBERT E. EVANS, Ford Motor Co., Glass Div., Nashville, Tenn.; *RUSSELL W. FRANK, Ferro Corp., Cleveland 5, Ohio; DAVID T. QUIGLEY, North American Refractories Co., Cleveland 14, Ohio.

Health Committee—GLENN S. USHER, M.D. *(Chairman)*, Owens-Illinois, Toledo 1, Ohio; *W. G. HAZARD, Owens-Illinois, Toledo 1, Ohio; *JOHN V. SKENDALL, Harbison-Walker Refractories Co., Pittsburgh 22, Pa. 15222.

News Letter Committee—JOHN W. BLOOM *(Chairman)*, Owens-Illinois, Fairmont, W. Va.; FRANK J. BENTLEY, Brockway Glass Co., Inc., Brockway, Pa.; *H. V. GARDNER, Owens-Illinois Glass Co., Toledo 1, Ohio; FRANK MANNING, Ford Motor Co., Glass Div., Dearborn, Mich.; E. W. MISKINIS, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Glass Research, Harmer Twp., Pa.

Safety Promotion Committee—FRANK MANNING *(Chairman)*, Ford Motor Co., Glass Div., Dearborn, Mich.; JERRY AGNEW, Owens-Illinois, Clarion, Pa.; RICHARD PHILLIPS, Owens-Illinois, Libby Div., Toledo, Ohio; E. S. KNEPPER, Continental Can Co., Hazel-Atlas Div., Wheeling, W. Va.

Nominations Committee—*JOSEPH E. MORRISON *(Chairman)*, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Pittsburgh 22, Pa.; *CLINTON BALLINGER, Owens-Illinois, Gas City, Ind.; FRANK MANNING, Ford Motor Co., Glass Div., Dearborn, Mich.

Membership Committee—Howard J. Baker *(Chairman)*, Pittsburgh Corning Corp., Port Allegany, Pa.; *Edwin L. Wray, Ball Bros. Co. Inc., Muncie, Ind.; *Clyde C. Rudisill, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Pittsburgh 22, Pa.; Doan Nock, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Crystal City, Mo.

Associations' Committee—John Sipe *(Chairman)*, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Fiber Glass Div., Shelbyville, Ind.; Glenn S. Usher, M.D., Owens-Illinois, Toledo 1, Ohio; *Russell W. Frank, Ferro Corp., Cleveland 5, Ohio.

Safety Contest Committee—Andrew Orrick *(Chairman)*, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Ford City, Pa.; *Harry A. Jackson, General Motors Corp., Frigidaire Div., Dayton 1, Ohio; Robert Evans, Ford Motor Co., Nashville, Tenn.; *Edwin L. Wray, Ball Bros. Co., Inc., Muncie, Ind.

Training Committee—E. D. Miskinis, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Glass Research, Harmer Township, Pa.; *Russell W. Frank, Ferro Corp., Cleveland 5, Ohio; *James L. Morris, The Federal Glass Co., Columbus 7, Ohio; John J. Foster, Foster-Forbes Glass Co., Marion, Ind.

"Blowers and Puggers" Committee—(Past General Chairmen), Fred G. Anderson, Corning, N.Y.; H. V. Gardner, Owens-Illinois Glass Co., Toledo 1, Ohio; John P. Stephenson, Ball Bros. Co., Inc., Muncie, Ind.; James L. Morris, The Federal Glass Co., Columbus 7, Ohio; J. C. Dittmer, Cranford, N.J.; T. R. Donoghue *(deceased)*, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co.; W. G. Hazard, Owens-Illinois, Toledo 1, Ohio; Harry A. Jackson, Frigidaire Div., General Motors Corp., Dayton 1, Ohio; J. H. Gatrell, Blue Ridge Glass Co., Kingsport, Tenn.; John B. Fuller, Kopp Glass Inc., Swissvale, Pittsburgh 18, Pa.; Russell W. Frank, Ferro Corp., Cleveland 5, Ohio; Clyde C. Rudisill, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Pittsburgh 22, Pa.; John V. Skendall, Harbison-Walker Refractories Co., Pittsburgh 2, Pa.; Edwin L. Wray, Ball Bros. Co., Inc., Muncie, Ind.; Clinton Ballinger, Owens-Illinois, Gas City, Ind.; Joseph E. Morrison, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Pittsburgh 22, Pa.

Staff Representative—G. Shibley, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago 11, Ill.

*Past General Chairmen

OFFICERS OF THE
RUBBER SECTION
NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—FRANK C. STARBIRD, Safety Dir., The Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., Decatur, Ill.

First Vice-Chairman—S. T. BURROWS, Safety Dir., Mansfield Tire & Rubber Co., Mansfield, Ohio.

Second Vice-Chairman—F. J. FRAME, Dir. of Safety, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. of Michigan, Jackson, Mich.

Secretary—N. R. HUNTER, Safety Engr., Dunlop Tire & Rubber Corp., Buffalo 5, New York.

Newsletter Editor—N. C. LONGEE, Safety Supvr., United States Rubber Co., Passaic, N.J.

Engineering Committee—G. W. NICKEL *(Chairman)*, Mgr. of Safety, Armstrong Cork Co., Lancaster, Pa.; C. R. COVERT, Mgr. Safety & Labor, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Industrial Products Div., Akron 16, Ohio; E. F. METZ, Safety Mgr., Copolymer Rubber & Chemical Corp., Baton Rouge, La.; *G. H. BURKHARDT, Dir. of Safety, General Tire & Rubber Co., Akron 9, Ohio.

Health Committee—*F. W. SANDS *(Chairman)*, Ind. Hygienist, United States Rubber Co., New York 20, N.Y.; R. A. MANNING, Mgr., Safety & Hygiene, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron 16, Ohio; W. E. MCCORMICK, Mgr., Ind. Hygiene & Toxicology, The B. F. Goodrich Co., Akron 18, Ohio; L. H. BALLOU, M.D., Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., Akron 17, Ohio; W. A. MCCAUSLAND, M.D., B. F. Goodrich Footwear Co., Div. of The B. F. Goodrich Co., Watertown 72, Mass.

Membership Committee—*C. S. KRUGER *(Chairman)*, Personnel Mgr., Carlisle Tire & Rubber Div., Carlisle, Pa.; *J. L. DEAN, Supvr. of Safety, The Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., Memphis, Tenn.

Education & Training Committee—E. C. SHEDD *(Chairman)*, Supvr. of Safety, Naugatuck Footwear Plant, U.S. Rubber Company, Naugatuck, Conn.; E. E. GOLDSWORTH, Safety Dir., Gates Rubber Co., Denver 17, Colo.; L. W. BOULTON, Safety & Plant Protection Supvr., Polymer Corp., Sarina, Ont., Canada.

Publicity, Trade Association, and Liaison Committee—R. G. FORBIT (Chair.), Mgr., Ind. Relations, General Tire & Rubber Co., Pennsylvania Div., Jeannette, Pa.; R. S. WALKER, Editor, Rubber World, New York 17, N. Y.

Rules and Regulations Committee—*T. J. CAIN, JR. (Chair.), Dir. of Safety, The B. F. Goodrich Co., Akron 18, Ohio

Statistics Committee—T. E. LEAH, (Chair.) Safety Dir., United States Rubber Co., Detroit 32, Mich.

Reclaim Manufacturing Committee—R. P. AYER (Chair.), Safety Supv., Naugatuck Chemical, Div. of United States Rubber Co., Naugatuck, Conn.; *R. M. BOYLES, Dir. of Ind. Relations, Midwest Rubber Reclaiming Co., East St. Louis, Ill.; *R. W. FIERRA, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron 16, Ohio

Rubber Laboratories Committee—K. B. CORBETT, (Chair.) Supvr. of Safety, Armstrong Cork Co., Lancaster, Pa.; R. P. AYER, Safety Supvr., Naugatuck Chemical, Div. of United States Rubber Co., Naugatuck, Conn.; E. J. FRANCOIS, Safety Supvr., United States Rubber Co., Research Center, Wayne, N. J.

Fire Safety Committee—J. H. HAGER, (Chair.) Safety Dir., Ohio Rubber Co., Willoughby, Ohio; M. V. BARRA, Fire and Safety Engr., B. F. Goodrich Footwear Co., Watertown 72, Mass.; ROY JOHNSON, Safety Engr., Armstrong Rubber Co., West Haven, Conn.

Off-the-Job Safety Committee—*W M. GRAFF, (Chair.) Mgr. of Safety, Health & Fire Protection, United States Rubber Co., New York 20, N. Y.; *M. R. BATCHE, Mgr. of Safety, Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., Akron 17, Ohio; A. C. HOWARD, Safety Dir., Kelly-Springfield Tire Co., Cumberland, Md.

Synthetic Manufacturing Committee—J. R. WETHERHOLT, (Chair.) Safety Supvr., United States Rubber Co., Geismar, La.; T. P. GARLAND, Safety Engr., Firestone Synthetic Rubber & Latex Co., Lake Charles La.; J. B. CHENOWETH, Safety Engr., Goodrich-Gulf Chemicals, Inc., Institute, W. Va.

Advisory Committee—*C. E. BECK, St. Clair Rubber Co., Marysville, Mich.; *T. H. BOYD, Safety Engr., Manhattan Rubber Div. of Raybestos Manhattan, Inc., Passaic, N. J.; *R. A. BULLOCK, Personnel Mgr., Corduroy Rubber Co., Grand Rapids, Mich. 49501; R. H. WILSON, M. D., Medical Dir., The B. F. Goodrich Co., Akron 18, Ohio; *S. A. WRIGHT, Supvr., Safety & Hspkg., Inland Mfg. Div., General Motors Corp., Dayton 1, Ohio

Staff Representative—R. G. BELKNAP, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago Ill. 60611

*Past General Chairman

1963

Volume 12

National Safety Congress
Transactions

INDUSTRIAL SAFETY—ASSOCIATIONS

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Evaluation of a Safety

A SAFETY CONTROL PI
IN A CHEMICAL PI

By J. L. RICHMOND
General Supt., Miscellaneous Manufac
E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., D

The elevation of a safety program can employ many approaches. It may be based on injury statistics, special inspections, or even individual contacts. These approaches are all valuable in maintaining a feel for the safety effectiveness and morale of a group. They have certain weaknesses in that they lack continuity and, when based on injury statistics, are after the fact and do not serve to anticipate or predict unfavorable trends in safety performance.

The approach which I wish to discuss this morning, while using some of these techniques, is based primarily upon injury causes and includes the feature of control in addition to evaluation. We believe that this method of evaluation can predict trends in safety performance and thus, by anticipating injuries, assist in preventing them. We have called the program the Safety Control Program, or more simply, S.C.P.

The S.C.P. program was developed several years ago by one department of the Chambers works as an attempt to establish a quantitative evaluation of safety performance and safety effectiveness. This program is an adaptation of typical quality control technique used in production and makes liberal use of statistical quality control methods. The program was established on the major premise that every unsafe act or condition represents a potential injury. We then went on to make these assumptions:

1. Safety is one product of the production effort.
2. Each potential injury is a defect in the safety product.
3. The number of safety defects found in a sample of the work area is a measure of the effectiveness of the safety effort.

Based upon these assumptions, a statistically based method of sampling, inspecting, and analyzing was established.

1. The pl
approximate
that could b
2. The fi
tablished as
of three sec
3. The in
one senior
of lower su
dom.
4. The da
the inspecti
random.
5. In addi
his assistant
port the pro
tacts only.
6. A mee
spection per
served, the
observations
average num
ported.
7. The st
number on t

After a n
sible to est
limits based
course, perfe

What is a
can only b
correlation
ance.

If the pla
and if the
and the rul
meaningful
control char
management
changes in t
fore serious

' Program

ROGRAM
.ANT

turing Dept.,
eep Water, N.J.

ant was divided into sections of
ly equal activity and of a size
e inspected in 15 minutes.

requency of inspection was es-
once per week for some group
tions to be selected at random.

spection team was made up of
supervisor and three members
pervision to be selected at ran-

y of the week and the time for
on was also to be selected at

ition, the area superintendent or
accompanied the group, to sup-
gram but to make personal con-

ting of the group after the in-
mitted a review of items ob-
resolution of any questionable
, and the development of the
nber of observations to be re-

atistical clerk then entered this
he safety control chart.

number of inspections, it is pos-
ablish upper and lower control
l on previous experience. Of
ection would be "no observation."
permissible number of deviations
e established by experience or
with the units' safety perform-

nt has been properly sub-divided
inspections are well carried out
es faithfully adhered to, then a
control chart is developed. This
t can be watched by the area
and it will signal significant
he safety attitude or morale be-
safety problems are presented.

The
now fo
or "wh
from t
actions

1. Th
weekly
the sec

2. C
area tr
over-all

3. In
morale
ber of

a. Sa
men.

b. W
other.

c. Me
supervi
organiz

d. Sp
munica

e. Sp
letins.

The
you. Th
is to si

Then
the S.C
This t
reviews
its deci
tions –
may n
makes
importa
the ins
area dr
can be
observa

It is
prograr
of the
ness of
by peri
lected
be care
check
team.
the ob
action i

The most significant part of the program now follows, and that is the second phase or "what is done in response to the signals from the control chart." There are many actions to be taken:

1. The specific conditions noted in any weekly inspection are promptly reported to the section head and immediately corrected.

2. Conditions which are indicative of the area trend should receive full publicity and over-all area correction.

3. Indications of lessening of good safety morale should be faced promptly and a number of actions are possible, such as:

a. Safety pledges to be signed by workmen.

b. Written publicity of one type or another.

c. Meetings with department heads or group supervisors to develop action through line organization.

d. Special training courses in safety communication.

e. Special safety programs and safety bulletins.

The solutions used are familiar to all of you. The chief function of the SCP program is to signal the need for the special action.

There is one ground rule with respect to the S.C.P. inspection team that is important. This team, after making its inspections, reviews its results, and at that time makes its decisions concerning questionable observations — in other words, those that may or may not be a safety statistic. The team makes its decision then and there. It is also important that a bias is not introduced into the inspection team as a result of a local area drive or some particular condition. This can be checked by reviewing the specific observations reported by the inspection team.

It is equally important, as in any control program, to run a check on the effectiveness of the method — in this case, the effectiveness of the team as observers. This is done by periodically sending out a specially selected group of persons who are known to be careful and thorough observers to run a check on the regularly selected inspection team. At times, this uncovers a defect in the observations technique and corrective action is required.

There are a number of cautions to be considered in the use of such a safety control program:

1. It is not a substitute for the traditional safety or housekeeping inspection.

2. The rules must be faithfully followed in order that the results are statistically significant.

3. The S.C.P. program will not of itself improve safety morale or performance or eliminate injury unless the results are studied and promptly acted upon.

4. It does not take the place of many of the well known safety techniques — it is merely an analytical tool which can shed some light on the effectiveness of the traditional programs or any other program.

The strength in the S.C.P. approach is due to several factors:

1. It is based on "in the field inspections."

2. It provides numbers and graphs which make for good publicity, are easy to follow, and generate interest in the wage-roll people.

3. In the course of the S.C.P. inspection, a certain number of safety contacts are possible.

4. Since it must be done on schedule, a slackening of interest or activity is quickly apparent.

5. It is an excellent administrative tool which gives the plant manager a simple summary of the performance of his unit.

In setting up such a control program the following points may serve as a guide:

1. Initially, work out thoroughly your mechanics as to just how the program is to function.

2. Include a statistician or quality control person on your task force.

3. Adequately explain the basic fundamentals of quality control, random sampling, and simple control charts to all those who will take part.

4. In advance and as a part of your regular safety work, describe this program to all personnel in the department. Stress the fact that the inspections are designed to yield only a numerical rating of the safety health of a department.

5. Allow at least an 8-13 week period for "break in" before establishing control

limits. As in any new quality control program employing "c" charts, drastic changes will occur — probably during this initial phase.

6. Establish control limits and modify the limits only on a statistical basis.

7. Publicize widely the results of each inspection.

8. Take immediate action when the program begins to get out of control or an undesirable trend becomes apparent.

9. Retain as a management tool only for a lengthy period.

10. Avoid politics and bias on the inspection. Do no
random selec

11. Later
worthwhile.
may be. E
terested wag
clude them i
pation.

I believe t
the S.C.P. i
participants,
obtained whi
continuity. I
to determine
effort but al

SAFETY PROGRAMMING IS MY

By F. A. DUDDERAR
General Supt., U.S. Steel Corp., G

My task is to report a method of evaluating our safety program so that I can assure myself, as general superintendent of a steel plant, as well as demonstrate to my superiors, that we have an effective safety program.

First, I would like to give the background. In United States Steel, safety is a line responsibility. Using the safety department as technical advisor, I must accept, implement and audit the safety program to make sure it is effective. United States Steel has had for many years a unified approach to the safety program, and as such, all steel plants in the corporation are operating under the same general plan. The particular plant that I represent has, as a matter of record, operated more man-hours without a disabling injury than any other plant in the steel industry and we proudly call ourselves, at Gary steel works, the "safety champions of the world of steel." This record is in excess of 17 million man-hours. While we made this record in 1960 and have not yet exceeded it, we have in slightly less than three years exceeded eight million man-hours at least five times.

When I talk about evaluating a safety program, what do I mean? Basically this is an audit of our safety activities and performances. An au
tion in gene
examining t
to prove tha
been met.

If you w
presupposes
or requirem
published an
your activiti
your various

To establi
ments, we n
how do we
safety progr
a story I r
working on
asked by a
expect to g
piece of r
"that's easy,
rock and I
an elephant,
pieces I do
have an elep

In a way,
just that —
pieces "kno
safety progr

it permit interference with the
tions, etc., once established.

add any innovations you deem
Try out or discard as your need
ventually, as qualified and in-
eroll personnel are available, in-
n the program to widen partici-

hat if care is taken in organizing
program and in training all the
a valuable evaluation method is
ich has the additional feature of
t enables the manager not only
where the plant is in its safety
so in what direction it is moving.

RESPONSIBILITY

ary, Ind.

dit, by definition, is an examina-
ral: producing the records and
he substantiating data in general
t certain standards or goals have

ould perform an audit, then it
that certain limits, guideposts,
ents have been prepared and
d the audit will then prove that
es meet, exceed, or fall short of
measuring units.

sh these standards, or require-
ust have a safety program, but
know if we have an *effective*
am? Let me illustrate this with
ead the other day: a sculptor
a statue of an elephant was
curious young lad, "how do you
et an elephant out of that big
ock?" The sculptor answered,
son, every time I look at this
ee a piece that doesn't look like
I knock it off. When all the
n't want are knocked off, I'll
hant."

an effective safety program is
a work plan that has the extra
cked off" until it becomes a
am.

To be
that is ir
review
have be
In 19
gram w
shot-gun
direct a
juries w
develope
safety."
This
experien
We rec
each de
specific
the inju
year's e
tabulatio
were sp
for % t
occupati
specific
knowled
a study
the met
training
awarene
By th
1. Go
sheets;
2. Sp
were th
3. De
start to
4. To
job, on
program
trained
determi
and it
of a "st
This
ever, a
was "af
had to
to spot
By 19
that we
tential i
take act
ditional
brought
gram th

1963

Volume 14

National Safety Congress Transactions

MARINE SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

OFFICERS OF THE
MARINE SECTION
NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—D. L. Buchanan, Asst. Mgr., Operations, Pittsburgh Fleet, U. S. Steel Corp., Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Executive General Chairman—G. H. E. Buxton, Dir., Safety Bureau, New York Shipping Association, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10004

Secretary—C. Bradford Mitchell, Dir., Bureau of Information, American Merchant Marine Institute, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10004

Advisory Committee (Past General Chairmen)—Capt. R. E. Mackey, Asst. Mgr. Operations, Marine Dept., Texaco Inc., New York, N. Y. 10017; R. W. Berry, Vice Pres., United Fruit Co., Boston, Mass. 02116; W. Lyle Bull, *Exec.* Vice Pres., American Export and Isbrandtsen Lines, Washington, D. C. 20005; R. E. O'Brien, Moore-McCormack Lines, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10004; L. H. Quackenbush, Vice Pres., States Marine Lines, New York, N. Y. 10004; Capt. Jones F. Devlin, Vice Pres., United States Lines Co., New York, N. Y. 10004

Vice General Chairman—Robert P. Alden, Safety & Wage Adm., Castle & Cooke, Inc., Honolulu 2, Hawaii; J. Andreae, Gen. Mgr., Marine Div., Humble Oil & Refining Co., Inc., Houston, Tex. 77001; Sydney Blackledge, Vice Pres., American Export and Isbrandtsen Lines, New York, N. Y. 10004; C. L. Boyle, Vice Pres., Transportation, Sun Oil Co., Philadelphia, Pa. 19103; Capt. T. C. Conwell, Vice Pres., Ship Operations, American President Lines, San Francisco, Calif. 94108; C. A. Culver, Marine Safety Engr., The Atlantic Refining Co., Philadelphia, Pa. 19101; Wainwright Dawson, Safety Engr., Bethlehem Steel Co., Bethlehem, Pa. 18016; Wm. Eckert, Safety Dept., Interlake S. S. Co., Cleveland, Ohio 44114; Lawrence C. Foss, Pres., California Shipping Co., San Francisco, Calif. 94120; Robert L. Gray, Mgr., River Operations, Ashland Oil & Refining Co., Ashland, Ky. 41101; John L. Horton, Asst. Mgr., Marine Dept., Cleveland Cliffs Iron Co., Cleveland, Ohio 44114; W. M. Kluss, Mgr., United States Operations, Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc., New York, N. Y. 10017; Capt. R. N. Le Page, Safety Dir., Farrell Lines, New York, N. Y. 10004; John W. Manning, Supt. of Operations, Steamship Div., The Hanna Mining Co., Cleveland, Ohio 44114; Wm. C. McNeal, Oil Transport Co., New Orleans, La. 70111; F. A. Mechling, Vice Pres., A. L. Mechling Barge Lines, Joliet, Ill. 60431; Robert Rader, Vice Pres., Lykes Bros. S. S. Co., New Orleans, La. 70150; R. M. Richardson, Vice President, Operations, Matson Navigation Co., San Francisco, Calif. 94105; Capt. J. B. Rucker, Mgr., Accident Prev. Div., Lykes Bros. S S. Co., P. O. Box 50998, New Orleans, La. 70150; R. J. Tarr, Exec. Vice Pres., Luckenbach S. S. Co., Inc., New York, N. Y. 10005; O. P. Villarrubia, Claim Agent & Safety Mgr.,

T. Smith & Son, New Orleans, La. 70130; ANDREW D. WARWICK, Pres., T. Hogan & Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y.

Nominating Committee Chairman—CAPT. R. E. MACKEY

Vice Chairmen—R. W. Berry; W. Lyle Bull; Capt. Jones F. Devlin

Secretary—BRUNO J. AUGENTI

Vice General Chairman (Safety Of Life At Sea)—ADM. E. J. ROLAND, U. S. C. G., Commandant, U. S. Coast Guard, Washington, D. C. 20025

Assistant to Vice General Chairman—CAPT. A. H. MCCOMB, JR., U. S. C. G., Chief, International Maritime Safety Coordinating Staff, U. S. Coast Guard, Washington, D. C. 20025

Administrative Consultant—HAROLD M. WICK, Port Washington, Long Island, N. Y. 11050

Editor, Marine Newsletter—W. D. LINDSAY, Dir., of Safety, United States Lines Co., New York, N. Y. 10011

Assistant Editor—JOHN R. BLACKEBY, Asst. Vice President, American Bureau of Shipping, New York, N. Y. 10004

Chairman, Program Committee—JOHN S. SMITH, JR., Chief Engr., Todd Shipyards Corp., New York, N. Y. 10004

Discussion Groups: Chairman, Liaison Committee—CAPT. R. H. SMITH, Mgr., Safety Dept., United States P. & I. Agency, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10038

Atlantic Area: Cargo—CAPT. M. J. SULLIVAN (Chairman), Consultant, Marine Acc. Prevention, Marsh & McLennan, New York, N. Y. 10005; RICHARD A. SCHOOLEY (Vice Chairman), Asst. Dir. Safety & Training, New York, N. Y. 10004

Tanker—RICHARD J. QUEGAN (Chairman), Employee Relations Assistant (Safety), Marine Dept., Texaco Inc., New York, N. Y. 10017; W. L. AVRETT (Vice Chairman, Chem. Engr., Socony-Mobil Oil Co., New York, N. Y. 10017

Pacific Area—L. O. COFFMAN (Chairman), Safety Engr., Matson Navigation Co., San Francisco, Calif. 94105

Gulf Area—EARLE SMITH (Chairman), Safety Dir., Waterman S. S. Corp., Mobile, Ala. 36601; CAPT. PAUL POLLATT (Vice Chairman); Safety Dir., Delta Steamship Lines, Inc., New Orleans, La. 70112

Great Lakes Area—Robt. E. Kratzert (Chairman), Mgr., Vessel Personnel & Services, Columbia Transp. Div., Oglebay Norton Co., Cleveland, Ohio 44115

Inland Waterways Area—Dave Jarvis (Chairman), Safety Dir., Ohio River Co., Cincinnati, Ohio 45201; E. Thomas Drennan (Vice Chairman), Mgr., Marine Dept., Material Service Div., General Dynamics Corp., Lockport, Ill. 60441

Government Discussion Group—Capt. C. H. Broach (Chairman), U. S. C. G. Chief, Merchant Marine Safety Div., Third U. S. Coast Guard District, New York, N. Y. 10004; Capt. Hewlett R. Bishop (Vice Chairman), Atlantic Coast Dir., Maritime Administration, New York, N. Y. 10004

Chairman Membership Committee—Capt. R. P. W. Walker, Supt. (Operations) Marine Dept., Texaco Inc., Port Arthur, Texas

Chairman, Statistics and Contest Committee—R. Coe, Safety Dir., American Export and Isbrandtsen Lines, New York, N. Y. 10004

Chairman, Ship Safety Achievement Awards Committee—Capt. Jones F. Devlin, Vice Pres., United States Lines Co., New York, N. Y. 10004

Chairman, Awards Committee (Best Papers)—Bruno J. Augenti, Pres., Marine Index Bureau, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10006

Chairman, Poster Committee—Robert Snow, Marine Dept., Isthmian Lines, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10004

Chairman, Visual Aids (Motion Pictures, Slides, Etc.)—J. R. Hughes, Supvr. of Recruiting & Training, Texaco, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10017

Chairman, Public Relations Committee—Frank Braynard, Dir., Public Relations, Moran Towing & Transp. Co., New York, N. Y. 10004

Maritime Associations Committee—Ralph E. Casey, Pres., American Merchant Marine Institute, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10004; Ralph B. Dewey, Pres., Pacific American Steamship Assn., San Francisco, Calif. 94111; Braxton B. Carr, Pres., The American Waterways Operators, Inc., Washington, D. C. 20006; E. M. Hood, Pres., Shipbuilders Council of America, Washington, D. C. 20006; Carl E. McDowell, Exec. Vice Pres., Am. Inst. of Marine Underwriters, New York, N. Y. 10038; J. Robert Snyder, Dir., Accident Prevention Bureau, Pacific Maritime Assn., San Francisco, Calif. 94111

Government Committees: Chairman, Committee For Safety—National Defense Transport—Vice Adm. Roy A. Gano, U. S. N., Commander, Military Sea Transp. Service, Dept. of

the Navy, Washington, D. C. 20025; JOHN R. WOLFE (Vice Chairman), Safety Dir., Military Sea Transporataion Service, Dept. of the Navy, Washington, D. C. 20025

Chairman, Safety Policies For Regulations, Ship Inspections—REAR ADM. ASCAR C. ROEHKE, U. S. C. G., Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Safety, U. S. Coast Guard, Washington, D. C. 20025; REAR ADM. HALERT C. SHEPHEARD, U. S. C. G. (Ret.), Westmoreland Hill, Washington, D. C. 20016

Chairman, National Defense Transportation—Readiness—CAPT. M. I. GOODMAN, Maritime Admin., Washington, D. C. 20025

Staff Representative—L. W. DUTTON, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60611

1963

Volume 15

National Safety Congress
Transactions

METALS INDUSTRIES

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

UNDERSTANDING THE VISUAL P
EMPLOYEES OVER FO

By JACK C. COPELAND
Visual Consultant, Bausch & Lomb, Inc.,

The statement "your eyes are failing" sounds catastrophic, but it isn't necessarily so. All eyes begin to lose some of their near focusing ability as early as age eight. Focusing your eyes for near objects is called accommodation. This faculty decreases progressively until an advanced age.

We first notice our loss of accommodation when small print 9 in. to 10 in. from the eyes is difficult to read, causing us to move it further away. This occurs for most people between the ages of 40 to 46. A few are spared this inconvenience until 49.

When we begin to need stronger glasses for reading after 40, we call the condition presbyopia. Most near-sighted people in their forties can see close by removing their glasses. Far-sighted people in this age group cannot and are more handicapped. Also, the near sighted usually show a slower onset of presbyopia than do the far sighted.

Visual loss at the near point in people past forty demands immediate attention. The cause can be two fold: (a) pathological or (b) physiological. The pathological causes represent diseases such as cataract, glaucoma, infection and the like and can lead to blindness. The physiological causes are usually presbyopia, far-sightedness, and astigmatism. These never lead to blindness. They are normal conditions which most people acquire and are correctable with glasses.

No one living to middle age escapes presbyopia. Some old timers frequently brag about not needing glasses for reading. The truth is they are usually near sighted and cannot see clearly at a distance without glasses. A few oldsters notice a phase called "second sight" which permits them to read without spectacles. This condition usually precedes the development of cataract and is a pathologic (disease) process.

When the eye is called upon to read or see small objects at near distances, its internal crystalline lens must accommodate. A mechanism within the eye changes the curves of the lens so they are steeper and more convex. Before
crystalline lens
to focus closer

The substanc
like crystal-clea
encapsulated in
sack which is sl
parent capsule i
ence by a ring-
cle contracts t
thicker and mo
eye for near. V
lens flattens, be
vex, thus permi

The flexibility
line lens change
the lens gradual
It also discolor
transparency. T
for presbyopia

As stated pre
the lens begins
so slow that we
cline until the e
line lens can no
jects closer than
after the near
necessitating th
for reading. Bec
dation is progr
the power of t
sary.

The best meth
was invented b
form of bifocal
lens making ha
available a grea
tacle lenses. Oc
incorporate two,
each useful for
Optical science l
much on Frank
single lens capa
focus.

In the early y
menters discove
stitute for a l

ROBLEMS OF RTY

Chicago

one is forty years old the is elastic and flexible enough than 10 inches.

e of the crystalline lens is r gelatine. This material is a small, round transparent aped like a lens. This trans- s held around its circumfer- like muscle. When the mus- he gelatinous lens becomes e convex, thus focusing our When the muscle relaxes the coming thinner and less con- tting us to see far.

and elasticity of the crystal- s with age. As we grow older ly loses some of its elasticity. s slightly losing some of its his aging process accounts r loss of accommodation.

viously, the aging process of at eight but the process is never sense its gradual de- arly forties when the crystal- longer accommodate for ob- 10 inches. Each year there- point recedes, progressively wearing of special lenses ause the decime in accommo- essive, periodic increases in e reading lenses are neces-

od for correcting presbyopia Benjamin Franklin in the s. Since his time the art of s improved greatly, making t variety of multifocal spec- cupational lenses frequently three, and even four fields, a different working distance. as not been able to improve lin's invention. There is no ble of providing a universal

ears of photography experi- ed that a pin-hole is a sub- ens. A pin-hole camera is

unique in tl
It is alway
and the pin

If a pin-
you would
focals for
pin-holes lc
fore, they
lenses. The
of a pin-h
there is no
a pin-hole.
and trifoc
recting visi

Here is
book and
pin-hole te

So far,
ticity of t
the amou
process is
viduals an
some peop
sub-normal
basic categ

1. Insuf
given
2. Ill-su
there
qualit
3. Inert
manif
actin
4. Ineq
the t
5. Exce
to so

Frequen
converge t
if their a
eye exami
dation and
ees should
advice if
in near vi

Q. Are p
safety pr
their opti
glass?

A. Yes,
They are
changed w

unique in that it does not need to be focused. It is always in focus for different distances and the pin-hole acts as a "universal" lens.

If a pin-hole were placed before your eyes you would literally have a substitute for bifocals for both far and near. Unfortunately pin-holes lose 99 per cent of the light; therefore, they make poor substitutes for regular lenses. The reason for describing the action of a pin-hole was to emphasize this fact: there is no "universal" lens which works like a pin-hole. Multifocal lenses such as bifocals and trifocals are the only means for correcting vision for far and near.

Here is a tip: If you wish to read a phone book and are without your glasses, use a pin-hole temporarily.

So far, we have discussed the loss of elasticity of the crystalline lens which reduces the amount of our accommodation. This process is not precisely the same for all individuals and doctors have observed that even some people younger than forty suffer from sub-normal accommodation. There are five basic categories of this condition.

1. Insufficiency of accommodation for a given age.
2. Ill-sustained accommodation in which there is sufficient quantity but poor quality of the function.
3. Inertia of the accommodation which manifests itself as a sluggish or slow acting ability to focus near objects.
4. Inequality of accommodation between the two eyes.
5. Excessive accommodation possibly due to some systemic or local disorder.

Frequently, the muscles which rotate and converge the eyes cause near point difficulties if their action is disturbed. Only a careful eye examination can differentiate accommodation and convergence difficulties. Employees should be encouraged to seek professional advice if any visual disturbances are noticed in near viewing.

Questions and Answers

Q. Are plastic lens being used in regular safety prescription glasses, and if so, are their optical qualities as good as those of glass?

A. Yes, they are being used extensively. They are optically perfect and can be interchanged with glass. Plastics are lighter than glass; however, they are from 30 to 900 times softer than glass, and, therefore, they scratch very easily. Hot particles coming from a grinding wheel which adhere to glass, do not stick to a plastic lens. Plastic lenses are advantageous where weight is a factor, such as cataract bifocals.

Q. Does close work ruin eyes?

A. The answer is no. However, real close work, such as eight inches from the eyes, should require special optical aids such as sphero-prismatic spectacles.

Q. What effect would a plastic face shield have on the optical qualities of my prescription glasses? Would the face shield make my prescription glasses worse from an optical sense?

A. No, the amount of optical change the face shield would make would be negligible.

Q. Will plano safety glasses ruin a person's eyes?

A. No, the only change the plano lens produces is to magnify objects by a small fraction of one per cent. If plano safety glasses give a person a headache or other unpleasant symptoms, he probably needs a professional eye examination.

Q. Can poor depth perception be corrected with lenses?

A. Not always, but faulty depth perception can be improved by special training techniques.

Q. How can I tell what my proper reading distance is?

A. Close your eyes and let your arms "feel out" the comfortable working distance for near. This is about as accurate a method for determining your correct reading distance as any other. (This was tried by the audience with amazing results substantiating the above advice).

Q. What causes astigmatism?

A. Astigmatism is caused by malformation of the crystalline lens or the cornea. This distorts and blurs objects and can be corrected with cylindrical or compound spectacle lenses.

Q. Why do we see some colors sharper than others?

A. The eye has a defect called chromatic aberration. You have probably noticed different colors around images produced by a magnifying lens. The eye has this too. We

are nearsighted for blue and farsighted for red. The theatrical world takes advantage of this and uses blue light to produce soft-focus effects on the stage.

Q. Why isn't the eye able to detect optical illusions?

A. It is not the eye but the brain that is involved here. The deception occurs in what the brain does with the image it receives.

Q. What happens to accommodation when you look through a microscope?

A. Accommodation should be relaxed. It is difficult to accommodate through a microscope or telescope.

Q. We have a worker who lost an eye several years ago and now has trouble on jobs where he has to judge distance or depth or whether something is level. Is that because of the loss of the eye?

A. One-eyed people should not be allowed to do certain jobs such as driving trucks, crane operation, or piloting. Their depth perception and judgment can be seriously affected.

Q. Can the wrong prescription harm the eys?

A. It can cause discomfort, but not permanent damage. The person wearing the wrong prescription may have many uncomfortable symptoms, but it will not cause permanent damage.

[Two interesting films were shown which vividly portrayed the symptoms and treatments of the two most common eye diseases —cataracts and glaucoma.]

Definitions:
or its capsule

Symptoms:
the lens result
imperfect visi
sideways—perl
ual reduction
cloudiness of

Causes: (1)
diabetes or oth
in workers wh
ant heat; (4)
concussion and
cases the real
mostly in pers

Treatment:
clouded lens. (
stitute for the

Definition: 1
causing hardn
blindness if no

Symptoms:
halo rings arou

Causes: Inal
fluid drain out

Treatment:
age should hav
eye specialist.
be arrested w
has to continu
cases, operativ
performed. Th
to detection c
absolute import

BEGIN AT THE BEGINNING—STA

By A. D. HATTON
Supvr. of Safety, Fisher Body Div., General Motors C

It has long been established that whenever new employees begin a new job, an effort to get them started right should be made. In a plant such as ours, this formerly consisted of a series of talks by representatives of the employment department, safety department, and also by the superintendent, general foreman, and departmental foremen.

Judging from
of a new empl
probably retain
the information
To assist in ge
to help him rem
orientation prog
every new man

Cataracts

Opacity of the lens of the eye
or both.

(1) Cloudiness or haziness of
ing in diminished vision; (2)
on; (3) lacks ability to view
pheral vision; and (4) grad-
of sight caused by increasing
the lens.

Congenital or hereditary; (2)
er disease; (3) have occurred
o are exposed to intense radi-
have been caused by traumatic
l electric shock; (5) in most
cause is not known. Occurs
ons over 50 to 60 years of age.

Operation for removal of
Glasses are then used to sub-
removed lens.

Glaucoma

Excessive intraocular pressure
ss of the eye and ultimately
t treated.

(1) Intraocular pain; (2)
nd lights; (3) headaches.
bility of the inner eye to let
of eye as quickly as it enters.

All persons over 40 years of
e a yearly examination by an
Once detected, glaucoma can
ith medical treatment which
e for life. In very extreme
e procedures may have to be
e amount of sight lost prior
annot be restored, thus the
tance of early detection.

RT 'EM RIGHT

Corp., Mansfield, Ohio

the reactions and questions
oyee, we recognized that he
d only a small percentage of
given him on his first day.
tting our points across and
ember, we developed a safety
gram that has been given to
starting work in our plant

since Aug
partment,
sizes of g

Since th
ning of a
we felt th
visors to
words, wh
should be
supervisio
presentati

Basicall
points. Fi
the physi
"how to
all gener
rules and

Our pu
typical pr
given to
with this

"You
grown to
of autom
lieve tha
high deg
from wo
That resp
tinued gr
which ha
our empl

"In thi
working
ties for
determin
safest pa

"In th
will tell
give you
tions.

"It is
beginning
tionship
Mansfiel

This is
complete
acres. Pe
way prot
haps you
know thi
system o

since August of 1960. We, in the safety department, have used this program for all sizes of groups, from one man to 30 men.

Since these instructions are only the beginning of a new employee's safety education, we felt that it was important for our supervisors to know what we covered, or, in other words, where we left off and and where they should begin. For this reason, all of our supervision have seen and heard this same presentation.

Basically, we have tried to cover two main points. First, to acquaint the new man with the physical layout of the plant or just plain "how to get around." And second to cover all general and some of the specific safety rules and practices that he must follow.

Our purpose here today is to give you a typical presentation just as if it were being given to a group of new employees. It starts with this message from our plant manager.

Welcome to Fisher Body

"You are joining the company that has grown to become the largest manufacturer of automobile bodies in the world. We believe that you, too, will soon develop the high degree of pride that we know comes from working to build a 'Body by Fisher.' That respected trademark symbolizes the continued growth and success of our company which has meant long-term job security for our employees.

"In this modern plant you will find *good working conditions, good pay,* and *opportunities* for advancement. You will also find a determination to make your hours here the *safest* part of your day.

"In the following slide presentation, we will tell you something about our plant and give you some very important safety instructions.

"It is my sincere wish that today is the beginning of a mutually satisfactory relationship between *you* and Fisher Body—Mansfield."

Signed—E. H. Goebel
Plant Manager

This is our new and modern plant. It was completed in 1956. This building covers 32½ acres. People who drive by out on the highway probably wonder what we do here. Perhaps you have too. I'm sure you already know this plant is part of the widespread system of plants which builds General Motors cars. Actually, we make no complete bodies here. It may interest you to know, however, that we *do* fabricate some part for every line of General Motors cars.

First of all, we need steel to make the parts. It is delivered by rail and truck to the steel stores area shown here. It contains thousands of tons of steel in both coils and flat stock. In the blank and shear section of this area, the majority of the coil stock is cut into blanks of the proper size. These blanks, as well as the purchased flat stock you see in the foreground, are then delivered to the press department where they are formed by dies into parts.

Our sheet metal stamping press department is made up essentially of two types of presses. The large presses are what we call major stamping presses. The relatively smaller presses are used to form small sheet metal parts. Both the large and small presses employ dies to form the parts.

From the press room parts then flow into metal assembly. This is a welding press where parts are welded into sub-assemblies. Welding presses bring multiple welding tips together to spot weld the parts. It should also be pointed out that the tool and die department builds and maintains dies and welding fixtures for both the press department and the metal assembly department.


Finished sub-assemblies from metal assembly then pass through storage areas into the shipping department.

In the shipping department we have room for 28 box cars into which parts are loaded for shipment to body assembly plants where they combine with other parts to be made into complete bodies.

What we have shown you up to this point is a brief thumbnail sketch of our major departments and their functions. Safety is equally important in all of these departments.

Eye protection is a very important part of our safety program. So important, in fact, that in this plant we insist on 100 per cent eye protection anywhere on the production floor. This means that everyone must wear eye protection at all times in the working areas of the plant.

Each of you has already been given a pair of the conventional safety glasses. There are two other types of eye protection which must be worn in addition to the safety glasses on certain jobs, such as grinding. Here is posi-



tive proof of why eye protection is so important to the employees in our plant.

Every shattered lens you see here saved an eye. Though only 12 are shown, I have actually collected 34 of these since the start of production in 1956. Not one of the 34 men who wore these glasses questions the value of 100 per cent eye protection. But let's be specific. This pair of glasses was being worn by an electrician in our plant. Due to a faulty connection, this 440-volt Buss Bar Box literally blew up in his face. He was burned about the hands and face, but these safety glasses took the brunt of the explosion and his eyes were saved. The terrific heat which partially melted the frames and the molten copper you see splattered on the lens certainly would have cost him the sight of both eyes. This is the way he looks today. If you question eye protection, ask this man.

To serve as a constant reminder that safety glasses must be worn, you will find signs urging their use plainly visible as you enter the manufacturing areas.

Let me make this one point very clear. You either wear *eye protection* or you *don't work here.* It's a *condition* of employment.

This employee works in our press department where he handles sheet metal. Therefore, we require that he wear this type of safety equipment. His clothing is snug fitting; his arms are covered; his shirt is buttoned; his shirt tail is tucked in—no loose ends. You already understand why he's wearing safety glasses. We will talk about his safety shoes later. Notice that this man is wearing canvas sleevelets and leather hand pads. This is our basic type of arm protection. Here is a close-up of our canvas sleevelet. Note the thumb loop. This must be worn around the thumb to prevent the sleevelet from sliding up your arm and exposing the wrist area where tendons and arteries lie near the surface.

Here you see the front and back of our fully-enclosed leather hand pads. They are especially reinforced in the thumb area. We have found that these leather hand pads, along with the canvas sleevelets, give you very good protection from the sharp metal panels with which you will be working.

On other jobs, where finger dexterity is required, we use the canvas-type glove or the terry cloth glove. The type of hand protection you will need on your particular job has already b
furnished to y

Never forge
sharp panels ba
could mean a b

Now, let's di
program. "No
are not to plac
tween parts of

The panel
make it easier
hand grasping
to be between
look at this di
hand, still on t
clear. We hav
to illustrate ha

Don't get th
will be holding
in motion. As
as we will ma
run buttons.

On this job,
use a mechanic
ator's hand or
blank into the
it progressively
blanks have be
ize the operatio

This orange
the more fasci
for pulling pan
it reach in betw
panels from th
table or convey
dies" does not
this almost hum

On some job
nearly impossil
die without get
this reason, ma
lifter are provi
hands in the cle

Everyone ass
room is issued
best designed f
Do *not* reach in
cal failure were
over unexpecte
crushed.

Since the star
of these tongs l
metal between
replace *tongs*—

een determined and will be
ou by your foreman.

t this point. Don't handle
re-handed. If you do, one slip
ad cut.

iscuss our "no hands in dies"
hands in dies" means that you
e any part of your body be-
the dies that come together.

ıas been painted orange to
for you to see. This man's
the panel may appear to you
the dies. This is not so. Now
e in the closed position. The
he panel, is completely in the
shown you the man's hand
ad clearance only.

e impression that your hand
the panel when the press is
ı matter of fact, it cannot be,
ke clear when we talk about

and many others like it, we
al loader that keeps the oper-
ıt of the die. He loads the
loader, which in turn moves
into the die. Here again the
ın painted to help you visual-
n of the loader.

colored iron hand is one of
ıating devices in common use
els from dies. The fingers of
veen the dies and remove the
e press, dropping them on a
er. Obviously, "no hands in
refer to the metal fingers of
ıan device.

s, especially small parts, it is
ıle to place the part in the
ting the hands too close. For
gnesium tongs or the vacuum
ded to keep your fingers and
ar.

igned to work in the press
a pair of magnesium tongs
or the job. *Use* these tongs.
ıto the die. Then, if mechani-
to occur and the press rolled
lly, only the tongs would be

t of production, over 30 pairs
ıave been reduced to mangled
the dies. Remember, we can
but we can't replace *fingers*.

Here's
tection—
controls.
makes th
These ru
of your
that you
dies are
typical i
will find
equipped

Here i
stalled o
for each
where a
parts, or
enclosed

Since
trols, yo
properly.
out. Firs
place bo
ram of
as he is
you have

Then
trols and
lift the
then tha
either on

On so
or even
or welde
of run b
checked
ating th
on his c
pect to b
matically
lunch, o

Here
in this
Workin
work o
what de
name a
right. T
on equi
whose n
tag. If
the job,
each re
pleted h
be assig
a tag lil

Here's another safeguard for your protection—a pair of two-handed run button controls. This is the control that actually makes the press operate and the dies close. These run buttons are so designed that both of your hands must be on them, insuring that your hands are in the clear, while the dies are closing. What you see here is a typical installation on a large press. You will find that smaller presses are also equipped this way.

Here is a typical set of run buttons installed on a welder. Again, *two* buttons, one for each hand. These are used on any job where a man must be close to the moving parts, or if the danger area is not fully-enclosed with guards.

Since your safety depends on these controls, you must know if they are functioning properly. This requires that you check them out. First, you start the press motor. Then, place both hands on the runbuttons. As the ram of the press starts down, lift one hand as he is doing. If the press stops, you know you have control with that run button.

Then place both hands back on the controls and, after the ram has started to move, lift the other hand. If it stops, you know then that you can control the press with either one of your run buttons.

On some set-ups, there may be two, three, or even four men operating the same press or welder. Each man will have his own set of run buttons. Every set of buttons must be checked out in turn so that each man operating the equipment knows he can depend on his own run button controls. Don't expect to be reminded every time—do this automatically—at the start of your shift, after lunch, or any time you change jobs.

Here you see one of three safety tags used in this plant. This one, "Danger — Man Working," is used by repairmen when they work on equipment. The colored disc tells what department the man works for and his name and clock number are at the lower right. The only man who is to place this tag on equipment, or take it off, is that man whose name and clock number appear on the tag. If several repairmen are working on the job, each one hangs his own tag and each removes his own tag after he has completed his part of the repair. If you should be assigned to work on equipment and find a tag like this hanging on the control panel, leave it absolutely alone and notify your foreman. You will find these tags hanging over the main start-stop button so that anyone coming up to start the equipment could not fail to see them.


The enormous size of much of our equipment creates a hazard for the men who do repair work. A repairman could be out of sight overhead, around in back, or down below the floor level. Whenever he has to work in a hazardous position, or out of sight, he uses the lock-out device you see pictured here. First, he turns the main control panel to the "Off" position; the lock-out device is then inserted through the hole, and the padlock snapped shut, making it physically impossible for anyone to turn on the switch. He attaches his "Danger—Man Working" tag as an additional precaution.

Another tag you may see is the "Caution" tag. Here you see the front and back of it. This tag is used to protect the equipment itself, when, for some reason, the machine should not be operated. Many times it is used by a person on the first shift to tell a second shift employee what has to be done to complete the repair. Also, any production worker about to start up the equipment will be alerted that this machine should not be operated. If you're assigned to work on equipment and find a tag like this hanging on the control panel, remember, leave it alone and notify your foreman.

Here again you see the front and back of still another tag. This one, "Unsafe, Do Not Use," is placed on equipment by one of us in the safety department when we find a condition that we consider unsafe and we write what the unsafe condition is on the tag. *No one* is to remove this tag or use the equipment until this condition has been corrected. When the safety man is satisfied that this has been done, he removes the tag—no one else. If you find this warning on equipment you're supposed to use, remember again, leave it alone and notify your foreman.

In a few moments we will give each of you a copy of the Safety Tag Procedure. After you have read it, you will be asked to sign this statement:

"I have received and read the Safety Tag Procedure. I understand it and agree to abide by it in the interest of my own and my fellow-workers' safety."



Print your name carefully at the top; your clock number is the same number that appears on your badge; sign your regular signature and write in today's date.

A serious word of caution at this point—your signature on this statement means you have read, understand and agree to abide by the Safety Tag Procedure. We can and will hold you strictly accountable. If you have any questions, if there is any point still not clear after you have read the Safety Tag Procedure, ask whatever questions are necessary to help you understand. Then, and only then, sign the statement.

Normally, at this point with a group of new employees, the lights are turned on and copies of the procedure and this statement are passed around.

Sufficient time is allowed for them to read the Procedure, ask questions, and sign the statement. While this is going on, the magazine is changed in the slide projector. Then, after each has signed his statement and turned it in, we proceed with the second half of the orientation program.

Here you see our minimum requirements in terms of footwear. A sturdy, all leather oxford-type shoe. You are not permitted to wear loafers, moccasins, sneakers, or other casual footwear. They do not provide enough protection for your feet in a plant such as this. We strongly recommend safety shoes.

In this cutaway view of a safety shoe, you can see the strong steel cap that protects your toes. It also has extra protection around the heel and the arch. If they're fitted properly, you'll find that they are as comfortable as any shoe permitted for wear in the plant.

To help our employees get safety shoes, we have a safety shoe store in our plant. It's located beside our main employee's entrance. Here you can purchase quality safety shoes at a special employees' price. You will be interested to know that last year 1489 of our employees took advantage of our safety shoe program and bought safety shoes at our special employees' price. There is a wide variety of styles to choose from, and a qualified person will help you with the fitting. You pay for these shoes through payroll deduction, either one or two paydays. The store is open Wednesday only, during the afternoon and evening, to service all three shifts.

A while back this man gave us a really good example of the protection you get from

safety shoes. I
large die measu
He was using
this die to an
the die down,
toes under the

The crushing
ported by the
been for the s
masked off it
crushed.

Following th
played posters
boards. By act
14,400 pounds-
toe of the saf
that kept this
life. In case y
isn't going to l
—this man did
his toes either.
to buy shoes f
mend that you
will do a job th

From this pl
ing lot, you c
size and the
space. Parking
—first served"
create some co
the usual comm
especially impo

One of our
for a moment.
the second shif
windshield cau
jumped into h
"catty-cornered
looking for a p
it! The only
incident was th
a new G.M. ca

Seriously the
to save a few
think it was wo

From the pa
trance to the pl
unusual circum
trance you will
patrolman who
have your badg
plain sight, eve
is worn. Remem
orders not to
his badge or d

He's standing in front of a
ring roughly four by six feet.
floor-operated hoist to move
other location. When he set
he didn't realize he had his
die.

weight of the die was sup-
toe of his shoe. Had it not
teel cap, the portion you see
white would have been

is incident, we made and dis-
on all management bulletin
ual weight the die weighed
-over seven tons! The steel
ety shoe was the only thing
man from being crippled for
ou're thinking now that this
appen to you, just remember
n't intend to set that die on
So, the next time you have
or work, we strongly recom-
consider safety shoes. They
at no street-type shoe will do.

otograph of our paved park-
an get an impression of its
more-than-adequate parking
is available on a "first come
basis. Since this many cars
gestion at shift-change time,
mon sense driving rules are
rtant.

employees forgot these rules
He hurried out at the end of
t, ignored the moisture on his
sed by the nighttime dew,
is car, started it up, raced
" across the lot. An accident
lace to happen—and he found
good thing about this whole
at it created a customer for
.

ugh, this is what an attempt
seconds cost him. Do you
rth it?

rking lot, our employee en-
ant looks like this. Except for
stances, this is the only en-
use. At the door there is a
checks badges. You must
e with you and it must be in
n when a jacket or overcoat
nber, this patrolman is under
admit anyone without seeing

you forge
a tempor
duty. Als
an extra

Next, y
to the se
these in
the top o
across to
passagew
right. Lo
If you ca
laundry
areas. Th
noon and
arrange
suits.

Each o
partment
locked by
you see
the key.
are show
convenien

This is
provides
lights, an
company
at reason
good plac
rant you
pacity of
staggerin
takes to
Whether
cafeteria,
like you
period. T
tioned;
to relax

Here y
extinguis
the locat
especially
work are
by knowi
could me
ting out
In additi
must be
recharge

The fi
type you
each box

you forget your badge someday, you obtain a temporary badge from the patrolman on duty. Also, if you forget your safety glasses, an extra pair may be obtained here.

