

(c)

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

UC-5435e

ORAL PRESENTATION BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA STATE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY
AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

MAY 29, 1980

TITLE 8: GENERAL INDUSTRY SAFETY ORDERS
(Asbestos)

Held in Fresno, California

on

May 29, 1980

By: John L. Myers - Representing:

Asbestos Information Association/North America
1745 Jefferson Davis Highway
Crystal Square 4, Suite 509
Arlington, VA 22202

UCASB00521247

RESPONSE TO MR. O'HARA'S REQUEST FOR COMMENTS ON TITLE 8: GENERAL INDUSTRY SAFETY ORDERS (ASBESTOS), page 3 of 5: "Adopt new subsection (n) into Section 5208"

My name is John L. Myers of Union Carbide Corporation. I am speaking on behalf of the Asbestos Information Association of North America (AIA/NA). The AIA/NA is an association of over 50 companies engaged in the mining and processing of asbestos and asbestos-containing products.

We have always endorsed an employee information and training program and Union Carbide conducts such a program at its asbestos mine and mill near King City.

We feel that the wording in (n)(1)(A), which describes the employees to be trained, should be slightly modified. As it reads, the training program could apply to office personnel, casual or infrequent visitors, and other employees who would not be exposed to 0.1 f/cc on a continuing basis - perhaps very infrequently.

We, therefore, suggest that paragraph (A) be revised as follows:

(A) Within 60 days of the effective date of this subsection, the employer shall institute a training program for, and assure the participation of, all employees ~~exposed to asbestos~~ assigned to work with asbestos or asbestos-containing materials and employees assigned to work in areas where such materials are being used, provided that such materials are being used in a manner in which exposures to asbestos may reasonably be expected to exceed the level such that medical examinations are required pursuant to Section 5208(j)(1). (Underlined words are our proposed addition.)

Dr. Greenberg responded to the above by stating that the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee had considered the problem of infrequently exposed persons. They decided that their wording, crossed out above, would "encourage" companies to prohibit or minimize the admission of "non-asbestos workers" to areas where they may be exposed to greater than 0.1 f/cc. I obviously made no rebuttal to his comments.

RECEIVED

JUL 14 1980

RAW DEPARTMENT
REL. FILES

UCASB00521249

BAKER BOTTS LLP

ONE SHELL PLAZA
910 LOUISIANA
HOUSTON, TEXAS
77002-4995
713.229.1234
FAX 713.229.1522

AUSTIN
BAKU
DALLAS
HOUSTON
LONDON
NEW YORK
RIYADH
WASHINGTON

November 21, 2002

002696.A048

Mr. Scott L. Frost
Baron & Budd
3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 1100
Dallas, Texas 75219-4281

Cynthia Crawford
713.229.1458
FAX 713.229.2758
cynthia.crawford@bakerbotts.com

Re: All Baron & Budd asbestos cases in Texas

Dear Scott:

We have conducted a search for the documents requested in your October 8, 2002 letter and attach the following documents which may be responsive to your request:

UCASB00658612 - 21

UCASB00889028 - 32

UCASB00113389 - 96

UCASB01287463 - 70

UCASB00521247 - 49

UCASB00704422 - 29

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Cynthia Crawford

:3089

Enclosures

cc: Siobhan Handley
Gary Elliston
Tina Stamps