

RECEIVED MAR 07 1983



DOW CHEMICAL U.S.A.

1803 Building
4 March 1983

MIDLAND, MICHIGAN 48640

Dr. John Stafford
Imperial Chemical Ind. Ltd.
Welwyn Garden City
Hertfordshire AL7 1HD
ENGLAND

CONFIDENTIAL
Subject to protective order in
Ross v. Monsanto, 1982, No. 0-1037
14th Judicial District Court
Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana

Dear John,

Again, I have failed to answer a lot of your letters. You seem to have more activity across the Atlantic.

It is not that we are not doing anything in the U.S.A., we are making progress on the up-date of the old Tabershaw-Cooper (Equitable) epidemiology study. I was with Carol Stack on another matter Monday and we agreed that as soon as Environmental Health Associates (the current contractor) has something to talk about we will schedule a meeting. We will try to give you as much lead time as possible so that Dr. Bennett can consider coming. If that meeting isn't convenient, I suspect there will have to be a subsequent meeting to approve the protocol and proceed with the second phase. We certainly look forward to Dr. Bennett's visit.

I appreciate the literature you have been providing. There appears to be little regulatory action in the U.S., primarily because the agencies look on VCM as a problem that has been solved. I certainly agree, there is little to be gained by tightening standards.

My biggest concern is the legal activity and law suits for cancer other than angiosarcoma. I think all the larger studies as well as Theriault's data from Shawinigan indicate no increase even in populations where angiosarcomas are increased, but we have the data from Waxweiler, Infante, etc., suggesting increases in certain other locations. If the increases are real, my opinion is they are due to other factors in those locations. These men were in, many cases, rubber workers and who knows what else was present in their workplace.

The information on hamsters will be useful. I am sorry to hear of the Italian case and the English scrap merchant. There appears to be little reason to suspect any relationship to VCM in the scrap merchants case, but you can be sure it will be used by plaintiff's attorneys. If there is any suspect material, I would suggest arsenic from some source.

Dr. John Stafford
4 March 1983
Page 2

I am glad to hear Mr. J. Hodgson is persistent and will get a chance to up-date the British study. Together with the CMA study, they should provide good information.

I will keep you posted on when CMA will meet.

Thanks for everything you have sent.

Sincerely yours,



T. R. Torkelson, Sc.D.
Health and Environmental Sciences
517/636-5197

g

cc: Dr. C. M. Stack

Enclosure

CONFIDENTIAL
Subject to the provisions of the
Ford v. ... Court
... Louisiana

RECEIVED DEC 20 1982



DOW CHEMICAL U.S.A.

MIDLAND, MICHIGAN 48640

1803 Building
16 December 1982

CONFIDENTIAL

Subject to Protective Order in
Ross v. Conoco, Inc., No. 90-4837.
14th Judicial District Court
Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana

Mr. Langley A. Spurlock
CMA
2501 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037

Mr. Edward Callahan
Allied Corporation
P.O. Box 3000R
Morristown, NJ 17960

Gentlemen:

The Vinyl Chloride Task Group has had little interaction with the SPAC committee this year due, in part, to travel restriction. While the stage of current Task Group activity probably does not require such contact, we anticipate that next year we will reach a phase in our epidemiological studies in which interface will be needed.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "T. R. Torkelson".

T. R. Torkelson, Sc.D.
Health & Environmental Sciences
517/636-5197

g

cc: Carol Stack, Ph.D., CMA ✓