Next, you ride up a set of escalator steps to the second floor. We have three sets of these in different areas of the plant. From the top of the escalator a passageway leads across to the second floor. As you leave this passageway, the cafeteria is located to your right. Locker rooms to both right and left. If you care to use it, a clothing rental and laundry service is available near the locker areas. This crib is open Wednesday afternoon and Thursday mornings. Here you can arrange for coveralls or two-piece work suits.

Each of you will be assigned to a two-compartment locker similar to these. Both are locked by the same company-furnished locks you see here. You have already been given the key. Adjoining the locker room, there are showers and other facilities for your convenience.

This is the plant cafeteria. Fisher Body provides the space and pays for the heat, lights, and equipment, so that the catering company can provide you with quality food at reasonable prices. You will find this a good place to eat, comparable to any restaurant you would eat in downtown. The capacity of this room is about 800 people. By staggering the lunch periods, the time it takes to serve you has been greatly reduced. Whether you purchase your lunch at the cafeteria, or bring it from home, we would like you to come up here for your lunch period. The cafeteria is clean and air-conditioned; music is playing; it's a good place to relax and eat your lunch.

Here you see two types of all-purpose fire extinguishers. It is important that you know the locations of all emergency equipment, especially in and around your own particular work area. In case of fire, the time you save by knowing where extinguishers are located could mean the difference between a fire getting out of hand or keeping it under control. In addition, any use of fire extinguishers must be reported so that they may be recharged.

The fire alarm box is very similar to the type you see in the city. The columns above each box are banded in red and visible from a distance in all directions. We have an in-plant fire brigade that responds to all fire alarms. So far, prompt action by people in the immediate vicinity has resulted in the fire being out, or nearly so, when the brigade has arrived.


Stretchers, though used only infrequently, are still an important part of our emergency equipment. It is vitally important that we know stretcher box locations. There are 12 of these in the plant, with a green band on the column above each box to make it readily visible from all directions. Look carefully on top of this stretcher box. This is a battery-operated emergency light that comes on automatically if the power should fail. With these, you need never worry about this plant being thrown into total darkness.

Each stretcher box contains an ambulance-type stretchr. How to remove it from the box and set it up will be a matter for detailed instructions later. Here, on the inside of the door, are given the next two closest stretcher locations. This white plastic bag contains a resuscitube, a very effective method of artificial respiration. Although drowning is the most frequent cause of inability to breathe, electrical shock may also be a cause. A word of caution about our electrical equipment. If you're not an electrician, leave it alone. Do not attempt to make electrical repairs or adjustments. Notify your foreman if electrical repairs are needed.

If you should get any kind of injury at all, go promptly to the plant hospital and obtain medical attention. For anything other than a real emergency, you are to take with you a medical pass from your foreman.

When you enter the plant hospital and again when you leave, use the time stamp. The use of the time stamp will help you account for time spent away from the job.

This is a typical departmental office out in the plant. In a few minutes, when we conclude these instructions, you will be taken to your departmental office. On the way, you will be shown the location of your locker and the time clock you will use. In this office you will receive further information from either the superintendent, or a general foreman. Finally, you will be taken to meet your foreman. He will show you around his area and explain how the equipment is operated. When he assigns you to your own job, he will give you detailed instructions.



If at any time you have questions regarding your job, either when you are first instructed or later on, check with your foreman and find out the proper way before you go ahead. We have learned from past experience that new people are usually a little over-anxious to get going on the job and reluctant to ask questions. So, they sometimes go ahead on their own without fully understanding the job, unaware of the risks involved.

Remember—the best safety device is a careful worker. So *again*, if there's any doubt in your mind, *don't hesitate* to ask questions.

In our plant manager's message at the start of this presentation, you were told about our determination to make your hours here the safest part of your day. Since then you've heard so much serious talk about hazards that you may have begun to question our ability to deliver on this promise. We can—and we have.

This Award of Honor presented to General Motors Corporation by the National Safety Council for an outstanding safety performance is a source of pride to us and should be reassuring to you. We have received this annual award on seventeen different occasions. We know how this record has been built. By eliminating as many hazards as possible, by educating our employees to work safely, and by being completely intolerant of unsafe practices and methods. Make no mistake, no employee will be permitted to work long in this plant if he violates our safety practices and procedures.

The public sees this trademark as a symbol of quality. However, to us in the plant, it also means "a safe place to work." To keep it this way, we need your help. Whatever your job may be, learn how to do it the safe way, then do it that way every time. By following this practice, your hours in Fisher Body will always be the safest part of your day.

Now, I would like to point out some of the advantages we have found with this type of orientation program.

First, we fee
sion of our pl
strates to new
their safety.

Each slide n
that has been c
enough for disc
as a cue, maki
is covered rega
sentation. Als
occur when qu
impossible to c
are right there

If you're w
developing suc
worth consider
troduction of
Ectachrome filn
vices of a prof
in-plant shots,
no special ligh
factory indoor
in-plant picture
mm camera, mc
ness, did the jol
lighting.

Another real
and the ease o
slides can simp
whenever chan
require it. Sin
slide, including
only 17c, there
This low cost
and retakes for
photography.

And finally,
plant, this new
program is str
use a 35mm p
mote control co
ing the presenta
with a pointer
operate the pro
ject discussed

Judging fron
method, we fe
gained by our
big step in the
"Start 'Em Rig

l that it gives a good impres-
ant and immediately demon-
employees our concern for

epresents a different thought
arefully planned as important
ussion. The slide then serves
ng certain that each subject
rdless of who gives the pre-
, despite interruptions that
estions are asked, it's nearly
mit anything since the cues
on the screen.

ondering about the cost of
a program, these facts are
ing. Due to the recent in-
the new 35mm high speed
, we did not require the ser-
essional photographer for the
With this film we found that
ts were required for satis-
color pictures. To take the
s you have just seen, a 35
unted on a tripod for steadi-
with *only* the available plant

advantage is its flexibility
f making changes. Obsolete
ly be replaced with new ones
ges in processes or methods
e the cost of making a new
film and processing, totals
s no major project involved.
even permitted a fer errors
nd necessary by my amateur

in actual practice at our
employee safety induction
ictly a one-man show. We
rojector equipped with a re-
rd. This allows the one giv-
tion to stand beside the screen
, face the new employees,
jector and point out the ob-
with no difficulty.

our experiences with this
el certain that the benefits
new employees is certainly a
right direction as we try to
ht".

The old
half the j
the safety

Before
orientating
minutes an
day you w
What w

How m
company w
How do I
retirement

What is
organizatio

Isn't thi
about?

Heck no
were nerv
probably
"privy."

Who d
day? I w
gave you
shake, a w
the perso
belonged.

As we l
trivial and
at the tim
are still v
A friendl
encouragin
ting the ne
of mind to
tion progr

At Cate
for safety
pervisor.
this on th
depends u

Our ind
is handled
ing this pr
a lot of thi

First we
tion about

SAFETY ENGINEERING: A CAREER AND A CHALLENGE

By EDWIN D. O'LEARY
Vice Pres., Personnel & Organization, Ford Motor Co., Dearborn, Mich.

Certain kinds of work, or certain aspects of our work, provide more personal satisfaction than others. I should think your continuing concern with human safety should give all of you immense satisfaction. There are not many occupations that have as direct and recognizable an effect on human happiness.

I want to congratulate you who are representing companies that are receiving awards. And I also wish to congratulate the employees of those companies. It is highly appropriate that we honor those who have achieved outstanding results in this important field.

Just how appropriate it is for me to be addressing this meeting is another matter. I sincerely appreciated the invitation you extended to me. But it seems a little odd for a son of Mrs. O'Leary to be addressing a safety meeting—and in Chicago, of all places. For the record, neither my mother nor my grandmother owned a cow.

Even so, my training and experience have not equipped me to discuss with you the technical aspects of safety engineering. Many of you are trained safety engineers. I am not. That leaves me feeling somewhat the way Bob Hope said he felt when President Kennedy presented him a medal for entertaining service personnel overseas. Hope said he felt very humble—but added that he thought he had "the strength of character to overcome it."

One way for me to meet this test of character is to talk about a subject with which I *am* familiar. During the past few years, my primary responsibilities have been personnel management and development. This experience suggests some thoughts that, I hope, will be useful to you in your approach to your work.

Briefly, what I suggest is that a thoroughly *professional approach* enables the safety engineers, first to work effectively for his company's objectives; second, to achieve the best results in safety itself; and, third, to contribute most fully to his own development, stature and progress in his career.

That's a pretty tall order. Perhaps it sounds like the old ads that promised to make a wallflower into the life of the party in ten easy lessons. However, it is possible, in a rather brief span, to outline what is meant by a professional approach, even though developing that approach may be the work of years.

As in other companies, we at Ford have conducted considerable research to determine what qualities are necessary in a man if he is to achieve success. Here I am not talking about specific technical abilities, but rather those general qualities that are required for success in almost any line of endeavor. It isn't easy to isolate them. It is even harder to get people to agree on all of them. But here we have arrived at some conclusions that we believe are valid, even though we do not pretend that our list is exhaustive. Let me tell you about some of these conclusions, especially insofar as they might affect you and your work.

The quality that comes first and underlies all the others is *integrity*. Integrity means that there is a certain wholeness or completeness about a man's character. No matter how narrow his interests are, they must be broad enough to include justice and honor. No matter how selfish his ambition, he must at least have enough rudimentary generosity to recognize the rights of others to truth and fair dealing. There is something monstrous and misshapen about a man who possesses knowledge, executive ability or professional skill but lacks integrity. He's only part of a man, not a whole man. And nothing else can substitute for what he lacks.

What part does integrity play in the professional approach to one's work in industry? That's what we're concerned with here. First of all, I believe I asked the question wrong. Integrity doesn't play a part; it permeates the whole job. It doesn't consist simply in the avoidance of gross dishonesty, deception or double-dealing.

The integrity I'm talking about is a positive quality you can recognize in a man. He

accepts responsibility and discharges it. He refuses to rest satisfied until his performance measures up to his ability. He respects the right of his company and his boss to be kept informed accurately about the operations for which he is responsible. He levels with the people who report to him. And he has sufficient respect for himself not to let shoddy work represent him.

Once Michelangelo was painting a fresco on the ceiling of the Sistine Chapel, many feet above the ground. A friend asked him why he was taking such pains with a part of the work that could scarcely be seen. "Who will know whether all the details are perfect?" asked the friend.

"I will," said the artist.

As you can see, this concept is broader than what we generally call honesty, or moral integrity. It also includes intellectual integrity, or honesty to onself. Based as it is on self-respect, it goes a long way toward gaining the respect of others. And it's evident that respect is essential to leadership, essential to true success in any endeavor.

Now, it's not my intention to become the Billy Graham of safety engineering. My acquaintance with such people as Jim Cullen, who directs the safety program in Ford's engine and foundry division plants, has convinced me that most safety people don't need an evangelist. You *are* evangelists, and all of us in industry take pride in how well you have preached the word.

However, I should like to make one observation here. Each of you represents his company in a particularly sensitive area. Your management must be able not only to trust your judgment and to have confidence in your abilities; they must be able to rely, without reservation, on your integrity. That is one measure of the significance of your responsibilities. And it's a tribute to you that you are the people who have been chosen to handle them.

After integrity, the second essential quality our studies revealed is the ability to apply the *scientific method*. Stated another way, it is the ability to think, to approach any problem in a consistently clear and orderly way.

Very likely your reaction to this is, "So what else is new?" It is scarcely a revelation that success requires the ability to think. It seems as evident as saying that people walk or talk. And yet the continuing development

of this abilit
Once a man
he seems to
his ability to
for all, and t
to use it.

In one sens
of developing
thinking. Ho
the process i
master it.

I wonder
thinking reall
it is seems t
improving ou
know the di
tools needed
quite helpful
to recommen
Thinking, wr
It may be qu

As I have
reasoning pro
we have to
And there's t
most charact
us find it ha
We have to
overcome a
throws in th
every one of
that simply s
cigarette wo
thinking men

What we l
specially per
to form an i
mind. Why
this way? W
changed? W
better and s
continually h
matter to mo

Possibly t
brilliant thinl
all. That sou
quirement. B
toise and the
mind to work
quicker mind
I'm going to
ette ad. In b
separates the
ingness to un
of thinking.

y is frequently left to chance.
completes his formal education,
feel—or at least to act—as if
think had been set once and
iat now it only remains for him

e, of course, that's correct. Part
the ability to think is, simply,
wever, the more we understand
tself, the better able we are to

how many of us know what
y is. If we don't, learning what
o be a reasonable first step in
r ability. It would be useful to
fferent levels of thinking and
in the process. Reading can be
here. I should like especially
d a book called *The Art of*
itten by a man named Dimnet.
ite eye-opening.

said, though, understanding the
ocess is only the first step. Then
apply what we have learned.
he rub. Although thought is the
eristic human activity, most of
rd to engage in it consistently.
prod and force ourselves, and
hundred excuses that the mind
e way. On occasion, probably
us entertains the wistful hope
moking the right kind of filter
ald, as the ad hints, make us

ave to do—and I think this is
tinent for safety engineers—is
naginative, questioning habit of
is this operation performed in
Why do you say it cannot be
hat could be done to make it
afer? These are the prods we
ave to dig into our lazy grey
e it into action.

ie next best thing to being a
cer is to be willing to think at
nds like a pretty primitive re-
ut it's somewhat like the tor-
rabbit. The man who puts his
can go farther and faster than
s that never get started. Here
take issue with another cigar-
siness and industry what really
men from the boys is the will-
dertake the hard, slogging task

A furthe
that it be
faster than
be changed
along with
are honorir
set. Your
said, "Well
ing accider
systematic,
the old, "f
safety, he
hundreds o
of injuries.
genius at a
who was w

There's
must be av
use of ave
comparison
ing the fir
Ford plants
injuries. W
51 per cen
for the last
provide a
ress. But
think how
improve ou

Bobby B
waukee Br
foolishness
said: "Say
the oven
According
should be p

With tha
quality.

It is the
ties in clos
ness to thin
at a certain
times fail t
tion for la
people seen
even from
what they h

Of cours
planning is
look ahead.
ables and
tend to shy

Organiza
necessary r
planning ha

A further characteristic of this thought is that it be imaginative. Nothing kills ideas faster than the notion that a thing cannot be changed. If safety engineers had gone along with this notion, the fine records we are honoring today would never have been set. Your profession began when someone said, "Well, why can't we go about preventing accidents and encouraging safety in a systematic, professional way?" By upsetting the old, fatalistic approach to industrial safety, he began the process that has saved hundreds of lives and prevented thousands of injuries. And he may not have been a genius at all. He may only have been a guy who was willing to think a little.

There's one substitute for thinking that must be avoided with a passion. That's the use of averages. Of course, we find useful comparisons in averages. For example, during the first six months of this year, our Ford plants had a frequency of .72 lost-time injuries. We are pleased that this reflects a 51 per cent improvement over the average for the last ten years. The figures, as I say, provide a useful means of measuring progress. But what we then have to do is to think how we can use this information to improve our performance.

Bobby Bragan, the manager of the Milwaukee Braves, pretty well ticked off the foolishness of relying on percentages. He said: "Say you are standing with one foot in the oven and one foot in an ice bucket. According to the percentage people, you should be perfectly comfortable."

With that, we may move on to the third quality.

It is the ability to *plan and organize*, and ties in closely with the ability and willingness to think. In fact, it is thinking directed at a certain objective. Brilliant minds sometimes fail to make their maximum contribution for lack of the ability to plan. Other people seem to wring outstanding results, even from inferior talents, because they use what they have so effectively.

Of course, like other forms of thinking, planning is not always easy. It forces us to look ahead. It requires us to work with variables and uncertainties. Consequently, we tend to shy away from it.

Organization involves directing all the necessary resources at a given objective that planning has set. It means assigning work effectively and, in general, going about our own work assignments in a systematic, efficient and therefore effective way.

Again, there are books and courses that can help us develop this ability. But most of all, we simply have to do it. We have to cut through the hurly-burly of daily distractions to decide our destination and the best way to get there. We have to convince ourselves that this is vital, and that, without it, we stand in danger of proceeding at great speed farther and farther away from our real objectives.

Stephen Leacock once wrote about the man who jumped on his horse and rode madly off in all directions. We may admire that fellow's vigor, but it seems reasonable enough to say that his equestrian activity might have been better directed.


When we start organizing, we have to communicate. So much has been said about the essential role of communication in all forms of human activity that I hesitate to add any more. Actually, communicating also begins with thinking, but thinking from the point of view of the other fellow. First of all, then, we have to take time to understand his point of view. That means listening. It also involves sensing situations so that we know when to express ourselves and what we need to know as background information when we decide to speak.

Then we owe it to others to have a clear understanding of exactly what we want to say. If our own thinking is fuzzy, our audience is not likely to form from it any clear ideas, except, perhaps, that we really don't know what we're talking about.

Finally, it's necessary to make sure that our words conform to what we have in our minds. That's not easy. But it's part of intellectual integrity not to rest until we have reached such conformity.

The sports writer, Red Smith, once told how he writes his wonderful columns. "Turning out a column is easy," he said; "I just sit at my typewriter until beads of blood form on my forehead." The rest of us may not have to go through the same agonies as professional writers. But we do have an obligation to think clearly and then express our thoughts as simply and lucidly as we know how.

Incidentally, there's a little book called *The Elements of Style*, by a former profes-



sor named Strunk. The book is much easier to read than it is to master, but it's well worth the effort, and I recommend it.

The next element in the professional approach to one's work that makes for success is *the ability to influence people.* Each of us deals with other people at all levels at all times, either as our superiors, our associates or subordinates. We want to motivate some of them to work. We want to have others listen to and adopt our ideas. The success or failure of our plans and our efforts, and, eventually, of ourselves, depends significantly on how much we can influence others.

I cannot pretend to tell you how to increase your influence. Integrity has something to do with it. The force of your thinking and your ability to communicate certainly play their part. The degree to which you are in tune with your company's over-all objectives has a bearing. But there's another element present here in addition to these.

I guess you could call it understanding the other man. It involves seeing his problems and the pressures that he faces; trying to realize what his objectives and responsibilities are; broadening your own perspective enough so that your vision isn't limited to your own interests.

A man I know takes issue with the old axiom, "You can lead a horse to water, but you can't make him drink." He maintains that it's the easiest thing in the world to make him drink. But first you have to make him thirsty by putting salt blocks in the pasture. In terms of influencing other people, salt blocks are the evidence you give of your sympathetic understanding of their problems. It's good to have a lot on hand.

The final quality I want to mention is a very general one and has a kind of old-fashioned ring to it. It's *perseverance,* and for the most part consists in plain hard work, unstinted and constant. It's not necessary to go any farther than this room to evaluate the necessity of this quality. Here you have proof that safety is, indeed, no accident. The progress made in industrial safety in the last ten years, for example, is the result of your unremitting efforts. It has come about only because, over those years, you and your companies and the National Safety Council have stayed with the job.

Basically, these results were possible because companies came to realize that something could b
They came to
fessional appr
yield benefits
lives.

As this rea
the safety eng
nuts and bolts
fied programs
ment. At Ford
panies too, hi
to establish a
gram with all
ing engineeri
enforcement.

He has h
knowledge an
keep abreast
developments.
ing conservat
evaluates the
both on health
the measures
new chemicals
age fuels.

As the sa
have broadene
Ford have ha
bility for the
and more, our
couraged to
safety both to
employees. Co
than ever for
between safe
management.

For this rea
are looking f
nical compete
assignments.
who have int
think, to plan
and to influe
severe in thei

Obviously,
who would b
But a good
individual in

What coul
from improv
He could exp
management
imaginative
could expect
ing safety c

: done about industrial safety.
realize that a systematic, pro-
oach to safety problems could
both in profits and in human

lization deepened, the role of
neer broadened. From being a
inspector, he became a quali-
ner and consultant to manage-
, and, I am sure at other com-
s main responsibility today is
nd sustain a progressive pro-
the required elements, includ-
ng, education, promotion and

id to increase his fund of
d professional competence to
of scientific and technological
He plays a part in our hear-
ion program. He studies and
effects of physical environment
and production. He anticipates
needed to control radioisotopes,
, compressed gases and space-

fety engineer's responsibilities
ed, all levels of supervision at
d to assume primary responsi-
safety of their employes. More
employees are trained and en-
recognize the importance of
themselves and to their fellow
nsequently, there is more need
smooth working relationships
ty engineers and all levels of

ison, Ford and other companies
or people with more than tech-
nce to fill safety engineering
They are looking for people
egrity; who have the ability to
, to organize, to communicate
nce people; and who can per-
r efforts.

it would be a rare individual
at 1.000 in all these qualities.
balance of them will help an
ny line of endeavor.

l a person reasonably expect
ing himself along these lines?
ect even stronger support from
s he demonstrates constructive,
olutions to safety problems. He
greater success in accomplish-
bjectives as he demonstrates

greater abil
nce peopl
greater rec
finest possi
organizatio

greater ability to communicate and to influence people. And he could achieve even greater recognition for himself. One of the finest possible means of moving ahead in an organization is the building of a record of having accomplished different jobs and having accomplished them well.

These goals, I think, are attractive to all of us. They are worth the additional effort they require.

OFFICERS OF THE
METALS SECTION
NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—J. W. Tysse, Mgr. of Safety, Republic Steel Corp., Cleveland, Ohio

First Vice Chairman—C. E. Wilson, Safety Engr., Bethlehem Steel Co., Bethlehem, Pa.

Second Vice Chairman—G. Lundie, Asst. Dir. Safety & Plant Prot., Inland Steel Co., East Chicago, Ind.

Third Vice Chairman—W. D. Williams, Asst. Suprv. of Safety, Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co., East Chicago, Ind.

Secretary—R. D. Kent, Safety Manager, Aluminum Company of America, Davenport, Iowa.

Newsletter Editor—Steven Collins, Safety Dir., Midwest Steel Div., National Steel Corp., Portage, Ind.

Newsletter Co-Editor—W. R. Roblin, Corp. Supvr. Safety, Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.; L. D. Tracy, Safety Engr., Dravo Corp., Pittsburgh 25, Pa.

Congress Program Committee—W. T. McLean *(Chairman)*, Gen. Supvr. of Safety & Sanitation, United States Steel Corp., Gary Steel Works, Gary, Ind.; H. D. Hackry, Safety Engr., Bethlehem Steel Co., Bethlehem, Pa.; R. A. McRae, Safety Engr., The Colorado Fuel & Iron Corp., Pueblo, Colo.; G. F. Grace, Sales Mgr., Industrial Chain Div., Columbus McKinnon Chain Corp., Tonawanda, N.Y.; D. L. Johnson, Supvr., Safety Engr., Pennsylvania Manufacturers Assn., Casualty Insurance Co., Pittsburgh 19, Pa.; Edw. Mandry, Supt., Ind. Relations, Republic Steel Corp., Cleveland District, Cleveland 27, Ohio.

Technical Publications Committee—J. S. Chapman *(Chairman)*, Mgr. of Safety, Armco Steel Corp., Middletown, Ohio; D. W. Brown, Safety Eng., United States Steel Corp., Pittsburgh 30, Pa.; M. W. Gray, Safety Director, Weirton Steel Co., Weirton, W. Va.; J. B. Anderson, Safety Engineer, Republic Steel Corp., Cleveland, Ohio; Manford Ruby, Safety Engineer, Laclede Steel Co., Alton, Ill.

Membership Committee—C. P. Vorhes *(Chairman)*, Coordinator of Safety, Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Pittsburgh 30, Pa.; C. R. Mang, Supt. of Ind. Rel., Republic Steel Corp., Steel & Tubes Division, Cleveland 8, Ohio.; G. L. Hughes, Director of Safety & Plt. Prot., Phoenix Steel Corp., Claymont, Del.; R. I. Reichle, Supvr. of Safety, The Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co., Youngstown 1, Ohio.

Off-The-Job Committee—L. C. Parker *(Chairman)*, Safety Director, Granite City Steel Co., Granite City, Ill.; J. D. Holtzapple *(Past General Chairman)*; D. D. Mather *(Past General Chairman)*.

Training and Education Committee— H. W. Gillmor *(Chairman)*, Works Safety Admin., Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Aliquippa Works, Aliquippa, Pa.; T. W. Wilson, Asst. Supt., Ind. Rel.-Safety, Republic Steel Corp., Buffalo District, Buffalo, N.Y.; W. P. Saunders, Safety Director, Universal Cyclops Steel Corp., Bridgeville, Pa.; J. D. Larzau, Supvr., Ind Safety Section, Steel Division, Ford Motor Co., Dearborn, Mich.; E. E. McWherter, Mgr., Personnel & Safety, Sharon Steel Corp., Farrell, Pa.

Contest and Awards Committee—C. Dakes *(Chairman)*, Management's Representative, Bethlehem Steel Co., Chicago 20, Ill.; J. G. Spix, Supt., Safety & Plt. Prot., Acme Steel Corp., Chicago 27, Ill.

Foundry Industry Committee—John Sprowls *(Chairman)*, Safety Supvr., Caterpillar Tractor Co., Peoria, Ill.; Charles Reyert, Pers. Mgr., Grede Foundry, Milwaukee, Wis.; K. S. Hedges, Safety Director, General Motors Corp., G.M. Bldg., Detroit 2, Mich.; J. M. Eakin, Dir. of Safety & Insurance, The Cooper-Bessemer Corp., Grove City, Pa.; H. J. Weber, Director of Safety, Hygiene and Air Poll. Control, American Foundrymen's Society, Golf and Wolf Roads, Des Plaines, Ill.; G. W. Davis, Asst. Per. Mgr., National Malleable Steel Castings Co., Sharon Works, Sharon, Pa.; W. R. Ethridge, Mgr., Industrial Rel., Blaw-Knox Co., Aetna Standard Div., Ellwood City, Pa.; J. E. Bingleman, Safety Dir., Dominion Foundries & Steel, Ltd., Hamilton, Ont., Canada.

Steel Service Center Committee—R. F. Schroeder *(Chairman)*, Manager, Safety Div., Joseph T. Ryerson & Sons, Inc., Chicago 80, Ill.; Arthur J. Matzen, Manager of Personnel, U. S. Steel Corp., Chicago 4, Ill.; G. J. Mitchell, Supt. of Ind. Relations, Republic Steel Corp., Manufacturing Division, Youngstown 1, Ohio.

Industrial Hygiene Committee—John Janous *(Chairman)*, Ind. Hygiene Eng., Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.; Harry M. Donaldson, Mgr. Ind. Hygiene & Safety, The Brush Beryllium Co., Elmore Div., Elmore, Ohio; W. E. Smesta, Chief Ind. Hygienist, Republic Steel Corp., Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio; E. J. Largent, Industrial Hygienist, Reynolds Metals Co., Richmond, Va.

Steel Industry Committee—G. H. Reilly *(Chairman)*, Mgr., Safety, National Tube Div., United States Steel Corp., Pittsburgh 30, Pa.; E. G. Troutman, Works Supvr. of Safety, Crucible Steel Company of America, Midland Works, Midland, Pa.; Andrew T. Pochyly, Safety Director, Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corp., Leechburg, Pa.; Ben. Ellis, Supvr. of Safety, Sheffield Div. Armco Steel Corp., Kansas City 25, Mo.; Ray Willett, Safety Director, Jessup Steel Co., Washington, Pa.; H. L. Schmitz, Senior Safety Supvr., Republic Steel Corp., Central Alloy District, Canton, Ohio; W. B. Beinecke, Safety Supvr., Republic Steel Corp., Youngstown 1, Ohio.

Non-Ferrous Industry Committee—E. C. Buckstead *(Chairman)*, Dir., Safety & Tech. Empl., American Smelting & Refining Co., Salt Lake City 1, Utah; K. C. Graham, Safety Coord., Union Carbide Corp., Metals Div., Ashtabula, Ohio; T. T. Pinder, Kennecott Copper Corp., Utah Copper Div., Smelter Plant, Magna, Utah; Howard Hoon, Supt., Safety & Security, Ormet Corp., Hannibal, Ohio; F. H. Illig, Mgr. of Safety & Security, Aluminum Co. of America, Pittsburgh 19, Pa.

Fabricating Industry Committee—J. Patlyek *(Chairman)*, Personnel Supvr., East Chicago Works, Blaw-Knox Co., East Chicago, Ind.; Earl A. Bratton, Secretary, Steel Plate Fabricators Assn., Chicago, Ill. 60603; J. F. Chocole, Mgr., Operations-Const., Chicago Bridge & Iron Co., Oak Brook, Ill.; J. L. Buckner, Mgr. of Safety, Plants, American Bridge Div., United States Steel Corp., Pittsburgh 30, Pa.; E. J. Collins, Safety Engr., Fabricating Steel Const. Wks., Bethlehem Steel Co.; Bethlehem, Pa.

West Coast Committee—P. P. PELTON, JR. *(Coordinator)*, Bethlehem Steel Co., Pacific Coast Div., San Francisco 19, Calif.

Members At Large—HOMER K. LAMBIE *(Chairman)*, Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corp., Oakland 12, Calif.; GEORGE NUERNBERGER, Dir. of Safety, Kaiser Steel Corp., Fontana, Calif.; HARRY SCHWARTZ, President, Washington Pipe & Steel Co., Seattle 4, Wash.; VERN S. SCOTT, Safety Mgr., Bridgeport Brass Div., National Distilleries & Chemical Corp., Riverside, Calif.

San Francisco Area—ROY E. MATTISON, Federated Metals Div., American Smelting & Refining Co., San Francisco 24, Calif.

Northwest Area—R. V. MILLIGAN *(Chairman)*, Safety Supvr., Hydro-Aluminum & Chemical Corp., Trentwood Works, Spokane 69, Wash.; R. S. JAMAR, Safety Engr., Bethlehem Steel Co., Pacific Coast Div., Seattle 24, Wash.

Los Angeles Area—EDWIN S. WEYNKOOP *(Chairman)*, Bethlehem Steel Co., Pacific Coast Div., Terminal Annex, Los Angeles 54, Calif.; A. G. GATES, Harvey Aluminum Co., Torrence, Calif.

Salt Lake City Area—THERON T. PINDER *(Chairman)*, Kennecott Copper Corp., Utah Copper Div., Salt Lake City, Utah.

Research and Advisory Committee—*JAMES G. CULLEN *(Chairman)*, Supvr., Safety Section, Ford Motor Co., Dearborn, Mich.; *D. D. MATHER, Works Safety Admin. Safety & Welfare Dept., Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Pittsburgh Works Div., Pittsburgh 3, Pa.; *R. I. PISLE, Supt. of Ind. Rel., Chicago District, Republic Steel Corp., Chicago 17, Ill.; *J. D. HOLTZAPPLE, Mgr. of Safety & Training, Blaw-Knox Co., Pittsburgh 22, Pa.; *G. J. EIGENBROD, Supt. Ind. Rel. Staff, The Colorado Fuel & Iron Corp., Buffalo 2, N.Y.; *G. O. GRIFFIN, Mgr. Hazard Control, Dravo Corp., Neville Island, Pittsburgh 25, Pa.; *J. J. NOLAN, Safety Engineer, Bethlehem Steel Co., Bethlehem, Pa.; *H. S. SIMPSON, Mgr. Safety, Caterpillar Tractor Co., Peoria 8, Ill.; *J. L. RIDINGER, Dir., Safety & Plant Prot., Inland Steel Co., East Chicago, Ind.; *P. E. GRUNDMAN, Safety Engr., Armco Steel Corp., Armco Div., Baltimore 3, Md.; *R. E. FERGUSON, Asst. Dir. of Ind. Rel., Republic Steel Corp., Republic Bldg., Cleveland, Ohio; *D. A. FARRELL, Dir. of Safety, U.S. Steel Corp., Pittsburgh 30, Pa.; *H. G. HENSEL, Arcadia, Calif.

Staff Representative—E. E. KOCH, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60611.

*Past General Chairman

1963

Volume 16

National Safety Congress Transactions

MINING INDUSTRY

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

OFFICERS OF THE

MINING SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—J. A. Cooke, Falconbridge Nickel Mines Ltd., Falconbridge, Ont., Canada

Chairman-Elect & Secretary—Herbert A. Wendel, The Anaconda Co., Bureau of Safety, Butte, Mont. 59701

Second Vice-Chairman—A. D. Annand, Tennessee Copper Co., Copper Hill, Tenn. 37317

Third Vice-Chairman—Harold L. Bare, Bethlehem Steel Company, Bethlehem, Pa. 18016

Newsletter Editor—Allen D. Look, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Federal Bldg., Duluth, Minn. 55802

Assistant Newsletter Editors—Northeastern District, Joseph Weeks, New Jersey Zinc Company, Franklin, N. J. 07416; *Southeastern District*, H. F. Thompson, American Zinc Co., Mascot, Tenn. 37806; *Western District*, W. Lynn Hart, American Smelting and Refining Co., Wallace, Idaho 83873; *Southwestern District*, R. L. Johnston, Chino Mines Div., Kennecott Copper Corp., Hurley, N. M.; *Eastern Canada District*, M. R. Fraser, Accident Prevention Association of Ontario, North Bay, Ont., Canada; *Western Canada District*, J. D. McDonald, Mining Association of B. C., Vancouver, B. C., Canada

Off-the-Job Safety Committee—Herbert A. Wendel, (Chairman), The Anaconda Co., Bureau of Safety, Butte, Mont. 59701; A. D. Annand, Tennessee Copper Co., Copper Hill, Tenn. 37317; Harold L. Bare, Bethlehem Steel Company, Bethlehem, Pa. 18016

Entertainment Committee—Everett White, (Chairman), Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15208; Joe T. Williams, The Bunker Hill Co., Kellogg, Idaho 83837

Engineering Committee—W. H. Bonneville, (Chairman), Canadian Johns-Manville, Co., Ltd., Asbestos, Quebec, Canada; George A. Borgeson, M. A. Hanna Co. Agents, Hibbing, Minn. 55746; Charles C. Hilton, U. S. Smelting, Refining & Mining Co., Salt Lake City, Utah 84110; John E. Berridge, Hall & Henshaw Ltd., Insurance, Montreal 2, Quebec, Can.

Membership Committee—M. R. Fraser, (Chairman), Mines Accident Prevention Association of Ontario, North Bay, Ont., Canada; Walter E. Montgomery, Quebec Asbestos Mining Assn., c/o Hall & Henshaw Ltd., Montreal 2, Que., Canada; H. Bloy, Mines Accident Prevention Association of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Man., Canada; Harold F. Thompson, American Zinc Co., Mascot, Tenn. 37806; Walter O. Gunelson, The Hanna Mining Co. Agents, Hibbing, Minn. 55746; R. Larsen, Nevada Mines Div., Kennecott Copper Corp., Ruth, Nevada 89319

Program Committee—Roy G. Stott, (Chairman), Bureau of Mines, Interior Bldg., Washington, D. C. 20240; A. W. Ness, American Smelting & Refining Co., Salt Lake City, Utah 84101; M. R. Fraser, Mines Accident Prevention Association of Ontario, North Bay, Ont., Canada; Joseph Hall, Reserve Mining Co., Silver Bay, Minn. 55614

Visual Education Committee—GLENN M. HOSTETTER, (Chairman), Erie Mining Co., Hoyt Lakes, Minn. 55750; A. W. NESS, American Smelting & Refining Co., Salt Lake City, Utah 84101; WILLIAM L. SMITH, The Anaconda Co., Butte, Montana 59701; MELVIN TAYLOR, Cananea Consolidated Mining Co., S. A., Cananea, Sonora, Mexico

Statistics Committee—R. F. WILSON, (Chairman), Oliver Iron Mining Div., U. S. Steel Corp., Duluth, Minn. 55802; FORREST T. MOYER, Chief, Accident Analysis Branch, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C. 20240

Associations-Publicity Committee—ROBERT M. NEIL, (Chairman), The Hanna Mining Co. Agents, Iron River, Michigan 49935; GEORGE SALL, Mining Congress Journal, Washington, D. C. 20036; ALVIN W. KNOERR, Engineering & Mining Journal, New York 36, N. Y.; GEORGE O. ARGALL, JR., World Mining, San Francisco, Calif. 94105; CHRIS MANEN, Canadian Mining Journal, Gardenvale, Que., Canada; RICHARD N. PAULL, The Hanna Mining Co. Agents, Hibbing, Minn. 55746; DAVID N. SKILLINGS, Skillings Mining Review, Duluth, Minn. 55802

Hygiene and Ventilation Committee—R. J. SEARLE, (Chairman), U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Gunnison, Colo. 81230; JOHN W. WARREN, The Anaconda Co., Butte, Mont. 59701; G. D. WINANS, Region 7, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colo. 80225; G. R. YOUNT, Rio Tinto Management Services Ltd., Toronto, Ontario, Canada; JOSEPH T. MORTIMER, Ray Mines Div., Kennecott Copper Corp., Hayden, Ariz. 85235; W. A. BARNEWICH, Mines Accident Prevention Association of Ontario, North Bay, Ont., Canada; ROBERT L. GIULIANI, Pickands Mather & Co., Hoyt Lakes, Minn. 55750

Training Committee—H. BLOY, (Chairman), Mines Accident Prevention Association of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Man., Canada; *C. R. NEIL, Bethlehem Cornwall Corp., Cornwall, Pa. 17016; M. R. YOUNG, International Nickel Co. of Canada, Ltd., Copper Cliff, Ontario, Canada; J. H. HOUSE, Mermac Mining Co., Sullivan, Mo. 63080; FOSTER RIKEPORT, International Minerals and Chemical Corp., Skokie, Ill. 60078

Members-at-Large—*EDWARD C. LEONARD, Inland Steel Co., Ishpeming, Mich. 49849; *AL KOLU, Pickands Mather & Co., Duluth, Minn. 55802; *C. R. NEIL, Bethlehem Cornwall Corp., Cornwall, Pa. 17016; *S. E. SHARP, Tennessee Copper Co., Copperhill, Tenn. 37317; *R. F. WILSON, Oliver Iron Mining Div., U. S. Steel Corp., Duluth, Minn. 55802; *JOE T. WILLIAMS, The Bunker Hill Co., Kellogg, Idaho 83837; *J. M. SMITH, Asbestos Corp. Ltd., Thetford Mines, Que., Canada

Nominating Committee—*EDWARD C. LEONARD, *AL KOLU, *C. R. NEIL - Secretary

Staff Representative—CLINTON H. HOCH, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago 11, Illinois 60611

*Past General Chairman

OFFICERS OF THE
MOTOR TRANSPORTATION CONFERENCE
NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

Vice-President for Motor Transportation—MARK ROBESON

Chairman—KARL SCHULZE

Vice-Chairman (Chairman-Elect 1964)—HAROLD HOSEA

Immediate Past Chairman—WILLIAM G. MACINTOSH

Chairman Commercial Vehicle Section—HOWARD T. WALTON

Chairman Transit Section—ELMER R. SCHUEMANN

Chairman School Transportation Section—C. BILL LEMON

Staff Secretary—ALFRED C. FINCH

Board of Directors Representing Motor Transportation Conference—JOHN C. BAINE, ERNEST G. COX, WELBY M. FRANTZ, ROBERT E. GOCKE, ROBERT C. HENDON, CLAUDE A. JESSUP, FINLEY S. LAKE, EDWARD B. LANDRY, C. BILL LEMON, WILLIAM G. MACINTOSH, HARRY O. MATHEWS, ARTHUR J. NAQUIN, KARL SCHULZE

Honorary Life Member—J. P. HIGHTOWER

Executive Committee—C. W. BOYCE, H. A. BRANDALEONE, J. M. BUSBY, JR., C. D. CALKINS, E. J. EMOND, D. M. FERGUSSON, A. L. HAUCK, H. R. HOSEA, J. W. JACOBSON, F. S. LAKE, C. BILL LEMON, A. S. LOMBARDI, J. W. LUMPKIN, W. G. MACINTOSH, A. J. NAQUIN, R. N. PAFICH, JOHN PARSONS, L. F. PURVES, MARK ROBESON, E. R. SCHUEMANN, H. N. SCHULZ, KARL SCHULZE, W. J. SIMON, G. D. SONTHEIMER, E. A. THIEL, H. T. WALTON, D. L. WILLIAMSON

Motor Transportation Conference—N. E. AIKIN, Director, Industrial Relations, Commercial Motor Freight, Columbus, Ohio; CARLTON ALEXANDER, Director, Personnel Training Institute, Richmond, Virginia; JOHN C. BAINE, President, Transit Services Corp., St. Louis, Missouri; J. POPE BAIRD, Assistant Director of Administration, Transportation Section, State Department of Education, Tallahassee, Florida; E. M. BARBER, Director, Safety and Insurance, Scherer Freight Lines, Inc., Ottawa, Illinois; K. N. BEADLE, Director, Safety and Driving, Pacific Intermountain Express, Oakland, California; RICHARD G. BECKER, Director, Fleet Safety Services, Association of Casualty and Surety Companies, New York, New York; CARROLL W. BOYCE, Chief Editor, Fleet Owner Magazine, McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., New York, New York; HAROLD A. BRANDALEONE, M.D., Chairman, Committee on Standards for Motor Vehicle Drivers, Industrial Medical Association, New York, New York; M. G. BULLOCK, Assistant Manager, Engineering Department, Transit Casualty Company, St. Louis, Missouri; FRED BURGGRAF, Director, Highway Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D. C.; J. M. BUSBY, JR., Safety Superintendent of Transportation, Memphis Transit Company, Memphis, Tennessee; J. GODFREY BUTLER, Director, Personnel &

Labor Relations, D. C. Transit System, Inc., Washington, D. C.; CLAY D. CALKINS, Manager, Safety and Personnel, Pacific Motor Trucking Company, San Francisco, California; ROBERT W. CARY, Regional Safety Officer, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah; R. D. CASSELL, President, Safety Motor Transit Company, Roanoke, Virginia; WILLIAM CORGIL, Traffic Consultant, Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, Washington, D. C.; ERNEST G. COX, Chief, Section of Motor Carrier Safety, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C.; ROBERT E. CROS, JR., Associate Research Engineer, University of California, Institute of Transportation and Traffic Engineering, Richmond, California; FREDERICK H. DENZ, Manager, Accident and Fire Prevention Dept., National Association of Automotive Mutual Insurance Companies, Chicago, Illinois; JOHN A. DE PEW, Director, Safety and Personnel, Dohrn Transfer Company, Rock Island, Illinois; COLIN DOBELL, Director, Transportation Safety & Training, B. C. Electric Company Limited, VanCouver, B.C., Canada; T. A. DRESCHER, Milk Industry Foundation, c/o Borden's Farm Products, Division of Borden Company, New York, New York; CLINTON W. DREYER, Manager, Eastbay Chapter, National Safety Council, Oakland, California; MRS. MARTHA DUNLAP, Executive Director, National Truck Leasing System, Chicago, Illinois; B. H. EHRLICH, Manager, Institute of Industrial Launderers, Washington, D. C.; P. R. ELLSWORTH, Associate Director, Milk Industry Foundation, Washington, D. C.; E. J. EMORY, Director, Automotive Safety, Armour and Company, Chicago, Illinois; PAUL J. FANNING, Director, Bureau of Personnel and Safety, San Francisco Public Utilities Comm., San Francisco, California; DOUGLAS M. FERGUSSON, Superintendent of Safety, Personal Lines, Nationwide Insurance Company, Columbus, Ohio; GEORGE E. FIORE, Executive Vice-President, Transport Indemnity Company, Los Angeles, California; LEONARD R. FLICKER, Safety Coordinator, Permanente Cement Company, Kaiser Building, Oakland, California; DAVID M. FLYNN, Superintendent of Transportation, Chicago Transit Authority, Chicago, Illinois; WILLIAM M. FOLEY, Director of Safety, Consolidated Freightways, Chicago, Illinois; WILLIAM H. FRANEY, Assistant Director, Field Service Division, International Association of Chiefs of Police, Washington, D. C.; WILBY M. FRANTZ, President, Eastern Express, Inc., Terre Haute, Indiana; ROBERT F. GOCKE, Vice-President, Transportation and Safety, The Greyhound Corporation, Chicago, Illinois; W. T. GOWENS, Vice-President, Industrial Relations, Pilot Freight Carriers, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; HORACE I. GWILYM, Executive Secretary, National Association of Taxicab Owners, Cleveland, Ohio; H. K. HALBROOKS, Director, Accident Prevention Division, Nat'l Automobile Transporters Assn., Detroit, Michigan; DALE W. HARDIN, Manager, Transportation Department, U. S. Chamber of Commerce, Washington, D. C.; A. L. HAUCK, Director, Safety and Public Relations, Transportation Underwriters, Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana; ROBERT C. HENDON, Vice-President, Operations, REA Express, Inc., New York, New York; J. P. HIGHTOWER, Assistant to President, Pilot Freight Carriers, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; WILLIAM R. HOLLEY, Special Lines Engineer, Farmers Insurance Group, Los Angeles, California; HAROLD R. HOSEA, Director of Research, National Association of Motor Bus Owners, Washington, D. C.; JOHN L. HUGHES, Operations Manager, Tanner Motor Tours, Los Angeles, California; JOHN W. JACOBSON, Director, Accident Control Division, F. J. Boutell Driveaway Co., Flint, Michigan; MAJOR GEN. J. C. JAYNES, USA (Ret.), President, National Trailways Bus System, Washington, D. C.; CLAUDE A. JESSUP, President, Virginia Trailways, Charlottesville, Virginia; WALTER JOHNSON, Liquified Petroleum Gas Association, Chicago, Illinois; JOHN H. KING, Manager, Motor Truck Division, Automobile Manufacturers Assn., Detroit, Michigan; EDWARD R. KLAMM, Accident Prevention Director, Allstate Insurance Company, Skokie, Illinois; FINLEY S. LAKE, Director of Safety, Interstate Motor Freight System, Grand Rapids, Michigan; HENRY G. LAMB, Safety Engineer, American Standards Association, New York, New York; EDWARD B. LANDRY, Director, Safety and Health, U. S. Post Office Department, Washington, D. C.; WALTER H. LANGSENER, Automotive Manager, Thomas J. Lipton, Inc.; Hoboken, New Jersey; C. BILL LEMON, Director, School Bus Safety, State Department of Education, Santa Fe, New Mexico; ANTHONY S. LOMBARDI, Safety and Personnel Director, Western Truck Lines, Ltd., Los Angeles, California; FRANK P. LOWREY, Assistant Managing Director, Auto Industries Highway Safety

Comm., Washington, D. C.; VAN COURT LUCAS, Administrative Assistant, American Transit Association, New York, New York; J. WYLIE LUMPKIN, Manager, Safety Division, REA Express, Inc., New York, New York; WILLIAM G. MACINTOSH, Manager, Engineering Department, Hartford Accident and Indemnity, New York, New York; J. F. MCKENNA, Technical Assistant, American Petroleum Institute, New York, New York; DR. JAMES L. MALFETTI, Professor of Education, Columbia University, New York, New York; HARRY O. MATHEWS, Vice-President, Transportation & Distribution Div., Armour and Company, Chicago, Illinois; CHARLES C. MILLER, Tire Manufacturers Division, Rubber Manufacturers Association, New York, New York; LEE MILLS, Director of Safety, Cincinnati Transit Company, Cincinnati, Ohio; ROBERT F. MILLS, General Plant Safety Supervisor, Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Denver, Colorado; JOHN B. MURRAY, Specialist, Pupil Transportation, U. S. Office of Education, Washington, D. C.; ARTHUR J. NAGUIN, Safety Counselor, New Orleans Public Service, Inc., New Orleans, Louisiana; AMOS E. NEYHART, Director, Institute of Public Safety, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania; RICHARD O. OLSON, Safety Director, Dan Dugan Oil & Transport Co., Sioux Falls, South Dakota; STANLEY A. OSSMAN, Director of Safety, Western Greyhound, San Francisco, California; FRANK C. PALMER, Chief, Traffic Safety Branch, U. S. Public Health Service, Washington, D. C.; RAUHL N. PAPICH, Safety Manager, American Gas Association, New York, New York; J. O. PARKER, Bell System Safety Supervisor, American Telephone & Telegraph Co., New York, New York; JOHN PARSONS, Supervisor of Pupil Transportation, State Department of Education, Columbus, Ohio; STANLEY W. PARSONS, General Safety Director, Carnation Company, Los Angeles, California; LORNE F. PURVES, Superintendent, Transportation and Safety, Toronto Star Ltd., Toronto, Ontario, Canada; C. B. RAWSON, Editor, Commercial Car Journal, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; DWIGHT E. RAY, Director of Safety, Pilot Carriers, Inc., Winston-Salem, North Carolina; WILLIAM R. REDMOND, Fleet Safety Specialist, Employers Mutuals of Wausau, Wausau, Wisconsin; A. T. REGELMAN, Vice-President, Stroehmann Brothers Company, Williamsport, Pennsylvania; B. A. RENNOLDS, Operating Manager, Virginia Trailways, Charlottesville, Virginia; MARK ROBESON, Vice-President, Yellow Transit Freight Lines, Kansas City, Missouri; CARL C. SAAL, Chief, Traffic Operations Division, Bureau of Public Roads, Washington, D. C.; CLAUDE SCHLAGENHAUF, Director of Industrial Relations, Akers Motor Lines, Inc., Charlotte, North Carolina; ELMER R. SCHUEMANN, Superintendent, Transportation and Safety, United Motor Coach Company, Des Plaines, Illinois; HOWARD N. SCHULZ, Secretary, Committee on Medical Aspects of Automobile Safety, American Medical Association, Chicago, Illinois; KARL SCHULZE, Supervising Safety Engineer, Standard Oil Company of California, Western Operations, Inc., San Francisco, California; WILLIAM J. SIMON, Safety Coordinator, National Highway Users Conference, Washington, D. C.; E. J. SLINGERLAND, Safety Director, Seattle Transit System, Seattle, Washington; MRS. MADELINE H. SMITH, Executive Secretary, American Taxicab Association, Chicago, Illinois; GOLBY D. SONTHEIMER, Director of Safety, American Trucking Associations, Washington, D. C.; K. A. STONEX, Assistant Engineer in Charge, Technical Liaison Section, Engineering Staff—General Motors, Warren, Michigan; GILBERT E. TEAL, Ph.D., Director, Social Factors Group, Dunlap & Associates, Darien, Connecticut; ELLIOTT A. THIEL, Director, Accident Prevention, Columbus Transit Company, Columbus, Ohio; STEPHEN J. TOMPKINS, Chief Engineer—Trucks, Dodge Division, Chrysler Motors Corporation, Detroit, Michigan; J. FRANCIS TRIMMER, Vice-President, Industrial Relations, Ringsby System, Denver, Colorado; HOWARD T. WALTON, Director of Safety, McLean Trucking Company, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; BERT L. WHEAT, Safety Director, Eastern Express, Inc., Terre Haute, Indiana; JOHN C. WHITE, Managing Director, Private Truck Council of America, Washington, D. C.; T. H. WILKENSON, U. S. Army Director of Safety, Department of the Army, Washington, D. C.; D. L. WILLIAMSON, Superintendent, Training and Safety, Cleveland Transit System, Cleveland, Ohio; THOMAS A. WOLF, Supervisor, Traffic Police Administration Training Program, Traffic Institute, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois

OFFICERS

N

Chairman—H.

First Vice-Ch

Second Vice-C

Immediate Pa

Members-at-L
BARTON, S
& Co., Ch
BLEUEL, B
ways, Cha
H. D. FL
Consolidat
Winston-S
Yellow T
Company,
Ohio; R.
Northern
Police, Sp
A. T. RE
O'Lakes
Dallas, T
Southwest
Denver, C
Wenham
ing Co.,

Counselors—
DEPEW,
mobile T
writers,
Owners,
*A. S.
Toronto

Staff Repres

*Past Chair

1963

Volume 18

National Safety Congress Transactions

OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSING

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

try, the physician has a special opportunity to guide the safety program through his medical understanding of health principles when the personnel man, working alone, may lack specific training in health and safety problems.

After the conclusion of the three papers, we hope there will be questions. There is in this room an accumulation of skills and know-how in the promulgation of safeguards against industrial injury and disease that should produce a lively discussion. We hope that you will feel free in your questions to be critical and inquisitive. Most of all, we hope that a contribution in this discussion will be made to a broader understanding of how each of us can improve safety practices for industrial workers.

Before introducing our speakers, I would like to make one comment which may possibly improve the understanding of all of us in the consideration of teamwork for safety. I think most of you in this audience have been schooled to look upon safety as a matter of controlling the physical working environment. As safety engineers, many of you are particularly concerned with safety equipment, protective devices, methods of safe operation, ventilation, shielding and prevention of exposure to toxic substances. These are all extremely important aspects of the control of the working environment. All power to you who have learned these physical techniques of control.

However, you are going to find in these papers that our speakers will be talking more about the human being who works in this environment, his emotional problems, his training, his partial disabilities and the hazards to safety produced by his reactions with his fellow workers, and with the environment. I suggest that you keep your ears alert to the *clinical* approach of our speakers toward this human being on the job.

It is largely in this field of the behavior of the human being that the physician and nurse make contributions to your safety programs. The slang phrase has it that it takes two to tango. We in medicine are concerned with the person who gets hurt somewhat more than with the physical environment that hurts him. Both are important. So try to take note of the *employee's* part in the prevention of injury when you listen to our three speakers. You people deal with the engineering of the physical environment. We deal in human engineering. It takes both to make an effective team.

LARGE PLANT MEDICAL AND SAFETY PROGRAM

By DANIEL C. BRAUN, M.D.
Assist. Medical Dir., Divisions and Associated Subsidiaries
United States Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.

When I first saw the title of the panel discussion, I was surprised and dismayed to note the use of the word "meddle" as applied to the efforts of physicans and nurses in the safety activity. After some weeks of quiet cogitation, however, I am prepared now to admit that in all fairness, you are entitled to list it so—not because I feel that the medical department has any less responsibility for safety, but simply because of the fact that if this were a medical convention, rather than a safety conference, it might well be that a similar panel would be discussing the "meddling" by safety engineers in the medical program.

Certainly we are entitled to our separate viewpoints, if indeed there is any real difference between them. I am sure, however, that the program chairman simply was indulging in a little humor, expressive of the warmth of feeling and mutual respect that has been engendered by the close association which medical and safety personnel enjoy in industry today. I think I might say that we are compatible—and it is well that this is so since in this day and age, both safety and industrial medicine are *team* efforts which can reach their goals only by diligently following the team approach.

1963

Volume 19

National Safety Congress
Transactions

PETROLEUM INDUSTRIES

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

OFFICERS OF THE

PETROLEUM SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—S. Ross Carr, Gulf Oil Corp., Houston, Texas.

Vice General Chairman—Glenn F. Stednitz, Universal Polychem Mfg. Div., Universal Oil Products Co., McCook, Ill.

Secretary—Roland Pryor, Phillips Petroleum Co., Bartlesville, Okla.

Staff Representative—John Epperson, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60611

Special Representative—Jack McKenna, American Petroleum Institute, New York 20, N. Y.

Program Chairman—Carl Adams, Continental Oil Co., Houston 1, Texas

Chairman, Div. of Production, Drilling & Exploration—Kenneth V. Brooks, Cities Service Oil Co., Bartlesville, Okla.

Chairman, Div. of Marketing—J. J. Jarvis, Atlantic Refining Co., Philadelphia, Pa.

Chairman, Div. of Pipelines—Paul Edwards, Colonial Pipe Line Co., Atlanta 5, Ga.

Chairman, Div. of Manufacturing—C. K. Roof, The Pure Oil Co., Palatine, Ill.

Chairman, Off-The-Job-Safety—E. W. Miles, The Marathon Oil Co., Findlay, Ohio.

Newsletter Editor—John E. Engle, American Oil Co., Whiting Refinery, Whiting, Ind.

Chairman, Publicity & Pub. Relations—Robert Dillsaver, Supt. of Safety, Cities Service Petroleum Co., East Chicago, Ind.

Chairman, Health Committee—Dr. G. H. Collings, Jr., The Standard Oil Co., Chicago 80, Ill.

Chairman, Education & Training—O. C. Haier, The Standard Oil Co., Cleveland 15, Ohio

Chairman, Atlantic Region—G. S. Mattis, Sun Oil Co., Philadelphia, Pa.

Chairman, Gulf Coast Region—Warren W. Myers, Tidewater Oil Co., Houston 1, Texas.

Chairman, Great Lakes Region—Jack Wallis, Ethyl Corp., Chicago, Ill.

Chairman, Mid-Continent Region—John M. Rollins, Ethyl Corp. Nat'l Bank of Tulsa Bldg., Tulsa 3, Okla.

Chairman, Pacific Coast Region—M. T. HAMILTON, Mobil Oil Co., Torrance Refinery, Torrance, Calif.

Chairman Advisory & Study Committee—PARKER C. FOLSE, Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc., New York, N. Y.

Alternate—QUINCY V. TUMA, Texaco, Inc., Houston 1, Texas.

Advisory & Study Committee Members—FRED CLAIBORNE, Pan American Petroleum Corp., Houston 1, Texas; R. D. EBERLY, American Oil Co., Chicago, Ill.; J. H. MCKENZIE, Mobil Oil Co., New York, N. Y.; C. H. LINDSEY, Sinclair Pipe Line Co., Sinclair Bldg., Independence, Kans.; J. HOWARD MYERS, The Atlantic Refining Co., Philadelphia 1, Pa.; H. T. MARKER, Phillips Petroleum Co., Bartlesville, Okla.; F. R. MCLEAN, Mobil Oil Co., Detroit Div., Detroit, Mich.

Members-at-Large—EARL ROSSEAU, Clark Oil & Refining Corp., Blue Island, Ill.; H. P. BRADLEY, Service Pipe Line Co., Tulsa, Okla.; J. U. PARKER, Humble Oil & Refining Co., Houston 1, Texas; KENYON ZIMMERMAN, Marathon Oil Co., Findlay, Ohio; HOMER E. WHEATLEY, D-X Sunray Oil Co.; Tulsa, Okla.; A. G. ATUVALASET, Gulf Oil Co., Houston, Texas; W. A. MOLLOY, Paragon Oil, Long Island City, N. Y.

OFFICERS OF THE

POWER PRESS AND FORGING SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

Secretary—WILLARD A. DUDLEY, Industrial Safety Div., Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester 4, N. Y.

Engineering and Technical Publications Committee Chairmen: Forging Section—WILLIAM H. BERRY, Supt., Forge Div., International Harvester Co., Tractor Works, Chicago 8, Ill.; *Metal Stamping Section*—CLYDE O. ENOCHS, Safety Dir., Chevrolet-Flint Mfg. Div., General Motors Corp., Flint 2, Mich.

General Chairman—JOHN A. CHURCHILL, Supvr. Safety Engg., Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., Milwaukee 1, Wis.

Vice Chairman—E. D. SALLEE, Mgr. of Plant Training, Safety and Industrial Hygiene, American Can Co., New York 17, N. Y.

Off-The-Job-and Public Relations—HUGH CAMPBELL, Supvr. Safety & Plant Protection, New Departure Div., General Motors Corp., Bristol, Conn.

Education and Training Committee Chairman—WM. ATKINSON, JR., Co-ordinator Safety Engg. Services, Continental Can Co., New York 17, N. Y.

Membership Committee Chairman—C. C. DRAKE, Dir. of Training and Safety, Appleton Electric Co., Chicago 13, Ill.

Program Chairman—ROBERT JORDAN, Chief Elec. Engr., Minster Machine Co., Minster, Ohio.

Newsletter Editor—IRVING C. ERRICKSON, Area Safety Dir., Reynolds Metals Co., Louisville, Ky.

Staff Representative—ARTHUR S. KELLY, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago 11, Ill.

Assoc. Newsletter Ed.—K. D. BELL, Safety Adm., Detroit Forge Plt. Chrysler Corp., Detroit 31, Mich.; *C. D. BRAINERD, Safety Engr., Olds Forge Plant, Oldsmobile Div., General Motors Corp., Lansing 21, Mich.; *THOMAS H. BULLARD, Safety Engr., Letterkenny Army Depot, Chambersburg, Pa.; *GIVEN H. DUGGER, Deputy Dir., Operational Safety, U. S. A. E. C. Albuquerque Operations Office; Albuquerque, N. M.; M. R. FRANKLIN, Safety Engr., Guide Lamp Div., General Motors Corp., Anderson, Ind.; MAGNUS GEESZEWSKI, Safety Supv., Inland Steel Products Corp., Milwaukee 1, Wis.; JOSEPH W. HART, Loss Prevention Mgr., Liberty Mutual Ins. Co., Milwaukee 6, Wis.

Members—FRANK HAUSMAN, Safety Engr., Employers Mutual Liability Ins. Co. of Wisconsin, River Forest, Ill.; *EDWARD A. HESSMER, Vice Pres., American Security and Patrol Service, Battle Creek, Mich.; WM. J. KIRSCH, Supv. of Safety, Westinghouse Electric Co., Beaver, Pa.; W. H. KOHLES, Senior Engr., Plant & Factory, Western Electric Co., Columbus, Ohio; *T. A. KRAKLOW, Dir. of Safety, Deere & Co., Moline, Ill.; NEIL McCALLUM, Safety Adm., Personnel Office, Chrysler Corp., Highland Park, Mich.; EMMETT W. McCARTHY, Personnel & Safety Dir., Dreis & Krump Mfg. Co., Chicago 36, Ill.; M. J. McCARTHY, Safety Consultant, Columbus McKinnon Chain Corp., Detroit 3, Mich.; R. L. MOORE, Supt. of Engineers, Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Co., Chicago 40, Ill.; *GEO. R. ORTH, Administrator-Safety, L. T. & T. Corp., New York 22, N. Y. WARREN A. PETERSON, JR., Peterson Products Corp., Schiller Park, Ill.; VINCENT POLLINA, Safety Dept., A. O. Smith Corp., Milwaukee, Wis.; G. A. RITTLING, Safety Engr., Buffalo Stamping Plant, Ford Motor Co., Buffalo, New York; *DONALD W. SEITZ, Asst. Gen. Mgr., Industrial Relations, Continental Can Co., New York 17, N.Y.; GORDON E. TRANK, Safety Dir., J. H. Williams & Co., Buffalo 7, N. Y.; DALE WINGER, Safety Supr., Chevrolet-Cleveland Stamping Plant, Cleveland 30, Ohio

*Former General Chairman

MEMBERS OF THE

PUBLIC SAFETY COM

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCI

Members-at-Large (who are not members of other P. S. com

THEODORE P. BANK, President, Athletic Institute, Inc., Ch
Executive Director, American Recreation Society, Wash
CARY, President, Automobile Carriers, Inc., Flint 6, Mi
Forest Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washin
CRAFTS, Director, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, U. S. Dep
ington 25, D. C.; DR. A. E. FLORIO, American Associatio
tion, and Recreation, c/o University of Illinois, Urbana,
Administrative Assistant, National Sporting Goods Asso
GEAL, Assistant Director, American Camping Association, I
D. GLAZE, JR., Manager, Chattanooga Area Safety Coun
MARK S. GURNEE, Chief, Operations Division, Civil Work
neers, Washington 25, D. C.; JAMES J. HARFORD, Executi
Society, New York 36, N. Y.; FRED V. HEIN, Ph.D., Secre
Aspects of Sports, American Medical Association, Chicago
Chairman, National Men's Swimming Committee, Amate
Naperville, Ill.; PAUL V. JOLIET, M.D., Chief, Division
Public Health Service, Washington 25, D. C.; ALAN L. K
tion, Olin, New York 22, N. Y.; ALFRED B. LAGASSE, Exe
stitute of Park Executives, Wheeling, West Virginia; JA
Director, American College of Surgeons, Chicago 11, Ill.;
rector, Seattle-King County Safety Council, Seattle 4, W
National Director, National Campers and Hikers Associat
NEER, Executive Secretary, National Industrial and Rec
Ill.; JOSEPH PRENDERGAST, Executive Director, National
York 11, N. Y.; EDWARD PRESS, M.D., Chairman, Accident
ican Public Health Association, Evanston, Ill.; CLIFFOR
Health and Safety Committee, American Camping Associ
J. SCHLINK, President and Technical Director, Consumers'
HOWARD C. SEEHAUSEN, Executive Director, Bowling
America, Inc., Park Ridge, Ill.; MAX L. SPRAY, Executive
and First Aid Association, Alexandria, Va.; JAMES K.
American Association for the Surgery of Trauma, Chicag
Director, Outdoor Recreation Division, Ontario Safet
ROBERT TURNER, President, National Industrial Recreat
Ga.; DR. MELVIN M. UDEL, Director, Safety and Occupati
Insurance Company, New York 10, N. Y.; DANIEL P. V
reational Safety Branch, U. S. Public Health Service,

Recreational Boating and Water Safety Committee:

NATHAN G. BAKER, Chief Safety Officer, National Park
JOHN N. BALL, Safety Coordinator, Fish and Wildlife S
NORRIS C. BARNARD, Westfield, N. J.; CAPTAIN RICHARD E
United States Coast Guard Auxiliary, Washington 25, D
ecutive Secretary, International Association of Amusemen
GLADYS L. BROWN, Consultant, Health, Physical Educatio
National Board, New York 22, N. Y.; RICHARD L. BROW
Water Safety, American National Red Cross, Washingto
National Commodore, United States Coast Guard Auxili

MITTEE

L 1963-64

nittees) :

icago 54, Ill.; RAY R. BUTLER, iington 5, D. C.; WALTER F. ch.; EDWARD P. CLIFF, Chief, gton 25, D. C.; DR. EDWARD C. artment of Agriculture, Wash- n for Health, Physical Educa- Ill.; RICHARD V. GALLAGHER, ciation, Chicago 4, Ill.; S. N. nc., Martinsville, Ind.; EUGENE il Inc., Chattanooga 2, Tenn.; s, U. S. Army Corps of Engi- e Secretary, American Rocket tary, Committee on the Medical 10, Ill.; HAROLD W. HENNING, r Athletic Union of the U. S. of Accident Prevention U. S. LING, Director of Loss Preven- cutive Secretary, American In- ES B. MASON, M.D., Assistant AL MCGEE, Public Safety Di- ash.; H. ELLSWORTH NATHAN, ion, Buffalo 21, N. Y.; DON L. eation Association, Chicago 1, Recreation Association, New Prevention Committee, Amer- D. PULIS, Chairman, National ation, Inc., Concord, Mass.; F. Research, Washington, N. J.; Proprietors' Association of Director, International Rescue STACK, M.D., Past President o 11, Ill.; ERNEST M. TAYLOR, League, Toronto, Ontario; ion Association, West Point, onal Health, Metropolitan Life EBSTER, Assistant Chief, Rec- Washington 25, D. C.

Service, Washington 25, D. C.; ervice, Washington 25, D. C.; AXTER, USCG, Chief Director, . C.; JOHN S. BOWMAN, Ex- t Parks, Chicago 1, Ill.; MISS and Recreation, Y. W. C. A., N, Assistant National Director, 13, D. C.; HOMER L. BYERS, ry, Palo Alto, Calif.; ALFRED

W. CA
Washing
Inc., W
Institute,
Committ
Vice Pr
G. H. C
Secretar
17, N. Y
Winter
Fla.; C.
Willowd
H. T.
National
ant, Sta
Presiden
Century
Publicati
Board E
Manager
Washing
ington 2
Coast an
INDUS
Boating
E. C. K
Secretar
Presiden
National
National
Algonac
Vice Pr
DR. G.
Angeles,
tection
Marine
Motor B
of the
E. J. PE
D. C.; N
Mo.; CI
quarters,
Coast G
Publishe
sistant D
13, D. C
States C
Kiekhaef
Governm
Milwauk
Institute,
American
Executiv
SPITZ, D
Executiv
TERWILLI
CLYDE W
Corp., D

W. CANTWELL, National Director, Safety Services, American National Red Cross, Washington 13, D. C.; BRAXTON B. CARR, President American Waterways Operators, Inc., Washington 6, D. C.; RALPH E. CASEY, President, American Merchant Marine Institute, Inc., New York 4, N. Y.; KENNETH B. CHAMP, JN, Chairman, Planning Committee, United States Power Squadrons, Findley, Ohio; CHARLES F. CHAPMAN, Vice President and Publisher, MOTOR BOATING Magazine, New York 19, N. Y.; G. H. CHAPMAN, Upper Mississippi Towing, Minneapolis 16, Minn.; JOSEPH E. CHOATE, Secretary, National Association of Engine and Boat Manufacturers, Inc., New York 17, N. Y.; WILLIAM D. CLIFFORD, Executive Manager, American Water Ski Association, Winter Haven, Fla.; H. H. COLL, President, Chris-Craft Corporation, Pompano Beach, Fla.; C. B. DAVIS, Vice President and Director of Safety, Underwater Society of America, Willowdale, Ontario; T. EISELT, President, Lone Star Boat Company, Plano, Texas; H. T. FRIERMOOD, Senior Secretary, Health and Physical Education, Y. M. C. A., National Council, New York 7, N. Y.; THOMAS GRIFFIN, Senior Administrative Assistant, State Division of Boating, Frankfort, Ky.; RUSSELL D. GUDGEON, Executive Vice President, H. A. Bruno & Associates, New York 20, N. Y.; F. L. HEWITT, JR., President, Century Boat Company, Manistee, Mich.; ROBERT H. HOFFMAN, President, Hoffman Publications, Inc., New York 16, N. Y.; GUY W. HUGHES, Executive Director, Outboard Boating Club of America, Chicago 11, Ill.; MATT C. HUPPUCH, Chief, Project Management Section, Office of the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, Washington 25, D. C.; CAPTAIN J. J. HUTSON, JR., United States Coast Guard, Washington 25, D. C.; CAPTAIN JUNIUS T. JARMAN, Assistant Director, Office of Cartography, Coast and Geodetic Survey, Washington 25, D. C.; CHARLES A. JONES, Editor, BOATING INDUSTRY Magazine, New York 17, N. Y.; MATTHEW J. KAUFMAN, Manager, Boating Services and Education, Outboard Boating Club of America, Chicago 11, Ill.; E. C. KIEKHAEFER, Kiekhaefer Corporation, Fond du Lac, Wisconsin; JOHN G. KINGDON, Secretary, American Boat and Yacht Council, New York 17, N. Y.; RALPH G. KLIEFORTH, President, Universal Motor Company, Oshkosh, Wis.; FRED D. LEETE, III, Chairman, National Board of Examiners, Mackinaw City, Mich.; FRED B. LIFTON, Secretary, National Safe Boating Association, Chicago 11, Ill.; GERALD E. MALLON, Plant Manager, Algonac Div., Chris-Craft Corporation, Algonac, Mich.; CARL E. McDOWELL, Executive Vice President, American Institute of Marine Underwriters, New York 38, N. Y.; DR. G. H. MILLAR, Chief Engineer, Marine Products, McCulloch Corporation, Los Angeles, Calif.; CHARLES S. MORGAN, Assistant General Manager, National Fire Protection Assn., Boston 10, Mass.; JOHN W. MULFORD, Chairman of the Board, Gray Marine Motor Company, Detroit 7, Mich.; JAMES J. O'BRIEN, Director, Division of Motor Boats, Conservation Department, Albany 1, N. Y.; CHARLES J. OWENS, Chairman of the Board, Owens Yacht Division, Brunswick Corporation, Baltimore 22, Md.; E. J. PETERSON, Chief, Safety and Training, Soil Conservation Service, Washington 25, D. C.; N. J. PETERSON, Vice President and Editor, Abos Publishing Company, Columbia, Mo.; CDR ROBERT I. PRICE, Chief, Hull Arrangements Branch, Coast Guard Headquarters, Washington 25, D. C.; CAPT. G. R. REYNOLDS, USCG (*Chairman*), U. S. Coast Guard Headquarters, Washington 25, D. C.; CRITCHELL RIMINGTON, Editor and Publisher YACHTING Magazine, New York 17, N. Y.; CHARLES W. RUSSELL, Assistant Director, Safety Service, Small Craft, American National Red Cross, Washington 13, D. C.; CAPT. W. R. SAYER, Executive Secretary, Merchant Marine Council, United States Coast Guard, Washington 25, D. C.; FRANK SCALPONE, Public Relations Director, Kiekhaefer Corporation, Fond du Lac, Wis.; CHARLES F. SCHWAN, JR., Council of State Governments, Washington, D. C.; W. C. SCOTT, President, Outboard Marine Corporation, Milwaukee 16, Wis.; RADM H. C. SHEPHEARD, USCG, American Merchant Marine Institute, New York 4, N. Y.; FRANK J. SHINNEY, Chairman, Safety Commission, American Power Boat Association, North Weymouth 91, Mass.; HARRY SMITH, JR., Executive Secretary, American Power Boat Association, Detroit 7, Mich.; LOUIS P. SPITZ, Director, Department of Motor Vehicles, Carson City, Nev.; ROBERT H. STEEL, Executive Secretary, National Swimming Pool Institute, Washington 6, D. C.; E. S. TERWILLIGER, Executive Vice President, Yacht Safety Bureau, Inc., Westwood, N. J.; CLYDE W. TRUXELL, General Manager, Detroit Diesel Engine Div., General Motors Corp., Detroit 28, Mich.; WILTON VAUGH, Director, Division of Motorboats, Boston

14, Mass.; L. P. VOIGT, Director, Wisconsin Conservati
WALTER WAGNER, JR., Editor, POPULAR BOATING
HAROLD E. WERSEN, Regional Safety Engineer, Burea
CONRAD L. WIRTH, Director, National Park Service,
WOOD, Director, Boat and Water Safety Division, O

Recreational Shooting Safety Committee:

JOHN COOPER, Assistant Executive Secretary, American
Education, and Recreation, Washington 6, D. C.; LT.
Chief, Marksmanship and Support Branch, United St
D.C.; HARRY L. HAMPTON, JR., Sportmen's Service I
munition Manufacturers Institute, New York 17, N. Y.;
Director, National Wildlife Federation, Washington 6,
man), Executive Director, National Rifle Association o
CDR TOM B. MARTIN, JR., USN, Head Small Arm
Personnel, Department of the Navy, Washington 2
Executive Secretary, Isaak Walton League of
THOMAS J. SHARPE, Dept. of the Army, Training I
DR. JULIAN W. SMITH, Director, Outdoor Educ
University, East Lansing, Mich.; L. P. VOIGT, D
Department, Madison 2, Wis.; FRANK L. WYMA
National Rifle Association of America, Washington

General Aviation Safety Committee:

COL. PAUL C. ASHWORTH, USAF, National Comma
Texas; B. J. BERGESON, Manager, Air Transportation D
Dearborn, Mich.; C. E. A. BROWN, Chairman, Avia
Association of State Aviation Officials, Columbus, O
Safety Commission Chairman, Flying Physicians Ass
CHARLES H. COLE, USAF, Asst. Deputy Chief of St
Ellington Air Force Base, Texas; LEIGHTON COLLI
Princeton, N. J.; ROBERT CRAWFORD, Executive Vice
Ann Arbor, Mich.; H. WEBSTER CRUM, Vice Pre
Corporation, Stratford, Conn.; COL. HERBERT S. ELLI
Operations, Civil Air Patrol, Ellington Air Force Base
Director, National Aerospace Education Council, Wash
Chief, Safety Investigation, Civil Aeronautics Board,
GEUTING, JR., Manager, Utility Airplane Council, Ae
America, Washington 6, D. C.; CARL HANCEY, Dean, A
Safety Division, University of Southern California, L
RANFT, JR., President, Aircraft Owners and Pilots A
MISS GLORIA W. HEATH, Director, Private Flying Fli
York 16, N. Y.; MRS. EUGENIA R. HEISE, Past P
waukee 17, Wis.; JAMES HOLAHAN, Editor, Business
17, N. Y.; RADM JAMES L. HOLLAND, MC, USN
Francisco, Calif.; HENRY F. HOWE, M.D., Secretary,
American Medical Association, Chicago 10, Ill.; F. R
American Association of Airport Executives, Wilmin
dent, American Mercury Insurance Company, Washin
Research Coordinator, Flight Safety Foundation, Inc
KRATZ, President, National Aviation Insurance Group,
Managing Director, Flight Safety Foundation, Inc.
LIEURANCE, Associate Chief, Weather Bureau, Wash
LINK, Executive Secretary, Link Foundation, Ne
McCLARREN, Executive Secretary, Aviation Space
Penn.; ROBERT A. McCORMICK, Ph.D., Director of

on Department, Madison 2, Wis.; Magazine, New York 16, N. Y.; u of Reclamation, Boise, Idaho; Washington 25, D. C.; C. Ray klahoma City, Okla.

Association for Health, Physical Colonel Frederick B. Howard, tates Air Force, Washington 25, Bureau, Sporting Arms and Am- Thomas L. Kimball, Executive D. C.; Louis F. Lucas, (*Chair-* f America, Washington 6, D. C.; s Competition, Bureau of Naval), D. C.; William A. Riaski, America, Glenview, Ill.; Col. Division, Washington 25, D. C.; ation Project, Michigan State irector, Wisconsin Conservation n, Director, Program Division, 6, D. C.;

nder, Civil Air Patrol, Houston, Department, Ford Motor Company, tion Safety Committee, National hio; Harold N. Brown, M. D., ociation, Lombard, Ill.; Lt. Col. aff, Operations, Civil Air Patrol, ns, Editor, *Air Facts* Magazine, : President, National Aero Club, sident, Lycoming Division, Avco s, USAF, Deputy Chief of Staff, , Texas; Evan Evans, Executive ington 6, D. C.; Joseph O. Fluet, Washington 25, D. C.; Joseph T. rospace Industries Association of dministrator, Aviation and Missile os Angeles 7, Calif.; J. B. Hart- ssociation, Washington 14, D. C.; ight Safety Foundation, Inc., New resident, Ninety-Nines, Inc., Mil- /Commercial Aviation, New York Fleet Surgeon, Pacific Fleet, San Committee on Aerospace Medicine, ussell Hoyt, Executive Director, gton 99, Del.; John Idler, Presi- gton, D. C.; Merwyn W. Kraft, ., New York 16, N. Y.; D. W. St. Louis 5, Mo.; Jerome Lederer, , New York 16, N. Y.; N. A. ington 25, D. C.; Miss Marilyn v York 16, N. Y.; Ralph H. Writers Association, Jenkintown, Marketing Trng., Cessna Aircraft

Schoo
Natio
Assist
Monti
ington
D. C.
Col. (
David
D. C.;
Washi
motiv
ers' A
Associ
Clarer

Trampoli

Merri
Cedar
Servic
Educa
Rober
Direct
Natio
4, Cal
Rapid
Instit
Amer
dent,
Natio
Sales
Presic
Direct
Presic
Physi

Winter S

Nath
D. C.
6, Ill.
Alfre
Cross,
Ski I
burgh
Skier
er, Y
ecutiv
Servic
R. Jo
servat
Branc
Assist
13, D
Rowa
Miss
Rusci

School of Public Health, Boston 15, Mass.; A. B. McMULLEN, Executive Director, National Association of State Aviation Officials, Washington 5, D. C.; R. E. MONROE, Assistant Director, Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association, Washington 14, D. C.; MONTE PIERCE, Chief Aviation Officer, Division of Fire Control, Forest Service, Washington 25, D. C.; DR. F. W. REICHELDERFER, Chief, Weather Bureau, Washington 25, D. C.; WILLIAM REQUARTH, M.D., Chairman, General Aviation Council Decatur, Ill.; COL. CHRIS H. W. RUETER, USAF, Chief of Staff, Civil Air Patrol, Houston, Texas; DAVID H. SCOTT, Executive Vice President, National Pilots Association, Washington 6, D. C.; LCDR M. G. SHRODE, JR., Chief, Flight Safety Branch, United States Coast Guard, Washington 25, D. C.; M. LEROY STONER, Secretary, Technical Board, Society of Automotive Engineers, New York 17, N. Y.; L. T. SUTTER, President, National Flying Farmers' Association, Woodward, Okla.; A. PAUL VANCE, President, National Pilots Association, Washington 6, D. C.; GILL ROBB WILSON, Publisher, FLYING Magazine, Claremont, Calif.

Trampoline Safety Committee:

MERRILL E. AITCHISON, Executive Director, Trampoline Rebound Tumbling Association, Cedar Rapids, Iowa; JOHN T. COLLIER, Chief, Recreational Safety Branch, Public Health Service, Washington 25, D. C.; C. CARSON CONRAD, Chief, Bureau of Health, Physical Education and Recreation, California State Dept. of Education, Sacramento 14, Calif.; ROBERT FENNER, President, Fenner-Hamilton Corp., Englewood, Colo.; JOHN FLEMING, Director, Public Safety Department, National Safety Council; HAL FREY, Chairman, National Collegiate Athletic Assoc. Rules Committee, University of California, Berkeley 4, Calif.; RICH HARRIS, Nissen Trampoline Company, 930 27th Avenue, S. W., Cedar Rapids, Iowa; JOSEPH H. HILL, Director, Promotion and Public Relations, Athletic Institute, Chicago 54, Ill.; ROBERT KAPLAN, Ph.D., Department of Health Education, American Medical Association, Chicago 10, Ill.; GEORGE P. NISSEN, (*Chairman*), President, Nissen Trampoline Company, Cedar Rapids, Iowa; JESS ROBINSON, President, National Trampoline Assoc., Burbank, Calif.; JOHN R. SCHREPFERMAN, Vice President—Sales & Marketing, Waco-Porter Corp., Schiller Park, Ill.; MEREDITH SHRIVER, Vice President, American Trampoline Co., Chicago 4, Ill.; G. MARVIN SHUTT, Executive Director, National Sporting Goods Assoc., Chicago 4, Ill.; WILLIAM W. SORENSON, President, American Trampoline Co., Jefferson 56, Iowa; DAVID C. STUBBS, Director, Physical Education, YMCA, Chicago, Ill.

Winter Sports Safety Committee:

NATHAN G. BAKER, Chief, Safety Services, National Park Service, Washington 25, D. C.; ANDREW J. BOEHM, Executive Director, American Fishing Tackle Assoc., Chicago 6, Ill.; RICHARD H. BOHR, First Vice President U. S. Ski Assoc., Cleveland 6, Ohio; ALFRED W. CANTWELL, Director, Safety Services, First Aid, American National Red Cross, Washington 13, D. C.; M GANT CARLTON, Ski Safety Advisor, National Ski Patrol System, Lyons, N. J.; JOHN R. EDELBERG, Safety Engineer, Plattsburgh Air Force Base, N. Y.; ARTHUR E. ELLISON, M.D., Chairman, National Skier Research Foundation, Willamstown Mass.; ELMER FLADMARK, Chief Ranger, Yosemite National Park, Calif.; WARREN E. GILBERTSON, (*Chairman*), Executive Officer, Environmental Engineering and Food Protection, Public Health Service, Washington 25, D. C.; HAROLD M. GORE, Amherst, Mass.; WILLIAM R. JORDON, Administrative Assistant, Forests and Parks Division, Wisconsin Conservation Dept., Madison 1, Wis.; HENRY G. LAMB, Secretary, Safety Standards Branch, American Standards Association, Inc., New York 16, N. Y.; ROBERT M. OSWALD, Assistant National Director, Safety Services, First Aid, American Red Cross, Washington 13, D. C.; WILLIAM N. PARKE, U. S. Forest Service, Washington 25, D. C.; DAVID ROWAN, Executive Secretary, National Ski Areas Association, New York 17, N. Y.; Miss RUTH RUDNER, Associate Editor, SKI Magazine, New York 17, N. Y.; SEPP RUSCHP, President, Mt. Mansfield Company, Stowe, Vt.; RAYMOND WEISBOND, Pro-

OFFICERS OF THE

RUBBER SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL

General Chairman—Frank C. Starbird, Safety Dir., The Firest Decatur, Ill.

First Vice-Chairman—S. T. Burrows, Safety Dir., Mansfield T field, Ohio.

Second Vice-Chairman—F. J. Frame, Dir. of Safety, Goodyea Michigan, Jackson, Mich.

Secretary—N. R. Hunter, Safety Engr., Dunlop Tire & Rubb York.

Newsletter Editor—N. C. Longer, Safety Supvr., United States

Engineering Committee—G. W. Nickel *(Chairman)*, Mgr. of Saf Lancaster, Pa.; C. R. Covert, Mgr. Safety & Labor, Goody Industrial Products Div., Akron 16, Ohio; E. F. Metz, Safety & Chemical Corp., Baton Rouge, La.; *G. H. Burkhardt, Dir & Rubber Co., Akron 9, Ohio.

Health Committee—*F. W. Sands *(Chairman)*, Ind. Hygienist, New York 20, N.Y.; R. A. Manning, Mgr., Safety & Hygiene Co., Akron 16, Ohio; W. E. McCormick, Mgr., Ind. Hygiene Goodrich Co., Akron 18, Ohio; L. H. Ballou, M.D., Firest Akron 17, Ohio; W. A. McCausland, M.D., B. F. Goodric The B. F. Goodrich Co., Watertown 72, Mass.

Membership Committee—*C. S. Kruger *(Chairman)*, Personnel M ber Div., Carlisle, Pa.; *J. L. Dean, Supvr. of Safety, The F Co., Memphis, Tenn.

Education & Training Committee—E. C. Sheid *(Chairman)*, Su Footwear Plant, U.S. Rubber Company, Naugatuck, Conn.; E Dir., Gates Rubber Co., Denver 17, Colo.; L. W. Boulton, S Supvr., Polymer Corp., Sarina, Ont., Canada.

Publicity, Trade Association, and Liaison Committee—R. G. Fo Relations, General Tire & Rubber Co., Pennsylvania Div., Jean Editor, Rubber World, New York 17, N. Y.

Rules and Regulations Committee—*T. J. Cain, Jr. (Chair.), I Goodrich Co., Akron 18, Ohio

Statistics Committee—T. E. Leah, (Chair.) Safety Dir., United S 32, Mich.

1963-64

tone Tire & Rubber Co.,

ire & Rubber Co., Mans-

r Tire & Rubber Co. of

r Corp., Buffalo 5, New

Rubber Co., Passaic, N.J.

ety, Armstrong Cork Co.,
ear Tire & Rubber Co.,
Mgr., Copolymer Rubber
of Safety, General Tire

United States Rubber Co.,
, Goodyear Tire & Rubber
& Toxicology, The B. F.
one Tire & Rubber Co.,
h Footwear Co., Div. of

Mgr., Carlisle Tire & Rub-
Firestone Tire & Rubber

pvr. of Safety, Naugatuck
. E. GOLDSWORTH, Safety
afety & Plant Protection

REJT (Chair.), Mgr., Ind.
nette, Pa.; R. S. WALKER,

Dir. of Safety, The B. F.

States Rubber Co., Detroit

Reclaim Manu
cal, Div. of
Relations, M
Tire & Rubb

Rubber Labor
Cork Co.,
United Stat
States Rubb

Fire Safety C
Ohio; M. V
72, Mass.;

Off-the-Job S
Protection,
Safety, Fire
Springfield

Synthetic Man
States Rubb
ber & Late
Chemicals, I

Advisory Com
Safety Eng
A. BULLOCK
WILSON, M.
Supvr., Safe

Staff Represen
cago Ill. 606

*Past General

Reclaim Manufacturing Committee—R. P. AYER (Chair.), Safety Supv., Naugatuck Chemical, Div. of United States Rubber Co., Naugatuck, Conn.; *R. M. BOYLES, Dir. of Ind. Relations, Midwest Rubber Reclaiming Co., East St. Louis, Ill.; *R. W. FICKES, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron 16, Ohio

Rubber Laboratories Committee—K. B. CORBETT, (Chair.) Supvr. of Safety, Armstrong Cork Co., Lancaster, Pa.; R. P. AYER, Safety Supvr., Naugatuck Chemical, Div. of United States Rubber Co., Naugatuck, Conn.; E. J. FRANCOIS, Safety Supvr., United States Rubber Co., Research Center, Wayne, N. J.

Fire Safety Committee—J. H. HAGER, (Chair.) Safety Dir., Ohio Rubber Co., Willoughby, Ohio; M. V. BARBA, Fire and Safety Engr., B. F. Goodrich Footwear Co., Watertown 72, Mass.; ROY JOHNSON, Safety Engr., Armstrong Rubber Co., West Haven, Conn.

Off-the-Job Safety Committee—*W. M. GRAFF, (Chair.) Mgr. of Safety, Health & Fire Protection, United States Rubber Co., New York 20, N. Y.; *M. R. BANCHE, Mgr. of Safety, Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., Akron 17, Ohio; A. C. HOWARD, Safety Dir., Kelly-Springfield Tire Co., Cumberland, Md.

Synthetic Manufacturing Committee—J. R. WETHERHOLT, (Chair.) Safety Supvr., United States Rubber Co., Geismar, La.; T. P. GARLAND, Safety Engr., Firestone Synthetic Rubber & Latex Co., Lake Charles La.; J. B. CHENOWETH, Safety Engr., Goodrich-Gulf Chemicals, Inc., Institute, W. Va.

Advisory Committee—*C. E. BECK, St. Clair Rubber Co., Marysville, Mich.; *T. H. BOYD, Safety Engr., Manhattan Rubber Div. of Raybestos Manhattan, Inc., Passaic, N. J.; *R. A. BULLOCK, Personnel Mgr., Corduroy Rubber Co., Grand Rapids, Mich. 49501; R. H. WILSON, M. D., Medical Dir., The B. F. Goodrich Co., Akron 18, Ohio; *S. A. WRIGHT, Supvr., Safety & Hspkg., Inland Mfg. Div., General Motors Corp., Dayton 1, Ohio

Staff Representative—R. G. BELKNAP, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago Ill. 60611

*Past General Chairman

OFFICERS OF THE

PULP AND PAPER

NATIONAL SAFETY COU

General Chairman—ROBERT M. GILMORE, Gen. Safety S
& Levee, Hoquiam, Wash. 98850

Vice Chairman and Program Chairman—MERLIN C. R
Co., New York, N. Y. 10017

Vice Chairman and Newsletter Editor—FORREST E. KIM
K V P Sutherland Paper Co., Kalamazoo, Mich. 4900

Secretary—GORDON B. LEMKE, Director, Technical Spe
ployers Mutuals of Wausau, Wausau, Wis. 54401

Regional Representatives—Chairman—*FRANCIS H. W
Corp., Chillicothe, Ohio 45601

Lake States—*ARTHUR CARLE, Safety Dir., The Northw

Middle Atlantic—*VINCENT P. COULON, Manager of S
Fulton, N. Y. 13069

Southern—*DALLAS E. HENRY, Safety Supvr., Contin
Kraft Div., Hodge, La. 71521

Pacific Coast—DAN ADAIR, Safety Coordinator, Pacific
Portland, Ore. 97205

Canada—*A. E. MINOR, Safety Supvr., The Ontario-
Kenora, Ontario, Canada

Midwest—*EARL F. RIPSTRA, Personnel Mgr., Contain
Wheaton, Ill. 60187

Central—H. E. NEWBURY, Safety Supvr., Packaging I
Mathieson Chemical Corp., Pisgah Forest, N. C. 2876

New England—ORBBIE K. WEBBER, Personnel Mgr., S
Mass. 01437

Contest Committee—Chairman—A. SCOTT DOWD, Pres.
Ill. 60605; RICHARD DYER, Corporate Mgr. of Sa
Airport, Philadelphia, Pa. 19113; *J. FRED BERRY, G
Alton, Ill. 62004; *D. V. HILL, Safety Dir., The

Special Projects Committee—Chairman—Ross E. Scot
Makers' Safety Assn., Toronto 1, Ont., Can.; RUSSE
Div., Georgia-Pacific Corp., Crossett, Ark. 71635; D
sota & Ontario Paper Co., International Falls, Minn.
Paper Mills Div., Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N.

Off-The-Job Safety Committee—Chairman—RICHARD
Bemis Bro. Bag Co., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402;

SECTION

NCIL 1963-64

ıpvr., Rayonier Incorporated, Eighth

ıCE, Dir. of Safety, St. Regis Paper

MELL, Safety, Plant Protection Mgr.,
4

ıialists, Safety & Health Dept., Em-

'AGNER, Dir. of Safety, The Mead

vest Paper Co., Cloquet, Minn. 55720

ıfety, Sealright-Oswego Falls Corp.,

ıental Can Co., Inc., Paperboard &

Coast Assn. of Pulp & Paper Mfgrs.,

Minnesota Pulp & Paper Co., Ltd.,

ır Corp. of America, Carol Stream,

Div., Ecusta Paper Operations, Olin
3

t. Regis Paper Co., East Pepperell,

., Fritz Publications, Inc., Chicago,
ety, Scott Paper Co., International
en. Ins. Mgr., Alton Box Board Co.,
Mead Corp., Kingsport, Tenn. 37660

r, Mgr., The Ontario Pulp & Paper
L E. BELL, Safety Director, Crossett
. L. EISENACH, Safety Dir., Minne-
56649; *ROBERT J. GELL, Staff Asst.,
Y. 14604

H. WAHLFELD, Safety Coordinator,
A. R. PROFFITT, Dir. of Personnel,

Fit
Re
vis

Pulpu
Co
be
Su
Ka
10

Pulp
So
M
so
Sa
Sa
W.

Paper
tio
R.
H
JA
N.
Al

Bag (
Pe
Pe
Oh
Cr

Roofi
Co
Pr
Ne
Pr

Insula
Cl
31

Speci
Pr
Co
En

Shipp
In
Sa
of

Staff
Ch

*Past

Fitchburg Paper Co., Fitchburg, Mass. 01421; MRS. MARIAN IRVING, Asst. to Indust. Relations Dir., Stone Container Corp., Chicago, Ill. 60611; EARL C. YOHE, Safety Supervisor, P. H. Glatfelter Co., Spring Grove, Pa. 17362

Pulpwood Logging Committee—Chairman—E. C. CORDON, Safety Dir., Louisiana Forestry Commission, Broadview Sta., Baton Rouge, La. 70815; E. N. DENISON, Mgr., The Quebec Pulp & Paper Safety Assn., Inc., Quebec 14, P. Q., Canada; A. R. BENTLEY, Safety Supv., Hiwassee Land Co., c/o Bowaters Southern Paper Corp., Calhoun, Tenn. 37311; KENNETH S. ROLSTON, Forest Engr., American Pulpwood Assn., New York, N. Y. 10017; J. OMER LANG, Chief, Woods Employment Unit, Brown Co., Berlin, N. H. 03570.

Pulp Manufacturing Committee—Chairman—HARRIS K. WILLIAMS, Coordinator of Safety, Southern Kraft Div., International Paper Co., Mobile, Ala. 36601; ROBERT G. ISON, Mgr., of Safety Services, Kimberly-Clark Corp., Neenah, Wis. 54956; JACK F. ROBERTSON, Gen. Safety Supv., Crown Zellerbach Corp., Portland, Ore. 97204; H. G. BARRETT, Safety Dir., Ontario Paper Co., Ltd., Thorold, Ontario, Canada; JOHN S. TURNER, Safety Coordinator, Bowaters Southern Paper Corp., Calhoun, Tenn. 37311; ALLEN H. WATTS, Safety Dir., Union Bag-Camp Paper Corp., Savannah, Ga. 31402

Paper Manufacturing Committee—Chairman—JAMES M. PINKSTON, JR., Employee Relations Supv., Packaging Div., Olin Mathieson Chemical Corp., West Monroe, La. 71291; R. H. BLUNDEN, Safety Mgr., Scott Paper Co., Marinette, Wis. 54143; FRANK C. HARMON, Employment Mgr., Hollingsworth & Voss Co., West Groton, Mass. 01472; JAMES E. JONES, Dir., Indust. Relations Div., American Paper & Pulp Assn., New York, N. Y. 10017; CHESTER W. MATZENGER, JR., Safety Dir., International Paper Co., Mobile, Ala. 36601

Bag Committee—Chairman—HAROLD HEWITT, Asst. Personnel Mgr., Bemis Bros. Bag Co., Peoria, Ill. 61601; C. C. MACPIKE, Safety Dir., Kraft Center, St. Regis Paper Co., Pensacola, Fla. 32502; T. R. ROBERTS, Personnel Mgr., Raymond Bag Co., Middletown, Ohio 45042; DURWOOD ALFORD, Personnel & Safety Supvr., Gaylord Container Div., Crown Zellerbach Corp., Bogalusa, La. 70427

Roofing Committee—Chairman—HARRY R. MESLER, JR., Dir. of Safety, The Ruberoid Co., South Bound Brook, N. J. 08846; L. D. HOROWITZ, Safety Dir., Certain-Teed Products Co., Ambler, Pa.; JOHN F. TUFFY, Assistant Ins. Mgr., The Flinkote Co., New York, N. Y. 10020; ROBERT C. SMITH, Supv. of Safety, Industrial Hygiene & Plant Protection, Johns-Manville Prod. Corp., Waukegan, Ill. 60086

Insulation Committee—Chairman—BUDWIN J. LEE, Safety Dir., Wood Conversion Co., Cloquet, Minn. 55720; W. S. WELLS, Personnel Mgr., Armstrong Cork Co., Macon, Ga. 31200

Specialties Committee—Chairman—ALFRED B. BARNES, Safety Engr., Fibreboard Paper Products Corp., San Francisco, Calif. 94106; E. D. LEWIS, Asst. Indust. Relations Mgr., Container Corporation of America, Philadelphia, Pa. 19127; B. E. MCGARRAHAN, Safety Engr., The Mead Corp., Chillicothe, Ohio 45601

Shipping Container & Folding Carton Committee—Chairman—JACK DUNMAN, Asst. Mgr., Industrial Relations, Container Corp. of America, Louisville, Ky. 40200; GLEN BUTLER, Safety Dir., Packaging Corp. of America, Rittman, Ohio 44270; EARL L. KINTER, Dir. of Employee Relations, Weyerhaeuser Co., Shipping Container Div., Chicago, Ill. 60611

Staff Representative—ROBERT CURRIE, National Safety Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60611

*Past General Chairman

PUBLIC EMPLOYEE SECTION

GETTING THE MESSAGE TO THE EMPLOYEES

By EDWARD J. CREMENS
Safety Advisor, Commonwealth Edison Co., Chicago

If we are to consider the subject of getting the safety message to the employees, it would seem we should begin with an understanding of the dimensions of our playing field. I believe the very title of this subject implies certain things.

It implies that we must be in possession of a safety message; that there are employees who can benefit from this information; and finally, certain methods are required to communicate with our people.

Although each of these considerations could be discussed at great length, every safety organization is faced with the problem as a whole. Commonwealth Edison is no exception and with your permission, I shall attempt to review what we are doing in regard to messages, means, and methods.

The title of this review is "Get Your Product Out on the Street" and has (to quote Dr. Arthur Secord) about as much connection with safety as "bullfighting has to agriculture." But there is a connection and I attempt to explain it in this way.

All of us here today have a product to sell. Safety is a product as much as life insurance, bank accounts or vacation trips are products, and to realize any degree of success, we must get it out on our street. We must get the message to the man on the job. Paradoxically, while safety is quite easy to sell and our clientele is generally receptive, it seems very difficult to get across with a lasting and completely convincing effect. We find it requires repetitive contact, constant advertising and an enthusiastic effort to promote new, fresh ideas by sincere and dedicated safety salesmen.

I believe we are somewhat in the position of the psychiatrist who reported that half of his patients went to him because they weren't married and the other half because they were. We get the attention of our people because about half of them have had an accident and the other half don't want one.

I said we must be sincere and enthusiastic, with a real desire to get our product on the street and this is why we are here today. Perhaps as I tell you what has been done at Commonwealth Edison, an idea may be stimulated in your mind and an entirely new concept of safety salesmanship will be born. We cannot accurately measure the effectiveness of any of the activities we will discuss. However, the overall affect has been a gratifying reduction in frequency rate in the last nine years.

I do *not* mean to imply that we are satisfied and resting. We don't pretend to have all the answers nor propose that you copy our methods. But, perhaps some of the ideas, schemes and gadgets I shall describe will help you in *your* all-important work of preventing accidents, injuries, and fatalities.

First of all, let's look at our problem. Commonwealth Edison generates electricity in 13 generating stations (one of which is the Dresden nuclear power station), and distributes it through 5600 miles of cable and 31,000 miles of overhead lines to 2,000,000 customers located in 11,000 sq. mi. Our territory ranges from the Mississippi River to Lake Michigan, and from the Wisconsin line southward about 115 miles.

To do this job, we have about 13,000 employees; a fourth of them work in our generating stations, about one third are in offices or shops, and the rest are scattered over the system in small crews consisting of one to ten men.

We drive about 30 million miles a year in 1500 passenger cars, 1000 trucks, and 500 trailers, cranes and assorted vehicles. We operate equipment ranging from small calibrating devices on a work bench, to boilers almost as large as our 20-story, quarter

of a block square main office building. These boilers burn 150 tons of coal an hour, and we burn about two per cent of all the coal mined in the United States.

The responsibility for doing this job safely is the responsibility of everyone, from the president on down, but the safety section is charged with the specific job of accident prevention; so let's look at the organization of the safety section, which is part of the industrial relations department.


Reporting to the superintendent are a safety engineer and two safety supervisors. The safety engineer has a safety advisor, a stenographer and a statistician; the two safety supervisors have functional supervision over 11 safety advisors assigned to our seven divisions. This makes a total of 18 people, or about one safety man for every 700 employees in the company, which, I believe, is about average for the industry. Our budget amounts to about $1 per month per employee.

Policies are determined by the safety policy committee, composed of managers in charge of power production, engineering, operating, technical services, and industrial relations; the personnel director; and the superintendent of safety. This high level group meets every three weeks and not only sees that decisions are carried out, but also helps the safety division by providing guidance and leadership. There are eight subcommittees of this main committee which study the details of various proposals and recommended action to be taken.

Our 13 generating stations have a safety council consisting of the superintendent of all stations as chairman, and staff personnel with assistant station chiefs as council members. In addition, every generating station has its *own* safety committee to handle problems within that station.

In several of the company divisions, where the administration is separate from the stations, each district has a safety committee whose members may be elected or appointed by management. We also have tool committees and other groups who keep safety in mind when making decisions on tools and equipment. And a representative of the safety division is a member of most of the committees mentioned.

But no safety program can succeed with-



t the backing of top management. One the indications of our top management's itude on the importance of accident pre- ntion is the fact that the annual report nailed to all employees as well as to the ckholders) contains a statement of our fety progress.

Each fall, at our all-day management nference, where the president, vice-presi- nts, and other executives discuss past sults and future activities, the subject safety is prominent. One of the high- hts is the presentation of the president's dal to those employees who have saved meone's life, either on or off the job.

Another tradition at this meeting is the arding of prizes for the first, second, d third best suggestions submitted by nployees, and for the most suggestions cepted. As you can see, suggestions on fety lead all other classifications and count for about one-seventh of all those ceived. This completes a rapid survey of e area to be serviced and the people gaged in promoting our safety product.

Now, let's discuss some of the programs, eas and innovations we have initiated have perpetuated to make our employees fety conscious. First, of course, is that l reliable activity, the safety meeting. it is a large meeting, we often increase effectiveness by inviting a top official attend. It is not unusual for a division ce-president to appear and speak. There nothing more effective than for the en to hear, right from a top executive, hat the company's attitude is toward safety.

The program for large groups reflects careful consideration of a subject of gen- al interest or perhaps a local problem interest to all present. Variety is very portant, so we take advantage of avail- le speakers, films, and occasionally audi- ce participation programs. This type of rge meeting, of perhaps 150 to 200 peo- e in a generating station, is held two more times a year and lasts about one ur.

The individual work groups generally meet ce each month to consider safety prob- ms concerning their particular work and offer safety suggestions. In addition, re-minute safety talks are delivered by remen to their respective groups, usually e day each week before starting work.

In work locations outside the generating stations, smaller meetings are held and the program is less formal but no less interesting. We may even make a game out of it. In "Tic Tac Toe—What Do You Know," the men are split into two teams. A man from one team chooses a category, selects one question from a group of 10, and if he answers it correctly within a two-minute period, places his "X" or "O" in the proper space. The other side then gets a turn. The members of the winning team get an inexpensive prize—such as a cigar or candy bar for each man—and the participants, as well as the onlookers (and kibitzers), have learned a safety lesson while enjoying it.

I mentioned earlier that almost half of our people are scattered all over our system in small groups. If it is inconvenient to bring the men into a meeting, we go to them by means of a slide projector, alerted so it can be operated on six volts as well as 120 volts. The leads can be connected to a truck or car battery, and the cover has a built-in screen. With this, we can hold small meetings right in the field; or by changing the six volt bulb to a 120 volt bulb, we can use it in a meeting room and describe accidents, demonstrate the correct or incorrect ways of working, and describe pictorially all the other information which safety people constantly bring to employees. But it just isn't possible to have a safety representative present at every meeting of field crews so, again, assistance goes out to them.

In our construction areas, foremen are furnished material for use in a weekly "tail-board" conference, so called because it takes place at the tailboard of the truck. Some of the topics are discussions or editorials, and serve as a basis for the foremen to analyze the actions, attitudes or habits of people, of the hazards of the job. Other topics are pictorial, and illustrate unsafe practices. In handling the pictorial topics, the foreman gives each member of the crew a copy of a picture, such as one where the lineman has dropped a wrench; then asks leading questions such as, "What is the safe way to raise or lower tools to or from a lineman on a pole? Is there anything in our safety rule book regarding this practice? Why should everyone wear a hard hat?"

We also discuss recent accidents using semi-comical drawings, which, with the descriptive material, explain what happened. These tailboard topics can also be used in a shop area, and can be issued monthly or quarterly, depending on how often you believe they will be most effective.

We place considerable emphasis on the value of a foreman's responsibility for the safety of his men. If an area has an especially poor accident record, we may invite the foremen to a series of dinner meetings. We choose a well-known restaurant, bring in about 50 foremen at a time, and have a company official (such as a vice-president) discuss the company's position and attitude on safety.

At one such meeting we asked the foremen for *their* comments, and no matter what each foreman said, his remarks were listed without any argument or attempt to justify the position of the company. After the series of meetings was concluded, all the comments were summarized, and the ideas and suggestions were analyzed. When the problems most often mentioned were determined, we set out to change or correct these items, with the result that in the areas involved, we had the greatest decrease in the number of accidents in the next few years.

A moment ago, I mentioned a safety rule book. I believe that most large companies have one, and all companies have policies or practices which could be regarded as rules. We have a "general" book which applies to all employees, and special sections (which are added to the "general" book) that contain the rules for a particular type of work, such as stores, meter, shops, underground, generating stations, overhead, etc.

We have also a series of work practice manuals. These show the approved ways or methods of doing the work and differ from the safety rules in that they go into detail as to *how* a particular job should be done. For example, a safety rule might state that a transformer must be tested before it is connected, but how it should be tested is described in these manuals.

However, no matter how many rules or rule books we have, no matter how many work practices have been tried and approved, no matter how many tools have


been safely designed, we still must *sell* every employee, for until he is fully sold on safety, he is not a 100 per cent safe worker. Now here are a lot of ways to sell, and a lot of ways to advertise, which is a preliminary step in the selling process.

One of these ways is to use signs. I'm sure all of you use them in some form or other and to some extent. Here are some we have used. Since first impressions are important, every applicant coming into our employment office sees this statement, "Safety is a must in our company and helps make it a good place to work, make sure of safety first."

From the time an employee signs on with us, until he signs off many years later, he is besieged with signs. They may hang on the wall of a district garage and carry a message for operating personnel, or be large outdoor affairs, so located that both employees and the public can see it through the entrance gate. It may paraphrase the well-known G.I. slogan, "Kilroy was here—and worked safely," and be pasted on the side of a transformer so it may be discovered by the next crew assigned to this location; or it may be a catchy message cemented to the aisle of our shop, where mechanics, carpenters and painters can see it—if they watch their step.

For bulletin boards, we buy posters from the Edison Electric Institute or the National Safety Council, or we originate our own. One such is of a series we created, showing what happens to Miles Zaway when his mind *is* miles away. To illustrate the importance of using protective equipment, we conceived 12 posters using a sports professional as the theme. The "Old Pro" says: "The other eight are in the field, but still the game must wait; Until he dons his safety gear, he won't go near that plate." Each month a different sport is depicted: Basketball in the spring, football in the fall, hockey in winter, etc.

Each of our construction crews is furnished a card every month. These are hung in the crew compartment of the trucks where the men will see them as they ride from job to job. Some of the cards are aimed at a specific season of the year, others at a specific date. When we have our annual drive or campaign, we



ign a card to augment whatever other blicity is used.

For many years, our construction crew emen and their supervisors were issued ds of this type. Each four week period, star was placed in the proper space to licate a perfect record. If a lost-time ident occurred, it was recorded. We continued their use several years ago, because the idea wasn't effective for a ile, but because many years of use d dulled their impact. We believe that safety salesmanship, you must keep using ferent and novel techniques to maintain erest. I have been told even something e this will become less interesting as e goes on.

Every four weeks we issue an accident perience report showing the total num- of accidents occurring during the four eks, and year-to-date; the number of abling injuries; and the freqeuncy rate, npared to the previous year. We also scribe the disabling injuries during that iod.

A monthly motor vehicle accident report is ued and lists the number of car and ck accidents and the rate per hundred hicles. We use this comparison rather n accidents-per mile basis because of the ference in traffic conditions between our ral areas and Chicago. Both the injury d vehicle reports have company-wide cir- lation, so that everyone has access to the ures. Transportation memos, varying in lor and carrying a different message each nth, are pasted on the dash or other con- cuous places inside our cars to remind driver that he should be a "better than erage driver."

From time to time, bulletins on hazards issued on items which might not be vious to the average person. Some of se items have been thermal insulating derwear, flammable cleaning solvents and ir sprays, dangerous toys for children, d hazards encountered when working near nts which use radioactive materials.

Of course, we wouldn't pass the oppor- nity to use our monthly magazine, and try to report safety news of sufficient erest to be printed. When an event of usual significance occurs, we ask for the nt or back cover, and the editor has en very gracious and accommodating.

Now let's discuss some of the gadgets, gimmicks and graphic guile we have used to remind employees that the company has a safety program.

Many of you are familiar with the symbol used by many electric utilities known as Reddy Kilowatt. Our company uses "Little Bill." Shortly after he was introduced to our customers, the safety section created "Bea Safe" to represent our many safety activities. We think she is very attractive and a first-class attention-getter.

For example, when we make arrangements with the window display manager to devote one of the windows in our main office building to safety equipment, Bea Safe is there, to be seen by the public passing by as well as by our employees.

Speaking of displays, whenever we have an open house, such as upon completion of a new building like our technical center or a district office, we try to wangle some space somewhere to preach the safety message. If families are invited, we may show Mickey Mouse to the kids, or distribute an inexpensive prize to each child. Many times after mama has seen what protective equipment papa is supposed to wear, she helps us to make sure he uses it.

Since pencils are pretty universally used, we requested our purchasing department to buy them with safety mottoes imprinted. There is no charge on large orders, and the manufacturer has been furnished with several dozen slogans. Sometimes this results in a humorous touch, such as "Every Week is Safety Week No. 2."

Everyone drinks water sometime during the day, so a safety slogan has been printed on each paper cup.

We have successfully used a telephone message device. Once a week, or oftener, we dictated a message on safety to a recording machine. It was connected to our main switchboard, and at any hour of the day or night, any employee could dial and hear a brief safety message. The machine can be rented from the telephone company for about $25 a month, and should be connected to an easily remembered number, such as 7233, which spells "safe" on the telephone dial. During World Series time, we reported the score at the end of each inning of the Yankees versus whoever was representing the National League.

Another gadget available is an automatic slide projecting machine. This holds sixteen 2 x 2 slides in a revolving disc inside the box; each slide is automatically projected for six seconds onto a built-in screen, and a complete cycle takes 1½ minutes. The machine requires very little space, so it can be located in a building lobby, near a water fountain, coffee dispensing machine, or any other location where employee traffic warrants it. You can load it with slides for linemen one day, for boiler mechanics the next, then direct it toward storeroom hazards, or shift it to the girls' lounge and warn the girls against slippery floors, high heels, open file drawers, and other hazards encountered by office girls.

Our 10,000 and 20,000 volt rubber gloves are turned in for testing every three months. First, they are washed and sterilized, then carefully inspected, electrically tested, and sealed in boxes for re-use. Just before sealing, a card is inserted to remind the linemen and others who use them that a glove on the hand is worth two on the truck. The cards are varied, bringing a new message every quarter.

Another effective tool is the use of safety achievement awards. When a small group —half a dozen men—has worked one, two, or three years without a disabling injury, this award is presented by the division safety advisor. For larger groups, who accumulate 50,000 or more manhours, a framed certificate is awarded, signed by the superintendent of safety and the president of the company. When 250,000 manhours are completed, a red certificate is presented. These are all dated one year, two years, three years, etc., since the last disabling injury. And on those important occasions when a department achieves one million manhours, an impressive golden plaque serves to remind all employees involved that they have accomplished an outstanding safety achievement.

Usually "coffee and" is provided when the first award is presented; after several years, a breakfast may be served, and when a million manhours is celebrated, a full course dinner marks the occasion. We realize that a $4 dinner for 250 employees is $1,000; but we also know that an analysis made

in our company several years ago showed that the average cost of a disabling injury (exclusive of fatalities) was $443. Thus, if we eliminate just *one* lost-time accident in each of the two years it takes a group this size to reach a million manhours, we are money ahead, for the saving of the indirect expenses and the reduction in the number of minor accidents are plus values which completely offset the price of the dinner.

Many times, individual awards are made to each member of the group, with suitable engraving which serves as a constant reminder of the occasion. Many items are available—key chains, ash trays, tie clasps, nail clippers, small tool kits, steel rulers, etc. We encourage the awarding of these gifts, because other employees see the item or hear about them, and strive a little harder to win something themselves. It is a peculiar trait of the average employee that he'll work all year for $5000, but for $5000 plus "coffee and," or breakfast, or dinner, and a souvenir, he'll work *safely* all year!

When a group is getting near an award date, we like to see it advertised with cards or certificates in advance to stimulate further interest in reaching the goal. Some people are superstitious, saying, "Let's not talk about it until the day it happens"; but we feel that the more publicity there is, the more safety consciousness is created.

To increase pride in the wearing of hard hats, we designed and issued "Scotchlite" emblems, symbolic of the department or type of work involved. Whenever a man has been spared a severe injury, or perhaps death, by wearing a hard hat when struck by a falling object, we present him with a special hat, emblematic of his membership in the Turtle Club. The hat becomes his personal property and the ceremony usually occurs at a safety meeting. We average about one presentation a month. What an easy way to recognize the saving of twelve injuries a year!

Similarly, a Wise Owl Club certificate, presented to a man whose eye has been saved, stimulates interest in the wearing of safety glasses and goggles. Both the Turtle and Wise Owl Clubs are glad to furnish the equipment and accompanying certificates, either free or for a small charge.

Here is a very effective tool for pinpointing those areas which need special attention. At the end of the year, we analyze all accidents occurring in a division or generating station. First, we list the accidents according to the foremen whose men were involved. Second, we record the classifications of the workmen injured, and their age groups. Then we list the injuries by type: falls, burns, electric shock, etc. Next we show the reasons: improper tools, lack of adequate instructions, omission of protective equipment, violation of rules, etc. Then we go over every item with the superintendent and his assistant so that they get a complete and accurate picture of their accident problem and can take steps to correct their deficiencies.

Even though we all know the only answer to success in our business is hard work, I suppose we will continue to search for an easier way.

For the last nine years, we have put on an annual campaign or special drive, to supplement the work of the division safety advisors. Early in 1955, we had "safety week." A letter was mailed by the manager of industrial relations to the home of every employee. The goal was to have the entire company work one week without a disabling injury. Buttons were issued, to be worn by everyone during the drive. These were made of celluloid and, much to our embarrassment, a welder in one of the shops set his on fire and we almost had an accident! The back cover of our monthly magazine carried the theme, "Let's dwarf the number of accidents with the safe seven—and keep the record clean." Crew cab cards for men using trucks and posters on bulletin boards for shop and office workers reminded everyone they should be *extra* careful from March 20th to 26th. The week was a success, and almost 16,000 employees working 40 hours apiece, reached 00,000 manhours without a disabling injury for the first time in company history.

In September of 1955, we tried to double the figure; this campaign was called, "Shooting for a Million." Our shops built a rocket, we picked a pretty girl to touch it off, and arranged with the editor to use both the front and back cover of the Edison Service News." Again a letter was mailed to all employees, and pins

were issued, only this time they were made of cardboard. Each day was sponsored by a company official, and a daily bulletin was issued. The bulletins had a picture of the official, and a little corny verse to add interest. Each successive day showed the rocket moving farther from the earth and closer to our goal of 1,000,000 manhours. As you have probably guessed, our "Sputnik" turned out to be "Sputternick," because of an accident during the second day of the campaign. However, our efforts were not in vain because several areas determined they would get a million manhours themselves. Two of our generating stations made it, and a third reached 870,000 manhours before an injury broke the record.

Our 1956 campaign was a slogan contest, with cash prizes ranging from $200, down to $5, for the 25 best slogans. In addition to the publicity in our magazine, we put posters on all bulletin boards and used our telephone message device to encourage participation. Included in a letter to every employee was a set of rules and an entry blank. When the contest closed, we had received over 6,000 entries, indicating the interest shown by employees all over the system.

The 1957 program utilized the very powerful safety movies of the U.S. Steel Corporation, "Knowing's Not Enough." Publicity included cards in elevators and on lunch room tables, posters on bulletin boards, flags at each headquarters, crew cab cards, and the back page of our magazine. Everyone seeing the film was given a small flag to wear on cap, blouse, or lapel, and our safety advisors were specially-made ties with yellow flags showing against a blue background. This was probably our most successful campaign and the slogan, "Knowing's Not Enough," is still being quoted by our people during safety discussions.

Our campaign in 1958 was called, "Get Safety into Orbit around Edison System." Division vice-presidents, station superintendents, department heads and safety personnel wore handkerchiefs and neckties specifically made to illustrate the theme. Foremen wore arm bands during the two weeks of the campaign. In addition to magazine and poster publicity, each employee was sent a letter, and specially designed match books were distributed by an attractive "Bea Safe" girl in various stations, division offices and reporting headquarters. We also arranged to have a message from "Bea Safe" imprinted on every employee's pay check envelope.

In 1959, when we adopted mouth-to-mouth resuscitation as the preferred method of artificial respiration, we showed the film "Rescue Breathing" to every employee on the system. We also distributed wallet cards. When the Resusci-Ann training manikin became available, we purchased one for each division and initiated individual practice for most of our people over our system.

The 1960 campaign was based on a movie which was written, directed and produced by our own personnel, using professional help only for the recording and editing. "Take Another Look" is a 16mm sound color motion picture which portrays six accidents typical of those which might occur on any electrical utility system. Using a golf outing as a background, this 27 minute movie uses "flashbacks" to illustrate each accident. We followed it up with posters on every washroom mirror. The movie stimulated considerable interest outside our company and about two dozen copies of the film have been sold at $150 each.

In 1961, we held an essay contest in connection with a company-wide showing of the National Safety Council movie, "Safety Everywhere—All the Time." Employees were urged to send in essays of 100 words or less on the theme, "I should practice Safety Everywhere—All the Time, because . . ." Entry blanks were mailed to homes, and $625 in prizes offered. Award checks were presented to the 26 winners at a noon dinner, with suitable pictures and publicity.

In 1962, we adopted "heart compression" or "cardiac massage" as a life-saving technique to be used in conjunction with mouth-to-mouth or mouth-to-nose respiration on victims whose heart has stopped because of electric shock, heart attack, drowning, gas inhalation, or other causes. Our medical director trained a group of specialists, who in turn trained other employees in the proper technique. Due to the extreme im-

portance of proper application of the heart compression technique, we have instituted a re-training program at approximately six-month intervals for those people considered to be working in high hazard areas.

This year a system-wide program was developed from a script written by one of our safety advisors, entitled "Safety Is In Your Hands." A 45-minute narration on tape was augmented by a strip film which combined actual photographs and cartoons to illustrate various aspects of our own company functions with regard to safety principles both on and off the job. Except for film developing and rental of the recording studio, the entire program was produced exclusively by company personnel.

As you can see, we try to sell safety rather than force it, to convince people they *ought* to work safely rather than penalize them when they don't. We constantly remind supervisors and foremen that accident prevention is their responsibility, and that we are there to *help* them, not to *dictate* to them. We also stress the fact that we are available 24 hours a day. If a night shift wants to hold a safety meeting at 11 p.m., or 2 a.m., or 7 a.m., we'll be there to handle our part of the program.

But the backbone of our safety program is the work done by our safety advisors out in the field, or the shops, or generating stations; contacting men day after day; counseling with foremen; inspecting job conditions; and participating in safety meetings. Our safety advisors are encouraged to develop supplementary programs in their own areas and sometimes prove quite successful.

In 1959 I wrote the script for a program on statistics, to illustrate the frequency of injury to various parts of the body. Speaking parts for the head, arm, foot, etc., were developed, and as a slide was shown of the bandaged member, one of our people read an aggrieved opinion in regard to the carelessness which resulted in the injury. The number of injuries of each type was shown on another slide. Originally done live, the show was subsequently put on tape and toured the entire Edison system. That Fall it was presented at the National Safety Congress.

In 1960, to promote interest and encourage familiarity with our new safety



book; I wrote another program, using rtoon character developed by another any to emphasize the safety rules. The itive self" approach proved quite suc- ul and this program also circulated gh many of our shops and generating ns. Somewhat similar to the statistics am, speaking parts were assigned and ons were shown to coincide with state- of good safety practice, for example: ders should be kept in good repair"; trical equipment should be inspected arly"; "fans must be properly guarded"; er welding procedures must be fol- l"; and "leave a work area as clean u found it."

ese two programs are offered as ex- s of supplementary safety promotions can evolve by encouraging the in- ual initiative of your field representa-

w, perhaps you are wondering how we loing as a result, or perhaps in spite, e activities I have described. In 1954, ad 569 disabling injuries—average 11 week, and we were 18th out of 20 electrical utilities. By 1961, we were than average, with 100 disabling in- , slightly less than two per week 82 per cent reduction. Unfortunately, uffered a slight increase to 123 dis- g injuries last year. This year, to date, re doing better than last year but quite as well as in 1961. Obviously, re working diligently to effect further vement in our accident experience.

vant to emphasize that all these gim- , gadgets and ideas I've described are y advertising which calls attention to act that we have a product—safety— ll to our employees. The actual acci- prevention work is done by competent eering, adequate education and train- strict, but fair enforcement, and last l, enthusiasm.

m this review, you can see that the nonwealth Edison Company is pretty in the same position you are. We antly strive to get our safety product on the street": to devise a new ap- h or to adopt the successful ideas her people, in order to influence the ing of the man on the job.

elieve we come to the Safety Congress much like the man who dreamed he

discovered a new store. He entered the store and found an angel behind the counter. Upon inquiring, he was told that everything was free and he could have anything he desired. Immediately he ordered peace on earth; an end to famine, sorrow and disease. . . .

"Just a moment," smiled the angel. "You haven't quite understood. We don't sell fruits here—only seeds.

I sincerely hope that something I have said might prove to be a seed worth cultivating. It has been a privilege to review the activities of my company as we gather here in our mutual desire to learn new ways to establish a perfect safety record.

COMMUNICATING YOUR IDEAS

By JAMES E. DELANEY

Administrator of Supervisory Development, Guidance and Control Systems Div. of Litton Industries, Woodland Hills, Calif.

Since the problem of communication is so broad a subject, this paper will explain only four basic concepts. When business men and women fully understand and apply these ideas, they will find a great improvement in their ability to communicate effectively.

The Triangle of Meaning

It is writer's or speaker's obligation to present his thoughts so clearly that the reader or listener will understand the message completely.

The communicator should remember the following points:

1. Human understanding is the goal when people write or speak.

2. Inadequate preparation of both written material and formal speeches can cost the company countless dollars. Therefore, one should take sufficient time to do an excellent job.

3. Mental blocks such as attitudes, convictions, complexes, and prejudices can hinder one's communication. The communicator must realize that he cannot win everyone to his way of thinking.

4. He should answer these questions before he writes a message or delivers a speech;

a. Who will read or hear the message?

b. How much do they know about the subject?

c. What information should they receive?

Oral Writing

One of the best principles to use in writing is that one should "Write approximately as he would talk." A person who seeks to communicate should apply this technique in order to produce the rough draft.

He should ask the question, "How should this concept be presented?" He then prepares an outline of the ideas to be discussed, and dictates the message to a secretary or perhaps a tape recorder. If neither of these is available, he can whisper the thought aloud and then immediately write down what he has said. This method will produce the easy flow of ideas which constitutes good business communication.

The next step is the editing process. If the draft is read aloud, one can detect wrong tone, bad grammer, sentence fragments, and misspelled words. The writer should remove any slang, trite, and old expressions that are part of the "spoken" language but are never written. He may also have to remove or change words, phrases, and sentences. It will take several drafts to produce an excellent piece of writing, but the results will be satisfying.

Two Styles of Writing and Speaking

Most stories, novels, and plays follow the "pyramid form." This is achieved by starting with statements of facts and descriptions of events that gradually build to a climax. When his point is reached, the story quickly comes to a close.

This organization of ideas is used when writing or telling a humorous story or trying to influence the reader or listener. One lays the background, creates the atmosphere, and builds the story to the "punch" line. This is the dramatic way to organize, and the method creates interest and suspense.

The inverted pyramid or "V" form of organization is best for presenting business or technical information. One gives the reader or listener a general picture of the situation, elaborates on the most important facts in logical order, and then closes the presentation with a convincing conclusion. He starts strongly—he finishes strongly.

The "Key Word" Outline

The communicator must decide what ideas he is going to write or to discuss. He lists the "key words" or "key ideas" as they are

thoug
is a v
which
graph
The
decide
As th
of ne
in th
unnec
Wi
develc
of th
things
1.
2.
a pa
3.
order

A NEW ASPHALT I

By C. L. HUISM
Highway Eng., Iowa State Highway

I am here to give a short presentation of what we, in the maintenance department of the Iowa State Highway Commission, consider a new asphalt distributor.

As a little background, this distributor was developed because we were tired of the old trailer-type units that lacked maneuverability, had limited usage on heavily traveled roads and were limited by legal 35 mile speed limits.

The solution to our situation is quite simple, one might add; "simply purchase distributors that are truck mounted." This solution was not satisfactory, for we had 130 distributors, and to truck mount them would tie up 130 trucks for 12 months of each year.

This would be an expensive solution to our problem. What we wanted was a distributor that could be truck mounted when its use was desired, but could be stored in a yard without tying up a truck when not in use.

The pilot model was developed in our own maintenance shops at our headquarters in

Ames
runni
aspha
legs.
are t
telesc
front
arour
the
truck
the f
front
truck
about
A
load
Two
front
in th
body
weig
two
cline
centr
to sv
is lo

ht of on 3 x 5 in. cards. A key word
vord or short expression for any idea
will later be expanded into a para-
or full concept if one is speaking.

n he examines the ideas carefully and
s upon the best order of presentation.
e ideas are rearranged, he may think
w ideas. He then adds these new ideas
e proper place in the outline. Also,
essary ideas will be removed.

fh the outline as a guide, he can now
)p each key word into a complete unit
ought. This plan accomplishes three

All necessary facts have been presented.
One key word has been developed into
agraph or complete unit of thought.
All ideas have been placed in logical

)ISTRIBUTOR

IAN
Commission, Ames, Iowa

, Iowa. We have done away with the
ng gear, the trailer hitch, and have an
lt distributor skid mounted on four
The two back legs are permanent but
elescoping; that is, the lower sections
ope into the upper sections. The two
legs are reclining in that they pivot
d the center point and fold up out of
way when the unit is loaded into the
dump body. In this loading sequence,
irst operation is to line the truck up in
of the distributor. Next raise the
box approximately 6 inches to give it
a 6 to 10 degree incline.

simple boat winch and cable is used to
the unit into the truck dump body.
recessed wheels are tucked within the
distributor frame. With these wheels
e cocked position, as a truck dump
backs under the distributor unit, the
ht of the front end is carried on these
wheels after the two front legs are re-
d. The wheels are mounted on an ec-
ic shaft which gives sufficient throw
ving them out of position when the unit
aded in the dump body.

When the pressure has been taken off of the two front legs, the weight of the front end of the distributor is resting on these two wheels which are, in turn resting in the bed of the dump body.

The two front legs each have a hydraulic jack in their foot. By releasing the pressure on these jacks and loosening the wing nut at the top end of each front leg, they are ready to swing out of position as the truck dump body is winched under the unit.

As the operator turns the winch crank, the cable attached to the front end of the truck dump body is acually pulling the truck underneath the asphalt distributor, while the front legs begin to swing up in a reclining position.

The heavy angle iron at the back of the distributor unit then butts up against the apron of the truck dump body. At this point the truck dump body is dropped to its horizontal position. By so doing the weight is removed from the two back legs and the unit is now resting entirely in the dump body of the truck. The operator now releases the two front wheels so that the weight of the front end of the unit is on the skid, rather than the two front wheels.

In the adaptation of truck dump bodies to this type of distributor, we weld a large eye to the front wall of the dump body in which to hook the grab hook of the winch cable. This cable is cut to a critical length so that in the unloading operation, it can only release the distributor to a point where the two front legs can swing down into position. One could not drive out from under the unit without the front legs coming down, but would be stopped by the critical length of the winch cable and would begin to drag the unit.

The reason for a two speed winch is that in the lesser gear reduction, we can load slightly faster with an empty truck. The lower gear reduction is used when loading with a full tank of asphalt. Please notice here also that in our operation of heating asphalt for road maintenance, we have converted our entire fleet of distributors to LP gas operation. We are using liquid propane.

To differentiate this tank type and separate it from domestic use, we have painted the dome of each tank highway orange and have equipped the bottles with a special liquid withdrawal valve which is not compatible with any household or domestic service. This eliminates a hazard of bottles getting mixed up and being inadvertently used for household service wherein they would immediately cause an explosion.

There are several safety features worthy of note. One is the platform for the operator. To our knowledge, this is the first distributor that has a side railing for operator protection. He is also up in the air higher where he can see the area he is working and is in a better position to view any obstacles that may be present. He is within 10 to 12 feet of the truck operator and in this fashion is better able to coordinate their work. In the former use of a trailer-type unit, the distributor operator and truck operator were approximately 30 to 40 feet apart and were unable to communicate with one another.

Even though there is some overhang from the back of the dump body, it is less than four feet, which is the legal limit in Iowa without being identified with a red flag.

The entire operation of loading the distributor is approximately 10 minutes. In this 10 minutes we have completely loaded the unit, it is ready for operation. The controls for the distributor operator are all conveniently clustered around the platform so that he can control both the burners, the engine, and the distributor valve mechanism.

In the unloading sequence, the first operation before raising the dump body is to drop the two rear telescoping back legs and put the pins in position. However, before the dump body can be raised, the operator must go within the dump body and cock the lever which swings the two small front wheels into position. By doing this he is putting the weight on the back of the skid and the two front wheels. By raising the dump body, the weight is transferred from the rear of the skid to the two back legs and the two front wheels.

At this point the truck driver begins to drive out from under the unit. The winch cable is in place, and is actually the member which is controlling the speed with which the truck drives out from under the distributor. This is also controlled by the operator on the winch cable handle where a brake can completely stop the unwinding of the winch cable, if desired.

As the two front legs begin to swing down into position, the skid of the distributor is actually off of the truck bed itself and the weight is actually carried on the two small wheels up ahead of the two front legs.

The truck is driven ahead to the point where both front legs have completely swung down into position. Next the operator locks the two legs in position by simply attaching a wing nut to a bolt in each end of the two front legs.

After the two front legs have been secured, the operator is now getting ready to undo the winch cable, but before this can be done, he must slightly pump the two hydraulic jacks, thereby taking the load off of the dump body and putting it onto the two front legs and two back legs.

After disconnecting the winch cable, the operator is ready to drive away from the distributor unit.

The front legs are also drilled for a coarse adjustment; however, through practice we have found that this is not necessary since satisfactory adjustments can be made in each hydraulic jack. The clearance between the skid and the dump body from the swing on the two front wheels is approximately one and one half inches.

When the unit is completely self-supported and the truck is ready to drive away, the time elapsed in this unloading operation is less than five minutes.

The question may come to mind, "Is a unit of this type in storage stable under severe weather conditions?" This particular unit was exposed to a broad-side wind of approximately 70 miles per hour, the tank was empty; however, the wind had no effect and did not move the unit in its resting position.

Some details of construction would be of interest.

In the LP gas burner control box, we have designed our own control circuit. The distributor has two main burners and a hand burner. If we have flame failure in either of the main burners, a safety circuit will shut the gas flow. We have clustered the engine controls together, and to the right rear of the platform we have clustered the distributor controls together.

On the operator's platform, looking into

mp body, there is a railing around
ides of the platform and across the
We have a safety chain and clip so
he operator is completely protected
riding on the back of this unit. There
ple clearance between the tank itself
ie wall of the dump body in order
ie operator can walk completely along
stributor unit. I might mention, inci-
y, that any standard 10 foot dump
that is made today will accommodate
istributor unit.

control the safety shut-off valve with
of thermocouples in series so that
failure in either burner will shut off
is supply to the unit. We use liquid
awal on all units in order to elimi-
reezeups of lines and to get quicker
o the burners.

omparisons of the safety features of
nit with the trailer-type unit, we
d out that all of the tail lights on the
are still completely visible even though
stributor is loaded and ready for op-
n. By comparison, because of the width
older trailer unit and its height, the
ights visible from the rear are the
ox clearance lights on the back of the

h the truck mounted unit, the stop
isibility from the rear is excellent.

of our biggest reasons as I men-
before, for going to this type of dis-
r instead of the trailer mounted unit
fact that to comply with new State
regulations and laws, we would have
tail trailer brakes, turning signals, and
complete set of lights and reflectors
trailer units. We felt that, with this
ount unit, we could reduce our initial
y eliminating the lighting and brake
es required and, in fact, eliminating
hole trailer running gear.

of the lights are clearly visible from
gle one might observe this unit along
ghway. The amber beacon is standard
ent on all maintenance units of the
State Highway Commission and, when-
hey are doing any repair work on the
urface itself or the adjoining shoulder,
standard procedure to use these amber
g lights—day or night.

still have approximately 100 of the
trailer type distributors in service. We

are making plans to eliminate these units as rapidly as possible and to convert our whole fleet to the skid mounted units.

I would like also to call your attention to the contrast in the safety features on the operator's platform of the older unit, as compared with the skid mounted unit. The operator has a platform, but it is a solid deck plate rather than the open grid plate in our truck mounted unit. We feel the open grid platform is self-cleaning, where the older platform not only built up with asphalt, but would be slippery for any operator stepping up onto it. There also is no railing for the operator.

A view of the older unit from the rear would show that visibility for the operator is very poor. He is to the side and far enough ahead of his distributor spray bar that he cannot actually see the spray bar nozzle in operation. It has been one of our common problems that operators drive for hundreds of feet before they realize that they have plugged nozzles and are leaving a bare strip in the seal-coat operation.

We made action pictures of our pilot model being used at Iowa State University to resurface streets in a student housing area, which show the excellent spray pattern from this operation. We have used a shield on the left hand side of the spray bar to protect the most recent application of asphalt and cover aggregate.

The truck with the chip spreader follows right behind the distributor unit. We feel that one of our biggest successes for our seal-coat operation is to get the aggregate in the asphalt, covered and rolled as quickly as possible. Smooth uniform application of asphalt just ahead of the aggregate spreader makes this possible.

First manufactured units that the Iowa State Highway Commission had built, according to specifications drawn around the pilot model, with all of their added features, were purchased at a reduction in cost of $250 per unit over purchases a year ago.

We feel that most of this reduced cost was due to the fact that we eliminated the trailer running gear, wheels and tires from the purchase price of the distributor units. Also it eliminated the extra fabrication of steel in the tongue and pintle hook eye.

This is a close-up of the distributor bar arrangement that is used by Seaman-Gunnison on their particular make of distributors. Through experience, we have found that the fully circulating spray bar not only adds to a better appearing job for maintenance operations, but makes it much quicker to vary the width of spray.

This is a view showing the whole platform assembly, including spray bar, engine, and operator platform. Notice the low silhouette except for the stack extensions for the flue exhaust.

After the units have been completely fabricated and tested at the Seaman-Gunnison plant at Baraboo, Wisconsin, they are loaded for transit to the headquarters at the Iowa State Highway Commission in Ames, Iowa.

One question that might come to your mind, is "What is the tank capacity of these units?" They are approximately 525 gallons, the same as our original pilot model. They look larger because they are insulated with 1½ inches of rock wool insulation in order to contain the heat of the asphalt within the tank during the day's working period.

Another application we have made of this retractable skid mount leg arrangement is on a slip-in salt-and-sander hopper box which we have converted to the leg attachment principle. Again the two front wheels are on an eccentric that swings into position when loading the unit. A sprocket on the front of the hopper transmits the live power from the power take-off to the gear case at the rear of the hopper box. We are using the same winch and cable arrangement that was used on the asphalt distributor.

When the loaded unit is ready to operate, we have the three identification light cluster on the salt box itself, with two spot lights shining down onto the spreading mechanism. These are plugged into a standard receptacle, which is mounted on the back of the dump body itself. All required lighting is visible.

Although the leg arrangement described is only one year old, we wish to state that in the progress of the Iowa State Highway Commission, we have in operation 19 distributors with the skid mount leg attachment and also have in operation to date approximately 30 of the sand and salt hopper boxes. Recently contracts have been let for the

fabrication and manufacture of 71 more hopper box units, these to be delivered before the coming season's winter weather.

I feel that the use by the Iowa State Highway Commission is a testimonial of the merit of the idea; the principle being that the most versatile body for a maintenance truck unit is the standard ten foot dump

WIRE ROPE ANI

By N. E. FREEB
Prod. Engineer, Broderick & Bascom

Wire rope is an integral part of many machines involved in the movement of men and materials, and is used in just about every segment of our nation's work-a-day life. In conjunction with wire rope, safety should be uppermost in our minds due to the high potential of personal injury, the possible property damage and the high cost of schedule disruptions and other loss of time and motion.

Organized safety has done wonders in the field of wire rope usage, but there remains much to do. It ofttimes seems that more emphasis is put on the promotion of the steel toe safety shoe and the hard hat than on the correction of poor practices which are the very reasons for this kind of protection.

The wire rope industry has long stressed the proper methods for all types of practices involving its products; and they employ specialists to assist you in your specific problems. We would urge you to take full advantage of them. There is a crying need for safety-in-usage practices by all users. Furthermore, there is a need for exercises and demonstrations pertaining to the storage, installation, use and inspection of wire rope along with organized follow-ups to these exercises by management. Perhaps the greatest need is for the recognition of wire rope as a primary tool.

Far too often in the past, wire rope has been considered an expendable item; unfortunately it is grossly mistreated because of this classification. Wire rope is not merely an item of supply nor is it an auxiliary piece of lifting or pulling apparatus. Indeed,

. By using slip-in attachments, whether be an asphalt distributor, a sand or er box, a water tank, or other item; by r the reclining leg arrangement, we can : a truck unit more versatile and use r every operation encountered in day to week to week, safe highway mainte- e operations.

D SLINGS

OURN

Rope Co., St. Louis, Mo.

a precision machine composed of many s of carefully selected high quality ma- l fabricated into an integral member h in most instances is the primary link een the load being moved and the mov- or lifting mechanism.

ire rope has extremely high tensile gth for its bulk and weight in com- on to other types of structural mem- It is the safest structural material m for the transmission of mechanical gy to lift or pull or to brace or support tic load.

ire rope is an amazing paradox: it has bility to resist abrasion, bending, crush- and the myriad other forms of abuse, at the same time it is flexible enough : shipped on reels, wound on drums and es — all at a low unit cost and with ighest degree of safety.

rhaps this paradoxical nature of wire is its own worst enemy; for with such gh degree of safety and dependability often the subject of gross neglect which not afford the user the highest return is investment. The wire rope industry is accused of being fussy about its prod- as to care, installation, and use. With- stating that all users abuse rope, it is pinion that if wire rope were as "puny" nreliable as some parts of the machinery which it is used, we are certain it would ccorded much more attention.

wn in one of our favorite restaurants altimore, Maryland, was a sign which "We buy the best of fish, fowl, and ; it is our cooks who spoil them." We

could just as well say that this is true in the wire rope business. Wire rope manufacturers build the finest precision machines for an exacting purpose; it is the user who determines the ultimate life.

Wire rope gives adequate warning of approaching failure by the progressive development of wear, broken wires and other physical characteristics which can be detected by proper inspection far in advance of an unsafe condition. The cogent point here is that in far too many cases there are no real "check-off and check-up" methods employed for wire rope or the equipment upon which it is used. Far too often this is entrusted to the low man on the totem pole with the grease gun in his hand. There is no program spelled-out, no definite instructions, no education, as a result we generally have well-greased equipment!

Our purpose here today is to present a "Check-up and Check-off" program concerning the procedures and factors affecting the storage, installation, lubrication and inspection of wire rope which we hope will serve as a guide at your place of employment. You must realize that we can only hope to provide a guide inasmuch as the completeness of any program is a matter limited by local operating conditions, individual experience, costs, equipment and most of all — personnel.

In order to facilitate this presentation we are going to follow an imaginary length of 6x37 class wire rope through the various phases of wire rope handling and installation, noting factors affecting wire rope life, application of lubricants and inspections as well. While realizing that we are encompassing many fields of use, our discussion involving an industrial application of an overhead crane hoist involves knowledge which is applicable to every wire rope application.

I. After the length of rope has been received at our imaginary factory we must first endeavor to properly store it. Care must be exercised to select a location which is clean, dry, and free from corrosive fumes or atmosphere. Too, the rope must be protected from weather by some manner of housing or cover such as tarp. If the rope is to be held in extended storage, it is imperative to re-lubricate at intervals. We know of one user who not only re-coats the exposed surfaces, but turns the reels periodically so the lube won't settle in one direction. We must guard against the piling of heavy objects on top of the wire rope which may crush or otherwise damage it.

II. Now the call comes in for a hoist rope replacement on our crane. When a piece of rope is drawn from storage for use on a piece of equipment, it must be properly uncoiled or unreeled in such a manner that the balance and symmetry built into the rope is maintained. The procedure for uncoiling a length of wire rope is illustrated:

A. Hold the outer end of the rope on the floor, turn the coil up on end. Roll the coil along the floor letting the rope trail behind.

B. DO NOT lay the coil on its side and uncoil by pulling the rope end. This can disturb the balance of the rope and/or lead to loops and kinks.

The procedure for unreeling a length of wire rope is as follows:

A. Pass a suitable bar or pipe through the center holes of the reel, and jack up the reel so it is supported by the inserted shaft.

B. Use a timber brake applied to the flange, or some such device, for snug and uniform controlled winding at the machine. This also prevents the formation of unnecessary loops at the storage reel. Note that it is suggested that both the reel and the first sheave be rotating in the same direction to avoid a "reverse bend" which can cause "twisty" rope.

C. Persistent use of incorrect methods of uncoiling and unspooling can only lead to poor rope service. One word about kinks: They cannot be relieved after once imparted to the rope for at best they leave a dog-leg. Normal service is not attainable inasmuch as abrasion and fatigue are likely to develop rapidly at the damaged area. In severe kinking, the whole rope structure is distorted and the core loses its proper fabricated position relative to the strands which results in damage to the core; wires are damaged and the rope is weakened materially. Extreme care must be exercised when unreeling or uncoiling to prevent movement over sharp corners or small radii as this causes spiral and often imparts to the rope a customary "cork screw" appearance.

III. Before installation of our new hoist rope on our crane, we should make a detailed

inspection of the equipment. The following items should be checked:

A. Using a sheave gauge, check the sheave grooves and drum-groove profiles. The gauge is shaped to nominal rope diameters plus the tolerance for that diameter. The following are the industry wire rope tolerances:

Nominal Diameter of Rope	Undersize	Oversize
0 — 3/4"	0"	1/32"
13/16" — 1-1/8"	0"	3/64"
1-3/16" — 1-1/2"	0"	1/16"
1-9/16" — 2-1/4"	0"	3/32"
2-5/16" — and larger	0"	1/8"

B. Operation of rope through tight grooves causes the following conditions:

1. Heavy abrasive wear; usually at the two points of contact between rope and sheave.

2. Rope crushing and distortion.

3. Ironing of the strands which pushes the lay back causing wildness in the rope which can also lead to high strand development or kinking.

C. Check the sheave and drum grooves for "corrugations." New rope lays differ from those of stretched rope; therefore, the strands of the new rope probably won't fit the corrugations and thus the outer wires will be cut-up and crushed.

D. Check for worn sheave bearings. Wobbly sheaves can cause the rope to scrub on the sheave flange and get out of proper alignment. Resultant fatigue is due to vibration, and the vibration can reach an amplitude sufficient to cause the rope to jump the sheave.

E. While we are checking for the wobbly sheave, we can detect any of those which are frozen.

1. Frozen sheaves can cause severe abrasion and also cork-screw or spiral the rope.

Type Rope	Cast Iron (Avg. Br.-125)
6x19 Reg. Lay	500 #/in.²
6x19 Lang Lay	575
6x37 Reg. Lay	600
6x37 Lang Lay	690
6x30 Flattened Strand	800

1. If a calculation indicates that the radial pressure is too high for the sheave and drum material on your hoist, a harder drum lagging or sheave lining would certainly improve both your equipment and rope service.

It is possible under these conditions to ce sufficient heat on the outer surfaces outer wires which accompanied by a hing action from the surrounding cooler will cause a change in the micro struc- of the wire resulting in brittleness and fatigue. This is the so-called "burning" iece of wire rope.

Sight across the top of the drum on a erpendicular to the drum axis to check lrum symmetry. Lack of symmetry faulty spooling.

If it is determined that the sheaves drums are wearing or corrugating y, it may be an indication that these l be fabricated of harder material be- of high radial pressure.

Radial pressure is calculated as follows:

$$P = \frac{2T}{D \times d} = \text{Lbs. per sq. inch}$$

ere:

P = Radial Pressure (lbs./sq. inch)
T = Tension in rope (lbs.)
D = Sheave or Drum diameter (inches)
d = Nominal rope diameter (inches)

The following conclusions can be drawn this formula:

ressures become *greater* as the load *eased*, thus greater abrasive wear and fatigue.

or a constant load, the pressure in- with a *reduction* in size of the rope ave and/or drum diameter, and thus ear and fatigue result.

The table below indicates the Allow- adial Pressures for various types of nd sheaves or drums:

Cast Steel 30-40C-Br. 160	Manganese Steel 11-13% Mn-Ground
900 #/in.²	2500 #/in.²
1035	2875
1075	3000
1295	3450
1450	4000

a material change cannot be made, ng the sheave or drum diameter certain limits causes a decrease in ial pressure, as you can see from our ions concerning the formula.

I. Check the limit switch on the hoist for proper functioning. Ofttimes the limit switch has been removed in an unsafe move and never replaced.

J. Finally, check braking devices and all other mechanisms on the hoist to insure faultless performance.

IV. Now we are ready to install our new hoist rope with hopes of getting most of the service that has been designed and fabricated into it. However, we are not out of the woods yet! Here are some pointers to check before and during installation.

A. If any cutting must be done, the rope must be seized on both sides of the contemplated cut prior to cutting, despite what you ever heard about "preforming." Seize with about a 14 to 17 gauge annealed wire for 8 or 10 wraps.

B. Reeve up with a minimum of reverse bends in order to reduce the bending fatigue after the rope is put into service. Keep the rope under slight tension to avoid forming loops or kinks.

C. For smooth-faced drums, care must be taken to spool wraps of rope close without actually crowding to insure even winding during operation. It is recommended that a brass head hammer or wooden mallet be used to force the rope into position if at all necessary.

D. Use care so as to not damage or crush the rope in making end attachments:

1. Wedge-type fittings must be tightened with care to insure even gripping to prevent high-strand. Also the wedge and housing must not be worn to permit strand slippage. Be sure to remove all welded ends of rope before securing all fastenings to prevent imbalance in the rope.

2. Clips must also be applied with care if used:

a. Always use the proper number.

b. Always apply saddle on live side and U-bolt on the dead end of the rope. We have a saying at B&B which goes like this: "Never saddle a dead horse."

c. One last step that is often forgotten is to re-tighten all clip nuts after the rope has been in service a short while and lost its constructional stretch.

E. A new rope should be broken in by a short period of operation. As you know just from buying new shoes, this rope is a brand spanking new machine, and we need to "break it in." Here is the "patent prescription" for wire rope break-in:

1. With no load attached — cycle crane 10 times — no more: no less.

2. Cycle once with ¼ load, a ½ load, and a ¾ load — no more: no less.

3. With a full load attached — cycle crane 10 times — no more: no less.

4. Repeat at ½ the expected life.

V. Finally we have the crane back in service as the foreman barks — "Well, it's about time!"

Now, we must keep an eye on our rope to know when it should be removed.

The decision to remove a rope from service is based on careful inspection of the rope and evaluation of its condition as related to previous experience on the same machine.

We have prepared a copyrighted booklet entitled *Guide to the Care & Use of Wire Rope*, which accurately reflects our opinions on the subject of inspection; and we suggest it for related reading. It is available to you. We do feel that several points should be highlighted for your consideration when inspecting wire rope.

A. Inspection should be made according to a definitely planned schedule. A typical schedule would be as follows:

1. Daily inspection by the equipment operator — a rather rapid visual examination of the rope surface to note any important changes since the previous day or evidences of damage or any abnormal condition.

2. A detailed inspection by a trained and experienced man should be made periodically. The interval may be weekly, monthly, bimonthly or longer depending upon the requirement for the installation. Past experience indicates the rapidity of normal deterioration.

3. A record sheet of some sort should be kept on each rope. The rope and equipment should be identified — the date of rope installation along with inspection dates and remarks as to the condition of rope and machines.

B. A detailed examination should include the following:

1. It may be necessary to clean portions of the rope before inspection by means of

compressed air jet using all the necessary safety precautions, or with kerosene or wire brush.

2. The entire length should be studied to determine the section which has suffered the most severe deterioration. Such deterioration will be evidenced by one of the following:

a. Drastic reduction in rope diameter and lengthening of lay

b. Worn or abraded wires

c. Broken wires

d. Corroded wires

e. Marks of mechanical abuse such as distortion and crushing.

To discuss each of the above more thoroughly, first let's consider a general reduction in diameter and elongation of lay which is not in a localized section.

a. In all wire ropes we have a period in which constructional stretch occurs. This takes place early in the life of a rope and amount to approximately ½ of 1% in a rope with an IWRC and approximately 1% in a fiber core rope.

b. Then comes a prolonged period of operation with very little change.

c. Finally near the end of service life, rope will again show marked increase in stretch.

If it is noted that there is a localized reduction in rope diameter, one of the following is indicated:

a. Core failure

b. Excessive localized abrasive wear, perhaps at a kink

c. Excessive loading

d. Internal corrosion

e. Rope distortion or unbalance from accidental damage

The degree of abrasive wear on the outer wires is significant. A record either of the length of the wear spot per wire in the most severely worn section or the estimated reduction in diameter of the most severely worn outer wires should be kept. Such a record will assist in comparing the present wear with that on previous ropes at the time of their removal from service.

Severe, localized abrasive wear may indicate the need of preventive maintenance — tight sheave grooves, drum abuse or a condition of misalignment. At the same time

et angle should be checked. Poor gle can not only cause excessive wear, build-up excessive torque in a rope.

fleet angle is the included angle be- line representing the greatest travel rope across the drum and a line through the centerline of the lead at right angles to the drum axis. commended allowable fleet angles are ows:

a. For smooth-faced drums:
   Minimum — 1°30′
   Maximum — 0°30′

b. For grooved drums:
   Minimum — 0°30′
   Maximum — 2° 0′

number of broken wires in the worst y should be noted and recorded. It is lpful to note the type of break if it is able inasmuch as such information lp determine possible corrective meas- n addition to wire failure from abra- ear, some other types of wire breaks follow:

ensile breaks (cone and cup).

atigue breaks (square-off broken end anular surface)

hear breaks (smooth break with twist- appearance)

brasive fatigue (chisel fracture)

orsional (diagonal plane fracture — metimes resemble fatigue)

regular (combinations of two or more others. Can include cut or scraped from accidental damage)

r records for several ropes are availa- is possible to analyze the data and es- a criterion for wire rope removal on abrasive wear and wire breaks in orst section of the rope. The pattern ameter reduction and lay elongation so be used. Such an analysis is applic- nly when deterioration follows the l pattern. Any accidental damage or l deterioration takes precedence over ier considerations in determining if a hould be removed.

ry effort must be made to eliminate vent corrosion, and to detect it if it is ing. The occurrence of corrosion re- rope strength by causing internal n and binding, and, too, by the reduc- f the strength of each wire. So, in

effect it is a double-edged sword. The degree of severity of the effects of corrosion are quite indeterminate once corrosion has commenced; therefore, it is our opinion that the problem falls within the realm of preventive maintenance. Some of the guideposts indicating external corrosion are:

a. Appearance of rust or scale on the wires

b. Pitting on the wires

Indications of internal corrosion are manifested by:

a. Rust flakes working out between the strands.

b. Outside wires may appear slack or seem to stand away from the rope.

c. Under load, the diameter of the rope showing these characteristics may be greatly reduced.

Careful scrutiny must be given on each inspection for *any* evidence of mechanical abuse or accidental damage to the rope. These should be treated as "red flag safety items" and correction made immediately; for such malfunctions can cause deterioration that will seriously alter the normal service pattern and can lead to severe property and personal injury. We suggest checking for the following:

a. Burns (electrical or heat)

b. Sheared, cut, or nicked wires

c. Peened wires which are those wires "pounded" out of shape

d. Dog-legs or kinks

e. Crushed or distorted sections of rope

VI. Let's now discuss the importance of lubrication in wire rope maintenance.

A. Rope lubrication is particularly necessary on those applications where the rope has quite lengthy service life.

B. A suitable lubrication schedule offers the following advantages:

1. Protects the rope from corrosion, both external and internal.

2. Reduces internal friction thus cutting down abrasive wear and bending stresses.

3. Preserves the core.

C. For indoor cranes it is preferable to use light penetrating lubricant specifically formulated by the various oil companies for this purpose. In order to increase penetration the rope must be reasonably clean.

D. For outdoor cranes ofttimes a heavier external protective lubricant is used to deter corrosion.

E. The frequency of lubrication varies with the operating conditions, and must be established following a study in which one central thought is paramount — the rope should never be allowed to become dry. A good maintenance practice is to co-ordinate the lubricating schedule with the inspection schedule since both require the rope to be relatively clean to accomplish either properly.

F. Methods of lubrication are the ingenious products of sharp maintenance departments. In the main they fall into three categories:

1. Drip system — over some main sheave.

2. Dip systems — passing rope vertically through a cone-shaped container of lubricant or passing the rope horizontally through a trough.

3. Brushing lubricant at a convenient location — usually at drum as rope is wound in.

VII. Our imaginary hoist rope has now run the full gamut of storage, handling, installation, inspection and lubrication.

The last thing that happens is the inevitable assignment to the scrap pile. However, if all of the principles we have outlined are followed, that fatal moment will have been delayed as long as possible at the highest degree of safety.

Now we come to a discussion of wire rope slings which are specialized products of our industry used in the art of rigging.

Rigging is the process of handling material with slings in conjunction with a crane, hoist, chain fall or any other lifting device. Here, too, rigging safety is uppermost due to the high potential of personal and property damage and loss of time and motion. Safe rigging practices will tend to minimize all three of these conditions.

In a discussion such as this we can only touch upon the general approach to the problem of safety in rigging and discuss the most grievous offenses noted in observation of lifts in various sectors of the country.

As to the general approach we must consider three basic things: the men, the work area, and the equipment. Planning should

take place well ahead of the actual lift itself.

The men selected to do the job must be properly "lined-up" by being alerted to conditions surrounding their jobs and they must possess a clear understanding of the methods to be used and the job sequence to be followed. It may be wise to consider photographing "production" lifts that duplicate time and again for a "ready reference." In a "check-off and check-up" procedure the men must be instructed in great detail by analyzing the job and giving them instructions on eliminating each hazard. The emotional qualification of the men is important for the good rigger must know how to react in an emergency by "keeping his head."

The work area must be adequately prepared so as to create no problem in addition to the load itself. Safety equipment should include both personal protective items such as gloves, hard hats, and safety shoes — and general safety equipment such as barricades, warning signs, tag lines, cribbing and the like. These should be considered thoroughly in the "pre-planning" segment of the job.

Now let's discuss the offenses most often noted.

1. There is the problem of improper hitches used for the load. Which hitch for which job? A rigger must know and recognize the difference between a good and a bad hitch. Jobs vary in size, shape and weight and location of points where slings may be attached. Yet for every load a rigger must know the right hitch, where and how to attach the slings, and most important he must know how jobs can be expected to react when all or part of their weight is supported by these slings.

Probably the most important single rule for all riggers in this respect is that a spliced sling should never be used in a single-cable hitch over the heads of other people. Single-cable hitches are numerous but they have one thing in common — there is only one cable supporting the load. Just about in every case a second cable can be used to not only control the load but it adds an additional margin of safety. Poor hitches are not only safety hazards; they cost plenty in the form of damage to slings.

Just what are the basic hitches and how can we evaluate them in terms of "rules

ıb" easily understood by the ordinary First, we have the direct connected hich is nothing more than a choker low much can it lift? Here is a "rule ıb" for a fiber core sling: Diameter , times eight, gives the safe load rat- ons. If the sling is hand spliced our thumb says it must reduce this load y 15 per cent due to efficiency of lf there is a mechanical splice no re- is necessary. If a sling with an is used we must add 7½ per cent to gures.

take an example: Suppose we had a h fiber core hand spliced choker " x 1" x 8 gives us an 8-ton working ince this is a hand spliced sling we duce this rating by 15 per cent which we end up with 6.8 tons. Next we : "choker" hitch which is like a noose med by passing a loop through a ontrary to what we might think, t consider that this sling will lift per cent of the directly connected n other words our 8-ton capacity rived by the convenient rule of thumb 5 tons when the sling is used in a hitch.

on, we encounter next the basket here the load is cradled in the bight sling with both loops being engaged ok — shackle or link. If we had s exactly vertical we could consider king load as twice that of a directly d sling, but so often angles are en- d to the extent that we prefer our thumb to include the value of 1½ e directly connected sling capacity. r words our 8-ton capacity is 12 a basket hitch.

the heading of direct connected omes the subject of multiple leg A combination of two, three or four slings can be combined in a common on such as a link or a shackle. re multiple leg slings and the load nust take into consideration the ef- ull of each leg at the angle at which erating in each instance. Should the ary, each leg must be calculated ly and the sling fabricated to take ount the most critical condition.

and three leg slings distribute the ually. Where four legs are used, practice is to put a turnbuckle in

one leg. This is to provide a means to assure all of the legs are accepting an equal share of the load. To illustrate, most of you, doubtless, have sat in a chair on an uneven surface where the chair rocked. There were times during this irritating process when your weight was on two legs of that chair even though the chair had four legs — get the drift? The same principle can and does apply to slings.

2. Let's take up the second of our most common offenses encountered in industrial lifting. There is no appreciation of the effect of angles on sling loading. Failure to recognize load-angle relations may result in overload on the slings. Keep the attachment-angles as nearly straight up as practical. As an example — a cable that is right for a 10-ton load at a 30° attachment angle is only half strong enough for the same load at a 60° attachment angle. The attachment angle is measured from the vertical by the wire rope industry and from the horizontal by the chain industry. When the attachment angle is greater than 60° it is necessary to use a lifting beam.

3. The third offense most commonly noted is that concerning the lack of understanding of the center of gravity in lifting. Just what is this "mysterious" thing called the center of gravity. It is nothing other than a point at which all the weight of an object is said to be concentrated. The center of gravity always acts vertically downward to bring the object lifted to a position of equilibrium directly under the crane hook.

Know the location of the center of gravity and the direction in which it will be expected to act. Too much stress cannot be placed on the effect of the center of gravity in turning loads. When the location of the center of gravity is known and an understanding acquired of the direction in which it acts, then it becomes easy to attach slings so as to obtain a pivot point where the turning action is more or less automatic. It also facilitates determination of the center of gravity and other points if all jobs are treated as rectangles.

4. Offense number four in our parade of most often noted offenses is that concerning hooks and their applications.

It is not the purpose of this talk to delve into the design formulae for strength of hooks, but rather to point out the uses and abuses most often noted in slinging.

The standard Dirigo hook is the usual type of hook employed on slings. It has a circular shape which enables it to roll with the load, and unless the rolling action is restricted, the upper eye will always be on a straight line of the pull. Whether the pull is vertical, angular or horizontal doesn't make a particle of difference.

While the Dirigo hook is used successfully in almost every case, it can also be abused. It can be used in a hookup in a hole where the hook movement is restricted at a corner causing a bending moment in the shank of the hook.

Probably the greatest abuse of a hook is point loading. Hook manufacturers are very conscientious about the development of their hooks — they are expertly designed. Essentially the hook is meant to lift vertically. The center line of action on a single hook should follow down from the center line of the upper eye through the bearing point on the curvature of the hook. The bending moment is calculated from the weight acting along the center line of action at the bearing point times the distance from this bearing point to the center of gravity of the critical section.

Simply stated, the critical section is a curved lever and you are familiar with the force required to lift a load with a short lever. Increasing the length of the lever decreases the force required to overcome the critical section strength. Point loading simply stated is just that as the curved beam is lengthened due to the point loading, the bending moment is increased.

5. The fifth offense noted deals with a misunderstanding of what is termed "the factor of safety." Oftentimes we hear about safe working load, rated capacity and working load which, essentially, all mean the same thing.

All wire rope slings are manufactured with a safety factor of 5 to 1. That is to say that the rated capacity is ⅕ of the ultimate or breaking strength of the sling. Alloy chain, for instance, has a safety factor of 3.75 to 1 up to 4 to 1.

Loads should be handled that *do not exceed* the rated capacity of a sling. It is quite a trick to know when a wire rope sling

ıg the use of damaged slings. A
ıe that has been kinked or knotted
e over one-half or more of its
The same goes for a wire rope that
ı subjected to heat or touched with
ic arc. Encourage the men to report
to a sling, and when it is reported,
dness sake have it removed from

ually, we note that there is a problem
the wrong type of sling for the
nk of four things when selecting a
ıe sling for the job to be lifted:
y, abrasion resistance, resistance to
or distortion, and strength. These
ıp to Yellow Strand Braided Safety
This is the correct sling for any
wire rope is asked to do.

nly other types are made; however,
led sling is the correct sling because
ong enough to take the maximum
ıs an additional safety factor of
reserve strength to support the load
ase of damage. Destruction of one
part means only a loss of 12½ per
strength. Increased number of wires
ısed flexibility. The braided sling has
s in its cross section. This sling can
repeated bending in a way that will
it to bend without the individual
reaking from fatigue. Fatigue re-
in this sling is due to the manner
facture and to the many wires.

raided safety sling conforms to each
d; it will resist kink and curl long
ıer slings have been discarded when
the same work. This again is a
of its flexibility and design. Since
ded safety sling conforms to the
ıg lifted, greater friction is applied
ives rise to the feature of non-slip
loads are protected from marring.

k the right lifting sling for the job.
ht sling for the job is a Yellow
Braided Safety Sling — it balances
strength, flexibility, abrasion resist-
istance to distortion or crushing, and
in ultimate cost through added
onger life, and quicker hook-ups.

has been overloaded. For example, a wire rope could be overloaded to the elastic limit (about 55 per cent of the ultimate) without showing any particular signs of external damage, yet this sling should be removed from service. Damage is internal and the nicked wires could fail at any moment.

This is not to say that there is not adequate notice of failure, for that happens to be the particular quality of wire rope over other sling materials—it has adequate warning of breaking wires.

6. This leads to another one of the offenses noted — the lack of control of care, maintenance, and inspection of cranes, hoists and materials used in overhead lifting. Let us note with all the vigor at our command that we feel it is most important to have one man in charge of all the inspecting.

This man should become familiar not only with the slings used in a plant but also the wire rope consuming equipment. Regular inspections should be made and the results recorded so case histories may be accumulated. He should be given all of the data that is made available, industry wide, so that he can arrive at the proper time for removal of a wire rope sling. Inspection is only as good as the ability of the inspector.

7. Another offense noted has to do with unsafe end terminals or connections such as poured sockets, untightened clips, undersized shackles, etc. Socketing must be done by an expert. Don't use unsafe end terminals such as babbitted sockets, untightened wire rope clips and undersized fittings. A sling must be balanced throughout as far as all components used in making up the sling.

8. One of the most frequent offenses noted is the homemade rig. They embrace the multitude of old discarded hoist ropes, leather, rubber hose, rusty wire rope. Their lifting capacity is unknown by anyone — they defy calculation. A qualified person should design lifting gear — a qualified manufacturer should make it. Please don't use homemade lifting gear.

9. We would like to make one point

OFFICERS OF THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—PAUL WINDSOR, Secretary, Bureau of Safety, Chicago, Ill. 60606

First Vice-Chairman—T. F. WICKORD, Safety Supervisor, Commonwealth Edison Co., Maywood, Ill.

Second Vice-Chairman—WARREN CLIFTON, Safety & Fire Engineer, The Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario, Toronto, Ont., Canada

Secretary—M. H. MAXWELL, Safety Supervisor, The L. E. Meyers Co., Elmhurst, Ill. 60128

Program Committee—MARVIN TRAVIS (Chairman), Director of Safety, Northern Natural Gas Co., Omaha, Nebr. 68101; C. F. STANLEY (Vice Chairman—Communications), Safety Coordinator, General Telephone Co. of Florida, Tampa 1, Fla.; H. C. MCCHESNEY (Vice Chairman—Electric), Senior Safety Representative, The Detroit Edison Co., Detroit, Mich. 48226; THOS. C. MCKELLY (Vice Chairman—Gas), Dir. of Training & Safety, Laclede Gas Co., St. Louis, Mo. 63101; GEORGE C. SOPP (Vice Chairman—Water), Assistant to General Mgr., Department of Water & Power, Los Angeles, Calif.

Technical Publications Committee—ROBERT MCELDOWNEY (Chairman), Safety Director, United Fuel Gas Co., Charleston, W. Va. 25325; G. D. MONTGOMERY (Vice Chairman, Communications), Staff Safety Supervisor, American Telephone & Telegraph Co., Long Lines Dept., New York, N. Y. 10013; E. B. HURST (Vice Chairman—Electric), Safety Director, Virginia Electric & Power Co., Richmond 9, Va.; ALEX PIERSON (Vice Chairman—Gas), Safety Engineer, Philadelphia Gas Works, Philadelphia, Pa. 19122; H. D. HARMON (Vice Chairman—Water), Vice Pres. & Gen. Mgr., Gary-Hobart Water Corp., Gary, Ind.; B. J. LORENZ, Manager of Safety, Northern Indiana Public Service Co., Hammond, Ind.; W. J. BYRON, Safety Engineer, Michigan-Wisconsin Pipeline Co., Detroit, Mich. 48226

Training Committee—CHARLES SCHWEICKART (Chairman), Vice President, Hoosier Engineering Co., Columbus, Ohio 43216; ROBERT WARD (Vice Chairman), Safety Director, Omaha Public Power District, Omaha, Nebr. 68102; L. C. MEYER, Electric System Specialist, Employers Mutuals of Wausau, Wausau, Wis.; J. WESLEY SAMMIS, Supervisor, Safety Inspections, Philadelphia Electric Co., Philadelphia, Pa. 19105; H. C. POTTHAST, Safety Officer, Rural Electrification Administration, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Menomonie, Wis.; R. P. BOLERJACK, Safety Director, Illinois Power Co., Decatur, Ill. 62525; HARRY W. BECKER, Assistant Safety Mgr., American Gas Association, New York, N. Y. 10016; E. W. TRAUT, Safety & Management Development Coordinator, General Telephone Co. of Pennsylvania, Erie, Pa. 16512

Audio-Visual Aids Committee—KENNETH G. CREGAR (Chairman), Safety Director, Metropolitan Edison Co., Reading, Pa. 19603; MALCOLM H. INGRAHAM (Vice Chairman), Safety Director, Central Marine Power Co., Augusta, Maine; W. J. HANES, Supervisor of Safety, Texas Power & Light Co., Dallas, Texas 75222; HARRY FLACK, Manager, Electric Utilities Safety Association of Ontario, Toronto, Ont., Canada; FRED L. STEVEN-

son, Safety Supervisor, Pacific Telephone & Telegraph (
Chase, Safety Director, Central Vermont Public Ser
Fischback, Safety Supervisor, Southern California Ed

Membership Committee—Robert F. Mills (Chairman), Mountain States Tel. Co., Denver, Colo. 80221; J. J. I Prevention Manager, GT & E Service Corp., New York, Safety Director, Atlantic City Electric Co., Atlantic City of Accident Prevention & Medical Div., The Cincinnati Ohio 45201; Fred Lenstra, General Plant Supervisor Telephone Co., Omaha, Nebr. 68102

Newsletter Committee—James O. Parker (Chairman), Sa phone & Telegraph Co., New York, N. Y. 10007; T. L. F Engineer, Gas Department, Public Service Gas & Electr D. Hoag, Manager, Safety Div., Union Electric Co., St. I Manager, Duhernal Water System, Newark, Del. 19711; S & Plant Training, Carolina Telephone & Telegraph Co.,

Off-the-Job Safety Committee—R. R. Phillippe (Chairma Light Co., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15233; O. R. Bowers, Safety Co., Peoria, Ill. 61602; T. H. Edwards, Safety Prac Telephone & Telegraph Co., Atlanta, Ga.; W. W. Will The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co., Washin Talbott, Safety Supervisor, City of Phoenix, Phoenix, A

Publicity Committee—M. M. Mehring (Chairman), Safet Power District, Columbus, Nebr. 68601; Don E. Buck Ohio Gas Co., Cleveland 14, Ohio; A. B. Shehee, Safet Administration, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washi Safety Administrator, General Telephone Co., Bloomingtc

Contests & Awards Committee—R. G. Claar (Chairman) Fuel Gas Co., Columbus, Ohio 43215; Eugene H. W Western Massachusetts Electric Co., Springfield Mass. gineer, Commonwealth Edison Co., Chicago 90, Ill.; Ei Potomac Electric Power Co., Washington, D. C. 2000 Administrator, General Telephone Co. of Michigan, Mus

Nominating Committee—Erroll Dunbar, R. E. McEldowi

Special Representatives—American Water Works Associa Secretary-Engineering, American Water Works Assoc 10016; *American Gas Association*

Active Past General Chairmen—1942-43, D. C. Duncan 1954-55, W. S. Kitchen; 1955-56, H. T. Jayne; 1957- Chase; 1959-60, R. S. Lowe; 1960-61, R. E. McEldowi dorff; 1962-63, Erroll Dunbar

Staff Representative—Ralph M. Coe, National Safety (Chicago, Ill. 60611

*Deceased

Co., San Francisco, Calif.; E. M.
rice Corp., Rutland, Vt.; C. A.
ison Co., Los Angeles 53, Calif.

General Plant Safety Supvr.,
LAWLER (Vice Chairman), Loss
N. Y. 10017; ROBERT M. CLARK,
, N. J.; W. J. EASTON, Director
Gas & Electric Co., Cincinnati,
, Personnel, Northwestern Bell

fety Supervisor, American Tele-
'OWERS (Vice Chairman), Safety
ic Co., Newark 1, N. J.; JAMES
Louis, Mo. 63166; G. L. E. LINN,
S. T. ROBESON, Safety Supervisor
Tarboro, N. C.

an), Safety Engineer, Duquesne
Engineer, Central Illinois Light
tices Supervisor, Southern Bell
IFORD, Plant Supervisor, Safety,
gton, D. C. 20001; RALPH P.
riz. 85003

y Supervisor, Consumers Public
LEY, Safety Director, The East
y Engineer, Rural Electrification
ington, D. C. 20025; L. NIELSON,
n, Ill. 61761

, Manager of Safety, The Ohio
/ESSELS, JR., Safety Supervisor,
01109; J. E. APPEL, Safety En-
ROLL DUNBAR, Safety Manager,
4; FRANK E. JOHNSON, Safety
kegon, Mich. 49444

IEY, JR., E. M. CHASE

tion—DAVID B. PRESTON, Asst.
iation, Inc., New York, N. Y.

; 1950-51, E. S. HANNAFORD;
58, J. E. APPEL; 1958-59, E. M.
IEY, JR.; 1961-62, V. L. WOMEL-

Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave.,

P
N
A
Th

FU
19
19
19
19

1963

Volume 22

National Safety Congress
Transactions

RAILROAD INDUSTRY

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

51st NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS

Papers delivered in the

RAILROAD SESSIONS

CONTENTS

Greetings from Safety Section—
Association of American Railroads ..... *H. C. Daulton* 4

The Practical Touch ..... *Robert D. Gidel* 4

The Railroad Grade Crossing Traffic Law Study ..... *Charles H. Bowman* 7

Government's Role in Curtailing Grade Crossing Deaths ..... *Joseph H. Hays* 10

The Importance of Supervisory Training in the Railroad Industry .. *M. A. Nugent* 15
D. K. Miller 17

Safety in Highway Operation ..... *Bertram L. Wheat* 18

As I See It Now—Discussion of How Safety Should Be Handled .... *H. J. Sutles* 24
E. L. Guertin 27

Selecting, Managing and Training the Safety Supervisor ..... *Verne G. White* 28

Officers of the Railroad Section 1963-64 33

Five Years of Future Dates for the National Safety Congress 35

Other Volumes in 1963 National Safety Congress Transactions ..... *Back Cover*

GREETINGS FROM SAFETY S

By H. C. DAULTON
General Supvr., Safety, Loss & Damage Prevention
Railroad Co., Louisville, K

It is with a real sense of pleasure that I bring you greetings from the Safety Section of the Association of American Railroads. Both of our organizations are striving for the same goal—the preservation of human life.

The railroads of the nation are proud of the fact that, so far as the transportation of passengers is concerned, they continue to surpass all other modes of transportation in safety. In the year 1962, for each 100,000,000 passenger miles, there were only .14 fatalities in railroad passenger train service. The highways accounted for 2.3 deaths; regularly scheduled airlines, .35 deaths; and the buses, .16 deaths. Out of 312,000,000 passengers carried on trains, there were only 28 fatalities. On the high-

ways, 40,
accounted
and airline

In the
road emp
connected
had dwin
high and
will neve
zero.

The Sa
American
cooperatio
Section o
We expec
throughou

May I
successful

THE PRACTICAL T

By ROBERT D. GIDE
Chief, Div. of Safety Standards & Services, Bu
U. S. Dept. of Labor, Washing

The safety professional who admits in theory to such a thing as an "unavoidable accident" is a drag on the business. He who admits to such a thing as an "accident" is a cube from Squaresville. Theoretically, you could protect against anything if you saw fit to do so. If anything is foreseeable, how can it be accidental? If anything has happened once, how can it be other than foreseeable? If a happening is in anyway foreseeable, doesn't it become a calculated risk if nothing is done to stop it?

Acts of God, acts of men, and the laws of nature are reasonably understandable and foreseeable. Who among us can claim that he deals with original occurrences? What we might consider is the need for better calculators of risk and peddlers of control over

the wheel
ers game;

The me
toward sl
tion, deve
go aroun
oughly cc
are slopp
In fact, t
business t
now and
time and
per cent c

To put
have to c
whole co
If we gi
doubt as

ECTION—A.A.R.

, The Louisville & Nashville
Ky.

)00 were killed. Bus accidents
for the death of 90 passengers,
s, 123.
year 1923, a total of 1,940 rail-
loyees lost their lives in work-
accidents. In 1962, that number
lled to 185—a number still too
one with which the railroads
r be content until the total is

iety Section of the Association of
Railroads is grateful for the
n it receives from the Railroad
f the National Safety Council,
t to continue to work in harmony
t the years to come.
express to you my wishes for a
and rewarding meeting.

OUCH

:L
reau of Labor Standards,
ton, D. C.

of chance. A highfaluting numb-
so to speak.
re concept of "an accident" tends
oppiness in thinking, administra-
lopment and production. We can't
d claiming many able and thor-
nscientious production supervisors
y merely because accidents occur.
ie boss may think it is much better
o chance an "injurious occurrence"
then rather than spend the money,
resources needed to provide 100
control.
a practical tag on the problems we
leal with, we might best drop the
ncept of "accidental" occurrence.
ve our bosses the benefit of the
to their abilities to properly ad-

minist
them
accide
might
Correc
when
For e
• I
can p
with
us wit
testing
able t
• I
can p
provid
the-jo
we ne
trainin
• I
ket w
sonnel
skill i
with
using
at the
a perf
• I
and p
train
cent o
we ca
to be
betwe
at the
worst,
• I
items
pect
per ce
The
could
contro
contro
ment.
In
scienc
people
exact
this is
Onc
only
justif
basis,

minister and control, we shouldn't charge them with allowing such nebulous things as accidents to occur. A better charge concept might be that they took a "calculated risk." Corrective action may only be practical when results exceed acceptable minimums. For example:

- If we expend X number of dollars, we can purchase X number of personnel men, with X qualifications, capable of providing us with X per cent of accuracy in procuring, testing and placing. We never admit to being able to hit 100 per cent accuracy.

- If we expend X number of dollars we can purchase the personnel and facilities to provide X amount of pre-induction and on-the-job training. No matter what we spend, we never admit to being able to do a perfect training job.

- If we are competitive in the labor market we can expect to have supervisory personnel with X per cent of knowledge and skill in dealing with those under them and with X per cent of knowledge and skill in using the physical facilities and materials at their disposal. We know that no one is a perfect supervisor.

- If we spend X dollars to procure, select and place individual workers. X dollars to train them, and X dollars to provide X per cent of effective supervision and leadership, we can expect X per cent of our workers to be average or above. Since average is between the best at the top and the worst at the bottom, average is the best of the worst, or worst of the best.

- If we have been X per cent effective in items one through four above, we can expect X per cent of our persons to work X per cent effective.

The same type of reasoning and analysis could be applied to our consideration of the control of the working environment and the control of machines, materials and equipment.

In short, we are dealing with an inexact science in an inexact world with inexact people in an inexact environment using inexact materials, equipment and facilities. If this is so, when is an accident an accident?

Once we realize that we are going to get only what we pay for, and start trying to justify our existence on this reasoning basis, we will have more feet down on earth to discuss earth-like problems with earth-type superiors who sign the purchase orders and pay the checks.

Who is more of a bore than one who constantly peddles the concept of a perfect no-injury record in an imperfect world? Who peddles the "accident" concept when he knows well that everyone knows a certain number of injurious occurrences to people or things will occur because it isn't practical, or economically feasible, to try to prevent them all?

Don't get me wrong. I'm not advocating abandoning a certain per cent of our citizens automatically to the catastrophe mill. I am just suggesting that relating our effectiveness to a "calculated risk" concept might be more earthy and understandable to the boss. If nothing else, it might appeal to his sporting instinct—which we all have to varying degrees and directed to various things.

Don't try to convince him that you can perform miracles; and don't kid yourself. He is only going to get what he pays for, and you are only going to be able to show results up to X per cent effectiveness based on your X per cent abilities based upon X per cent efforts expended.

How do you demonstrate the calculated risk concept? Mainly by demonstrating your grasp of the situation by relating all factors involved in the practicality of control. I am not promoting anything like a statistical means of risk calculation.

The calculated risk must be measured against the practicality of control. Saying it is not practical to take certain control measures begs the question. In such an analysis you must bear down on two basic considerations, (1) cost of control, and (2) convenience or inconvenience of control. And the one may determine or color the other.

In order to lay the cards on the table before the boss or your own conscience, you have to dig for the answers you seek. Too many folks cannot distinguish basic investigative research from a hole in the ground but one procedure is as follows:

First—*What's the problem? Collect facts.*

What happened?

When did it happen?

Where did it happen?

To whom did it happen?

What tools, equipment, materials or combinations involved?

Methods or processes involved and questionable?

Human factors questionable?

Were there time factors, location factors, sex factors, age factors, skill factors, knowledge factors, mental factors, as contributory elements?

Second—*Research things you're not up on —Review those you know.*

Handling methods

Layout and arrangement

Mechanical actions and motions

Electrical phenomena

Symptoms of toxic exposures

Chemical characteristics and effects

Environmental complications of noise, vibration, heat, humidity, radiation, lighting, ventilation, etc.

Physiological limitations or considerations

Psychological implications

Others.

Third—*Why did it happen?*

Now match the facts from the first group against the technical know-how and practical techniques you researched or reviewed in the second group above. Interrelate all contributing factors or elements.

Play house with the problem and lay it out on the couch as your head shrinker would do. Some of us have group identity problems and find it hard to relate. This skill is the answer to the *Why* query.

Fourth—*Control measures.*

Get down to the nuts and bolts of the situation. Are you going to come up with some excuse such as unvoidable, accident prone, unforeseeable, or are you going to prepare a case for the boss to make a decision on? Let him decide; is it (1) too costly to c
trol; or (3)

By the
above, you
the followi
and conside
isolate, trai
simplify, el
change pro
est, retrain,
centives, a
emergency
ter have so

Fifth—*S*

Don't tr
manitarian
ostrich trea
injury recc
unavoidable
and relate
tions. Boss
practical be
prove attitu
machine do
put; impro
simplify a
serve space

The cost
weighed by
rely on yo
to bail you
gotten this
you're deac

The occu
some of th
considered
odds in ca
job. If suc
lating to
drances, yo
pole than i
careful or

The miss
now and t
you might
defeat, if n

ontrol; (2) impractical to con-
, we'll control it.

esearch and review carried out
have been refreshed on some of
ng control methods, techniques
rations: Ground, guard, ventilate,
n, rearrange, maintain, automate,
iminate, and new materials. Also,
cess, supervise, stimulate inter-
, enclose, personnel selection, in-
ttitudes, personal protection,
measures, and others. You'd bet-
me cost estimates handy too.

ell the boss.

y to snow the man with a hu-
appeal, an appeal to give it the
tment so you will not mar a no-
rd, or a pitch of inherency or
ness. Face the situation head-on
to operations benefits or limita-
, the control I recommend is
cause it will: Reduce waste; im-
ades; conserve materials; reduce
wn-time; increase per capita out-
ve quality; generate goodwill;
job; reduce break-in time; con-
;—You name it.

ts involved in control are out-
the benefits thus and so. Don't
ur frequency and severity rates
out at this point. If you have
far, you better be practical or
l.

upational roulette wheel includes
e elements which, if not properly
and controlled, contribute to the
lculating risk to the man on the
h risk calculations are made, re-
operational and production hin-
u'll get higher up on the totem
f you just yelled. "Hey, there, be
somebody's liable to get hurt!"

ile men aren't afraid to lose one
hen. You can't win 'em all, so
as well be gallant and practical in
eed be.

It is al
railroad
Illinois C
for it an
mother d
ing hous
boy for t

Somewl
off and
talk to r
was glad
grade cr
have and
railroads

Rather
was to u
in the va
you who
what a t
of job it i

First t
states an
of laws
grade cro
we called
recur mo
ering diff
ing devic
gine wind
section, t
so fourth
as guide

Then v
state, and
As you
found in
throughou
through
such as I
now, com
these law
you find
the state.
go throu
No statu
is a mat
checking
best you

THE RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING TRAFFIC LAW STUDY

By CHARLES H. BOWMAN
Prof. of Law, College of Law, University of Illinois, Champaign, Ill.

It is always a pleasure to me to be with railroad people since I grew up with the Illinois Central railroad. My dad worked for it and with it for 30 years. After my mother died I grew up in railroad boarding houses. My first job was as a call boy for the Illinois Central.

Somewhere along the line I wandered off and got into law. But I still like to talk to railroad people, and one reason I was glad to undertake this study on the grade crossing laws was because I do have and will always have an interest in railroads and anything pertaining to them.

Rather briefly, what we did first of all was to undertake to see what the laws are in the various states. You know, any of you who have worked with this type of job, what a tremendous and comprehensive type of job it is.

First thing we did was to take about 15 states and look at them, to see what kind of laws they had in regard to railroad grade crossings. Then we compiled 32 what we called standard clauses which seem to recur more and more in the statutes, covering different subject matter—sound warning devices, visual warning devices, engine windshield and wiper, track motor car section, blow posts and sign boards, and so fourth—32 of them that we made up as guide lines for study of the laws.

Then we made up sheets, one for each state, and went through each state's statutes. As you know, all of these laws are not found in one place. They are scattered throughout the statutes. You start looking through the statutes of a particular state, such as Illinois, and we have three volumes now, comprised of some 181 chapters, and these laws in relation to grade crossings you find scattered throughout the laws of the state. So it is quite a tedious job to go through these and through the index. No statutes are indexed very well, so it is a matter of checking them, and cross checking and going back and doing the best you can.

We took one of these sheets for each state and filled it in according to these 32 standard clauses which we had compiled from the preliminary study. We put down the citation of the state statute, that is, where it could be found in the state statute so we could refer back to it, and then the standard clause which we had already compiled, if that is what it was, and if there was any variation from it, we put it over in this column. Any unusual variation, or unique type of variation, we put in a fourth column. Then, down at the bottom of each state, we added any additional provisions that we would find in that particular state in regard to railroad crossings. So when we finished we had one sheet for each state with all of this information on it.

Some states, of course, had very few laws in regard to railroad grade crossings, and others had quite a bit. But when we got through we had all of this material and then the question was, what to do with it.

We talked with quite a few practical people, and it was decided that the best way to present it to you who are interested in it, would be to take the central subject matter, and to set it up in a preliminary draft form of a statute, gathering all of the statutory materials covering the main headings which we finally divided into six. We call these articles.

One is general provisions, which any statute should have, and many of the states have these general provisions in regard to the title, the uniformity of interpretation, purposes, the effect of the captions of each section, etc.

Article 2, Location and Construction of Crossings.

Article 3, Protection of Crossings.

Article 4, Movement of Motor Vehicles at Crossings.

Article 5, Operation of Railroad Trains at Crossings.

Article 6, Enforcement and Sanctions (the penalties).

Now, under each one of the articles we have sections.

Now, under Article 3, which is one of the main ones. We have local traffic control devices, maintenance of grade crossings, advance warning signs, pavement markings, cross-buck signs, automatic devices, flagmen, blowposts, lighting of crossings, display of unauthorized signs, signals or markings, wires over crossing, removing obstructions to view at and near crossings.

These all are under the main heading of Protection of Crossings, so that going through the statute, or preliminary draft even, you can look at the main heading and then pick out the specific one you want in the sections.

Having done that, we then went through the statutes, and we had made some notes as we went through these, and picked out the statutes and provisions that we seemed to find recurring in the most states, not necessarily the *best* statutes of all the states, not necessarily the worst, but the ones that seemed to be recurring the most, or if you please, if you take the majority of the states as a model, which you certainly shouldn't do, because generally this is the worst, instead of the best, you might say that this is representative of the statutes that we found.

Now, admittedly, these statutes are old. In fact, we didn't find very many new statutes. Some of them go all the way back to 1850 and 1860. I don't know how you fellows have been operating all of these years with the laws that you have. I don't see how you do the job that you do with the laws that you have. And certainly they are in need of revision. How it is done, and when it is done, I don't know, but certainly your laws in regard to safety at railroad grade crossings are in need of revision.

So we went through, and we compiled, the laws in each of these sections, taking what we thought might be a representative provision and then underneath it, or following, as we did in Article 2, we made some comments as to where it came from, if there was a specific source, and some alternative provisions for your consideration.

I might take one provision that I was looking at this morning under Track Motor Car Equipment. no counterpart i About 20 states cover it obvious tion if they co occasionally va a tall light or two tail lights. quired, some do device for keepi

In the next s city may, subj state highway commission, or maximum speed railroad trains v said city. Now counterpart in The form of th state commissio Island statutes. no such sections to administrativ

I might say experience with a city is a polit and I think we ways that go th to tell cities by cannot do as a think within th you should lea agency of the state, such as County, and oth the maximum a should tell the give the commi cities what they delegates to a the cities what this sometimes the cities. I w very close atten the cities what

In some stat this area, as Some leave it minimum, whic do. In Minnes speed on petit Carolina the ra ordinance speed

These comm pointing out th

We mention that there is
n the Uniform Vehicle Code.
have no such section. They
ly by administrative regula-
er it at all. Foot distances
ry. South Dakota requires
reflectors; Virginia requires
Often a canopy is not re-
not require a windshield or
g it clear.

ection, Speed at Crossings, a
ct to the approval of the
commission, public utilities
whatever it is called, set a
l limit for the operation of
within the corporate limits of
the comment is, there is no
the Uniform Vehicle Code.
is section, with approval by
, is suggested by the Rhode
Many states, about 32, have
, and maybe it should be left
e regulations.

something here based on my
cities and legislation. Since
ical subdivision of the state,
found this true with high-
rough cities, it is a good idea
statute what they can and
maximum and minimum, I
ie maximum and minimum,
ve it to the administrative
particular locations in the
in Illinois we have Cook
ers, so you may vary within
nd minimum. The legislature
cities what they can do, or
ssion the authority to tell the
can do. But if the legislature
commission the power to tell
they may and may not do,
does not set too well with
ould suggest that you give
tion to what you do in telling
they may do and may not do.

es the commission regulates
in Connecticut and Maine.
to cities, but set an absolute
h Michigan and New York
ota the commission sets the
ion of the city. In North
ilroad may appeal from the
at any time.

nts are for the purpose of
e source of these provisions,

some alternatives
so that as practi
your practical k
edge of modern
suggestions as to

Now any of
pated in drafting
legislation is fir
it involves the t
of many people.
linois Criminal
working on (and
God, after nine
mitte of 21, and
11; on some of
seven drafts we
committee to the
finally arrived a
legislature.

After we pub
did after we fir
to all the lawy
text of the prop
make changes.
people, would pe
forgotten even a

You have to g
so you can get
terested in this
the legislature w
my estimation,
succinct as possil
sible to the reg
you can go ba
agencies and g
can't get legislat
it gets on the b
troversial, and y
it changed, you'l
changed. So for
should keep you
point, as we hav
much as possible

Here, again,
Some of the law
and very compr
into trouble of
into a lot of litig

If you keep l
you are a lot les
But this is a mat

Fortunately,
Criminal Code
made 12 amendn
how much work

some alternatives, and for your information, so that as practical people, you would use your practical knowledge, and your knowledge of modern current conditions, to make suggestions as to how this should be changed.

Now any of you who have ever participated in drafting legislation know that before legislation is finally submitted to a state, it involves the thinking and the suggestions of many people. When we drafted the Illinois Criminal Code, which I have been working on (and we just finished it, thank God, after nine years), we had a full committe of 21, and a drafting subcommittee of 11; on some of our sections as many as seven drafts were submitted by the subcommittee to the full committee before we finally arrived at what we submitted to the legislature.

After we published the Code, which we did after we finished it, and distributed it to all the lawyers in the state, with the text of the proposed statute, we still had to make changes. The lawyers, and practical people, would point out things that we had forgotten even after nine years of work.

You have to get a broad, wide distribution so you can get the ideas of everyone interested in this field before you can go to the legislature with it, because legislation, in my estimation, should be as short and succinct as possible, leaving as much as possible to the regulations and rules, because you can go back to your administrative agencies and get these changed, but you can't get legislation changed very often. If it gets on the books, especially if it is controversial, and you go back and try and get it changed, you'll find you just can't get it changed. So for that reason, I think you should keep your legislation short and to the point, as we have tried to do, and leave as much as possible to administrative regulation.

Here, again, you fellows work with it. Some of the laws we have are very verbose and very comprehensive, and here you run into trouble of interpretation, and you get into a lot of litigation in the courts.

If you keep laws short and to the point, you are a lot less liable to get into litigation. But this is a matter of choice.

Fortunately, when we finished both the Criminal Code of 1961, the legislature only made 12 amendments. Thus, you can imagine how much work had gone into it, and how many ideas we had gotten from everyone concerned with it when the legislature would make only 12 amendments. In the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, which is much more emotional because it deals with search and seizure and things of that kind, we had only 18 amendments, most of them mine. In 1961 we had one dissenting vote, and in 1963 we had four dissenting votes in the General Assembly.

What I am saying to you is this, and this comes from experience, that you must get the broadest possible distribution of proposed legislation; you must get ideas of those who are interested. They should study it, then give you their ideas and incorporate them into redrafts, and then you should consider this again.

Now, when you get into controversial areas, pretty soon you are going to have to hit a compromise. Everyone can't have his own way. We know that, so you will have to make compromises here and there. But, only by sitting down and talking things out can you arrive at compromises, something that would be satisfactory to both groups. When it comes to railroad grade crossings you have three groups, the public officials, the railroads, and the motoring public. You have to reconcile all three interests because sometimes there is conflict.

What we have done is try to get you off the ground on this, and try to get you something to work with. If we could get your comments on the various drafts and provisions, we will be glad to redraft, or to supplement the comments to show additional ideas in regard to these different sections. As you will notice, the comments now are all directly on state laws. They do not embody any comment that this group thinks so and so, or that group thinks otherwise, and we haven't gone into administrative regulations either, we have concentrated just on the statutes.

So if we can get comments to point out that on these various sections there are different ideas about them, we could add these so that on the second time around you could begin to get down to work. It doesn't take too long to whip something like this into shape. The biggest job has already been done, except, of course, the need to reconcile the conflicts of interest, and different views.

1963 National Safety Congress

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE CURTAILING GRADE-CROSSIN

By JOSEPH H. HAYS
General Counsel, Assn. of Western Rai

There is beginning to emerge from a recent investigation conducted by the Interstate Commerce Commission a better understanding of the role which should be played by the Government in grade-crossing safety. Proceedings conducted by the I.C.C. under its docket number 33440 have brought to light a number of important concepts, some of which are new and some of which are partly so. While collisions between trains and motor vehicles are, numerically, a small part of the over-all highway safety problem, the severity of these accidents and their frightening fatalities, injuries and property loss are so great as to justify special effort to prevent them.

From a review of the problem in all of its aspects, it is evident that prospects for improvement lie in two broad fields: First, better enforcement of laws and regulations applying to the drivers of motor vehicles at railway-highway crossings; and, second, the installation of more grade separation structures and automobile protective devices.

To begin with, it must be recognized that there would be no collisions between trains and motor vehicles if there were no intersections at common grade between highways and railroad tracks. However, as of January 1, 1960, there were 224,747 such intersections in the continental United States. A fair estimate of the cost of separating all of these crossings is $86,119,119,000. This is more than three times the total capital investment in all the railroad plant. Separation of all such crossings would not only be prohibitive in cost but clearly unnecessary.

Following the same reasoning, there would be no grade-crossing collisions if all drivers of motor vehicles complied with existing laws and regulations and, under the dictates of ordinary common sense, exercised proper caution at grade crossings. Presumably, automatic devices are adequate to alert drivers and help them protect themselves from their own folly. However, it is surprising to realize how many drivers will race the train to the crossing or a
already been lo
kind of behavio
On March 21, 1
certain crossing
Between that t
there were 172
were damaged l
to the railroad
gates during tha
average of $8.5
practice for ma
near all crossi
extra supply of
by reckless drive

But here agai
doubtful route t
practical if not
stallation cost of
from about $12,
$22,000 for gate
crossings, there
already equippec
install automatic
183,060 crossing
$1,830,600,000 w
only $10,000 eac
all such devices
$202,000,000 eacl
parent that it wc
the railroads to
automatic prote
crossings. Here
not necessary a
regardless of wl

Where then v
of action?

The practical
of better observ
ulations govern
the construction
separations and

Concerning t
there are two
were revealed b
gation. These f
plications. First

IN
G DEATHS

lways

un around gates which have
wered. An example of this
r is found at Tracy, Calif.
955, gates were placed at a
by the Southern Pacific Co.
ime and January 1, 1962,
instances when those gates
by motor vehicles. The cost
of replacing or repairing
it period was $21,151 or an
l a day. It is now standard
ny railroads to keep at or
igs protected by gates an
such gates so those smashed
rs may be promptly replaced.

n the figures show that this
o a perfect condition is im-
impossible. The average in-
automatic protection ranges
000 for flashing lights up to
s and lights. Of the 224,547
are some 41,487 of them
l with automatic devices. To
protection at the remaining
s would cost a minimum of
hen their cost is estimated at
ch. The cost of maintaining
would run to approximately
h year. Thus, it is readily ap-
uld be unreasonable to expect
install, or even to maintain,
ctive devices at all grade
again such a program is
id would not justify its cost
io might pay.

vould we find a fruitful field

answer lies in both the areas
ance and enforcement of reg-
ing driver behavior and in
or installation of more grade
protective devices.

he matter of enforcement,
rather startling facts which
y the evidence in the investi-
acts are eloquent in their im-
, it was clear that there is

virtually no publ
crossing caution
genuine effort by
made to obtain
doubtedly have b

Two or three
point.

On August 9,
mated near Mag
tank truck was
approaching trai
Commission insp
at this very sar
of tank trucks h
One hundred sev
in a 24-hour pe
or 37 per cent,
were made.

On April 18,
was driven into
train in Indiana.
three members o
the head end n
Exactly seven d
railroad involve
havior at that s
period. Of 106
corded, 60 made
made "partial" o
26 came to a f
again no arrests
men at the scene

You will all r
near Greeley, Co
of an approachir
children were ki
after a school
stopped as it a
the same railroa
boy riding in t
driver, "Train cc
driver went any
the train missed
had the courage
the engine cre
mation at the n
that driver was
But that incider
people simply w

Time after ti
seldom, if ever,
to issue traffic t
when drivers br
I.C.C. inquiry f
place or area in

virtually no public effort to enforce grade-crossing caution; and, conversely, where genuine effort by fleet supervisors had been made to obtain compliance, the results undoubtedly have been worthwhile.

Two or three incidents will illustrate the point.

On August 9, 1960, four men were cremated near Magellan, Ala., when a gasoline tank truck was driven into the path of an approaching train. Only a few days later Commission inspectors checked the conduct at this very same crossing of the drivers of tank trucks handling petroleum products. One hundred seventy-seven crossed the tracks in a 24-hour period. Of these, 66 of them, or 37 per cent, failed to stop. No arrests were made.

On April 18, 1962, a propane tank truck was driven into the path of an approaching train in Indiana. In the resultant explosion, three members of the train crew serving on the head end met horrible death by fire. Exactly seven days after that collision, the railroad involved checked tank truck behavior at that same crossing for a 24-hour period. Of 106 tank truck movements recorded, 60 made no pretext of stopping, 20 made "partial" or "running" stops, and only 26 came to a full stop as required. Here again no arrests were made. The railroad men at the scene had no police power.

You will all remember when a school bus near Greeley, Colo. was driven into the path of an approaching train and some 20 school children were killed. Just one week thereafter a school bus loaded with children stopped as it approached a crossing over the same railroad at a point in Kansas. A boy riding in the back called out to the driver, "Train coming — don't go." But the driver went anyway! By some providence the train missed the bus by inches. The boy had the courage to report the driver and the engine crew turned in the information at the next stop. Within 48 hours that driver was grounded — I hope forever. But that incident proved again that some people simply will not learn by example.

Time after time inquiry disclosed that seldom, if ever, are police officers available to issue traffic tickets or take other action when drivers brazenly crash crossings. The I.C.C. inquiry failed to disclose a single place or area in the country where anything like a consistent effort was made to enforce grade-crossing stop laws. This is not necessarily a criticism of the efforts of police officials. Their inclination has been to concentrate their efforts in policing traffic as it flows along the highway. However, when stop laws may be ignored with impunity there is no wonder these deadly incidents go on.

On the other hand, there are refreshing examples of profit from compliance and enforcement. The record of the Class I common carrier motor bus industry is outstanding. During the four-year period, 1958 to 1961, there were no passengers on such busses killed at grade crossings. Greyhound operated for an 18-year period (1944 to 1961) without a single passenger fatality. We are all familiar with the simple rule, rigidly enforced, that before a common carrier bus enters a rail crossing it must stop and the driver must open the door.

A company operating a fleet of trucks in the east hauling propane liquefied gas detailed an excellent record. From 1945 to the date of the hearing in 1962 it had experienced only one grade-crossing accident. In that case there were no fatalities but, even so, the driver was discharged within two hours after the event. In addition to safety education and incentives, the company emphasized its discipline and enforcement. Drivers were watched and when one failed to stop at a railroad crossing he was discharged at once.

Railroad-owned motor trucking subsidiaries were shown to have excellent records as a result of strict driver supervision. One of these had operated 250 million vehicle miles without a single grade-crossing accident. Many other well-run motor carrier companies have accomplished comparable results.

In school bus operation the unique record of the state of New Mexico was fully explained. The state supervisor of school bus transportation for that state, J. T. Reece, was justly proud of his 25 years without a single collision between a train and a school bus. Rigid checks and close supervision not only by officials of the department but by state police as well are given credit for this outstanding accomplishment.

In the I.C.C. hearings, witness after witness, particularly rail brotherhood men, asked for,—in fact pleaded for,—stepped up activity by law enforcement officials to compel

obedience to grade-crossing stop laws and similar regulations. They pointed out that the average motorist is conditioned to observance of highway stop signs and traffic lights. He knows he may get a traffic ticket if he fails to stop. But drivers seem to ignore rail cross-bucks and even wig-wagging lights. These witnesses asked that officers be assigned to make crossing checks at frequent intervals, to issue tickets for violations, and to really prosecute. Certainly this seems to be a reasonable request.

I think it is apparent that perhaps the first and foremost job to be performed by government is to carry on an effective and intelligent campaign to enforce and encourage voluntary compliance with the simple regulations that would produce grade-crossing safety.

At this point I would like to mention an incident which, in itself, is not of such importance but which affords an example of something that could be done all over the United States. Last December I took part in a conference with a small group of railroad men at Phoenix, Ariz. One of them was a locomotive engineer. We were discussing the grade-crossing problem and he told of a particular crossing just outside the city which had caused him many anxious moments. A refinery or a tank farm is situated near by and the crossing is used by a large number of tank trucks. He had observed that rarely did a tank truck stop before entering the railroad crossing and on many occasions, with his whistle screaming, he had missed loaded rigs by inches.

The railroad group decided to do something about the situation. They called in the safety supervisor of the state Public Utilities Commission. He checked the crossing and found the reports to be true. Police were stationed there for a few shifts. Violating drivers were given warning tickets and a good "talking to" by the judge. Field men talked with drivers at the loading dock. The local newspapers were asked to help. They carried news items about the enforcement campaign and an editorial on the wisdom of compliance. As a result drivers of these tank trucks have formed the habit of stopping at that crossing, and a fateful collision has thus far been avoided. No one sought the discharge of the drivers — only their compliance with required.

To get worth identification of ticularly hazardo hicles; initiative set in motion the tion of officers o warnings and, w arrests and pros sible, the cooper operators of mot able that constru who are local to Action of this ki enough frequenc types of motor v of grade-crossin only dangerous prosecution as w

There were m cussed in the included highw Right of Way" to be activated lights activated better advance way; reflectori signs; careful s drivers; and lic cargo hauled is ever, the main the determinatio responsible for laws and regula frequent crossin so the drivers wi be allowed to fo

Before we le ment I want to on the grade-cro on by the Natio ticularly by its Traffic Safety a In response to th Commerce Com pated in the ge nished advice an Paul F. Hill, gave masterful t had a profound In addition, sev mittee testified

Many railroa of safety and

common sense safety was

while enforcement requires
the crossings which are par-
us; identification of the ve-
on the part of someone to
: necessary action; coopera-
r officials with power to act;
here violations are flagrant,
ecution; and wherever pos-
ation of the press and the
or carrier fleets. It is desir-
ictive agitation be by people
the community in question.
nd needs to be repeated with
y to make drivers of all
ehicles realize that violation
g safety measures is not
but may lead to arrest and
ell.

any aids to enforcement dis-
eneral investigation. These
y-type "Stop" or "Yield
signs; electric traffic lights
y approaching trains; flood
when rail tracks are in use;
warning signs on the high-
ed cross-buck and other
election and supervision of
nsing of drivers where the
inherently dangerous. How-
and necessary ingredient is
n by government and those
it, that the already-existing
tions will be enforced with
g checks and similar action
ll, for their own sake, never
get.

ve this matter of enforce-
take a moment to comment
ssing safety program carried
nal Safety Council and par-
committee on Motor Vehicle
t Railroad Grade Crossings.
e invitation of the Interstate
nission, the Council partici-
neral investigation and fur-
l information of great value.
Assistant General Manager,
estimony as a witness which
effect upon the proceeding.
eral members of this Com-
and were active otherwise.

l men who were directors
representatives of railroad

brotherhood grou
what the railroa
They proved, bey
industry surpasse
action to promo
millions and assi
petent men to fi
safety at grade cr
practices they hav
and reporting "ne
ing up with inter
action are both in
program and oth
together in a rem
ucation, inspirati
vehicle-train coll
the public under
Life" title.

In presuming
marks, on the ro
crossing safety, I
added to the "Sig
possibly to urge
dedicated men fr
carry on that pr
particularly at th
vigorous plan of
the cities and to
Committee, and
bile clubs, moto
management, raili
school groups, sa
enforcement offic
and sheriffs, and
this needless slau

Only a short ti
Executives' Asso
railway brotherh
it is composed,
campaign to get e
ings safety regul
that group reques
road management
in the conduct of
safety at grade c

There are those
never be able to
cidents. Strictly s
but great progre
ducing their nu
consistent police s
able to believe th
approached when
public and the l

As I have poi

brotherhood groups told a vivid story of what the railroads are doing in this field. They proved, beyond question, that no other industry surpasses the railroads in effective action to promote public safety, spending millions and assigning scores of highly competent men to full-time duty in promoting safety at grade crossings and elsewhere. The practices they have worked out for observing and reporting "near misses" and then following up with interviews and other appropriate action are both ingenious and effective. This program and other material have been put together in a remarkable symposium for education, inspiration and action to prevent vehicle-train collisions and is presented to the public under the arresting "Signs of Life" title.

In presuming to comment, in these remarks, on the role of government in grade-crossing safety, I can suggest nothing to be added to the "Signs of Life" concept except possibly to urge more of the same. These dedicated men from all walks of life who carry on that program need more support, particularly at the local level. I envision a vigorous plan of action in the states and in the cities and towns, sparked by this very Committee, and participated in by automobile clubs, motor carrier groups, railroad management, railroad and truck labor groups, school groups, safety councils, the press, law enforcement officials, state and local police and sheriffs, and others who want to prevent this needless slaughter.

Only a short time ago the Railway Labor Executives' Association, speaking for the 21 railway brotherhood organizations of which it is composed, inaugurated a nationwide campaign to get enforcement of grade-crossings safety regulations. By formal resolution that group requested the cooperation of railroad management, public officials and others in the conduct of an organized effort to get safety at grade crossings.

There are those who contend that you will never be able to stop all grade-crossing accidents. Strictly speaking, that may be true, but great progress has been made in reducing their number, even without much consistent police action, and it is only reasonable to believe that the zero mark may be approached when that team work by the public and the law men is forthcoming.

As I have pointed out, the provision and maintenance of grade separations and automatic protective devices is very expensive. For a period of about 30 years the federal government has helped pay the initial cost of these structures and devices with railroads assessed only for the benefits they receive with a limit on such assessment at 10 per cent. However, this policy applies only to installations on the limited mileage of highways built with federal aid funds. And furthermore, the maintenance of such structures and devices continues as an obligation of the railroads. Some states have laws similar to the federal law applicable on state roads but there are only a few of such states and these state laws are generally limited to the cost of separation structures and do not cover automatic devices.

A principal difficulty has been the financing of necessary structures and installations on city streets, local roads and, to some extent, state highways. In the building of roads the desire on the part of the states to match, and thus obtain, federal aid has resulted in the prior construction of main rural roads with not much money left over for local roads and the streets of cities and towns. Generally speaking, officials with jurisdiction over roads in this last category have been without cash to separate grades and to pay for automatic crossing protection, although some of our worst disasters have occurred at country crossings.

A relatively new concept as to financing of these structures and devices was brought to light in the I.C.C. hearings and was endorsed in principle in the proposed report of the examiner. R. B. Thomas, chief engineer of the Illinois Commerce Commission, testified in the I.C.C. proceeding to explain a growing program of state participation in the cost of grade-crossing protection.

For many years the Illinois Commerce Commission has had rather complete jurisdiction over railway-highway crossings at common grade. In addition to ordering separations, gates or other devices and apportioning the cost, this Commission has full power to close crossings it deems to be hazardous and unnecessary. In one place where a main line railroad ran through the center of the town there were 12 grade crossings and the collisions and casualties were great. After a study, eight of these crossings were closed and the remaining four were equipped with

automatic gates. Since that was done not a single fatality has occurred. The situation in other cities and towns was studied and it was found that effective action was hampered, if not prevented, by the lack of municipal money to pay for the necessary devices.

In Illinois a comparatively small percentage of the money from gas tax and registration fees is allocated to the cities and towns. Since a very high percentage of motor vehicle mileage was over these streets and was thus earned on the streets, the Illinois Commerce Commission did not hesitate to recommend to the legislature that a small part of the gas tax revenue be placed in a grade-crossing protective fund so it might be used to help finance necessary protection on local roads and city streets. The first proposed act to accomplish that end was passed by the legislature in 1955 but was vetoed by the governor on the insistence of those who wanted to see that such revenue was used exclusively for road construction. However, in the next session, 1957, the bill was again passed, this time by the almost unanimous vote of the legislature, and it was then signed into law.

When first created the act set aside $25,000 per month from gas tax receipts to be placed in this special fund. In the following session, 1959, the amount was increased so that there is now available some $600,000 per year to be used to protect with automatic signals and other devices the most dangerous crossings in the state. The Illinois Commerce Commission has complete power over this fund. It may determine the crossings to be protected and may use the fund to pay for all or any part of the cost. The money may not be used on federal aid roads or state roads. While serious consideration is being given to the assumption by the fund of maintenance cost as well as construction, that responsibility in Illinois is still a burden to be borne by the railroads.

Since the adoption of the Illinois act, similar acts with somewhat lesser funds have been created in Iowa and Nebraska, and only in 1963 in Wyoming and Minnesota.

The Wisconsin legislature set up a fund in 1949 from which a part of the cost of grade-crossing protection could be paid by the public. That act first provided for only 50 per cent of the cost, but in 1963 the law

was chang
from suc
legislature
less other
ing grade
be divided
Commissi
public boc
the divisic
tion. Hov
after the i


The re
simple an
cent broc
Signs of
when it s

"There
is no real
use of pu
traffic ligl
pavement
the use of
stream of
tracks."

Similar
mony befc
parts of t
officials, l
men and r
municipal,
press and
ment. An
ment on tl
J. Vinske
report he
Commissi
in this m
the subjec
examiner

"* * * j
cipients o
highway
protection
For this
maintainin
devices is
be finance
highway t

Examin
ment the
almost ev
before the
port of cc
mous or r



ged to allow 70 per cent to be paid
l fund. In California this year the
passed an act providing that, un-
wise agreed, the cost of maintain-
-crossing protection works should
l by the California Public Service
on between the railroads and the
lies affected on the same basis as
n of the cost of original construc-
vever, the bill was pocket-vetoed
legislature had adjourned.

asoning behind this legislation is
l convincing. One of the most re-
hures by the Committee in the
Life Program puts is accurately
ays:

is a growing awareness that there
difference in principle between the
iblic funds to defray the cost of
its, traffic signs, guard rails and
markings and similar devices, and
public funds to protect the same
traffic as it goes over railroad

statements were made in the testi-
ore the I.C.C. by witnesses from all
he country. State and local public
egislators, railroad people for both
nanagement, safety council officials,
county and state authorities, the
others expressed the same senti-
d the most important pronounce-
ie subject was that made by Henry
y, I.C.C. hearing examiner, in the
has proposed for adoption by the
n. After hearing all the evidence
ost comprehensive investigation of
t which has ever been conducted,
Vinskey said:

highway users are the principal re-
f the benefits flowing from rail-
grade separations and from special
at rail-highway grade crossings.
reason the cost of installing and
g such separations and protective
a public responsibility and should
d with public funds the same as
raffic devices."

er Vinskey has thus voiced an argu-
average legislator understands. In
ery case where the facts are laid
state lawmakers the vote in sup-
orrective measures has been unani-
nearly so.

The
appreci
automat
practica
expecte
contrib
be mad
which
used to
devices
motor
folly. E
of the
put it v
the Con

"Rail
cilitatio
have be
remedia
mum sa
in rail-
trols ar
ing pub
just tha
which
system.
part of
accelera
expandi
tem."

If w
tional s

TH

Our
feel the
progran
a railro
dustry
portanc
Railroa

We
grading
sending

The magnitude of the cost figures for an appreciable number of new installations of automatic devices makes it clear that, as a practical matter, the railroads could not be expected to bear the burden. A genuine contribution to safety at grade crossings can be made by formulating some means by which public funds may be obtained and used to build and maintain structures and devices which will automatically protect the motor vehicle driving public from its own folly. Earl F. Campbell, managing director of the California Traffic Safety Foundation, put it very succinctly in his testimony before the Commission when he said:

"Rail traffic is a part of the over-all facilitation and safety blueprint. Large sums have been spent by the railroads in providing remedial measures designed to achieve maximum safety. The public has a major interest in rail-highway accident controls. These controls are created for the benefit of the driving public, and therefore it would seem only just that the public should pay for controls which are an integral part of the highway system. This would represent only a small part of the total highway cost, but would accelerate the building of safety into our expanding and urgently needed highway system."

If we are to have and to use both a national system of railroads and a national system of streets and highways, crisscrossing each other at thousands of places, we must, to an increasing degree, spend what it takes to insulate each system from the other. The tremendous gain in motor vehicle use and the need for rapid, efficient transportation over both systems makes this imperative. To do the job would take that which seems to railroad people to be a very great amount of money, yet a sum which would be only a small part of the whole highway budget. Being realistic, we know that the only place to turn for the amounts necessary is to the public treasury. The sooner the funds are made available and the gates or other protection installed the sooner we may be able to reduce to a minimum the death and destruction at grade crossings.

So it becomes clear that the role of government in grade-crossing safety encompasses at least two very important projects, namely, an enforcement program, consistently followed, to induce compliance with laws and regulations requiring motor vehicles to stop at, or operate with adequate care at, railway-highway crossings at common grade; and, secondly, the appropriation of public funds with which to build and maintain an adequate number of grade separations and automatic protective devices at grade crossings in order to further insulate from each other vehicles moving over highways and those moving over railways.

THE IMPORTANCE OF SUPERVISORY TRAINING IN THE RAILROAD INDUSTRY

By M. A. NUGENT
Supt. of Safety, The Southern Pacific Co., San Francisco

Our subject of discussion is one that I feel the most important subject in any safety program. Due to the far flung operation of a railroad, it applies more to the railroad industry than any other, and that is the "Importance of Supervisory Training in the Railroad Industry."

We read in various periodicals of upgrading railroad officers. Many railroads are sending them to universities throughout the country with the thought of making better managers. I have no quarrel with this policy. But, we have thousands of supervisors and officers on the railroads who are not given the opportunity of attending universities, although they hold responsible positions in management. These people are supervising still more thousands of employees. Our discussion today is aimed at that group. A program of this kind will pay off in higher morale and fewer accidents.

This group is the hardest group to sell on safety and I will attempt to show you some of the reasons why. They are always under pressure to get things done with greater efficiency and less waste and they may feel that they are somewhat overworked—and possibly they are.

In their preoccupation to get things done, they may feel that the more pressing matters reduce the necessity of carrying an effective accident prevention program. It is often a burden to cope with all the matters they must deal with. They give the greatest attention to those matters that seem of immediate importance, so safety matters wait for an occasion when there is more time. But, all too often, that occasion may never arise.

You may not agree, but after 48 years of experience, holding a variety of jobs in the operating department, I have observed with keen interest the actions of various supervisors. I believe the success of any program will depend on the ability of the supervisor to carry the program down to the rank and file employee. Who else is close enough to the worker to do the safety job? The worker considers he works for the supervisor, not the higher-ups who are miles away in some glass temple. If the supervisor devotes a few minutes a day checking equipment and safety practices, he will instill in his men a safety consciousness that only a supervisor can do.

There is no more effective way of selling safety to railroad employees than through the supervisor. Unless he is imbued with ideas regarding safety, he will never get the safety program off the ground. Safety and morale will always come from the top down, never from the bottom up. As far as the supervisor is concerned; this must start with top management, but it will only reach the rank and file employee through the supervisor. Supervisors are the key members of any effective safety program. Their education is of primary importance and will have a decide
employees.

Proper edu
the supervisor
of employees
supervisor. I
workers to h
have confider
only be reco
also be reco
periors. We s
dent preventi
apart from o
and parcel o
be done effect
or employees

You have h
supervisor, b
ord." The m
effective meth
supervisor to
duties. A wel
quires of a s
in accident p
responsibility

There are
us: namely,
ment to train

This group
vantages over
agement has
cating this g
unless we tak
with our peo
management's
education.

The questi
best a superv
carried on in
can we best d

Last year I
of the progr
Since our trai
ratios have co
Evaluation of
Miller, on pag

:d effect on the job of training

cation will instill confidence in
r and build him up in the eyes
and will make him a better
f a supervisor expects his
ıve confidence in him, he must
ıce in himself. This will not
gnized by employees, but will
ınized by the supervisor's su-
peak, for convenience, of acci-
on as if it were somewhat
:her duties. It is *not*. It is part
f the total job. A job cannot
tively if equipment is damaged
are injured.

ıeard the saying, "He's a good
ıt he has a bad accident rec-
ost effective plan or the most
ıod of prevention is for the
make it a part of his daily
l directed safety program re-
ıpervisor a more effective role
revention. It is management's
to train that supervisor.

several questions now before
what can be done by manage-
supervisors?

here today has had many ad-
the on-line supervisor. Man-
come a long way toward edu-
:oup. But, this is of no value
e it home and make it effective
ple. Your attendance here (at
expense) is a part of your

ın before us, today, is how
isor training program can be
the railroad industry and how
lo the job of training.

gave some of the highlights
am on the Southern Pacific.
ining program has started, the
ntinually been downward.

this program is given by Mr.
ıe 17.

Havin
borer, b
master
I feel th
accident
existenc

My fi
responsi
when I
geles U
Through
juries r
that son
order.
Pacific
conferen
ferences
terial u
and em
correctic
see and
to issue
perform
conferen
of our
interest
three-ye
our rati
program
success.

In lea
Termina
the capa
two diff
in a pos
the Sou
safety d
supervis
all line
bulletins
houseke
analyzin
reportin
participa
and enf
seeing a

Safety
this infc
tal conf
These n
twice a
railroad.

By D. K. MILLER
Asst. Supt. of Safety, The Southern Pacific Co., San Francisco

Having worked in such positions as laborer, boilermaker helper, switchman, yardmaster and then 16 years as a line officer, I feel that I am in a position to evaluate the accident prevention program that is now in existence on the Southern Pacific Railroad.

My first experience in assuming complete responsibility for a safety program came when I was superintendent of the Los Angeles Union Passenger Terminal in 1953. Through the large number of personal injuries recorded, it was definitely apparent that some type of training program was in order. A representative of the Southern Pacific safety department set up a series of conferences with all supervisors. These conferences were set up on a weekly basis. Material used covered the past injury record and emphasized the causes and means of correction. The supervisor was trained to see and correct unsafe work practices, how to issue orders, how to train employees to perform specific duties, and how to conduct conferences. It became noticeable that most of our supervisors began taking an active interest in the safety program. Within a three-year period, we were able to reduce our ratio from 20.90 to 3.36. The training program was largely responsible for our success.

In leaving Los Angeles Union Passenger Terminal, and moving out on-line again in the capacity of assistant superintendent on two different big and busy divisions, I was in a position to see the training program on the Southern Pacific in its infancy. The safety department started to issue periodic supervisor's accident prevention bulletins to all line officers. Material contained in these bulletins included such subjects as good housekeeping, personal protective devices, analyzing accident causes, investigating and reporting of accidents, gaining employee participation, audio-visual aids, safety rules and enforcement, on-the-job training, and seeing and correcting unsafe practices.

Safety supervisors, when disseminating this information, conducted interdepartmental conferences with all line supervisors. These meetings were held on an average of twice a year all over the Southern Pacific railroad. About 900 officers participated in these conferences. The information discussed in these meetings, as well as at quarterly staff meetings, served as a basis for a training program. However, with the number of employees being promoted to officers, this is a never-ending program.

Another important procedure we use in training supervisors when revising one of our rule books, or planning some modification in our safety program as it applies to the transportation, mechanical, or maintenance of way departments, is to arrange for a meeting of a certain number of line officers with safety department personnel. In the case of rule books, a committee composed of line officers and safety department personnel sits down and reviews the rules thoroughly, and revises them in the final form for printing. This has been going on for several years now, and we believe it has proven very beneficial in having a line supervisor feel that he is playing an important part in shaping our safety program.

One of the most important programs of the safety department is the responsibility for selection, control, and subsequent use of protective equipment for all departments. These include protection for head, eyes, body, feet and respiratory protection; and clothing for protection against corrosive and toxic substances. The department recommends purchase and use of such materials as creams and cleaners for protection against occupational diseases such as dermatitis. In November 1957 a catalog was issued that contained all approved protective equipment, and use of anything not stipulated in the catalog is prohibited.

Of course, any new equipment receives consideration and replacement is made where warranted.

To obtain a uniform understanding all over our railroad, the safety department conducted educational conferences with all supervisors and trained them in the use of protective equipment. As new equipment is issued, supervisors are again instructed in its proper use. While it is difficult to determine exactly how many personal injuries have been avoided through use of protective equipment, we know a substantial reduction has been made, due to the fact that we are

not experiencing the number of injuries to heads, eyes, hands and feet as we have in the past. In addition, complaints with regard to respiratory ailments have diminished to a great extent.

In addition to this type of education, formal education is offered to a select group of officers and employees who are seriously considered to have definite management potential. Naturally, they must meet various qualifications such as age, seniority and past educational achievement. Each candidate must also demonstrate leadership ability and show signs of positive evidence of making the Southern Pacific a permanent career.

In addition to the programs mentioned, safety supervisors are allocated a portion of the railroad which they continually police, assisting line officers to carry on their accident prevention program.

To gain employee participation, such devices as picnics, family night meetings, luncheons and dinners are held periodically all over the railroad. With proper planning, we have found these functions very helpful in the establishing and furthering of an awareness of safety.

Undoubtedly, we are making some progress in the safety program in that over the last five-year period, we have noticed a decided downward trend. From every indication this progress will continue and should place us in a very enviable position as compared with other Class 1 carriers throughout the country. Despite the fact that our ratio is showing a continual decrease, the field of accident prevention is fertile and training of the line supervisors must be continued to alleviate the number of fatalities and injuries that are still substantial. In continually analyzing the injuries that are being sustained on the railroad, it appears obvious to all of us in the safety department that unsafe work practices and disregard of safety and transportation rules are the major causes of all accidents.

We have employed several outside organizations to come onto our property and make detailed studies of our facilities and work processes. We have spent millions of dollars

in making th
of which incl
eliminate
changes, we
are still dea
emotions, whi
an educationa
dividual is a u

Psychologis
all human be
havior patter
tion and appli
challenge to s
ern Pacific w
tional confer
acquaint them
terns. This w
and recognize
patterns of t

In our inte
staff meetings
are emphasiz
pects of basic
line superviso
bility. Such t

1. Learn to
and your mir
about themsel

2. Learn to
and to small
policy, depart

3. Learn to
and your min
tions, unsafe
your departme

4. Learn to
job is teachin
specific jobs,
others.

5. Learn to
of touch, but
others are feeli
be as good a w

6. Learn ev
about the con
of your own

If you are w
the first five w

e recommended changes, many
uded built-in safety devices to
human error. Despite these
are cognizant of the fact we
ling with human beings and
ch must be controlled through
l program. We realize each in-
nique being.

ts tell us that in spite of this,
ings have a definite basic be-
ı. In our opinion, the acquisi-
cation of this knowledge is the
afety in the future. On South-
e are planning to hold educa-
nces with supervisors to
with these basic behavior pat-
ill enable them to understand
changes in the basic behavior
ıose who work for them.

rdepartmental conferences and
that we are now planning, we
ing several rudimentary as-
behavior patterns to make the
r more aware of his responsi-
hings will be covered as:

listen—keep your ears open
ıd open. Get the men to talk
ves and their work.

talk effectively to individuals
groups about safety, company
nent aims, etc.

see — keep your eyes open
d open. Look for poor condi-
practices, ways to improve
nt.

teach. Much of a supervisor's
g others, not only how to do
but also how to supervise

feel—not to develop a sense
rather an ability to feel what
ing. Perhaps "empathy" would
ord.

erything you can about people,
npany policy, about the work
and other departments.

vell informed on these matters,
ill be easy.

For ma
hamlet of
100-yards
of the Ba
my fond
the forem
tained the
foreman
it was a
got my fi
the sectio
and he to
who yet
"Mike."

I recall
miles by
of-way.

As the
industry
the great
vehicle. T
no longer
with the
their car
pass. Ma
to the us
port their

This h
lems and
in Highw

Previou
their plac
concerned
automotiv

Today,
to their
of all the
highway

Let us
problems.
vehicle is
your emp
an outsid
like to h
let's cons
important
sideration
will not

SAFETY IN HIGHWAY OPERATION

By BERTRAM L. WHEAT
Safety Dir., Eastern Express, Inc., Terre Haute, Ind.

For many years I lived on a farm near the hamlet of Coxville, Indiana. Just less than 100 yards behind our home ran a branch line of the Baltimore and Ohio railroad. One of my fond memories is my acquaintance with the foreman and the section crew who maintained the right-of-way past our home. The foreman was a large, congenial Irishman, and it was a day of great significance when I got my first ride on a motor car. I remember the section gang foreman's name was "Mike" and he took a great liking to my kid brother, who yet today is known by the nickname of "Mike."

I recall that the section crew came many miles by motor car to maintain the right-of-way.

As the years have progressed, the railroad industry in essence has taken advantage of the great flexibility of the highway motor vehicle. Today many of these section crews no longer ride many miles on motor cars with the long delays necessitated by removing their car from the track to let train traffic pass. Many railroads today have progressed to the use of automotive vehicles to transport their maintenance-of-ways crews.

This has brought about many new problems and brings me to my subject, "Safety in Highway Operation."

Previously, when the section crews left their place of domicile, they were primarily concerned with two things: train traffic and automotive traffic at railroad grade crossings.

Today, when they traverse our highways to their place of work, they become a part of all the complex problems of our modern highway transportation system.

Let us look for a moment at some of these problems. First of all, the operator of the vehicle is an individual who is already in your employment and you cannot select from an outside source the individual you may like to have to operate the vehicle. Thus, let's consider what I think are some of the important factors you should take into consideration in the selection of the driver. Time will not permit us to go in detail into all the facts, and at best will only permit us to highlight some of the requirements. In some instances, the driver may be operating the vehicle alone, going out to repair a Diesel unit which is broken down, or he may be going to repair a breakdown in your communications system, or he may be entrusted with the lives of several of your workmen who are going out to maintain the right-of-way. In most instances driving of your vehicles is secondary to his primary work. It is secondary, but of prime importance. Therefore, what will you look for in assigning a driver to your vehicle? It is an important decision and should not be treated lightly.

I believe you should first consider the following factor: personality of the individual. We are interested now in the driver personality, and it has three parts, according to the Traffic Safety Institute of Northwestern University: (a) Natural abilities, (b) learned capabilities, and (c) motives and attitudes.

His natural abilities are those abilities he has to start with, and here we take into consideration his physical condition.

His learned capabilities are those factors he has acquired by study and practice.

Motives and attitudes are what makes him behave the way he does.

In selecting this individual to be your driver, let's consider first his natural abilities, and as mentioned, this takes into consideration his physical abilities. We can best learn his physical abilities through the results of a physical examination, to determine, among other things, his version—and we are primarily interested here in his usable vision, which can be determined by the use of a Keystone telebinocular or the American Optical Company's orthorator in the hands of a skilled operator. My experience has proven that the Snellen chart does not always tell us an individual's usable vision. We should determine his depth perception, field of vision, visual acuity of at least 20/20 in each eye, and test for color blindness. He should be given psycho-physical test to determine glare recovery and reaction time.

His minimum hearing requirements should be not less than 10/20 in the better ear for conversational tones without a hearing aid.

His natural abilities would also include the full use of hands, arms, and legs, in order to manipulate the controls of a vehicle.

The physical examination should also determine whether or not the individual who is to operate your vehicles has organic diseases such as a heart ailment, epilepsy, high blood pressure, or diabetes, which are apt to interfere with his driving.

His learned capabilities can easily be determined by giving him a test which would cover such subjects as correct driving practices, safe driving practices, equipment loading, and safety rules. A driving test will show the areas in which he needs additional training.

The last of our three general classifications consists of motives and attitudes, and as I mentioned before, it determines how the driver behaves and what he does. It determines how the driver thinks and feels about a great many things. These factors of personality are very hard to determine without a great deal of professional training in psychology.

Now, let us see what tools we can use in endeavoring to select the proper individual to operate our vehicle. These are some to which I would give considerable attention: Prospective driver's past record—what has been his performance with your company? What has been his performance record with his previous employers? Has he been a satisfactory employee? The things we are looking for here are his ability to perform his work, his reliability, his courtesy to others, and whether or not he has been a troublemaker.

Secondly, it would be extremely important to check his traffic and accident record and this can be done through the registrar of motor vehicles of the state in which he is licensed.

Third, police record: this can be checked through your own private police force through the police department of the city or the sheriff's office of the county in which he resides. Criminal records should be checked. This investigation will many times turn up traffic arrests and accidents which have not been reported to the state licensing agency.

It has been said many times that a man

drives as
your priva
an effo
and attitu
neighborhc
done by ch
how he ge
or not bill
him, whet
there is fi
he get alon

If all th
thus far i
the second
comprehen
the trainin
hicles. As
will determ
driver will
sistance.

First anc
should cov
edge of the
driving tec
an accident

The spec
lems of yo
very thoro

Again, t
should be
materials a
deal of det
through it
ence, has a
will need t
program fo
able bookle
ering most

Perhaps
tivities that
dustry par
highway o
operating a
highways,
of interest.
industry ha
It is our ci
to help solv
our time, th
lem. It is a
vate enterp
for the com

Our oper
maintain p
done when

he lives. I would recommend that
te police force or an investigator,
t to learn more about the motives
les of this individual, check the
od in which he lives. This can be
ecking with neighbors to determine
ts along with his family, whether
collectors are constantly harrassing
her he gets inebriated, whether
iction in his home life, and how
g in the neighborhood.

information you have ascertained
satisfactory, then we move into
area, that being "training." A
sive program should be set up for
g of drivers to operate their ve-
mentioned before, a driving test
line in which areas each particular
need the greatest amount of as-

foremost, your training program
er safe driving practices, knowl-
laws, rules of the road, defensive
hniques, and what to do in case of

ific training related to the prob-
ur industry should also be covered
ghly.

explain how a training program
set up and what equipment and
re needed would require a good
ail. The National Safety Council,
Motor Transportation Confer-
vailable most of the materials you
set up a comprehensive training
r your drivers. They have avail-
ts, slides, movies and posters cov-
of the subjects I have mentioned.

I could relate some of the ac-
the regulated motor carrier in-
icipates in to further safety in
eration. Since the railroads are
great number of vehicles on the
perhaps many of these would be

First of all, the motor carrier
s a civic interest in public safety.
vic duty, then, to do what we can
e one of the biggest problems of
at being the traffic accident prob-
lso one of the privileges of pri-
rise to take the lead in activities
mon good.

ation, as yours, requires that we
blic goodwill and this is best
the public knows we are doing

our very
ways saf
the same
preventin
bound by
munities

The re
different
mally, w
fence of
the empl
carrier i
in a fishl
constant
vehicles
ists. The
ing from
the gove
tor or re
very bes
the reaso
industry
participa

Affiliat
sociation
Conferen
of the
carrier i
our high
courtesy
effort to
users. M
fields. Fo
the regul
does to

As m
Trucking
cil of Sa
regional,
have hel
lection a
widespre
Through
motor c
only su
units, bu
tion rep
is very
program
through
Vehicle
ings. In
reports
associati
whatever

our very best to keep the streets and highways safe. In addition, our employees have the same civic interest as our industry in preventing accidents. Therefore, we are all bound by duty and desire to keep our communities a safe place in which to live.

The regulated motor carrier is somewhat different from the average industry. Normally, what goes on behind the walls and fence of an industrial plant is confined to the employees and the company. In the motor carrier industry, you might say we operate in a fishbowl. In other words, we are under constant observation, as are you when your vehicles are on the highway, by other motorists. The vehicle ahead, behind, or approaching from the opposite direction may contain the governor, a state or United States Senator or representative, or it may contain your very best customer. I believe this is one of the reasons why the organized motor carrier industry has taken such an active part in participating in safety in highway operation.

Affiliated with the American Trucking Associations, Inc., and the Motor Transport Conference and Commercial Vehicle Sections of the National Safety Council, the motor carrier industry constantly strives to improve our highway accident frequency, practices courtesy on the highways, and makes every effort to save the life and limb of all its users. Much progress has been made in these fields. For example, let's take a look at what the regulated motor carrier industry presently does to promote highway safety.

As mentioned previously, the American Trucking Association and its affiliated Council of Safety Supervisors participate in many regional, state and community activities. They have helped formulate standards for the selection and training of drivers that are in widespread use throughout the country today. Through the state-affiliated council, many motor carriers operate road patrol cars, not only supervising and observing their own units, but also writing good and bad observation reports on other truck drivers. This is very similar to the "near miss" report program the railroad industry participates in through the National Committee on Motor Vehicle Traffic Safety at Rail Grade Crossings. In the motor carrier industry, these reports are disseminated through the state association to the owners of the vehicles for whatever action may be deemed necessary.

Perhaps many of you have heard of the driver-of-the-month program which recognizes a driver for an outstanding act of heroism while practicing his professional driving techniques on the highway. Recognition is also given for long and outstanding years of safe driving.

From these monthly drivers is selected the driver-of-the-year, who receives outstanding recognition and has the opportunity of meeting with the President of the United States. We believe this program helps keep professional drivers safe and courteous.

The state and national truck Roadeos are also important factors in contributing to highway safety. The National Fleet Safety Contests, one of which is sponsored by the American Trucking Association and the other by the National Safety Council, have very vividly demonstrated how accident frequency can be reduced by those participating, thus making our highways a safer place on which to drive.

Until 1961, our industry, through our affiliated national organizations, along with the Junior Jaycees and the Liberty Mutual Insurance Company sponsored the Teen-Age Roadeo, thus attempting to stimulate some interest in safe driving on our highways by the younger set.

Our industry also contributes money and assistance to the Highway Traffic Board of the American Standards Association in attempting to standardize traffic signs and signals, and also safety features of automobiles. It also participates financially and actively in the President's Committee for Traffic Safety and takes an active part in planning regional meetings.

Our association contributes $5,000 in scholarships to the American Driver-Education Association. It also participates in the American Automobile Association's High School Driver Training Committee.

The motor carrier industry had done much, through our national association, to assist financially and actively in the national committee's efforts on uniform traffic laws and ordinances and uniform motor vehicle code. You will recall that one of the projects of the National Safety Council's Committee on Motor Vehicle Traffic Safety at Railroad Grade Crossings is to attempt to get uniformity of regulations at all rail grade cross-

ings. I am sure if you travel extensively you are keenly aware of the fact that many states and communities have not yet adopted the uniform motor vehicle code. It becomes quite confusing when the driving rules of one state are directly opposite of another. For example, reckless driving in some states is a minor driving violation while in others conviction can cost you your license.

The results of these programs have been encouraging. The 76th Annual Report of the Interstate Commerce Commission to Congress reports that intercity authorized (common and contract) carriers of property with annual revenue of $200,000 or more reveal the following information: the total miles of travel for five years ending with the calendar year of 1961 consisted of 40,212,000,000 miles. This is a 10.3 per cent increase in mileage over the previous five years. The total deaths reported to the Interstate Commerce Commission by the above-mentioned carriers for the five years ending 1961 showed 1.5 per cent increase over the previous five years. You will note there has been a 10.3 per cent increase in mileage over the past five years with only 1.5 per cent increase in the number of fatalities in which trucks were involved. The Interstate Commerce Commission does not get accident reports from private carriers.

The results of the National Fleet Safety Contest sponsored by the National Safety Council are even more encouraging. Governor Pyle of the Council has reported the following information as a result of the 1962-63 National Fleet Safety Contest: 2,522 fleets participated in the contest, representing 296,464 vehicles. In all, these vehicles travelled over 6½ billion miles during the contest year. The accident rate for this contest year was 12.34 accidents per million miles. This is the lowest accident frequency in the 32-year history of the contest. It is a 66 per cent reduction since World War II, when the rate was 36 accidents per million miles. You can readily see the accident frequency has shown, with very few exceptions, a continual downward trend.

How about the average motorist — the other millions of vehicles presently on our highways. As outlined in the National Safety Council's plan for total mobilization for traffic safety, they are of prime concern to all of us.

A report on a study conducted by the

Opinion Rese
isn't such a t
out of 10 adu
per cent of
violations rat
driving skills
average in ol
believe the
"Safety is fo
cident will n
parent the eff
operation int
met with littl
per 100,000,0
been in the pa
ple are living
driving pract
niques, wond
availability of
saved many o

I am a gr
ing's statemen
cidents is bei
tally absent."
statement to
beings but mo
vehicle on ou
but mentally

It is quite e
stone-age reac
advanced fro
our recent v
which they a
hour. Yet th
speed of the
human nervou
cles has not
since the hors
now, requires
for the humar
ing to muscle
only has hum
since the hors
no reason to b
the days of H
B.C.

It seems in
ease of mani
motor vehicle,
problems, per
multitude of t
man mind, rat
we are doing a
Yet you can
ingly more im

arch Corporation revealed there
hing as an average driver. Nine
ılts in this investigation and 100
those with a record of traffic
ed themselves above average in
and say they are better than
ɔeying traffic laws, too. I really
average motorist feels that
r the other guy—because an ac-
ɔver happen to him." It is ap-
orts to instill safety in highway
› these millions of drivers has
e success. Sure, our death rate
)0 is lower today than it has
ıst, but how many of these peo-
ɛ today because of their safe
ices? Improved surgical tech-
er drugs, and the immediate
medical aid and hospitals have
f these people's lives.

ɔat believer in Charles Ketter-
t that the "greatest cause of ac-
ıg physically present and men-
You can apply this great man's
nost activities involving human
re especially in driving a motor
r highways: physically present
absent.

vident the human body still has
tion in the space age. We have
n the horse and buggy days to
ɔnture with the astronauts in
ttained almost 18,000 miles an
ɔ accurately-measured average
reaction of the human eye, the
ıs system, and the human mus-
changed by one milli-second
ɔe and buggy days. It then, as
at least one-fifth of a second
ı eye to initiate activities lead-
response after stimulation. Not
an reaction time not changed
ɔ and buggy days, but there is
ɔelieve that it has altered since
Hammurabi in the 21st century

these modern days, with the
pulating the controls of the
our mind wanders to current
sonal problems, and all the
hings that go through the hu-
her than concentrate on what
ıs we drive down the highway.
readily see that it is increas-
portant to give driving today

your un
are phy

The M
its great
motorist
and the
one else
as indivi
mendous
fering v
day? Le
teach ot
They ha
by consc
English
lish. Th
or Chin
they we
guages.

Are y
your ch
of a mc
we do n
They al
more in
ing, and
then in
accordin
the whe
laws anc
in defer
largely
them. If
a little
who is
when as
driver,
watch o
man all
driver,
granted
operatin
To insti
to be a
operatio
ficult te
legal dr

If w
drivers
who ha
fallacies
our min
we wou
to oper
only in

your undivided attention. So many times we are physically present and mentally absent.

The National Safety Council concentrates its greatest effort on traffic safety, yet the motorist feels that the signs, the messages and the safety slogans are meant for someone else rather than for him. What can we, as individuals, do to help eliminate the tremendous loss of life and the pain and suffering which occur on our highways each day? Let's start at home. Most of what we teach our children is taught by indirection. They habitually learn more by imitation than by conscious instruction. Our children speak English because they hear us speaking English. They would speak French or German or Chinese just as naturally if at the crib they were addressed in one of these languages. They listen and they imitate.

Are you today setting a good pattern for your children to observe in your operation of a motor vehicle? They assume that what we do must be right so they do what we do. They absorb our attitudes toward life and more important, our attitudes toward driving, and if our driving attitudes are poor, then in a great measure they will respond accordingly when their time comes to take the wheel. How they abide by the traffic laws and regulations and how they participate in defensive driving practices will depend largely on the example you have set for them. If you are the type of driver to cheat a little on the speed or if you are the type who is like the father of the little girl who, when asked in school if her Daddy was a safe driver, replied "Yes, he sure is—because I watch out the back window for the policeman all the time,"—if you are this type of driver, they your children will take for granted these are the standard practices for operating a motor vehicle on our highways. To instill safety within them and for them to be able to subdue their desire for fast operation on the highway will be a most difficult task when they reach the age of a legal driver.

If we could only instill in the young drivers today, and for that matter, in those who have had many years of driving, the fallacies of speed, the fallacies of letting our minds drift from our driving, I believe we would have a far safer highway on which to operate almost immediately. If we could only instill the apparent dangers of high speed vehicles operated improperly, if we could only realize how quickly an accident can happen. Sudden death is no more graphically worded than in Reader's Digest which carried an article entitled "Slow Motion of High Speed," by Edgar A. Walls, III, and Carl Wall. It was the result of scientific studies by experts who test-crashed hundreds of cars to determine new safety features for autos. Let's paraphrase what happens each tenth of a second when a car going 55 miles an hour crashes into an immobile tree:

1/10—front bumper and chrome "frosting" of grille collapse. Steel slivers penetrate tree as much as 1½ inches.

2/10—hood buckles and smashes windshield. Spinning rear wheels leave ground. Grille disintegrates. Fenders bulge at doors. Frame begins to stop momentum of 2½-ton body. Driver continues forward at original speed and at a force of twenty times gravity. (Hence his body weighs 3200 pounds.) His legs stiffen against the floor, snap at the knee joints.

3/10—driver's body lunges forward. Steering wheel bends to his death grip. His head is near the sun visor.

4/10—front of car is demolished, but rear end still is going 35 miles per hour. (Imagine that!) Driver's body still moving 55 miles per hour. Motor block wedges into tree. Rear of car ships up against hanging branches of tree.

5/10—driver's fear-locked hands bend steering column almost vertical. Force of gravity impales him on steering wheel shaft, puncturing chest and lungs.

6/10—driver's feet are jerked from tightly-laced shoes. Brake pedal shears off at the floor. Chassis buckles in center. Body bolts snap. Driver's head smashes into windshield. Rear of car crashes to ground, with spinning wheels churning the dirt.

7/10—doors burst open, tearing hinges. In a final lunge, seat rams forward, administering the coup de grace to a body spurting blood from the mouth and with a heart frozen from shock.

It takes just seven-tenths of a second.

If we could only realize these dangers, I am sure we would make a greater effort to give driving our fulltime and undivided attention.

Safety in highway operation is of the ut-

most importance to every user of the highway. I hope you will give some consideration to the responsibility you have of placing the drivers behind the wheels of your vehicles, and that you will take a good look at your own driving p ample for you drivers of ton plish these, I'l in highway op

AS I SEE IT NOW — DISCU HOW SAFETY SHOULD BE

By H. J. SURLES
General Supt. of Transportation, Great Northern Rai

Before it can be decided whether or not we can do better in railroad safety, we will have to take a quick look at where we have been, where we are today, and then decide where and how we might go in our efforts to better our safety performance. In my own lifetime, I have seen a revolution in railway safety, and I am confident I will see even more in the some 17 to 20 years before I retire.

As I reflect on my life in railroading, I more and more realize that it is more by accident and chance that I am able to be here today after some 32 years of railroad experience. In this context, my definition of the term "experience" would be that I was not caught in the booby traps set up by myself and my fellow workmen. When I take into consideration the more than 46 years my father put in as an engineman and trainman, and the some 30 years his father put in primarily as an engineman, under the conditions of the age in which they worked. I think I was very fortunate to have been born.

I started working long enough ago to be in on the tail end of the "devil may care" era of railroading, and I have heard much of this period from both my father and grandfather. This was an era of rough, tough men, crude equipment, and no protective equipment. Production was the only goal of management, and the man who could disregard the most rules and survive attained the highest status. Employees were often so encouraged by management. They considered the fastest running engineers, and the conductor that could get over the road the quickest, as their best men. They did not care h railroad by the was a common could most spec not survive, be and legends, an ever.

These attitud by the time I s road. Even tod pervisor braggin tacular perform that some rules complish it. Na attitude in any

My first job 15 was in 1930, since I was hir gang in central payroll, because the foreman wh gang.

However, the full-fledged extr foreman. What of 29¼ cents p than a full extra the same size as fought in the sa was a losing bat that summer, I I did start to le Such as: When spike not the rai car with your f spike, don't get y bar and the oppo

ractices and set a good ex-
r youngsters who will be the
norrow. If we could accom-
n sure we will have safety
eration.

—

SSION OF HANDLED

lway, St. Paul, Minn.

ow they did it. "You can't
book and get a job done"
saying. The employee who
tacularly break the rules, and
came the subject of songs
d in one sense, lived on for-

es were not completely gone
tarted to work for the rail-
ay I occasionally hear a su-
g up an employee for a spec-
ance when it is quite obvious
had to go in order to ac-
turally, we discourage this
supervisor or employee.

n the railroad at the age of
nd wasn't really railroading,
d as bull cook on an extra
Oregon. I didn't make the
I was really working for
o had contracted to feed the

next year I was hired as a
a gang laborer, by the same
he got for the going rate
r hour was something less
gang laborer. I was about
a creosoted pine tie, and we
me weight class. For me it
tle. I never won a decision
never even got a draw, but
rn some basic safety rules.
using a spike maul, hit the
. Don't stop a rolling push
ot. When pulling an inside
our hand between the claw-
site rail. Don't sit on a lin-

ing ba
wear a

Thos
realize
any ve
tell me
cepted
else, t
almost

In la
crafts -
and ev
in eac
was d
on-the-
larly i
it is m

Duri
revolut
agemen
Nation
bracing
of life
and otl
ago. E
in our
were i
many
many

In t
merely
interfe
more a
safety
recogni
but lat
aspects
manag
safety

This
teachin
tools,
proper

Most
taken
most o
recent

For
not be
tinual
enhanc
their e
a very
many

ing bar when nipping ties. When tamping, wear shoes with soles.

Those of you with sufficient experience realize that I didn't learn these rules through any verbal instructions. Nobody thought to tell me not to do these things. It wasn't accepted practice for a foreman, or any one else, to tell you these things. You learned almost completely by experience.

In later years, I worked in several different crafts — switching, train service, engineering, and even later, of course, in supervisory jobs in each of these fields. By this time, there was developing a practice of giving some on-the-job training and instruction, particularly in the train-service crafts, and today it is most prevalent.

During these same years there was a very revolutionary change in the attitude of management toward safety. As you know, the National Safety Council as it is today, embracing every phase of safety, in every walk of life, originated in a meeting of railway and other officers in Milwaukee many years ago. Embryo safety programs were initiated in our industry years before such programs were initiated in other industries, although many industries now have surpassed us in many ways in formalized safety programs.

In the earlier years, such programs were merely tolerated, as long as they did not interfere with production. Over the years, more and more managements began to adopt safety programs, probably first because they recognized the economies of such programs, but later, surely, also because of the moral aspects, until today, all of our top railway managements are pushing and enforcing safety programs integrated with production.

This has brought about a revolution in teaching methods, design and invention of tools, and the completely safety-engineered properties that we have today.

Most of these revolutionary changes have taken place during our lifetime. Certainly most of the gains have been made in most recent years.

For railroad safety men, the road has not been an easy one. It has meant a continual struggle with their managements to enhance the value of a safety program in their everyday operating procedures. It was a very frustrating struggle for them for many years, and it has only been since the Second World War that safety men have had more operating managers for them than they have had against them, or at least lukewarm for them. Even then, too many managers were giving lip service but not making their decisions in favor of safety practices. There may be a few of these managers around today, but I do not know them.

The results of this revolution in our times are obvious. In the aboriginal years of safety, 1905-1909, we averaged 535 trainmen on-duty deaths each year. During the period 1957-1961, we averaged 21 deaths each year.

During the same periods, 248 passengers were killed each year 1905 to 1909, compared to 15 in the period 1957 to 1961.

You will note I have mentioned only fatalities. No mention has been made of crippling injuries, nor of disabling injuries. This is because the most complete and absolute result of a failure in our safety practices is the death of an employee or a passenger. The diseases in our operating practices that result in fatalities are the same as those that result in crippling or disabling injuries. When an incident takes place as a result of an operating failure, it is only a matter of degree whether it will result in a fatality, or a crippling or disabling injury. This is why it is so important to examine each breakdown in our practices very carefully.

An incident that results in a minor injury today could easily be a fatality or a crippling injury when it occurs again tomorrow. You have all had the experience of suddenly seeming to have your safety program bloom. For some reason, unaccountable to you, everything is going lovely. Incidents are occurring each day, but they are not resulting in lost-time injuries to any extent. The statistical record never looked so good.

You realize that this, in part, is the payoff for your safety efforts, but you cannot justifiably believe that suddenly everyone has received the message, and that you are now receiving just rewards for all of your hard work. At the end of each month, while this is going on, you receive a letter from the boss, complimenting you on the fine safety record. If you are like I was when I directed our safety program, you will cringe a little more at each successive complimentary letter, because you know as I do that the pendulum will swing. Eventually, whether your record is running good or bad,

it will level off to the degree that your safety program has taken hold on your property, to the level that you have been successful in establishing your program in the working habits of your people.

Through all of these periods, good and bad, you are going to have about the same number of incidents in any given period, and the number of them is going to adjust almost directly to the degree that you are successful in getting your safety program applied on your property. It is in this area we must work in the future, if we are to do better.

If you add up your accidents that resulted in fatalities and injuries in a given period, and double it to account for the number of times that something wrong was done on your property where no one was trapped, you will have defined the scope of endeavor where you can do better than you are now doing in safety effort. The era has passed where we can point to fatalities and lost-time injuries as our sole criterion of progress. We must deal with incidents in our operations that can cause any type of injury.

I will now have to mention a word that is much maligned, particularly in the world of safety: statistics. There is nothing wrong with statistics, they are a wonderful thing, actually the perfect tool of safety. However, they are easily abused and misused, and they so often are. One result of this has been that too many people think of statistics as a bad word, rather than a good word. Statistics are, however, an indispensable aid to safety. Only by their proper use can you tell where you have been, where you are, and where you might be heading in your safety programs. Nevertheless, it should be remembered that they are only a measure. When used as a measure, they can be of the greatest assistance in any safety program.

I will never forget the year that we went through 11 months of the year without a fatality. We then went through 30 days of December fatality-free. At 4:45 p.m. December 31st, at Verendrye, N.D., one of our trainmen was involved in a fatal accident. This was disappointing to us in many ways, both statistically and morally, because we were hoping to be able to show all of our people

that we co
calendar y
it once, we

This wo
have used
ployees on
and then g
crippling in
We did go
fatality, bu
haven't don
we are goi
have a real
our safety

When I
safety, I w
handful of
teed to bon
was to con
message tha
for safety—
ency to be
only taught

Consequer
talk to you
safety as se
age railroac
supervisor.
one small re

My attem
as long as
injury or a
erty, there i
We are not
well satisfie
As long as
have work t

Furtherm
exotic tools
simply have
better way.
management
working for

Please no
injuries we
by or contril
You know
working for
can be work
know that it
done safely,

ıld work our property for one full
ar without a fatality. If we did
could do it again and again.

ıld have been a story we could
over and over, to sell our em-
the value of pride in their work,
o forward to the elimination of
juries, and then disabling injuries.
more than 12 months without a
t not for a calendar year. We
ıe it yet, but one of these years
ng to do so, and we will then
ly fine statistical tool to advance
program.

was asked to report on railroad
ras tempted to simply gather a
statistics that would have guaran-
e you to death. My second wish
ıe up with a great inspirational
t would fire you up to great deeds
-but these messages have a tend-
forgotten quickly, and safety is
over the long, slow route.

ıtly, what I have tried to do is
as I do to our own people—about
en through my eyes, as an aver-
l supervisor and former safety
You will note I have used only
ference to statistics.

pt has been to remind you that
there is a fatality, a crippling
disabling injury on your prop-
s a field where you can do better.
competing as baseball managers,
l to win our fair share of games.
we have one loss in a season, we
o do.

ore, I doubt if we have any new
to help us do better. We will
to use the tools we have in a
Whatever job you have, you are
's best safety tool, and the men
you are your tools of safety.

:e that over 80 per cent of the
have in our industry are caused
buted to by employee negligence.
our jobs and the jobs of those
you. You know how these jobs
ed safely, and both you and I
you insist on these jobs being
we can do better.

By E. L. GUERTIN
Supt., Canadian Pacific Railway Co., Montreal, Que., Canada

There is a saying that "success in a talk is like success in love—it all depends on how you grasp the subject".

At first glance, the theme, "As I See It Now", appeared most challenging but after mature reflection, I had to conclude that, basically, there is little changed insofar as concerns the precepts of safety in railroad operations.

The essential features that many senior experts taught me 20 years ago stand as true today as they were then.

The question arises as to what my qualifications might be to discuss this subject, and, I wish to make clear that I am not posing as any kind of authority. When I discussed the matter with the chairman of our program, he insisted that the combination of my previous experience as a safety officer and the good safety record of my division warranted the risk of getting a pelting.

Let me first give you an insight of my division. It contains extensive mileage of single track—almost 600 miles, with 17 miles of two tracks, operated by signal indication —a short piece of C.T.C. and another of staff system; 125 miles of A.B.S., and almost 475 miles operated by timetable and train order. We have 140 miles of mountain territory with its multitude of grades and curves.

We have commuter trains hauling some 8,000 passengers into Montreal daily; a yearly special event known as the "Quebec Winter Carnival" which calls for many special trains and the handling of very large crowds. We handle winter port traffic at two points. We control joint operation in one terminal with three railroads. We handle over 1,900 trains per month, and that is counting each train as only one from its originating terminal to its final destination.

By tremendous good luck and, I like to think, a little bit of good management, the division has gone 44 months without a single train accident due to employee negligence.

There are over 1,500 employees who accummulate more than 3½ million man hours per year.

was 5.8, and to the end of September this year, under one—made up of only two employee casualties.

There is no doubting that these are outstanding safety records and, perhaps, there might be some features of our program that might be of interest.

I can bring no special formula, for I am not a miracle worker, nor do I have any magic wand to wave, but I will say that our approach to safety in my division, is a constant one, with a very purposeful grind.

When in safety work, I used to preach at officers' meetings that the superintendent had to place first things first, and, since the very first admonishment that appears in the Uniform Code of Operating Rules, under "general notice", is "Safety is of the first importance in the discharge of duty", I constantly emphasized that safety should at no time be relegated to the background. Having become a line superintendent, I found no hardship in placing first things first because of my background and training in safety.

To recognize that axiom is one thing, but to do something tangible about it is something else.

First and foremost, safety needs the full support of management, and let me assure you thart we have it in our case, then, this same attitude must stem down from all high officers to each and every supervisor, who must recognize and feel his responsibility and, more important yet, exert his fullest influence in this respect.

Only through supervision can safety permeate the entire organization. No supervisor must be left to doubt that this is an important part of his job and that he must convince all others likewise. If we don't get the answer through the supervisory staffs, we will not get the answer at all.

When the supervisors understand safety comes first, they cannot but reflect the superintendent's sincerity.

I have dealt in generalities. Now, to get down to methods and practices:

First in importance, without a doubt, is the monthly meeting of officers at which

jor part, safety. It requires determination to tear all supervisory officers away from their jobs to attend these meetings, but, barring serious troubles, we do so. On-the-job meetings are held at least on a quarterly basis at terminals, but I must admit that the days are gone when employees were willing to attend meetings on their own time. So, to compensate for this void, and because geography does not give the opportunity for close contact with employees, I try to maintain an open line of communication by means of a monthly personal letter addressed to each employee's residence. This letter deals with any important aspect of our organization, but a good part of it is directed to safety. With the letter goes a copy of our company's house organ, *Sponsor*, and our accident prevention bulletin, "On Guard". There is a great deal of work and effort in the preparation of such a letter, for it has to be carefully edited and must have the desired impact. I know that the time is well spent.

The officer's meetings, employee meetings, and personal letters are supplemented by personal contacts, inspections, and, perhaps the most important of all, an effective system of seeing and correcting infractions of rules and regulations.

No one will doubt that there is a definite connection between rules observance and accidents, and officers and supervisors must have the guts to correct, always.

In this connection, the superintendent should assure himself that all supervisors are familiar with the rules and regulations

—not only t
own departme
departments,
tion to call a
ever it takes

Efficiency t
safe train ope
neither in the
ing nor in th
when require

In short, t
safety, require
and, while t
show good fe
teamwork, he
he is not run
act firmly, but

Expenditu
weighed caref
our budget, th
must be found
gerous conditi

I know full
how the supe
can succeed ir
his multiple c
only be that h
a good safety
the multiple da

Perhaps I c
emphasizing t
proposition—It
been said that
cle, if you sto
and the penal
safety record.

SELECTING, TRAINING AND MA
SAFETY SUPERVISO

By VERNE C. WHITE
Director, Supervisory Training
St. Louis, San Francisco Railway Co., St.

For most individuals there must be a reward in the future (other than pay) for work well done. Knowledge that he has something worth working for motivates man to utilize the energies of the potential within

him. In safety,
sibility of a p
in management
helping mankin
and death.

hose rules applicable to their
nt or trade, but those of other
so that they can be in a posi-
tention to any violation when-
lace.

esting is an integral part of
ration and we permit no laxity,
programme of efficiency test-
ie taking of corrective action
L

operate with any degree of
s a high standard of efficiency
he superintendent strives to
lowship, which is part of good
must always remember that
ning a popularity contest and
fairly, when necessary.

ires of monies have to be
ully, but, while living within
ere are times when the means
l to correct a faulty or dan-
on.

l well that one may wonder
rintendent of a busy division
these objectives, considering
ther duties. My answer can
must make time and, in fact,
record spells more time to do
ily chores.

an best summarize by again
hat safety is a day-to-day
must be constant—It has
"Safety is like riding a bicy-
pedalling, you will fall off",
ty for relaxation is a poor

—

NAGING THE R

Louis, Mo.

that reward can be the pos-
omotion to a higher position
or an inner satisfaction at
d in the prevention of injury

Those railroad companies which promote an individual to the position of safety supervisor without thought of management development, but as an end in itself, must be even more careful in their selection. For a man to be successful as a safety supervisor for a long period of time, without the possibility of promotion, he must have the quality of finding a satisfying experience in helping other people without being able to measure any degree of success in advancement for himself.

The success of one large hardware chain in the St. Louis area is built upon the principle that in today's business world there are so many jobs in which an individual cannot, at the end of a day, back away from his work and measure his progress. That same individual, however, can go to this hardware store at night and buy tools and sized materials to build a stool or bench. At the end of that day he is able to measure progress and realize a reward for his efforts.

Man depends upon past success to build his future successes. In safety work it is difficult to measure success in the accident that did not happen. If a man takes a position in which success is difficult to measure, then he must be that special breed who receives personal satisfaction from the feeling that he is making progress through satisfying experiences. Even this kind of individual can be motivated with a compliment from his immediate supervisor, a pat on the back or a "well done."

The best coach in the world cannot produce a winning team unless his material is up to certain standards. Selection techniques are very important in any company if the coach expects to field a winning team. Best results in selection of safety supervisors will be achieved when management comes to appreciate and interpret wisely the facts brought before it by testing, interviewing and evaluation. Too often employees are selected and promoted because of their popularity with certain individuals. Tested and proved selection and appraisal techniques should be brought to bear at all stages of his development to assure current and objective appraisal of progress.

Many railroad companies today are moving "full steam ahead" into the problems of developing better schedules, selling their transportation services, installation of microwave and computers, etc. without realizing that many of their top men are close to retirement and those on the second team haven't yet been tested in action. How will replacements be selected? How will they be developed? What do they need to know?

Consider for example the railroad superintendent. He is concerned with communications and signals, with mechanical operation of the locomotives and freight cars, with making schedules and a profit, with labor agreements and with labor, with the public, Rotary clubs, chambers of commerce and people in general. He must be a well-rounded individual with the ability to get work done through people, to make a profit for his company and to follow the leadership of higher executives and at the same time develop the necessary qualities of leadership that permit him to become a higher executive.

From where does such an individual originate? Superintendents on many railroads were originally switchmen, brakemen, firemen, dispatchers, etc. Is it reasonable to assume that such an individual will become well rounded after he becomes a superintendent? Is this the most logical way of developing managerial leadership or should training be given in advance of this promotion?

In the long run, technical training alone is not enough. The railroads must direct themselves to the broader problem of encouraging a dynamic constant development of their employes toward the end goal of producing resourceful, self-starting executives. Leadership involves the problem of influencing adult behavior. It involves the encouragement of people to examine critically the policies and procedures under which they must function, and the willingness of executives to initiate, to assume responsibility, or to criticize and suggest changes in current policies and procedures.

Leadership stems from self-confidence. Becoming acquainted with the various company executives and having experience in the many departments through safety work helps develop the self-confidence so essential to leadership. Would it not seem reasonable also, that a safety supervisor, with the opportunities of entering, studying and working with various departments, observing how other people supervise and are supervised can gain valuable experience as a part of his training for higher management? This experience provides an excellent supplement to

what he learns through his company's formal management development programs.

In the Frisco Railroad, many of the top executives came through the safety department. In the capacity of safety supervisor they gained through experience some of the qualities necessary to becoming a well-rounded individual. The Frisco safety supervisor speaks at high school meetings and civic clubs, company safety meetings and at employe group functions.

His title admits him to the diesel shop, the communication and signal office, to ride on freight trains, passenger trains, locals, switch engines, into the control tower, testing laboratory and into the general manager's office. His associations are with labor and with top management personnel. While meeting people from these extremes, he also learns the problems involved in the operation of the railroad; problems which arise within the various departments and the people who solve these problems.

No program of development will really produce results until every supervisor recognizes and discharges his personal responsibility for developing the people entrusted to his care. The key is greater delegation of authority, coupled with understanding and thoughtful handling of subordinates to bring out their good qualities. The great responsibility on the supervisor is to lead rather than to drive; to develop rather than stifle. He should be aware at all times of a subordinate's responsiveness to his views and attitudes.

The position of safety supervisor is a staff assignment and as such can develop one's ability to think clearly and to handle people. The typical line supervisor spends most of his time dealing with routine matters. The staff man, on the other hand, has a minimum of routine and deals with new problems constantly thus providing new opportunities for practice in improving his analytical ability. Also since he lacks authority, he must get *results through cooperation and salesmanship.* The good staff man requires considerable skill in human relations. A staff assignment thus proves an excellent way to test an executive's real ability.

Many line officers are bolstered by experience, surrounded by their staffs and familiar routines which make them appear better than they really are. In staff work, an individual

is "on his own
problems and
accurately. A
is excellent tr
visor gets the
becomes famil
dustry; and is
agement meth

What shoul
supervisor who
position in ma
leadership and
instilled? Firs
fact that wo
people. The su
organize the ac
enlist their wh
complishment
One of the mo
ership is the ab
fidence of othe
in their leader,
with doubt and
pacity for tean

A manager n
employes mus
leader as a pe
management i
treatment of w
fair hearing o
and for person
They must beli
manner that wi
the general wel

In addition
guidance, a suc
to accept the g
in positions of
be eager to see
others.

One who is
ment should ce
mind and be
strong urge to
to handle probl
new and more
should receive
tasks before hi
get ahead and b
so, willing to
risk that goes w

He must be e
get along easil
and tolerant a
should be endo

ı" and his ability to deal with
people can be appraised quite
our of duty in a staff position
ining. Here the safety super-
overall company viewpoint;
ar with all phases of the in-
ndoctrinated in the best man-
ds.

l management teach a safety
is being groomed for a better
nagement? What qualities of
good management should be
t, they should learn the basic
k is accomplished through
cessful leader must be able to
tivities of those under him and
olehearted support in the ac-
f work which must be done.
st important qualities of lead-
ility to win and retain the con-
r people. Without confidence
subordinates accept direction
hesitation thus losing the ca-
ı work.

ıust know his job well and the
t have confidence in their
rson. Employes depend upon
ı many ways: for a fair
ork, recognition of effort, a
ı grievances, for job security
al progress and advancement.
ieve that he always acts in a
ll contribute to and strengthen
fare of the company.

to providing leadership and
cessful manager must be able
idance and direction of those
higher authority. He should
ıre counsel and guidance from

training for higher manage-
tainly be able to make up his
decisive. He should have a
ıccomplish to get things done,
ems as they arise and accept
challenging problems. He
satisfaction from doing the
ı. He should have ambition to
e willing to work hard to do
accept responsibility and the
ith it.

motionally mature and able to
with others, self confident
ıd never stop learning. He
wed with a mental curiosity

not cryin
profiting

Assumi
the job,
maintain

The ul
accidents.
that this
gram. Ho
tive goal,
How can
thing whi

"Safety
tion" as
such a ti
when ful
perience.
the other
tions giv
at still be

Safety,
life itself
accidents
that safe
after.

The va
the fact
Safety s
value an
ability u
creates a
accidents
were 20 y
infreque
virtues o

Safety
of view
tarian. T
ard fro
of dang
stalling s
belt gua
would a
design s
velop no
ways, et

The h
the corr
He is c
safe met
ence of
attitudes
fatigue,
cupation
mental c

not crying over his mistakes but constantly profiting from any mistakes he makes.

Assuming the right person is selected for the job, what kind of philosophy should he maintain to best serve the cause of safety?

The ultimate goal of safety is to avoid accidents. Therefore, it might be assumed that this is the objective of any safety program. However, avoiding accidents is a negative goal, it infers "do not have an accident." How can people be motivated toward something which is negative?

"Safety" is preferable to "accident prevention" as a goal for the safety supervisor but such a title does not describe a need which, when fulfilled, will create a satisfying experience. To have crossed the track to reach the other side does not under ordinary conditions give one an experience of satisfaction at still being alive.

Safety, like good health and fresh air or life itself is missed only when it is gone. If accidents were not unpleasant it is doubful that safety would be thought of or sought after.

The value of safety, therefore, arises from the fact that accidents are so undesirable. Safety seems to become a thing of neutral value and people are unaware of its desirability until the accident has happened. This creates an acute problem in industry because accidents are much less frequent than they were 20 years ago. As accidents become more infrequent, people become less aware of the virtues of safety.

Safety may be approached from the point of view of the engineer or of the humanitarian. The engineer would remove the hazard from work by changing the operation of dangerous machinery, designing and installing safety devices such as cutoff switches, belt guards and fail-safe mechanisms. He would attach guards to certain machines, design safety goggles of better quality, develop non-slip and non-skid stairways, walkways, etc.

The humanitarian is more concerned with the correction of human factors in accidents. He is concerned with training men to use safe methods, to become aware of the presence of hazards, to develop safe working attitudes (attitudes of cooperation), to reduce fatigue, to properly select men for their occupations and to be concerned with their mental condition.

Through the humanitarian approach to accident prevention, safety committees have been organized which have had tremendous effect in reducing accidents. The problem of the humanitarian is increased many times in trying to get men to use effectively the tools and devices designed by the safety engineer.

Without a doubt one of the greatest tools of the humanitarian approach to safety is the safety committee *when* it is active and *when its membership includes workers and officers as well as the safety supervisor.* An active safety committee can have tremendous value in keeping safety education in the mind of employes at all times. Their primary function should be that of developing safe work habits. An active committee can bring social pressure upon the employe who declines to use safety measures and who becomes careless.

Posters and slogans are also desirable in an effective safety campaign. Emotional appeals have certain value but it is regarded as only temporary. If they arouse fear, they do more harm than good because a frightened worker is not a safe worker. A gruesome picture may attract attention but it does not educate a person on safe work habits. Posters showing agony in the face of an injured worker, a pair of hands dripping with blood or the mutilated body of a child are not constructive because they arouse fear and pity more than an education in safe work habits.

The most effective poster is one which gives a positive message as opposed to telling people what to do. A sign which reads, "wet paint do not touch" will have more fingerprints around it than a sign which reads, "wet paint test here." A poster which tells you not to have accidents points toward a negative goal and not a positive goal. It tells you what not to do and never points out how accidents may be avoided. The important thing is to approach safety as an educational problem. It is important to point out safety as a positive goal, something to strive for and which can be reached because it is made to seem desirable and is kept foremost in people's minds at all times.

Build strong habits into the safety program. Safe working methods should become habitual with all employes. There are certain ways of throwing a switch, specific body postures, certain ways of climbing a pole and

applying pressure, of lifting, etc. which are relatively safe. By the same token, certain movements are unrelated to the job and expose a person to injury. These movements should be eliminated! The proper work methods should be studied and taught to new employes.

A posture which allows a man to lose his balance and fall if the wrench slips; holding a hand in line with a cutting edge; standing in such a position that the head could be bumped if the worker suddenly moved without thinking; holding the face close to a grinding wheel from which particles of steel may fly are examples of movements which should be eliminated from the work pattern.

Safe habits should become as much a part of the work pattern as it is to pass the pepper along with the salt. The wearing of safety goggles must become a part of the grinding operation and not an accessory to the operation else it might be forgotten. If it is an accessory to the grinding operation, the operator may then decide to wear the goggles or not to wear them. He will decide not to wear the goggles if he happens not to have them with him at the time, if the goggles are uncomfortable or if they interfere with his vision. If it is a habit, he will automatically put the goggles on.

The problem of enforcing safety rules will be reduced to the extent that safe methods are incorporated into the job as a habit and not tacked on as an extra precaution to be taken.

When safe working habits are a part of the work pattern it is unnecessary to warn employes of the impending danger. They relax with the assurance that their safe habits will automatically make their actions safe. Telling a person not to be absent minded is as ineffective as telling him not to worry.

Habits are strong and dependable forces. This becomes apparent if we try to oppose habits. The success of the TV series, "Candid Camera," is built upon the expressions on people's faces when they are unable to cope with a situation through habit, when it becomes necessary for them to think. Suppose a telephone were wired in such a manner that the mouthpiece was on the receiver end and vice versa. There would be a long period of adjustment before a person accustomed himself to picking up the phone and reversing its position between his mouth and his ear.

Habits are
things but
forces whi

Having
a safety pr
involves m
safe. Occa
ting force
of the em
forces are
words, the
the desire t
ing faster

The safe
the superv
presses for
influence o
clearly de
injuries in
hurrying.

Disregar
man appea
simply mot
example, h
time and t
putting the
motor and
chinery to
foreman cr
than other
safety suffe
safety cons
look the fa
tivated in a
unintention

Some fee
the minds o
those who
true; howev
motivates m
detracts fr
safely. Wh
often less
in which the
the cause of

Perhaps t
in safety is
motivate pe
the safe ap
gests rewar
ticipation b
safety com
responsibilit
their co-wo

forces which make us do foolish
they can likewise be made into
ch make us do safe things.

a positive goal to work toward in
ogram is a very valuable thing. It
otivating employes to want to be
ionally there is a counter-motiva-
which presents conflict in the mind
ploye. These counter-motivating
safety and production, in other
desire to be safe on one hand and
o work to produce more by work-
n the other hand.

ty department promotes safety and
isor who is not sold on safety
unsafe speed in production. The
f hurrying on accidents has been
nonstrated in a survey of home
which 35 per cent were due to

fing safety precautions makes a
to disregard safety. Often he is
ivated in another direction. For
e may not wear goggles to save
rouble of going after them and
m on; he may not shut off the
remove something from the ma-
eliminate an extra act. If the
iticizes him for doing less work
, he starts to cut corners and
rs. To conclude that men are not
ious or safety minded is to over-
t that they might have been mo-
nother direction, intentionally or
lly.

l that safety can be impressed on
f men by imposing penalties upon
violate safety rules. This may be
er, the use of penalties sometimes
en to avoid being caught and this
om the real goal of working
ere penalties are involved men are
cooperative during the interview
supervisor attempts to determine
an accident.

he greatest single step to be made
to eliminate those forces which
ople in any direction other than
proach to work habits. This sug-
l for safe behavior, employe par-
eyond the point of serving on
mittees and the acceptance of
y for encouraging safety among
kers.

OFFI(

General

Vice C
6060

Vice C

Secreta
Que

Associa
Co.,
Line
road
Frar
Rail
Rail

Contest
Ry.
Ill.
G. I
2000
LAI

Film a
Paci
Air
Phil
9410
M.
KEN

Railroa
wau
man
Ohi
Fe
St.

Home
Rail
Iroc
We
Yor
Ten

Membe
Oel

OFFICERS OF THE

RAILROAD SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—W. V. HAYES, New York Central System, New York, N.Y. 10017.

Vice Chairman—G. R. HUNTOON, Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific R.R. Co., Chicago, Ill. 60605.

Vice Chairman—T. L. HILDEBRAND, Soo Line Railroad Co., Minneapolis, Minn. 55440.

Secretary and Newsletter Editor—J. R. BANNERMAN, Canadian National Railways, Montreal, Que., Canada.

Associate Newsletter Editors—Canada, J. G. BELDHAM, Toronto, Hamilton & Buffalo Ry. Co., Hamilton, Ont., Canada; *Southwest,* G. R. KNIGHT, St. Louis Southwestern Ry. Lines, Pine Bluff, Ark. 71601; *East,* W. C. LARAWAY, The Delaware & Hudson Railroad Co., Albany, N.Y. 12201; *West,* M. A. NUGENT, The Southern Pacific Co., San Francisco, Calif. 94105; *Central,* A. W. SHEA, Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific Railroad Co., Chicago, Ill. 60606; *Southeast,* J. W. THOMPSON, Seaboard Air Line Railroad Co., Richmond, Va. 23213.

Contest and Statistical Committee—G. W. MEREDITH *(Chairman),* Norfolk & Western Ry. Co., Roanoke, Va. 24001; E. G. HUSK *(Vice Chairman),* The Pullman Co., Chicago, Ill. 60606; J. P. BOND, SR., The Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co., Baltimore, Md. 21201; G. E. GETTY, Bureau of Ry. Economics, Assn. of American Railroads, Washington, D.C. 20006; *R. P. HAMILTON, St. Louis-San Francisco Ry. Co., St. Louis, Mo. 63101; F. C. LAING, Central of Georgia Ry. Co., Savannah, Ga. 31401.

Film and Sound Committee—A. W. SHEA *(Chairman),* Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific Railroad Co., Chicago, Ill. 60606; J. W. THOMPSON *(Vice Chairman),* Seaboard Air Line Railroad Co., Richmond, Va. 23213; J. A. BONELLI, The Pennsylvania Railroad, Philadelphia, Pa. 19104; E. L. CARROLL, Southern Pacific Co., San Francisco, Calif. 94105; L. E. KING, Southern Ry. System, Southern Ry. Bldg., Washington, D. C. 20013; M. A. NUGENT, The Southern Pacific Co., San Francisco, Calif. 94105; J. C. MAC KENZIE, The Alaska Railroad, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.

Railroad Highway Traffic Safety Committee—G. M. DEMPSEY *(Chairman),* Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific Railroad Co., Chicago, Ill. 60606; J. P. KOLOC *(Vice Chairman),* Illinois Central Railroad, Chicago, Ill. 60605; R. W. CASSIDY, The Chesapeake and Ohio Ry. Co., Huntington, W. Va. 25718; O. R. HAMMIT, Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry., Chicago, Ill. 60604; H. A. HOPKINS, Missouri Pacific Lines—T. & P. Ry., St. Louis, Mo. 63101; H. E. SHAUGHNESSEY, Erie-Lackawanna Railroad Co., Cleveland,

Home and Off-The-Job Safety Committee—J. T. ANDREW *(Chairman),* Great Northern Railway, St. Paul, Minn. 55101; J. L. ROHWEDER *(Vice Chairman),* Duluth, Missabe & Iron Range Ry. Co., Proctor, Minn. 55810; P. W. ACKERMANN, Denver & Rio Grande Western Railroad Co., Rio Grande Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80201; E. H. BLEWER, New York Central System, Cleveland, Ohio 44113; P. M. BRITT, Clinchfield Railroad, Erwin, Tenn. 37650; *R. P. HAMILTON, St. Louis-San Francisco Ry. Co., St. Louis, Mo. 63101.

Membership Committee—D. F. GIFFORD *(Chairman),* Chicago Great Western Railroad Co., Oelwein, Iowa 50662; O. W. SMITH *(Vice Chairman),* Grand Trunk Western R.R. Co.,

Detroit, Mich. 48226; R. W. Bowman, Bessemer & Pa. 16125; W. O. Cottingham, Western Maryland F F. R. Ellis, Reading Co., Reading, Pa. 19601; J. E. Quincy R.R. Co., Chicago, Ill. 60606; V. E. Smith, Louis, Mo. 63103; D. K. Owen, Kansas City Southe

Nominations and Elections Committee—*D. P. Russel Railway Co., Montreal, Que., Canada; *H. C. Daulto ville & Nashville Railroad Co., Louisville, Ky. 40201; Line Railroad Co., Jacksonville, Fla. 32202.

Program Committee—G. R. Huntoon *(Chairman)*, Chic Co., Chicago, Ill. 60605; T. L. Hildebrand *(Vice Ch* Minneapolis 40, Minn. 55440; A. E. Duncan, The Che Rapids, Mich. 49502; O. R. Hammit, Atchison, Tope 60604; H. A. Hill, Richmond, Fredericksburg & P Va. 23220; *J. Lloyd, Jersey Central Lines, Jersey Peoria and Pekin Union Railway Co., Peoria, Ill. 61611.

Planning and Publications Committee—W. C. Larawa Hudson Railroad Co., Albany, N.Y. 12201.

Posters—*L. E. Wall (Vice Chairman)*, Illinois Central R Dyer, Kentucky & Indiana Terminal Railroad Co., Lo St. Louis Southwestern Ry. Lines, Pine Bluff, Ark. 71 Burlington, & Quincy R.R. Co., Ottumwa, Iowa 5250 & Youngstown Railway Co., Akron, Ohio 44308; S. Haven & Hartford R.R. Co., New Haven, Conn. 065 minal R.R. Co., St. Louis, Mo. 63101.

Publications—L. C. McDowell *(Vice Chairman)*, Chicag Ill. 60606; J. G. Beldham, Toronto, Hamilton & I Canada; C. A. Birge, Missouri-Kansas-Texas Lines Mitchell, Monon Railroad, Lafayette, Ind. 47901; F. Omaha, Nebr. 68102; R. M. Downes, Duluth, Missab Minn. 55810; C. E. Garcelon, Bangor & Aroostook R

Planning—R. C. Lindquist *(Vice Chairman)*, Northern 55101; W. R. Brown, The New York, Chicago & St 44101; Raymond Davis, New York City Transit A H. A. Hill, Richmond, Fredericksburg & Potomac R R. A. Newton, Belt Ry. Co. of Chicago, Chicago, York, Susquehanna & Western R.R. Co., Paterson, N.J.

Staff Representative—L. W. Dutton, National Safety Chicago, Ill. 60611.

Lake Erie Railroad, Greenville,
ty. Co., Hagerstown, Md. 21740;
. SLAVEN, Chicago, Burlington &
Terminal Railroad Assn. of St.
rn Lines, Shreveport, La. 71101.

L *(Chairman)*, Canadian Pacific
ON *(Vice Chairman)*, The Louis-
*G. C. STROMSOE, Atlantic Coast

ago, Rock Island & Pacific R.R.
airman), Soo Line Railroad Co.,
sapeake and Ohio Ry. Co., Grand
ka & Santa Fe Ry., Chicago, Ill.
otomac Railroad Co., Richmond,
City, N.J. 07302; L. R. TILLY,

Y *(Chairman)*, The Delaware &


ailroad, Chicago, Ill. 60605; G. M.
isville, Ky. 40212; G. R. KNIGHT,
501; ROSS S. VAN NESS, Chicago,
1; T. E. WIGLEY, Akron, Canton
E. CAVALIERI, New York, New
06; J. P. DONAHUE, Illinois Ter-

o & North Western Ry., Chicago,
Buffalo Ry. Co., Hamilton, Ont.,
, Denison, Texas 75020; W. N.
B. LEWIS, Union Pacific Railroad,
e & Iron Range Ry. Co., Proctor,
.R. Co., Bangor, Maine 04401.

Pacific Ry. Co., St. Paul, Minn.
. Louis R.R. Co., Cleveland, Ohio
Authority, Brooklyn, N.Y. 11201;
ailroad Co., Richmond, Va. 23220;
Ill. 60638; W. J. MURPHY, New
07501.

Council, 425 N. Michigan Ave.,

PLAN NOW TO ATTEND THE



1964 NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS

OCTOBER 26-29, 1964/CONRAD HILTON HOTEL, CHICAGO

1965

1966

1967

1968

The Congress is always a big week, a worthwhile week for the 10,000 safety people who attend.

At the '64 Congress you can meet other safety people, with the same problems and responsibilities as yourself. You can exchange views and ideas on accident prevention, health, hygiene, and fire prevention . . . on safety in industry, traffic, school, at home and on the farm.

You can see the largest of all safety equipment exhibits at the Congress . . . an opportunity for you to make well-informed buying decisions for your company.

This four-day educational program, planned and presented by the National Safety Council, can be your most thought-provoking, most worthwhile safety experience in 1964.

Make plans early to attend the 1964 Congress and bring the other people in your organization who have safety responsibilities.

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL

1963 National Safety Congress

SCHOOL BUSES IN TODAY'S RURAL PROBLEMS

By CAPTAIN DONALD E. OA
Commanding Officer, Safety & Traffic Bureau, MI
East Lansing, Mich.

It has often been said that the school bus carries our most precious cargo. Yet, all too frequently this precious cargo fails to receive the special handling and consideration it deserves. We believe progress is being made in improving the efficiency and safety of school bus transportation in Michigan, and I trust this also is happening throughout the nation.

In any event, my knowledge of the problem is based on what has happened in Michigan, and my comments will draw heavily on this experience.

School bus transportation is big business. During the 1962-63 school year in our state, 536,000 children were transported daily in 7,200 school buses, which traveled a total of 68,000,000 miles.

School bus transportation also is growing rapidly, more than twice as fast as vehicle transportation generally. Between 1953 and 1962, the number of pupils transported daily increased 60 per cent, the number of buses increased 64 per cent, and total annual bus mileage increased 62 per cent. Between the same years, total vehicle registration increased 25 per cent, while total travel mileage was up 31 per cent.

Many factors are contributing to the sharp increase in school bus transportation, including the growth in the school population, the trend toward suburban living, and the trend toward consolidation of schools.

Despite all the effort in Michigan to improve our school bus transportation system, school bus accidents (except those resulting in death or injury to children before boarding or after alighting from school buses) have shown greater percentage increases between 1953 and 1962 than the exposure factors previously mentioned.

Rural accidents in which school buses were physically involved increased 204 per cent; "other associated type" accidents (those directly or indirectly influenced by the stopping of school bus, but school bus not physically involved

the "associate
which children
boarding or a
creased 43 per
increased 135 p

During 1962
and 346 inju
and associated
in which sch
volved, 12 "a
217 "other ass
dition, there v
which school
in urban areas.

Taken at fa
and total reco
be kept in mi
crease much
miles of trave
sume that the
dents in Michi
along with th
for all accide
and longer. V
quite a numbe
are never repo

Nevertheless
miles of trave
cidents in whic
involved, appe
with accident
of motor vehic
rate per 1,000,
operations rep
Council in 196

Whether the
we believe it
there is still
and it will re
operation by a
school bus tra
be held to reas

Such a prog
board, the sch
ing transported

TRAFFIC—

TES

ichigan State Police,

) increased 101 per cent; and
d type" accidents (those in
1 were injured or killed before
ıfter alighting from bus) de-
cent. All three types combined
per cent.

! there were two persons killed
red in 544 rural school bus
. type accidents—325 accidents
ol buses were physically in-
ssociated type" accidents, and
ociated type" accidents. In ad-
vere 121 reported accidents in
buses were physically involved

ce value, the above percentages
ıd look bad. However, it must
ıd that accidents normally in-
faster than the increase in
l. It also is reasonable to as-
reporting of school bus acci-
gan has improved substantially
ıe improved reporting ratios
nts during the past 10 years
Yet, there are still probably
: of school bus accidents which
ırted to our department.

, the rate per 1,000,000 vehicle
l of 6.6 for all reported ac-
h school buses were physically
ars relatively good compared
mileage rates for other types
le transportation. The accident
000 vehicle miles for all fleet
orting to the National Safety
2 was 13.0.

: record is good or bad (and
is relatively good), we know
much room for improvement,
quire increased effort and co-
ll who should have a part in
nsportation if accidents are to
onable minimums.

ram includes the local school
ool bus driver, the pupils be-
l and their parents, local police,

local stre
state agen
tion, state
ment, stat
service co
—the stat
Perhaps
included,
contributi
transport
to be mos

For ye
special st
and from
things.

One o
that for
are caus
failures
such acc
by greate
public, sc
transport
we are
uting fa
reported

For ex
by anoth
way to
stopped
law bec
Also, th
jured du
the road
opposite
the sch
children
way to
buses ha
are stru
Other
directly
ping of
three su
least ten

Our
take int
are unp
things le
ists sho
action t
ity of
of the l
without
safety

local street and county road officials, various state agencies—department of public instruction, state universities, state highway department, state police, department of state, public service commission, state safety commission, —the state legislature and the general public. Perhaps I missed others which should be included, but those named all can make a contribution to efficient and safe school bus transportation, and *must* for such a program to be most effective.

For years the state police have made special studies of rural school bus accidents, and from these studies we have learned many things.

One of the things we have learned is that for the most part, school bus accidents are caused by the same old mistakes and failures year after year, and that most of such accidents could have been prevented by greater care on the part of the motoring public, school bus drivers, the children being transported, and their parents. Nevertheless, we are gratified that some of the contributing factors in these accidents are being reported less frequently than in the past.

For example, only one child has been killed by another vehicle when crossing the roadway to board or after alighting from a stopped school bus since our two-way stop law became effective September 13, 1958. Also, there has not been a single child injured during the past three years crossing the road to get to his parent's car on the opposite side after the child alighted from the school bus. Other accidents involving children being hurt when crossing the roadway to board or after alighting from school buses have been reduced, and fewer children are struck crossing from the rear of the bus. Other "associated type" accidents (those directly or indirectly influenced by the stopping of school buses) also have been reduced three successive years after increasing for at least ten successive years, 1950-59.

Our reports indicate that motorists must take into account that the actions of children are unpredictable and that they may do the things least expected. This means that motorists should be prepared to take any necessary action to ave. an accident when in the vicinity of a bus as well as obeying the letter of the law. A child may dash across the road without looking and heedless of his own safety as the bus approaches the pick-up location and before the bus has stopped; a child may suddenly decide to cross the roadway when the bus pulls away after letting him off; and a child may dash back into the roadway to retrieve a dropped book or pencil. These are only a few of the many unexpected actions of children.

Likewise, year after year our reports indicate that neither bus drivers nor children should ever take for granted that drivers of other vehicles will stop from either direction as required by law when buses are stopped to load or unload passengers. There are many reasons why this is true, and a few of these are given to illustrate this statement:

a. Some motorists drive too fast to make the required stop.

b. Occasionally brakes are defective and the vehicle cannot be stopped soon enough to avoid striking a child.

c. Too frequently motorists fail to see the bus in time because of inattention, preoccupation, or distraction, being blinded momentarily by the sun or because trucks or other vehicles block their view of the stopped bus.

d. Others drive when they are physically impaired—extremely fatigued, under the influence of alcoholic beverages, emotionally disturbed or handicapped in some other manner.

e. A small percentage of motorists drive in a reckless manner with little regard for the safety of others.

Some of the hazards referred to which result from the actions of other drivers can be minimized if school bus drivers will:

1. Give timely and adequate signals to warn others that the school bus is about to stop for children to board or alight and continue operation of flashers while such action is taking place. (School bus drivers in Michigan are required by law to actuate flashing red signals on the front and rear of school bus whenever, but only whenever, such vehicle is stopped or about to stop for the purpose of receiving or discharging school children.)

2. Make certain that flashing lights are adequate and properly maintained.

3. Stop bus only where it can be seen for a distance of at least 500 feet by approaching or overtaking drivers.

4. Stop bus off paved or main traveled part of highway whenever possible at the time children are being picked up or discharged from bus outside of cities and villages. On any limited-access highway, do not stop, park or leave the bus standing, attended or unattended, except in an emergency or mechanical difficulty.

5. Signal to children when the way is clear for them to cross the roadway after making certain it is safe. Bus drivers and school officials should arrange for assistance by school bus safety patrols whenever necessary and feasible.

6. Require children crossing roadway after being discharged from bus to do so only from in front of bus as required by law.

7. Insist that children walk (not run) across roadway.

8. Never proceed with bus until children have crossed roadway safely. A child left stranded may run into roadway and be partially hidden from other motorists by the bus as it moves forward.

9. Make certain that no child remains hidden in front of the bus before moving forward. This precaution cannot be too strongly emphasized because two children were killed in this manner during the past two years, one in 1961 and one in 1962. A mirror is now required on the front of buses to aid bus drivers in seeing children immediately in front of the bus, but regardless of mirrors, bus drivers should not proceed until they are absolutely sure the way is clear.

Parents can help assure the safety of their children by making certain they are aware of potential hazards when crossing the roadway; by teaching them never to cross the roadway without stopping, looking and making certain the way is safe; and by insisting that children cooperate with school bus drivers. They also can help by not parking their cars on the opposite side of the road when they stop to pick up their children.

In 1962 there were 325 rural accidents in Michigan in which school buses were physically involved: one fatal, 77 injury and 247 property damage. These accidents caused one child pedestrian death and 173 injuries.

Unfortunately, some school bus drivers still violate the law and are wholly or partially responsible for accidents in which

school bus
school bus
have been
years. Oth
this type c
the same
accidents.

There w
drivers of
in the 325
school bus
for drivers
1961, schoo
siderably
violations
vehicles ac
smaller per

The mos
bus drivers
cluded fail
following t
18; improp
center, 11.
cent of th
following t
right-of-wa
and driving

Most of
sions betwe
89 per cen
nine with
trains; one
other obje
cluded 20
non-collisio

One-third
collisions in
and one ca
cent, of the

Less than
of the phy
accidents oc
while slight
or 76 per ce

January
such accide
usual the ac
in the hour
between 3
single hour

One phas
gram in Mi
dividends, a
action whic

s are physically involved despite
driver education programs which
carried out in Michigan for many
er drivers likewise contribute to
f accident by their violations in
way as they contribute to other

ere 323 violations reported for
school buses and other vehicles
accidents: 156, or 48 per cent, for
drivers; and 162, or 52 per cent
of other vehicles. Compared with
l bus drivers accounted for a con-
greater percentage of the total
eported, while drivers of other
counted for a correspondingly
centage.

t common violations for school
(77 per cent of the total) in-
ure to yield right-of-way, 58;
oo closely, 19; excessive speed,
er turns, 14; and driving left of
Those for other drivers (81 per
ir total) included speeding, 48;
oo closely, 27; failing to yield
y, 25; improper overtaking, 20;
left of center, 16.

the 325 accidents involved colli-
en two or more vehicles—288, or
. Other type collisions included
pedestrians; two with railroad
with fixed object; and two with
cts. Non-collision accidents in-
ran-off-road; and three "other"
type.

, or 60, of the non-intersectional
volved one car stopped in traffic;
r was parked in 30, or 16 per
non-intersectional accidents.

one out of four, or 24 per cent,
ically involved rural school bus
curred on the trunkline system,
ly more than three out of four,
nt, occurred on other rural roads.

vas by far the worst month for
nts in Michigan in 1962, and as
cidents were heavily concentrated
between 7 a.m. and 9 a.m., and
.m. and 5 p.m., with the worst
between 3 p.m. and 4 p.m.

e of our school bus safety pro-
chigan appears to be paying good
nd the phase I refer to is the
has been taken to reduce the

number
crossing
after alig

In 196
type" ac
injury tc
third suc
killed by
way befo
a school
ported w
number a
type of a
previousl
killed in
since the
came effe

In 10 c
the child
front of
dents the
front of
to be op
these 10
ported o
Children
other tw
board a
was still
road aft
the latte
turned o
forward.

Strikin
bus from
dents, an
five.

Some
failure t
children
left bus;
ing with
talking v
of stoppi
failed to
until he
didn't kn
although
erating.
sons give
previous
how driv
dents in s

"Other
gan were

number of accidents happening to children crossing the roadway to board the bus or after alighting from it.

In 1962 there were 12 such "associated type" accidents in Michigan which caused injury to 12 children. That year was the third successive year in which no child was killed by another vehicle crossing the roadway before boarding or after alighting from a school bus, and the 12 such accidents reported were three less than in 1961—the same number as in 1959, the previous low for this type of accident in at least 13 years. And as previously reported, only one child has been killed in this type accident by another vehicle since the two-way stop law in Michigan became effective September 13, 1958.

In 10 of the 12 "associated type" accidents the child was struck when crossing from in front of the bus, and in four of these accidents the child was reported to have run in front of the bus. Flashers were reported to be operating on school buses in eight of these 10 accidents; no information was reported on flasher operation for two buses. Children also ran into the roadway in the other two accidents, one to cross the road to board a bus which had its flashers on but was still moving, the other to cross the road after being let off from the bus. In the latter case, the driver of the bus had turned off the flashers and started to move forward.

Striking vehicles were passing the school bus from the rear in seven of the 12 accidents, and from the opposite direction in five.

Some of the reasons given by drivers for failure to stop in time to avoid striking children included: thought children had all left bus; failed to see flashing lights; talking with passenger and failed to see bus; talking with girl friend and did not think of stopping; was looking for an address and failed to see red flashing lights or child until he was only a few feet ahead of car; didn't know he was required to stop for bus although driver saw bus with flashers operating. Add these to the many other reasons given for not stopping for buses in previous reports, nd it is easy to understand how driver failure contributes to such accidents in so many instances.

"Other associated type" accidents in Michigan were up for 10 successive years but have been reduced during the past three years, 1960-62, as previously reported.


These are the accidents which happen when a vehicle is slowing or stopped for a stopped school bus and is struck in the rear by a following vehicle, or such vehicle takes to the ditch to avoid striking other stopped vehicles or a stopped bus. Sometimes the following vehicle becomes involved in a head-on collision when it crashes into a vehicle from the opposite direction attempting to avoid the stopped bus. Frequently these accidents result in several vehicles being involved, with one being pushed into the other.

A study of a sampling of these accidents indicates that excessive speed and following too closely are the two most common violations, and reports also indicate that inattention is an important contributing factor. Drivers involved in such accidents report that their view of the school bus was blocked by a truck or other vehicle already stopped; attention was distracted by something inside or outside of car; must have gone to sleep; forgot that stop was required from both directions; school bus was not operating flashing red lights or failed to give warning in time; etc.

In Michigan, school buses are required to pull off paved or main traveled part of the road whenever possible when stopping for children to board or alight. This practice, we believe, tends to prevent rear-end collisions, but there are those who disagree and we have no definite proof to support our belief. In fact, because the wording of our law was not clear, our attorney general ruled that buses should stop on the traveled part of the roadway when stopping for children, but the wording has since been changed and buses again must stop off the traveled part of the roadway when possible. Required flashing red lights at front and rear of bus also may have contributed to the reduction in our "other associated type" accidents.

Reported violations and other reasons given for becoming involved in "other associated type" accidents emphasizes the importance of school bus drivers making certain it is safe before slowing, stopping or starting school buses, and giving adequate advance notice to other drivers of such actions by using flashers properly.

Most of the elements outlined as being required parts of an efficient and safe school



bus operation are being carried out in Michigan, at least to a limited extent, and an excellent job is being done with respect to some phases of the program. For example, many local school districts do an excellent job of hiring, training and supervising their school bus drivers, then provide these drivers with good buses, and see that they are properly maintained.

The Department of Public Instruction finances a substantial part of the cost for the operation of school buses (more than 60 per cent) as provided by law; supervises and finances the carrying out of a school bus driver education program by six full-time instructors and one part-time instructor, in four different universities; cooperates in a state-wide school bus inspection program; and prescribes the rules and regulations for operation of school buses.

During the 1962-63 school year, nearly 5000 (4,934) school bus drivers were given the special training course developed and carried out by the Department of Public Instruction. Many of these drivers, and others also, have taken the training courses of previous years, and at the present time five different courses of instruction have been developed for this special training. Information on this phase of the program is included in the "Michigan Pupil Transportation Handbook" developed by the Department of Public Instruction.

Our legislature has enacted the laws considered necessary for efficient and safe school bus operation, patterned quite closely after those recommended in the Uniform Vehicle Code. These include: a two-way stop requirement; maximum speed of 50 miles per hour; definition of a school bus; laws relating to color, safety glass, emergency doors, fire extinguishers, identification, flashers and mirrors; laws relating to use of flashers and stopping location; and laws relating to those who can lawfully drive a school bus.

The state police enforce the law requiring vehicles to stop for stopped school buses (they averaged 1,140 arrests for this violation during the past four years), and other laws relating to the safe operation of school buses. Members of the department cooperate in the school bus driver education programs, and for years our department inspected all rural public school buses in Michigan, in

cooperation
Instruction
continued at
of manpowe
of the local

Since the
requirement i
ment has do
including the
000 leaflets
previously
made specia
accidents du
formation di
been widely
cation progra
ing the gene
school bus a
teristics of
members of
help plan the
special hazar

The state
the legend o
ping for suc
and load lir
quests for
authorities, a
termines the
as the occasi

The railro
ice Commis
traffic contr
railroad cro
unit can hav
bus safety a

In the fin
responsibility
precious car
driver once
bus. He mu
safe operatir
general traff
cifically to t
must be phy
character; a
skillfully ac
ditions. He
and make a
other driver
better school
and can con
ence.

I have em
siderations I

with the Department of Public
The latter activity has been dis-
the present time because of lack
; and is now the responsibility
school districts.

enactment of the two-way stop
n Michigan in 1958, our depart-
ne much to publicize this law,
distribution of more than 200,-
explaining the new law, and as
eported, our department has
l studies of rural school bus
ing the past 10 years. The in-
sclosed from these studies has
nsed in school bus driver edu-
ums and as a basis for acquaint-
ral motoring public concerning
ccident hazards and the charac-
such accidents. Upon request,
this department investigate and
safest school bus routings where
ds exist.

highway department prescribes
n school buses relating to stop-
h vehicles. It also checks speed
nitations on bridges when re-
uch action are made by local
nd the highway department de-
need for special traffic controls
on demands.

ad division of the Public Serv-
sion determines when special
ols are required for safety at
ssings, and the actions of this
: an important bearing on school
: particular railroad crossings.

al analysis, a great deal of the
for the safety of our most
go falls upon the school bus
he gets behind the wheel of the
st be sure the school bus is in
ig condition; he must know the
ic laws and those relating spe-
ie operation of school buses; he
sically fit; of good sound moral
nd know how to handle his bus
cording to changing traffic con-
must be constantly on the alert,
llowances for the mistakes of
s at all times. He also will be a
l bus driver if he loves children
nmand their respect and obedi-

merated some of the many con-
consider vital for the operation

of an effici
tation syst
stress that
full cooper
stant moti
supervision

In so fa
school bus
for installi
those offer
Full advan
vision, and
with an in
to have th
that the th
the car is
velopment
great valu
and for th
providing
we could b
be an inju
is easy to
and should
money.

In additi
we would
protection
an acciden
for the sch
than that
ance betw
front of hi
he is able
without c
structure i

With re
station wag
only in de
is concern
attachment
stacle. It
belts to th
with the

of an efficient and safe school bus transportation system. In closing, I would like to stress that any such program requires the full cooperation on the part of many, constant motivation, strong administration and supervision, and eternal vigilance.

Failure to stop at a railroad crossing can result in a catastrophe, as can a head-on collision with a truck or other heavy vehicle, or losing control of a bus and running off the road when the weather is bad or icy road conditions prevail.

SEAT BELTS AND SCHOOL BUSES

By ROY C. HABUSLER
Automotive Safety Engineer, Chrysler Corporation

In so far as station wagons are used as school buses, all provisions have been made for installing belts fully as protective as those offered for all other passenger cars. Full advantage should be taken of the provision, and each seat should be provided with an individual belt. It is highly desirable to have the child learn at the earliest age that the thing to do when first getting into the car is to fasten the belt. It is the development of this habit that constitutes the great value of seat belts in school buses, and for this reason it would be well worth providing all buses with seat belts, even if we could be assured that there would never be an injury-producing accident. The step is easy to take with regard to station wagons and should be regarded as well worth the money.


In addition to setting the proper example, we would obviously be providing very great protection against possible injury in case an accident really would occur. Protection for the school-age child may be much greater than that afforded the adult, since the clearance between the child and the structure in front of him is relatively greater. Typically he is able to fold completely over the belt without colliding with the seat or other structure in front of him.

With regard to school buses, other than station wagons, the situation may be different only in degree so far as potential protection is concerned, but the lack of provision for attachment of belts has been a serious obstacle. It may not be feasible to attach such belts to the floor because of the interference with the foot room of the children in the next rearward seat. Furthermore, the tendency of the child to kick the belt or belt hardware in front of him is certain to be a drawback with any such installation, with the consequent tugging on the belt proving very irritating to the wearer. This may be a greater problem with school buses other than station wagons because of the tendency to space the seats more closely.

Strength of installation in the school bus (other than station wagon) may be an unknown quantity depending on the adequacy of the floor structure or the seat structure to take the resulting loads, but this should be thought of as a matter of lesser importance. Some protection is certainly very much better than none, and there is still the very great benefit of establishing a seat belt habit at the earliest possible age. As seat belts are accepted for school buses in spite of these compromises and in full view of their potential benefits, we can certainly expect to see more adequate provision made for them in the design of the seats and of the buses themselves.

(1) *Installation — Per Cent of Cars Equipped.* Every motorist is in favor of traffic safety, as long as he himself is not expected to make any change in his driving practices, just as long as he is not expected to adopt any new safety equipment or acquire new habits such as using it regularly.

This has been the atmosphere in which a tremendous national education and persuasion campaign to get all automobile passengers to accept seat belts has had rather modest results. About 20 per cent of all new cars are being equipped with two belts



for the front seat, roughly half of them at the factory and the remainder at the dealer. Of the cars already on the road, perhaps another 2 per cent are being so equipped each year. Rear seat belts, first offered by Chrysler Corporation in 1955, are now beginning to appear in enough cars to make the average motorist barely aware of them, but measurable progress has been confined almost entirely to front seat belts. In all, hardly more than 10 per cent of the nation's cars are as yet provided with the most important item of automotive safety equipment introduced in the last decade.

(2) *Frequency of Usage.* What about usage? Are the belts installed thus far being used? According to the survey conducted a year ago by the Auto Industries Highway Safety Committee, half of all car occupants having belts available to them are using them frequently or every time. While 100 per cent usage is obviously the goal, this 50 per cent figure is very gratifying in view of the repetitious statements by many of our citizens and even some of our own safety teammates that "people" would never wear them.

However, even this degree of usage may not prevail in the months ahead. Up to now, almost all seat belt installations have been made on the initiative of the motorist. By next year that initiative will have been taken away from him in at least 15 states, and perhaps in 25. Among those who had already made their decision in favor of belts or who were about to do so, we can expect fully as much cooperation as now, but what about those who are being forced to accept belts?

Much remains to be done if we are to realize our goal of universal seat belt usage. We can legislate seat belts into cars and have begun that job but we risk antagonizing as many people as we win, at least among the adults. In any case, only the two front seat belts have been provided for, in any of the laws to date. Admittedly these are the most frequent used seats, but any other seat that is ever used should also have a belt. For all practical purposes this means six belts for a six-passenger car and nine for a nine-passenger station wagon.

(3) *Today's Greatest Need—Safety Leaders Setting The Example.* I wonder how many of us have our own cars so equipped.

We can wait
force us to h
as two in fro
be closed by
provided in t
we should tw
ple we have t
guidance and
be far more
personal exam
part by havin
fitted, starting
but then alsc
under the sc
been done at
the extent of
cars and getti
two rear seat

Driver educ
and officials i
can provide a
personal actic
having their c
using seat bel
we need this
traffic safety
the public ey
have equipped
though typica
fact remains
police force
them. Furth
quently that
to the driver
against seat
never wear
want to get
role of a po
traffic safety
when he is f
example.

This applie
government c
tragedy of
deaths and th
does not carr
when coming
is merely taki

(4) *Persua*
proach. Seat
equipment fo
with or with
mains, howev
remain ahead
our motorist

until more laws are passed to
ive two rear seat belts as well
nt. Then later the gaps might
requiring a belt for every seat
ıe car. But I fail to see why
it. As professional safety peo-
he responsibility for providing
leadership. Our teaching will
apt to register if we set a
ıple, and if our schools do their
ş all their cars completely out-
with the driver education cars
encompassing all other cars
hool's jurisdiction. This has
the University of Michigan to
having front seat belts in all
ing a decision to add at least
belts.

ation and traffic safety teachers
ı our high schools and colleges
very strong impetus by their
ns in setting the example by
ars completely equipped and by
lts on all occasions. However,
same cooperation from other
personnel, particularly those in
e. A few police force units
l all their cars with seat belts,
lly two in the front seat. The
that many of our large city
ars have no seat belts among
ermore, we find all too fre-
lhe patrolman assigned to talk
education class is personally
belts and declares he would
ne because he would always
out of the car quickly. The
liceman as a member of the
team is far more believable
ound personally setting a good

s equally well to higher level
ıfficials. The deploring of the
traffic accident injuries and
e exhortation to greater efforts
y with it the proper conviction
from the official who as yet
ng seat belts under advisement.

sion — The Only Sound Ap-
belts may become standard
r all cars in the near future,
ıout legislation. The fact re-
er, that the big job will still
of us. We have to persuade
s to use them. Actually we

have the
and his pa
is desirable
point of vie
at all once
to convince
benefits ar
that there
fears and
experience
me that thi
among you
like very m
in every
material th
of *this* rec

(5) *Seat*
Risk Of S
been presen
as well as t
illustrate t
reducing ri
However,
attached to
ago by the
the Cornel

The esse
was that t
in the fre
critical, an
ing seat b
wearing b
similar acci

We have
peared par
dents invol
and roll-ov
larly great
In a subse
Robert Wo
Automotive
indicated t
benefit wa
prevention
remaining
ducing the
cupants wit

(6) *Inju*
From the
persistent
ment by th
the basis f
many peopl
who even a
to wear sea

have the job of convincing the motorist and his passengers that wearing seat belts is desirable from a protective and comfort point of view and that it is actually no bother at all once the habit is acquired. We have to convince our people that the protective benefits are very real and very great and that there is very little foundation for their fears and reasons for hesitation. My own experience in this persuasion process assures me that this will be very much easier to do among young people. I would therefore like very much to see it given a prime place in every driver education program. The material that follows is offered in support of this recommendation.

(5) *Seat Belt Effectiveness In Reducing Risk Of Serious Injury.* Many cases have been presented in newspapers and periodicals, as well as through the technical literature, to illustrate the effectiveness of seat belts in reducing risk of injury in traffic accidents. However, much more significance can be attached to the study completed several years ago by the California Highway Patrol and the Cornell Crash Injury Research team.

The essence of the finding of this study was that there was a 35 per cent reduction in the frequency of occurrence of serious, critical, and fatal injury among those wearing seat belts as compared with those not wearing belts but involved in essentially similar accidents of similar severity.

We have also noted that seat belts appeared particularly effective in those accidents involving angle and lateral impacts and roll-overs—accidents entailing a particularly great risk of ejection of car occupants. In a subsequent commentary on this study, Robert Wolf, the Director of the Cornell Automotive Crash Injury Research Program, indicated that at least two-thirds of the benefit was found to be associated with prevention of ejection from the car. The remaining benefits were associated with reducing the severity of collision of the occupants with the car's interior.

(6) *Injury Attributable To The Seat Belt.* From the very beginning there has been a persistent undercurrent of fear of entrapment by the seat belt. This fear has been the basis for the reasons given by a great many people who should know better but who even at the present time simply refuse to wear seat belts.


The policeman who administered the driver license examination to my son only a month ago refused to follow my son's example of putting on the seat belt, saying that he would never wear one because he would always want to be free to get out of the car instantly in case of fire. As long ago as 1956, John Moore, then the Director of the Cornell ACIR Program, reported to the Roberts Committee on Health and Safety under the House Interstate Commerce Committee that less than five-tenths of 1 per cent of all injury-producing accidents involve fire or submersion to any degree whatever, and that only a small portion of even this small percentage involve either fire or submersion to such degree as might threaten the car occupants themselves.

When these finding, based on thousands of actual highway accidents, are set alongside the thoroughly established fact that the wearer of a seat belt can easily get out of the belt in a second, it must be recognized that this is no rational basis for refusing to wear seat belts. Added to this information is the more recent study by Indiana University, the American Red Cross, and the Michigan State Police on the detailed evidence associated with a passenger car running off the road and into deep water. This study, reported at last year's Safety Congress and featured on the cover of the current issue of Popular Science revealed that in most instances the occupants could expect to have not merely seconds but minutes—as much as 10 minutes in one case—in which to unfasten the belts and get out of the car before the car actually sank.

These data may convince some of the people still on the fence with regard to the appropriateness of using seat belts, but such demonstrations may have to be repeated a great many times if we are ever going to provide enough reassurance for some of our more frightened drivers.

Before seat belts were introduced by the motor car manufacturers, and at various times since then and right up to the present day, there have been statements to the effect that the seat belt itself could cause serious internal injury. Again it has been very difficult to change the opinion of people by mere presentation of the facts.

Perhaps part of this difficulty has resulted from the opinions being so firmly established



that there was little inclination to examine the facts or even to notice that relevant information was being offered. When one doctor was reported to have expressed the opinion that at collision speeds above 50 miles an hour seat belts could cause serious internal injury, this report was widely published. Furthermore, there was evidence of its being noted by a number of people—they told me that they had read it.

In contrast, there has been almost no awareness of a very comprehensive and creditable study by Cornell Medical College's Dr. Paul Braunstein and Cornell ACIR's John Garrett—"The Seat Belt Syndrome." This study, based on the injury experiences of 3325 car occupants wearing a seat belt at the time their cars were involved in injury-producing accidents, showed that the only injuries directly ascribable to the belt were the bruises over the hip bones where the belt load had been applied. Less than 1 per cent of these 3325 occupants had lower abdominal or pelvic injuries that were more serious in nature, and this was no greater frequency of occurrence than among car occupants not wearing seat belts and involved in similar accidents.

In spite of the dire predictions, no one has been "cut in half" by a seat belt.

This is not to say that there have been no fatalities among people wearing seat belts. Braunstein and Garrett did find one such fatality among the 3325 seat belt wearers, but the description of the accident and picture of the vehicles involved made it quite clear that the seat belt could not have been expected to have made any difference. The car had collided with a bus head-on, and the bus had penetrated the car to the rear seat area.

These investigators found another fatality among the seat belt wearers and used it in their report as an example of "circumstances where the use of a seat belt has absolutely no effect on injury." "An Austin-Healey occupied by two young men, both wearing belts, rounded a curve too fast, skidded, and slid broadside into a tree at about 55 miles an hour. The car impacted almost directly on the right front door, and the occupant of the adjacent seat received a fatal skull fracture. . . ." Since this car had no "top" at all, the passenger's head struck the tree directly.

Bernard Cal
of the Califor
in an article
Traffic Digest
Highway Pat
saving the li
However, he a
the two occup
equipped with
out and lived
thrown out o
across the ca
would undoub
pants had the
belts.

The existe
emphasizes th
effectiveness o
tion of a few
oughly compre
Cornell ACIR
the odds are
the seat belt
favor of the s
car is a conve

The advisal
even in a co
Dr. Bob Cam
the Cornell
50,000 cases r
find enough
allow a stat
clinically the
20 per cent o
involving all
of cars have
source of in
vertibles typi
center of gra
wagons, and
pected to be
four-fifths of
there is no ba
of the roll-ov

In all of
the ever-pres
is particularl
convertible.
that those t
times as grea
fatal injury
With ejection
roll-over so
for the relati
seat belts in

dwell, then the Commissioner
nia Highway Patrol, reported
in Northwestern University's
and review that the California
rol credited seat belts with
ves of many of their men.
lso reported one case in which
ants of the CHP car, not yet
seat belts, were both thrown
. After these men had been
f the car, a utility pole fell
r at the front seat area and
tedly have killed both occu-
y been held in place by seat

ace of such cases as these
e importance of judging the
f seat belts not by any collec-
cases but rather by the thor-
hensive study carried on by the
team—they have shown that
very strongly on the side of
wearer. These odds remain in
eat belt wearer even when the
rtible.

bility of the use of seat belts
nvertible was brought out by
pbell, the Assistant Director of
ACIR Program. Even with
ow on hand, Cornell does not
convertibles among them to
istically adequate study, but
picture seems clear. Less than
f all injury-producing accidents
makes, models, and body styles
involved roll-over as a primary
injury-producing forces. Con-
cally have a somewhat lower
vity than do sedans and station
the tendency to roll can be ex-
ven lower. Thus in more than
the injury-producing accidents
sis for fear of the consequences
er.

these cases, however, there is
nt danger of ejection, and this
y great for occupants of the
Cornell has repeatedly shown
at are ejected suffer several
t a risk of serious, critical, or
as those that stay in the car.
so common an occurrence and
infrequent, any proper regard
ve risks encourages the use of
the convertible. Moreover, it

may come a
roll-overs it
safer if be
if they are
their own c
(7) *Bari*
Belts. Fear
belts has b
One has bee
that the belt
they meet o
and require
belt has be
has chosen
all of the s
any reassu
Opinions an
his guide, l
the *motoris*
belt meets
"approved"
ity. Mere
by the Cor
to register.
In the
Syndrome"
showed that
per cent of
3325 car c
belts when
jury-produc
surprising v
it is realize
utmost extr
speeds, the
tioned abov
This very
near-failure
able when i
number of
several yea
and were n
strength re
CAA and
compared to
belts of tha
even those
claims made
of that day
whatsoever
This wide
have includ
might have
release, yet
as having
submerged

may come as a surprise to learn that even in roll-overs it appears that the occupants are safer if held in place by seat belts than if they are free to tumble out, only to have their own car topple onto them.

(7) *Basis For Judgment In Choosing Seat Belts.* Fear associated with the use of seat belts has been expressed in several ways. One has been the demand for great assurance that the belts are of suitable quality and that they meet or exceed standards, specifications, and requirements. In this respect the seat belt has been unique in that the motorist has chosen and purchased the entire car and all of the accessories on it without needing any reassurance from approving agencies. Opinions and advertising have generally been his guide, but when it comes to seat belts the motorist wants to be reassured that the belt meets standards and that it has been "approved" by the most impeccable authority. Mere facts such as those brought out by the Cornell studies simply do not seem to register.

In the study entitled "The Seat Belt Syndrome" Messrs. Braunstein and Garrett showed that seat belts failed in less than 1 per cent of all cases; the data consisted of 3325 car occupants actually wearing seat belts when the car was involved in an injury-producing accident. This is all the more surprising when the cases are examined and it is realized that they include some of the utmost extremity—head-on collisions at high speeds, the head-on collision with a bus mentioned above, and a car-train collision.


This very low number of 29 failures and near-failures appears all the more remarkable when it is recognized that a substantial number of these belts had been installed several years before the accident occurred and were manufactured at a time when the strength requirements as established by the CAA and the SAE were very modest as compared to today's standards. Furthermore, belts of that day frequently failed to meet even those modest requirements in spite of claims made to the contrary, and many belts of that day were supported by no claims whatsoever with regard to meeting standards. This wide range of performance must also have included some cases in which belts might have been expected to be difficult to release, yet not a single person was reported as having been trapped in a fire or in a submerged car.

Thus there is very little basis for the excuse that the motorist was unwilling to use seat belts because of lack of proper assurance of their quality. Nevertheless, vigorous steps have been taken to provide such assurance. The SAE Standard for Motor Vehicle Seat Belts has been steeply upgraded through successive revisions, and an enforcement program has been added.

The existence of the standard was regarded as being insufficient in itself, if only because the consumer had no way of knowing whether the belt he was considering really met the standard. The same lack of confidence prevailed even after the manufacturers provided permanent labels for their belt displaying the SAE number of the standard. There was always the possibility that the unscrupulous manufacturer might make such claims even though in fact he could not back them up.

In response, the American Seat Belt Council, a trade association of some of the larger seat belt manufacturers, organized a program of independent audit of the manufacturer's claim that he was meeting the SAE Standard. An independent commercial laboratory was given the assignment of obtaining samples of the manufacturer's production belts directly from his plant and also through purchases in the retail market. These production samples picked up at irregular intervals through the year are tested for compliance with all provisions of the SAE Standard. If the manufacturer's belts consistently meet all requirements, he is entitled to use a certification label bearing the name of the American Seat Belt Council. Some 60 belt models offered by the 20 manufacturers who are members of the American Seat Belt Council now bear the ASBC certification label.

More recently an even more vigorous certification program has been put into operation, with the certifying laboratory being Underwriters' Laboratories, Inc. Underwriters' Laboratories has the tremendous advantage of having already obtained wide recognition through its certification work in connection with electrical appliances, roofing, fire extinguishers, and other products having potential safety hazards. The Underwriters' Laboratories "listing" or certifications have been so well recognized in some areas as to be required on certain products by governmental regulation.



Underwriters' Laboratories has gone one very important step further with regard to certification. It has shown on the certification label the exact identity of the SAE standard used as the basis for judgment. From the consumer's point of view this is indeed a very important step forward.

The newest SAE Standards for Motor Vehicle Seat Belts—SAE-J4a—is much more demanding than the SAE-J4 Standard it has just superseded. The increase in restraining capability from the previous 4000 pound level to the present 5000 pound level has been associated with a test procedure representative of the most severe combination of conditions of use. As the result, the buckle may have to withstand more than twice as much tensile load as had been required to meet SAE-J4 or as may be required to meet the present Federal Specification JJ-B-185a. Increase in strength requirements, however, is just one of the important changes.

Until the new SAE Standard, SAE-J4a, was introduced, there had been no Federal or state requirements or standards of any kind on the ability to adjust the belt. All of the evidence we have points to the importance of having the belt worn as snugly as possible with comfort if the maximum protection is to be obtained. The protective value of the belt can be expected to fall off very rapidly if difficulty in adjustment discourages the user from making any effort to pull the belt down around him. Merely clicking the two parts of the buckle together in front of him without taking up the slack may result in very little protection indeed, especially for the driver whose knees are apt to be close to the instrument panel controls and whose abdominal area may be even closer to the steering wheel. The new specification calls for a more severe limitation on belt stretch than ever before, and for the same reason. However, this advantage can quickly be thrown away if the belt wearer fails to pull the belt tight after fastening the buckle.

This matter of ease of adjustability can prove to be of very great importance. With no previous standard having any requirements along this line, many belts were approved and certified as meeting existing standards, even though in practice they could not be adjusted at all once the buckle was fastened. The Underwriters' Labora-

tories can be
to the new S
then have the
by the Underw
SAE-J4a can
such modest
complished wi
be very impor
has been certi
By reading t
will know.

The certifi
exact standar
will be partic
have most rec
to seat belt
laws specifica
of motor vehi
tion for seat b
fications of th
neers in effect
Act." The ne
April 1963, a
that laws hav
time and writt
will require t
not lower in
Particularly i
will not wish
any seat belts
certifying lab
ard.

(8) *Making*
fective. As n
can indeed be
sometimes ar
snugly as po
greatly to the
of a seat belt
standard can p
even though
to be very n
However, the
have long kno
gation work o
that many ac
ciated with h
occupant bein
time of side
easily happen
down, even if
seat belt.

In short, le
that all wind
all practical



expected shortly to move up
SAE-J4a. The consumer will
assurance that belts certified
riters' Laboratories as meeting
be properly adjusted and with
effort as could easily be ac-
th one hand. Obviously it will
tant to know whether the belt
ified to SAE-J4 or SAE-J4a.
he U.L. label, the consumer

cation label that shows the
l number, such as SAE-J4a,
ularly helpful in states that
ently passed laws with regard
requirements. Some of these
lly require the commissioner
cles to set up a state specifica-
elts "not lower than the speci-
e Society of Automotive Engi-
t on the effective date of this
w SAE-J4a was published in
nd it would therefore appear
ing effective dates after that
en generally as indicated above
hat the state specification be
any respect than SAE-J4a.
n those states, the motorist
to consider, much less accept,
not clearly identified on the
l as meeting this new stand-

Seat Belts Even More Ef-
oted above, seat belt wearers
injured in traffic accidents and
e. Wearing a seat belt as
ssible with comfort can add
protection afforded. The choice
certified as meeting the newest
rovide another significant gain,
ny seat belt can be expected
uch better than none at all.
re are other steps too. We
wn from the accident investi-
f the bus and trolley companies
cidents in the past were asso-
ad, arm, and shoulder of the
g outside the vehicle at the
swipe. Obviously this could
if the windows were rolled
the occupant were wearing a

t us make a point of urging
ows be kept rolled up under
circumstances. Obviously this

is far more
the case of
tioning if th
be supposed
be of minor
haps be bro
roll-over ac
which it wo
have the oc
from the wi
work done a
shown that
those in wh
the side wi
the entire a
will thus be
together ins
sturdiness o
a particular
dustry's cor
tempered gl

In this co
stood that
closed rathe
any possible
There are
which any
gas will en
windows wh
is far more
floor or thr
tween the
ment. Exha
the open ba
The extent
these openi
direction of
compartmen
particularly
usual manne
when the v
from the cl
haust gas
or through
station wago

It is far
compartment
fresh air h
cowl or sid
driver and
in such fash
force air in
in mind, the
to be prefe
view to the
still offered

is far more feasible in cool weather, or in the case of cars equipped with air conditioning if the weather is very hot. It might be supposed at first though that this would be of minor importance, since glass can perhaps be broken in case of a sideswipe or roll-over accident—the kind of accident in which it would be particularly dangerous to have the occupant's head or arm projecting from the window. Actually the crash impact work done at automotive proving grounds has shown that in many instances, even including those in which the car rolls several times, the side window glass will come through the entire accident without being broken and will thus be able to keep the occupants altogether inside the car. This extraordinary sturdiness of the side window glass has been a particularly desirable feature of the industry's complete conversion to the use of tempered glass for side windows.

In this connection it should also be understood that it is desirable to keep windows closed rather than open in connection with any possible risk of carbon monoxide entry. There are virtually no circumstances under which any appreciable quantities of exhaust gas will enter the car through the side windows while the car is in motion. Entry is far more likely through rust holes in the floor or through leaks in the fire wall between the driver and the engine compartment. Exhaust gas may also enter through the open back window of the station wagon. The extent to which gas enters through these openings is in part dependent on the direction of air flow through the passenger compartment. If a side window is open and particularly if a vent window is open in the usual manner to draw air out of the car, as when the vent window is open 45 degrees from the closed position, the inflow of exhaust gas through leaks in the floor pan or through the open back window of the station wagon may be very much greater.

It is far safer to ventilate the passenger compartment by having air enter through a fresh air heating system or through the cowl or side vents located forward of the driver and opening directly to outside air in such fashion as to have the car's motion force air into the car. With this thought in mind, the fresh air heater is very much to be preferred from the safety point of view to the "economy" recirculating heater still offered as a price leader. It is difficult to get the driver to open the fresh air vents in wintertime unless the cold air is first directed into the heater.


The inflow of exhaust gas through the open back window of the station wagon can also be eliminated by the provision of suitably engineered deflector vanes mounted on the roof or at the rear corners at each side of the rear window. Such deflector vanes are offered as factory and dealer installable extra equipment for some of today's station wagon models and can be expected to become more widely available in the years to come. They also help to a very material degree to keep the wagon's rear window clean, so that the inside rear view mirror will provide the desired clear image.

Returning to seat belts, perhaps the most important step of all in making the seat belt more effective will be to add the shoulder belt. We have known all along that the combination can provide very much greater protection than can be obtained from the lap belt alone. Up to now it has been supposed that it would be more desirable initially to promote the use of only the lap belt on a "first things first" basis.

I am not sure at all that we have been right about this. Sweden started its national campaign to promote the use of safety belts two years after we did and started with the shoulder belts. Midway in the campaign recognition was given to the importance of adding the lap belt so that each person would have a combination lap and shoulder belt for restraint. As long ago as two years, it was reported that more than 60 per cent of all cars registered in Sweden were already equipped with either the shoulder belt or the lap and shoulder belt combination, and that in intercity driving 80 per cent of the car occupants who had such belts available to them were actually found using them.

This was hard to believe, and suggested a certain amount of expansiveness on the part of safety enthusiasts. However, American experts in this field, including the late Harry Mathewson of UCLA, reported on their return that as nearly as they could tell this was a fair measure of the status of lap and shoulder belts in Sweden. There was really wholesale acceptance.

All of this occurred in spite of the fact that there was no compulsion whatever.



There was no law requiring the installation of any kind of belts in any cars in Sweden, nor was there a law that they had to comply with Swedish standards if the motorist chose to put belts in his car. The entire job was done by skillful persuasion led by the national government and heavily supported by the medical profession and the women's clubs. There were indications that this national persuasion program was substantially more intensive than our own in the United States, and still it was hard to understand the very large difference in results.

In my own experience in the use of shoulder belts, the comments I have received may shed some light on the real nature of the Swedish advantages. The real advantage appears to lie in the surprisingly greater public acceptance of the shoulder belt as a truly protective device. Many people have volunteered the comment as they have seen

me drive up
they could c
really protect
and chest aw
instrument pa
see *that* kind

If these m
along this lin
tential public
we may ind
guided in ou
initial efforts
have made th
belt standard
right front p
model cars,
continent as v
wagen offerin
lap belt as e
models, we
measure of t
belt in the Ur

PUBLIC RELATION
IN SCHOOL TRANSPORTATI

THE NEED

By C. B. LEMON
Dir., School Bus Safety,
New Mexico State Dept. of Educ
Santa Fe, N. M.

No program can be effective unless there exists a common understanding among the various publics involved. I know of no area where this need is greater than in school bus transportation. I do not mean to infer that progress is not being made, because it is, but the need to accelerate and expand means of communicating dictates that a great deal of effort must be exerted in this direction.

How often have we heard our school bus drivers rake other motorists over the coals for their failure to stop for a bus that is loading or unloading and how often have we heard other highway users complain about a school bus creating a hazard by attempting to stop traffic either at a hazardous location or in a dangerous manner

"with the ki
scattering in
I believe the
and common
most pressing

Having a
driver-passeng
tance here be
of the pupil
driver's instru

The parent
for their chil
schedule, of t
ber of stops
safest locatio
inconvenient
achieve.

Teacher su

wearing my shoulder belt that
ertainly see how a belt would
me, would really keep my face
ay from the steering wheel or
nel or windshield. They could
of belt could do the job.

any comments I have received
e are any measure of the po-
acceptance of shoulder belts,
eed have been seriously mis-
r decision to concentrate our
only on lap belts. With Volvo
e combination lap and shoulder
equipment for the driver and
assenger on all of its current
including those sold on this
ell as Europe, and with Volks-
g the combination shoulder and
xtra equipment for its current
vill soon have a much better
he acceptance of the shoulder
ited States.

S
ON SAFETY

ation

ds jumping off the bus and
all directions without looking."
need for good public relations
understanding in this area is
at this time.

direct bearing on this is the
er relationship. The impor-
ing the willingness on the part
s to accept and follow the
ctions.

al understanding of the need
ldren to observe the bus time
he necessity to limit the num-
and to locate the stops at the
, even though it is somewhat
is difficult, but necessary, to

pervision of loading and un-

loading and
can and doe
there is a
involved.

Finding

Moderator–
Line Co., B

I. Must
tween drive

II. Must
standards.

III. Some
home room.

IV. Drive
bus student

V. Driver
sees each

Moderator–
portation &
more Count

There ar
operation:

1. County
office for al

2. School
responsible
the county

I. No ma
public relati
each operati
by the centr
districts bu
often, the lo
to use all
and the co
to help.

II. In a s
relation pro
ber of prob
and number

III. A go
start with t
all employee
teachers, etc

loading and of pupils waiting for their bus can and does lead to strained relations unless there is a mutual feeling of cooperation involved.

Finding the best solution to these and other problems is a challenge to all of us. We feel that drawing upon the ability and experience of those assembled here this morning will point the way and will prove to be valuable to all of us.

AT THE LOCAL LEVEL

Moderator—R. L. Weber, Pres., Berea Bus Line Co., Berea, Ohio

I. Must create better communications between driver to students and to parents.

II. Must establish a code of ethics for bus standards. Buses considered a classroom.

III. Some cases the bus is considered the home room.

IV. Driver is idol of lower grade school bus students.

V. Driver is the only person the parent sees each day reflecting the image of the school system. Final a must, school bus must be considered reflection of the school system. Keep it clean and in A 1 mechanical condition.

VI. The driver must be well-traveled, build up to the higher standards to get recognition from the faculty.

VII. Able to cause his action at practice to be carried home from the bus student. Which means local level must have better selection and training of drivers to create better public relations.

AT THE COUNTY LEVEL

Moderator—Walter Gordon, Director, Transportation & Safety, Bd. of Education, Baltimore County Schools, Baltimore, Md.

There are two different types of county operation:

1. County responsible through a central office for all phases of [redacted]us operation.

2. School districts within a county are responsible for the details of operation and the county office serves as an advisory unit.

I. No matter which we are talking about, public relations is a most important part of each operation. Oftentimes, too little is done by the central office to help individual school districts build good public relations. Too often, the local school districts are too small to use all types of public relations media and the county headquarter should step in to help.

II. In a sense, the effectiveness of a public relation program can be judged by a number of problems, number of telephone calls, and number of letters of complaint received.

III. A good public relations program must start with the driver and be carried on by all employees, such as supervisors, principals, teachers, etc. All type of media for developing good public relations should be used, but at no time should there be anything but an ethical approach.

IV. Some things to keep in mind to develop good public relations on the county level:

A. Know you area, be prepared to talk when you answer the telephone.

B. Make communications brief, to the point, understandable.

C. Keep people informed, sell your idea before you put the idea into effect. Misunderstanding creates problems.

D. Be consistent.


E. Try to think up new ideas to keep people informed.

F. Get your story to both parents, not just to the mother.

G. Use special interest in human interest articles.

H. No problem, no matter how small, should be allowed to drop without consideration, and the person concerned should be duly notified.

In summarizing it was felt that the better the public relation program, the fewer the problems would arise.



AT THE STATE LEVE

Moderator—LaVaughn Hawkins, Director—State Dept. of Public Instruction, Indianapolis, Ind.

I. School bus drivers should be trained to be more considerate of the motoring public.

a. Teach school bus drivers proper "social graces" as a driver.

b. Some bus drivers have the attitude that the driver of other vehicles should always "give in" to the bus driver because he is driving the big yellow bus.

c. Most frequent violations by a school bus driver is the failure to yield right-of-way.

d. More emphasis should be placed on the fact that in many districts, school transportation is the only contact some school patrons have with the school.

e. The school bus driver is the key person in creating the proper image of the school.

II. Programs should be sponsored to better acquaint the motorist relative to school bus regulations and laws such as stopping law, etc.

a. Many parents of today never had the the experience of riding a school bus, consequently they are unaware of some of their responsibilities relative to school bus safety.

b. Solicit cooperation of groups such as state traffic patrols, AAA, PTA, etc., to help disseminate information relative to school bus safety.

1. Include this information in high school driver education courses.

c. Some states have expanding committees working on revision on school bus rules and regulations and establishing programs to obtain wider publicity relative to matters effecting the safe pupil transportation.

1. Committee includes member of state department of education, state patrol, and other enforce
AAA represe
tors, and driv

d. In some
a "dry run" t

1. Contacts
form them re

III. Transp
level seem to
lack of prope
on the state a

a. Local tr
operators, an
formed relati
been successfu

b. In many
level is "fun
ministrator.

1. Some a
concerned ab
carry on the
for supervis

2. Too ma
aware of th
self-satisified

a. Too ma
county tran
through pat
through the

b. State d
need for req
local admini
and willing t
when it is ne

For better
great need fo
participation.
our time unle
every state a
department
in U.S.P. pr

L

nent agencies, PTA members,
ıtatives and supervisors, opera-
ers from the local level.

counties the bus driver makes
he week before schools opens.

parents and bus riders to in-
ılative to their responsibilities.

ortation personnel on the local
be of the opinion that there is
: communication between those
ıd local level.

ansportation supervisors, bus
l drivers are not properly in-
/e to new practices which have
ıl in other states.

cases information on the state
neled" through the local ad-

ministrators who are not too
ut pupil transportation fail to
proper educational programs
rs, drivers and bus passengers.

ny at the local level are un-
ir own problem and have a
attitude.

ny complaints to state and
sportation supervisors come
ron complaints rather than
roper channels.

partments should create the
ıest for help from county and
rators and then be ready, able,
o give the right kind of help
eded most.

public relations there is a
ır understanding, sympathy and
We will have wasted much of
ss there is a follow-through in
ıd every community. The state
nust exercise more leadership
ogramming.

Moderator-
Div., The
University,
I. Legisl
dent's Com
II. Conf
women on
III. Edu
mittee for
mendations
levels.
IV. Fro
ents.
A. Cause
city to run
B. Not
over to th
C. Take
problems.
D. Bus
1. Needs
V. Thro
of School
level, sell
transportati

Someone
that man i
all the an
are the re
questions.

Before
subject at
I am to try
relative to
training.
with the u
how of it f

AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

Moderator—Forrest R. Noffsinger, Training Div., The Traffic Institute, Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill.

I. Legislature seminar sponsored by President's Committee on Safety Legislation.

II. Conferences — national and local for women on safety.

III. Education report of President's Committee for highway safety includes recommendations for action on state and local levels.

IV. Front door service demanded by parents.

A. Cause may be by people moving from city to rural areas.

B. Not getting the message of the cost over to the parents. (Multiple stops.)

C. Take parents on run, show them the problems.

D. Bus driver lacks community status.

1. Needs recognition by community.

V. Through the PTA, NEA, Association of School Administrators, etc. on a national level, sell a national message on school bus transportation.

A. Message.

1. Front door service. (A half mile long)

2. Status of school bus driver.

3. Qualifications for all drivers.

4. Selection and training of drivers.

5. Inspection of buses; daily by driver, more often on major items.

6. Training courses not only for drivers but also for supervisors, mechanics, and all people involved, (getting state funds for this).

7. State specifications on buying new equipment but problem is maintaining this equipment and discarding when worn out.

8. Uniformity of specifications.

9. Uniformity of legislation.

10. Uniformity of operating procedures.

11. Emphasize enforcement of laws.

VI. The group recommends that the Executive Committee of the School Transportation Section form a committee to draft these messages and relate them to the various national agencies stated.

THE NEED FOR DRIVER SELECTION AND TRAINING


By JOHN M. PARSONS
Supvr. Pupil Transportation,
State Dept. of Education, Columbus, Ohio

Someone, far wiser than I, once said that man is an intelligent animal, he knows all the answers, but most of his troubles are the result of his not knowing all the questions.

Before we begin our discussion of the subject at hand, it is my understanding that I am to try to propose some of the questions relative to the need for driver selection and training. Therefore, I will concern myself with the *why* of the subject and leave the *how* of it for the discussion groups.

Let us examine the subject: *The need for driver selection and training.* Do we need it? What purpose will it serve?

We must remember that we offer a public service, paid for by public funds. Therefore, the public deserves an honest answer to these questions, and many more that are certain to be asked. Will a program of driver selection and training be worth the cost and effort expended to produce it, or will it just be busy work to further burden the cost of public education?



Another question comes to mind. Will such a program contribute to the general educational process? Still another question, will the program improve the public understanding of the efforts of all others working in the field of public education?

I ask these questions because they are at the core of the success formula of any public venture. The public must understand the need.

Now let us get at the specific reasons why driver selection and training is necessary. I have organized these reasons under the four general headings:

(1) Changes in the school transportation structure, (2) Changes in highway traffic, (3) Changes in the bus driver, and (4) Changes in public attitude.

I. Consider the school bus itself. Not too many years ago the bus was simply a truck that anyone could drive. Today it is a complex mechanism that requires a skilled operator. Next, we should realize how the size of the school bus fleet has grown. Today it is not the four- or five-bus operation of the small rural school to be run by dependable farmer-drivers of a few years ago. It is a big operation that may involve forty or more buses and drivers. These drivers may drive three or four routes, make five or six round trips each morning and evening, or even noon runs.

The modern school bus time schedule would dismay the old time driver. Today with dual and triple scheduling, a driver may be required to serve three or four buildings on a schedule that is as close as three minutes in leeway. Today our buses travel twice as fare and over routes that were unheard of in length not too many years ago. The consolidated school districts of today may stretch out over hundreds of square miles.

In the vast territory of the modern school district are students that, in days gone by, walked to school. They live in small towns and suburbs all over this nation, thus multiplying the numbers to be transported by leaps and bounds. Numbers and size cannot only be applied to the growth of the physical aspects of the structure of transportation, but also to the increase in cost of operation.

Probably the area of growth most apparent to the public is cost. The taxpayer is painfully aware that operating a modern school costs n
wants his mon

In each of t
the bus; the f
miles; student
will find that
lated to the
changing struc

II. *Changes*
crease in the
factor most sig
There seems t
creasing numb
traffic problem
of traffic is th
A critical prol
the speed of t
ways to be tra
lems are furth
in traffic viola
motoring publi
All of this is
way accidents.
increasing num
of highways,
and highway a
on the driver
yet to be answ

III. *Changes*
the recognized
that there are
alone presents
ministration. I
day is a type
"old days." M
driver predomi
lighters, mecha
ers, and police
of life driving
driver is a n
around the c
He doesn't sta
driver of yeste
in the commu
job that provic
work on a nig
types of emplo

The driver
of the best n
ably didn't gr
his counterpart
in his off hou
crowded and
of the stress
the driver's pl

ıore today and therefore, he
ey's worth.

he points I have mentioned—
leet; routes; trips; schedule;
s; territory; and cost, you
the bus driver is directly re-
successful operation of this
ture.

in Highway Traffic—The in-
ıumber of vehicles is the one
nificant in the traffic of today.
o be no doubt about it; in-
ers of vehicles compound the
. Coupled with the volume
e problem of increased speed.
ɔlem of today's bus driver is
he traffic flow over the high-
veled by the bus. These prob-
ıer complicated by a growth
tions, both on the part of the
c and the bus driver himself.
reflected in increased high-
What shall we do about the
ber of vehicles, speed, number
increasing traffic violations,
ıccidents? What is the effect
himself? These questions are
ered.

in the Bus driver—One of
changes in the bus driver is
so many more of them. This
a major problem for the ad-
urthermore, the driver of to-
practically unknown in the
Jo longer does the farmer-
nate. Today we have moon-
nics, women, preachers, teach-
ɛmen, people from all walks
; our school buses. The bus
ıobile personality, he moves
ɔuntry from town to town.
y put as did the old farmer-
rday. He isn't as well known
ıity. He usually has another
les his main income. He may
ht shift in any of a hundred
ɣment.

ɔf today may or may not be
ıoral background. He prob-
ɔw up in the community like
: of yesterday. What he does
ɾs isn't as well known in our
ıobile communities. Because
ɔf modern traffic and society
ɣsical and mental health are

of utmost imp
will have mo
tivities, and is
reports as wel
in the educatio

The change
more selection
will have a
of the transpo
charge of its
the changes in
type of drive
work of drive
of drivers, an

IV. *Changes*
not be repeate
scious; but wh
that the publ
dollar is expe
wants to know
can be made

Another cha
ity given to e
Front page a
coming more

Along with
portation is a

Moderator—I
School. Tran
Schools, Bould

Work Shop
Training At th

I Selection

A Types of

1. American
choice)
2. Local saf
testing
3. Road test
4. Interview

B Factors in

1. Driving r
2. Personalit
3. Economic
4. Interest
5. Need

of utmost importance. The driver of today will have more supervision of his job activities, and is required to file more detailed reports as well as work closely with others in the educational endeavor.

The changes in the bus driver calls for more selection and training. The changes will have a great bearing on the success of the transportation program and those in charge of its administration. Let me repeat the changes in the driver: number of drivers, type of drivers, mobility of drivers, other work of drivers, morality of drivers, health of drivers, and supervision of drivers.

IV. *Changes in Public Attitude*—It need not be repeated that the public is tax conscious; but what does this imply? It means that the public wants to know how the dollar is expended, and why. The public wants to know how the educational program can be made more effective and efficient.

Another change can be found in the publicity given to events in school transportation. Front page articles in newspapers are becoming more commonplace.

Along with the growth in school transportation is a growing demand for more service. The public demands service that was unknown years ago, and this service is costly. How shall we provide the necessary service at a reasonable cost, and what is necessary and reasonable?

These questions contribute to another change in public attitude and opinion. It is the lack of a general understanding of the educational problem and particularly the transportation problem. It is my belief that all four of these changes require driver cooperation to the fullest extend. If this cooperation is to be had, driver selection and training will be necessary. It will be necessary to have the driver's help in meeting the challenges presented such as public attitudes, tax consciousness, publicity of transportation problems, service demands, and lack of understanding of the program for pupil transportation.

The need is certainly evident for improvements in the selection and training of school bus drivers, particularly when we analyze the changes that have taken place in our transportation structure, highways, drivers, and public attitude toward the total program.

LOCAL LEVEL

Moderator—Daniel G. Wisotzkey, Dir., School Transportation, Boulder Valley Schools, Boulder, Colo.

Work Shop Session on Selection and Training At the Local Level

I Selection

A Types of test used

1. American transport test (*multiple choice*)
2. Local safety council may offer aid in testing
3. Road test is important to employer
4. Interviewing testing driving

B Factors important in selection

1. Driving record most valuable
2. Personality
3. Economics Status
4. Interest
5. Need
6. Community Status

C Source of Drivers

1. Transit Drivers
2. Housewives (suburban areas)
3. Men (rural areas)
4. College students


D Lack of personnel in many areas hampers the program of selection since people are not available to drive buses

E To improve this condition it is important to put up the status of the drivers by selection, testing and perhaps even uniforms

F It is necessary for the local districts to have a uniform method of selection and this should come from the county or other higher administrative units.

II Training

A One representative discussed testing program consisting of 20 hrs. behind the wheel, 8 hrs. in class and in-service training.



B One discussed license requirements and a driving test with the bus.

C Local conditions require specific training in certain areas and should involve as many interested persons as possible

Uniformit
respect to c
to district,
may find it
lations for
the minimu

COUNTY LEVEL

Moderator—J. B. Littrell, Dir. School Transportation, Office of County Supt. of Public Instruction, Dallas, Texas.

Work Shop Session on Selection and Training At the County Level

I All training programs discussed had the following:

A A lively discussion developed on preventive maintenance and its relation to women drivers. Some were of the opinion that women excelled in observing the clue that indicated the bus needed minor repairs, thus in many cases eliminating major ones. Others did not share this opinion.

B Drivers are encouraged to make suggestions as to what they desire to be included in a training program to help improve the quality of driving in their county.

C All representatives included a section on laws and regulations in the training of drivers. There would be some variations here depending on the different states.

D Most
on panels
form and
would enco

E A few
to aid them

F All co
prior to sele

G Most
or an incre
a training s

H All co
in the traini

In summ
effort at thi
school bus
latest traini
niques, to
to involve
possible in

STATE LEVEL

Moderator—Charles F. Morris, Specialist in Transportation, State Department of Education, Tallahassee, Fla.

Selection and training is necessary, but how do you go about it?

A report by Chairman Morris of a 1959 study "Requirements and Training Program of School Bus Drivers" indicated that 39 states had some form of training on the state level while 11 had no training at all. Twenty nine states had school bus training programs sponsored by the State Department of Education and 10 states by other state agencies.

States represented at this meeting briefly explained their program of school bus training.

Training
were of va
programs o
while other
programs.
the followi

1. It is

2. The p
it is to be s

3. A go
necessary.

4. Resou
ing. Some
cluded:

a. Natio
safety cou



y and enforcement of policies in
lrivers is essential from district
state to state. The local district
necessary to stop certain regu-
local conditions over and above
m regulations.

counties use the older drivers
or as discussion leaders to in-
discuss problems new drivers
nter.

counties are given various tests
in the selection of drivers.

nties interviewed the applicants
ction of any drivers.

ounties pay the drivers a bonus
ase salary if they have attended
chool.

unties included a safety program
ng of drivers.

ary, there is much concern and
is time to improve the quality of
drivers and to keep abreast with
ng procedures and safety tech-
ıtilize all available personnel and
as many of their own people as
he training of drivers.

sessions, clinics, or workshops
rying lengths; some states had
f training available upon request
states had mandatory training
The group reached agreement on
ıg items concerning training:

a state department responsibility.

ırogram should be mandatory if
accessful.

od public relations program is

rce people are available for train-
general categories mentioned in-

nal Safety Council; b. Local
ıcil; c. Trucking firms; d. In-

surance comp
and f. Amer
neers

5. It seem
whether a di
children were
ers, training

In the are
needs were m

1. Training
strictly with s
be developed.
on general
Since condit
state to state
training aid.

2. When s
it should be

A report
one state all
drive a bus

Moderator—
ing Specialis
sity, Univers

I. *Selectio*

A. It was
give serious
construction
for school bu

B. It was
organizations
for the des
tests.

surance companies; e. Railroad personnel, and f. American Society of Safety Engineers

5. It seemed to make no difference whether a district owned the buses or the children were transported by contract haulers, training was necessary.

In the area of training, the following needs were mentioned:

1. Training films or filmstrips dealing strictly with school bus transportation should be developed. At the present time only films on general truck operation are available. Since conditions and rules change from state to state, a filmstrip might be the best training aid.

2. When state level training is conducted, it should be followed up at the local level.

A report by Mr. Morris indicated that one state allowed a 15-year-old person to drive a bus and 39 states had no age limit. Group discussion followed with agreement that it is hard to place an age limit on bus drivers as people differ with age. Examinations were important in selection of drivers, especially as they grow older.

A study revealed that 15 states do not require a physical examination.

Recommendations made were:

1. Driver license check should be made through motor vehicle division.

2. Finger print of all drivers checked through the FBI.

3. Complete physical examination each year, and, in some cases of older drivers, twice a year.

4. A special test designed for bus drivers and a special license issued for school bus operators.

Recorder—George H. Hollis, Regional Supervisor, Traffic Safety Division, Arizona Highway Department

NATIONAL LEVEL

Moderator—Harry D. Fletcher, Fleet Training Specialist, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa.

I. *Selection*

A. It was suggested that national groups give serious consideration to the design and construction of various types of written tests for school bus operators.


B. It was also recommended that national organizations should make funds available for the design and construction of these tests.

II. *Training*

A. The National Vocational Education Association should be contacted in reference to their developing course outlines in the area of school bus driver training. A number of state vocational educational departments already have outlines, however, an attempt to develop an outline for national use should be considered.

B. Consideration by national groups should be given to an award program to recognize fleets for their accident prevention activities.

C. National groups should consider the possibility of a national school bus rodeo.



1963

Volume 25

National Safety Congress
Transactions

WOOD PRODUCTS; TEXTILE INDUSTRIES

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
425 N. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611

51st NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS

Papers delivered in the

WOOD PRODUCTS SESSIONS

CONTENTS

Shall We Let Uncle Do It?.....*Clyde F. Schlueter* 5

Management's Responsibility in Accident Prevention.....*Wm. J. Johnson* 9

Are Safety Meetings Worthwhile?.....*T. K. Lawson* 13

Medical Programs in Industry.....*Charles M. McGill, M.D.* 15

JOINT SESSION WITH PULP AND PAPER SECTION

The Future of Logging.....*J. I. Morgan* 19

Protective Knee Pads Prevent Serious Leg Injuries.....*Thomas N. Karlen* 22

TEXTILE SESSIONS

Job Placement As It Affects Safety and Operating Efficiency....*W. A. Turner* 25

Principles and Industrial Applications of Load Glide Air-In-Floor and Air-In-Pallet Systems.....*J. E. Joyce* 28

A Case for Off-the-Job Safety.....*C. L. Henkel* 32

Safety Program In a Modern Finishing Plant.....*R. P. Timmerman* 36

Officers of the Wood Products Section 1963-64..... 43

Officers of the Textile Section 1963-64..... 45

Other Volumes in 1963 National Safety Congress Transactions.....*Back Cover*

Summary

We have tried to state briefly here, by the help of movies and verbal explanation, the impact which moving material on a film of air can have in your manufacturing operations. I would also like you to keep in mind that you can transport your materials not only in your own p
on transportation eq
same air principles.
volved in many inst
on these air-in-floor
and undoubtedly we
or more representa
companies.

A CASE FOR OFF-THE-JOB S

By C. L. HENKEL
Safety Supvr., E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Kin

I have served the Textile Section of the National Safety Council as a member of the Off-the-Job Committee for several years and as chairman for the past two years. When I became chairman of this committee, I contacted the National Safety Council to find out how many members of this section were active in off-the-job safety and reported their off-the-job injuries to the National Safety Council. It was surprising to learn that very few had active off-the-job safety programs and that only three were reporting off-the-job injuries to the National Safety Council.

Now, the reporting of off-the-job injuries to the National Safety Council is not important in itself, but any industrial organization that submits these reports quarterly is aware of the magnitude of the off-the-job safety problem. This is actually the starting point for an off-the-job program. Getting off-the-job injuries reported, analyzed, and classified as to cause is basic because it highlights the magnitude of the problem and shows where to place emphasis in order to make the greatest improvement.

The problem on a national scale is that there are about 9.5 million disabling and fatal accidents occurring annually. Less than two million of these are work accidents, which leaves 7.5 million or almost three out of every four that are non-work accidents. These figures indicate that each year one out of every 18 persons suffers a disabling injury. The total cost of accidents is estimated at $14.5 billion a year, of which about $4.5 billion is lost in work accidents. This leaves about $10 bill
thirds of the total co

The statistics quot
basis are staggering
hend, so I would lik
safety performance
pany at the Kinston,
year period, to point
off-the-job safety pr

In the company,
(1953 to 1962), ther
off-the-job injuries, i
a total lost time of
pared to 697 lost-ti
over the same 10-ye
ratio of 24 to 1. At
this same 10-year p
the-job injuries, nine
and these involved a
this figure does not i
for the fatalities.

Over this same pe
on-the-job injuries,
61 to 1. These figur
the-job frequency ra
pany and 5.5 for th
compare with an av
8.6 of 171 companie
off-the-job program
National Safety Co
The figures used
workers themselves a
bers of their famili
on the national scale

As for costs, it i
accurate figure on th

plants but cross-country
quipment employing the
We are presently in-
allations and quotations
and air-in-pallet systems
have spoken with one
atives of many textile

AFETY

ston, N. C.

ion, or more than two-
st, to non-work injuries.
ed above on a national
and difficult to compre-
e to use the off-the-job
of the Du Pont Com-
N. C. plant, over a 10-
out the importance of an
gram.
over a 10-year period
e was a total of 16,743
cluding 253 deaths, with
239,794 days as com-
ne injuries on the job
ır period, which gives a
the Kinston plant, over
eriod, we had 367 off-
of which were fatalities,
total of 4,298 days lost;
nclude any time charged

riod of time we had six
which gives a ratio of
es give an average off-
ite of 5.5 for the com-
e Kinston plant. These
erage frequency rate of
s which carry on active
s and reported to the
ncil in a recent year.
bove are all for the
nd do not include mem-
es, whereas the figures
include all persons.
s impossible to put an
ese injuries as to what

they cost th
Kinston plan
the National
timate of the
injuries to v
$3,500,000,00
economic los
for in increa
creased cost
consider the
in accidents
ress of their

For examp
two young te
accident. Bot
o the Du Po
in which the
fare of our
ities in the te
due to autom

Whether y
a company-w
the problem
is long past d

There has
over the pas
spect to repe
ence to the
find it hard
do not recog
pletely ignor
total safety
probably con
off-the-job s

1. Manage
portance of
over-all oper

2. Manage
or how to g
started.

3. Manage
should not o
tivities while

Whatever
plant or loc
representativ
pany, your c
to yourselves
to promote o

Why do y
at your plan
for any safe

First, it is
fering, it c

they cost the Du Pont Company and the Kinston plant. However, again referring to the National Safety Council figures, an estimate of the economic loss from off-the-job injuries to workers, in only one year, was $3,500,000,000. Other than this tremendous economic loss which you and I actually pay for in increased insurance premiums and increased cost of the products we buy, one must consider the contributions the people killed in accidents might have made to the progress of their companies.

For example, one of our fatalities involved two young technicians who died in a boating accident. Both might have contributed greatly to the Du Pont Company, to the communities in which they lived, and to the over-all welfare of our country. The other seven fatalities in the ten-year period at our plant were due to automobile accidents.

Whether you look at it on a national basis, a company-wide basis or a local plant basis, the problem is still tremendous and the time is long past due for taking action.

There has not been much improvement over the past three or four years with respect to reporting off-the-job injury experience to the National Safety Council, and I find it hard to believe that the safety people do not recognize the problem or are completely ignoring this important phase of a total safety program. However, there is probably considerable apathy or inactivity in off-the-job safety because:

1. Management does not realize the importance of an off-the-job program to the over-all operation of an industrial plant.

2. Management does not know what, when, or how to get an off-the-job safety program started.

3. Management believes that industry should not concern itself with employee activities while away from the job.

Whatever the reason at your particular plant or location, each of you as a safety representative has an obligation to your company, your community, your employees, and to yourselves to do everything in your power to promote off-the-job safety.

Why do you have an on-the-job program at your plants? There are two basic reasons for any safety program.

First, it is humanitarian, it eliminates suffering, it creates a feeling of security, it promotes good will in the community, and it means that your employees can live happier, fuller lives.

Second, it is just plain good business. Injuries on the job cost money and must be added to the cost of the product. In these days of high competition, it is important for all of us to keep costs as low as possible. So we must eliminate the medical expenses, lost time, and hidden costs of injuries.

What, then, are the reasons for an off-the-job program? They are exactly the same as for an on-the-job program; namely, it is humanitarian and eliminates suffering, it creates a feeling of security, it promotes good will in the community and means that your employees can lead happier, fuller lives. It is just plain good business. Injuries off the job also cost money. It means relief personnel must be available. It means additional training. It means increased hospitalization and wage disability costs. It means increased insurance costs, and also it places additional burdens on supervision.

In addition to the two basic reasons for having a safety program, there are two other reasons why I think an off-the-job program is a must, namely:

1. Integrating, instituting, or incorporating off-the-job safety into your current on-the-job program makes the safety program complete. You are attempting to reach the whole man all the time, and not just during his working hours when he is performing controlled operations in a controlled environment.

2. By promoting off-the-job safety among our employees and promoting safety in the community, I am helping to protect my family and friends from an accidental injury caused by someone else. This applies particularly to automobile accidents. Many that have occurred to our employees have been the fault of another driver.

The Du Pont company instituted an off-the-job safety program in 1953, so we have had a total of 10-years' experience in off-the-job safety. The Kinston plant started up early in 1953 so all of our experience has been with a complete safety program.

In this time, we have adopted the method recommended by the National Safety Council for classifying off-the-job injuries. An off-the-job lost-time injury is any injury that occurs outside the course of employment

which requires loss of time from the job of one day or more or results in some permanent loss of function. Also, we follow the National Safety Council's requirements on calculating off-the-job exposure hours which are as follows:

The total off-the-job exposure hours are calculated on a monthly basis by multiplying the number of employees on the roll at the end of the month by 312 hours. The 312 is arrived at by considering the "active" off-the-job hours of a five-day work week (eight hours per day) plus active hours of two rest days (16 hours each). This gives (40 + 32) 72 off-the-job exposure hours per week which, when multiplied by 4⅓ weeks per month, gives the 312 hours per employee per month. It is realized that exposure hours vary because of vacation, overtime, temporary employees, unusual working schedules, and normal fluctuations of employment. These variations tend to balance and much clerical work can be saved and a uniform system established by using the 312 hours.

The frequency rate of off-the-job injuries can then be calculated in the same manner as on-the-job frequency, namely, by multiplying the total number of off-the-job injuries by one million and dividing by the total off-the-job exposure hours.

Now that we have discussed the reasons for an off-the-job safety program, the tremendous problem of off-the-job injuries, the reporting, classifying, and calculation of off-the-job exposure hours, I would like to review the off-the-job injury experience of the Du Pont Company and the Kinston plant for the past 10 years.

Our experience has enabled us to make various charts based on the company and plant records.

One of our charts is for the Du Pont Company as a whole and shows the total frequency rate, the frequency rate for injuries experienced in automobile accidents, and the frequency rate due to falls. The frequency rates for automobile accidents and falls were broken out because these are the two major causes of off-the-job injuries. It should be noted that the total frequency rate for this 10-year period first showed a consistent decrease and then after reaching a low in 1958, there has been a trend in the wrong direction. However, over this same period of 10 years, for the same emplo injuries experience .30 or a 51 per cen range trend with cidents company-w provements althou slight. However, t year over the pre trend with respect over this 10-year above automobile a

Another chart sl rates for the Kinsto the-job frequency injuries experience and the frequency over-all trend wit injuries at the Kin sistent improvemen even though there (1960 and 1961) w reverse itself. Last history. This year same trend is true cidents are concern dramatic as the ov to falls, the trend a same as it is on a c major non-work falls are increasing.

Probably one rea in automobile accid riod at the Kinston phasis that has b driving and on the which has been in years. We have reported to us in w definitely prevented injuries from being accidents in the ea some 40 per cent injuries and these serious injuries and time they account

The off-the-job from every conceiv them would be rath resulted in tragedy all read the freak ac by the National have experienced ac mention a few of th

1. Remember the

the on-the-job frequency
yees reporting off-the-job
l a decrease from .61 to
it improvement. The long
respect to automobile ac-
ide generally shows im-
gh this has been very
ere was an increase last
rious several years. The
: to falls has increased
period and now ranks
ccidents.

ows the same frequency
n plant; namely, total off-
rate, frequency rate for
l in automobile accidents,
rate due to falls. The
h respect to off-the-job
ston Plant shows a con-
t over the past 10 years.
were a couple of years
hen the trend seemed to
year was the best in our
will not be as good. The
as far as automobile ac-
ed although it is not as
r-all trend. With respect
t the Kinston plant is the
ompany-wide basis in that
injuries occurring from

son for the improvement
ents over the 10-year pe-
plant is due to the em-
en placed on defensive
safety seat belt program
effect for about three
ad numerous situations
hich the use of seat belts
injury or prevented the
more serious. Automobile
rly years accounted for
of the total off-the-job
were usually the more
fatalities. At the present
or about 20 per cent.

injuries have occurred
able cause and some of
er amusing had they not
for someone. You have
cidents reported annually
Safety Council and we
me just as freakish. To
e more unusual:

quick draw craze of a

few years b
off his big
quick as hi
curred at a
recently.

2. An em
injury when
with his b
rather vigor
hit himself

3. Anoth
the shaving
in copious q
eyes. This

4. This i
A driver di
into a filling
needed some
exactly whe
put it into
some in. W
to move it
drain the ra
person was

How ma
ants putting
cigarette?

I would
perience th
the past tw
between the
1940, there
required ho
been two s
in hospitali

The expe
eral years a
house in V
women hav
furniture p
wife had
bedroom. S
the children
what the t
a light. Ho
the children
she was ac
rearrangem
this time th
the stairs a
of the stai
hurt seriou
and a broke
The moral

few years back. We had an employee shoot off his big toe when his draw wasn't as quick as his trigger finger. This also occurred at another plant in the company just recently.

2. An employee lost time due to an eye injury when he struck himself in the eye with his belt. He was removing his belt rather vigorously to discipline his child and hit himself in the eye.

3. Another odd eye injury occurred when the shaving lather in an aerosol can came out in copious quantities and got in an employee's eyes. This was not "lazy lather."

4. This is one that I read about recently. A driver drove his small foreign make car into a filling station and naturally enough he needed some gas. The attendant not knowing exactly where the gas tank was started to put it into the radiator and actually did put some in. When attempting to start the car to move it to another location in order to drain the radiator, it caught on fire and one person was burned.

How many times have you seen attendants putting gasoline in cars while smoking a cigarette?

I would also like to relate a personal experience that occurred in my family over the past twenty years. I have four children between the ages of 21 and 11 and since 1940, there has been only one accident which required hospitalization although there have been two serious falls. One did not result in hospitalization.

The experience occurred to my wife. Several years ago we were living in a two story house in Wilmington, Del. As you know, women have a tendency to rearrange the furniture periodically. On this occasion, my wife had rearranged the furniture in the bedroom. Sometime during the night one of the children called out and she got up to see what the trouble was. She did not turn on a light. However, in going from the bed to the children's room, she made the turns that she was accustomed to making prior to the rearrangement of the furniture. However, this time the turns took her to the head of the stairs and she fell the complete length of the stairway. Fortunately, she was not hurt seriously. She had a banged up knee and a broken nose but was not hospitalized. The moral of this accident is "don't let your wife rearrange the furniture," (How can you stop her?) or make sure a light is turned on before moving around at night.

It might be well at this point to outline what needs to be done to get an off-the-job safety program started.

1. As I mentioned earlier, you must know what your problem is before trying to solve it. This can be determined by instituting an injury reporting system at your location. I would suggest you follow the National Safety Council's recommendations.

2. After determining your problem and the magnitude, you must sell your management on the importance of an off-the-job safety program.

3. You must incorporate an off-the-job program with your present safety program. I would strongly advise against trying to have two separate programs.

4. Sell off-the-job safety to your employees the same way as you sell on-the-job safety. Use every means available — safety meetings, safety contacts, safety literature, safety films, etc. This requires extra teaching, training, and the development of safe attitudes, since when your employees are away from the job they must rely entirely on themselves, as they are not in a controlled environment and what they do is not supervised.

5. Encourage employee participation the same way as you do with your on-the-job safety program.

6. Encourage your employees to participate in community safety meetings. There are many organizations which are willing to carry out safety projects in your community if they just know how to do it.

7. This to me is the most important point with respect to improving safety in the future. Safety improvement will come through education of all people with respect to the safety hazards that they encounter day to day and how to avoid injury when the hazards are encountered. It must involve our educational systems from kindergarten through college. It is impossible to completely remore all the hazards, so through education, we can build safe attitudes which will help people avoid injury.

With respect to education, the National Safety Council publication, "Family Safety," is an excellent magazine for use by em-

ployees. We distribute a copy to each plant employee. It has been well received and contains worthwhile articles on home safety. With respect to the magazine, I would like to quote from a recent National Safety Council publication "The Family Safety Story," which describes the phenomenal growth of this publication.

First, I would like to quote J. S. Queener, manager of the safety and fire protection division, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co., Inc., who says "The emphasis on non-work injuries apparently creates a favorable state of mind which carries over to an individual's safety performance on the job. . . . This, we believe is what largely accounts for the 50 per cent reduction in company injuries since 1952" (when the firm's off-the-job safety program began).

Secondly, I would like to quote Alan Kling, director of loss prevention, Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation, who says: "When considering off-the-job safety, most people visualize information flowing from the plant to the home. Frequently it flows in the opposite direction. The hazard of battery acid can be translated to large-quantity use in the plant. The skull and crossbones on the can of lye used to clean the drain in the kitchen sink emphasizes the precautions necessary when handling caustics on the job. A basic understanding of danger

can be the found
job safety knowle

"From an econ
safety is good b
ployee morale. It
community relatic
force for on-the-j

And, third, Ka
President, New Y
"Can you afford t
to save a lot? In
we spend $10,000
achieve only a 10
would be ahead $6

I have attempte
of having an off-t
the importance o
gardless of where
the ten-year perf
Company and the
to lost time inj
major causes to s
made in off-the-jo
affects on-the-job

This, then, is a
Does it not emph
off-the-job safety
company, to your
country? You can
operate at your b
off-the-job safety.

SAFETY PROGRAM IN A M FINISHING PLANT

By R. P. TIMMERMAN
Vice Pres., Graniteville Co., Graniteville, S

The Graniteville Company has always believed in providing adequate safety facilities for its employees, because these employees are certainly our most valuable asset.

I am sure that many are familiar with a finishing plant operation. We must realize that this operation contains many potential hazards. We operate lift trucks throughout our plant and warehouses; we consume vast amounts of both propane and natural gas; we handle numerous corrosive and inflammable chemicals su
rine, peroxide an
we use millions of
much of this steam
sure—and this cr
hot weather. Pow
plant under high v
of machines used
be properly guarded

These are some
hazards. We must

lation for building specific
dge and understanding.

omic standpoint off-the-job
usiness. It improves em-
is an important tool in
ns and it is a vitalizing
ob safety programs."

arl T. Dutschmann, Vice
'ork Telephone Company:
ot to spend a little money
our case, for instance, if
on a safety program to
per cent improvement, we
5,000."

d to show the importance
he-job safety program and
f eliminating injuries re-
they occur. I have covered
ormance of the Du Pont
Kinston plant with respect
uries off-the-job and the
how that progress can be
b safety, and that this also
safety.

case for off-the-job safety.
size the importance of an
program to you, to your
community and to your
not afford to continue to
ocation without including

ODERN

, C.

ich as acids, alkalis, chlo-
d highly toxic solvents;
pounds of steam daily—
is under very high pres-
eates a heat problem in
er is brought into our
oltage. The large number
in our operation must
l. Much lifting is required.
of our many potential
realize the existence of

these before
steps to elimi

We have a
that safety
with product
stern believe
observed ove
ment or shif
ance had th
record. Safe
tions and mu
ful attention
finishing pro
production s
ministered b
tees. In the f
is accomplis
women do t
and with the

We realiz
100 per cent
it to be a s
convinced of
but we assi
this program
it out.

We make
safety progr

1. Having
rectly to me

2. Person
cussions and
mittee.

3. Person
meetings.

Our safet
from among
alize that hi

1. How t
in the plant.

2. How t
employees f

In other
sponsibility
to work an
ployees prac

Accident
distinct, sep
themselves
equipment,
hazardous,
in a safe m
these two.

OFFICERS OF THE

TEXTILE SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

General Chairman—JOHN B. SKINNER, Chief, Industrial Hygiene Section, American Mutual Liability Insurance Co., Wakefield, Mass.

Vice Chairman—G. A. BOOKER, Safety Supervisor, Chemstrand Co., A Div. of Monsanto Chemical Co., Pensacola, Fla.

Secretary—B. S. STRENGTH, Safety Supvr., Chemstrand Co., A Div. of Monsanto Chemical Co., Greenwood, S.C.

Newsletter Editor—FRED L. THACKSTON, Claims Adjuster, Abney Mills, Anderson, S.C.

Engineering and Health Committee—I. M. DAVIDSON (Chairman), Southern Div., Engineering Mgr., American Mutual Liability Insurance Co., Atlanta 9, Ga.; *CHARLES L. TROMMER, Corporate Safety Director, Mohasco Industries, Inc., Amsterdam, N. Y.; J. D. BROMN, Safety Director, American Enka Corp, Enka, N.C.

Education and Training Committee—MAX D. POORE (Chairman), Industrial Relations Mgr., The American Thread Co., Marion, N. C.; *E. L. PERMENTER, Senior Div. Service Representative, Loss Prevention Dept., Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Spartanburg, S. C.; C. L. HENKEL, Safety Supervisor, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Kinston, N. C.

Membership Committee—R. W. LANE, JR. (Chairman), Plant Mgr., P. F. M. Division, Johns-Manville Products Corp., Marshville, N. C.; *ROBERT I. BARR, Safety Supervisor, Industrial Rayon Corp., Division of Midland-Ross, Painesville, Ohio; RALPH HOWLAND, Head Public, Relations Dept., Chatham Mfg. Co., Elkin, N.C.

Synthetic Fiber Committee—RAYMOND E. MACK (Chairman), Safety Supervisor, Firestone Textiles, Inc., Gastonia, N. C.; *A. E. CONNELLY, Safety Supervisor, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Martinsville, Va.; FRANK HORNBY, JR., Insurnace Mgr., J. P. Stevens & Co., Inc., New York 36, N.Y.

Cotton Ginning Committee—TONY PRICE (Chairman), Public Relations Director, Texas Cotton Ginners Assn., Dallas 26, Texas.; ROBERT COLLINS, Executive Vice President, Arkansas-Missouri Cotton Ginners Assn., West Memphis, Ark.; HERSCHEL MCRAE, Director, National Cotton Council, Memphis 12, Tenn.; VERNON P. MOORE, Engineer in Charge, Stoneville Cotton Ginning Research Laboratory, Leland, Miss.; ALFRED M. PENDLETON, Extension Agricultural Engineer, Dallas 2, Texas.

Off-the-Job Committee—JOHN G. SAYERS (Chairman), Supervising Engineer, Loss Prevention Dept., Employers Mutuals of Wausau, Charlotte 2, N.C.; *H. S. BAUCOM, Director of Safety, North Carolina Industrial Commission, Raleigh, N.C.; J. F. GINN, Personnel Mgr., Scottdale Mills, Scottdale, Ga.

Fire Committee—*H. E. WILLIAMS (Chairman), Safety Dir., Fieldcrest Mills, Inc., Spray, N.C.; *GLENN G. FLEMING, Corp. Safety Director, Celanese Corp. of America,

Charlotte, N.C.; CHARLES J. DE WITT, Asst. Division Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Atlanta 2, Ga.

Publicity and Association Committee—JOHN M. MCALPIN Safety and Plant Protection, Celanese Fibres Co., Rock W. J. Dickey & Sons, Inc., Oella, Md.

Rules and Regulations Committee—W. C. CREEL (Chairman Dept. of Labor, Raleigh, N.C.; *W. M. KLEINMANN, Johnson, Chicago 38, Ill.

Contest Committee—WILLIAM A. HAWN (Chairman), Dir. ville Co., Graniteville, S.C.; *FORREST N. PETTY, Safety Inc., Danville, Va.

Nomination Committee—MRS. IDA C. AYERS (Chairman), Collins & Aikman Corp., Albemarle, N.C.; *W. M. Johnson & Johnson, Chicago 38, Ill. *A. E. CONNELLY, de Nemours & Co., Martinsville, Va.

Advisory Committee—MRS. IDA C. AYERS, JOHN J. BURG N. PETTY, E. L. PERMENTER, H. S. BAUCOM, H. E. WI BARR, W M KLEINMANN, G. G. FLEMING.

Staff Representative—PAUL SCHLEICH, National Safety Ave., Chicago, Illnois 60611.

*Past General Chairman.

Mgr., Loss Prevention Dept.,

r (Chairman), Supervisor of
Hill, S. C.; *JOHN J. BURGER,

), Safety Dir., North Carolina
, Safety Director, Johnson &

of Safety & Training, Granite-
& Benefits, Dan River Mills,

, Asst. to Dir. of Personnel,
KLEINMANN, Safety Director,
Safety Supvr., E. I. du Pont

ER, A. E. CONNELLY, FORREST
LIAMS, C. L. TROMMER, R. I.

Council, 425 North Michigan

MEMBERS OF THE

YOUTH ACTIVITIES CONFERENCE

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL 1963-64

DONALD M. HIGGINS, Vice President

CLAUDE DE ST. PAER, Chairman

Members—CLAUDE DE ST. PAER, Program Assistant, American Farm Bureau Federation, Chicago, Ill. 60654; DR. WALTER JACOBY, Director, Youth Activities, American Institute of Cooperation, Washington, D. C. 20006; LIVINGSTON L. BLAIR, National Director, American Junior Red Cross, Washington, D. C. 20006; FRANK P. LOWREY, Assistant Director, Auto Industries Highway Safety Committee, Washington, D. C. 20006; JOHN L. MARKS, Director, Rural and Education Division, Automotive Safety Foundation, Washington, D. C. 20036; DONALD M. HIGGINS, Director, Health and Safety Service, Boy Scouts of America, New Brunswick, N. J.; ALEX A. MALESKI, Associate Director, Program Services, Boys' Clubs of America, New York, N. Y. 10017; MISS ELISABETH STROM, Director of Camping, Camp Fire Girls, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10013; ROY HAEUSLER, Automotive Safety Engineer, Chrysler Corporation, Detroit, Mich. 48231; HARRY APPLEGATE, Executive Secretary, Distributive Education Clubs of America, Washington, D. C.; ED REEVES, 4-H and YMW Programs, Federal Extension Service, Washington, D. C. 20250; WILLIAM PAUL GRAY, National Executive Secretary, Future Farmers of America, Washington, D. C. 20250; MISS MILDRED REEL, National Advisor, Future Homemakers of America, Washington, D. C. 20250; MISS MARY WEEKS, Program Specialist, Health and Safety Education, Girl Scouts of the USA, New York, N. Y. 10022; MRS. THOMAS J. FORD, Field Service Director, Girls Clubs of America, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10017; HUGH B. SWEENY, JR., Program Director, Junior Achievement, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10019; J. FRANK MCCABE, Director, Youth Services, Kiwanis International, Chicago, Ill. 60611; CLARENCE A. JOHNSON, Supervisor, Activities Planning, Lions International, Chicago, Ill. 60601; REV. FREDERICK J. STEVENSON, Director, Youth Department, National Catholic Welfare Conference, Washington, D. C. 20005; DR. JOHN M. EKLUND, Director of Education, National Farmers Union, Washington, D. C. 20005; KENNETH H. ANDERSON, Associate Director, National 4-H Service Committee, Inc., Chicago, Ill.; WIB JUSTI, Director of Youth Activities, National Grange Youth, Washington, D. C. 20006; MISS MIRIAM EPHRAIM, Program Director, National Jewish Welfare Board, New York, N. Y. 10016; MISS FRANCES TOMPKINS, Executive Secretary, National Student Nurses' Association, Inc., New York, N. Y. 10019; FRANK E. LADEKER, Director of Safety, Nationwide Insurance Company, Columbus, O. 43216; LOUIS C. JURINICH, Boys' Work Director, Optimist International, St. Louis, Mo. 63108; JOSEPH H. KRAUS, Coordinator of National Science Fair International, Science Clubs of America, Washington, D. C. 20006; JOHN S. WOOD, Associate Executive Secretary, United Christian Youth Movement, New York, N. Y. 10027; PAUL LEHMAN, Director of Health and Safety, U. S. Junior Chamber of Commerce, Tulsa, Okla. 74102; JOHN MATHESON, National Director, Youth Activities, Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, Kansas City, Mo. 64111; JOHN P. FISHER, Secretary for Work with High School Youth, Y. M. C. A., New York, N. Y. 10007; MRS. LILLIAN HERVEY JACKSON, Teenage Program Consultant, National Board, Y. W. C. A., New York, N. Y. 10022.