

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (GROW)

Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 6:30 PM

To: [REDACTED] @mmm.com

Cc: [REDACTED] @bm.com; [REDACTED] (GROW); [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (GROW); [REDACTED] (GROW); [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (GROW); [REDACTED] (ENV); [REDACTED] (ENV); [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (ENV)

Subject: PFOA restriction - analytical method

Dear [REDACTED],

In response to [REDACTED] letter to [REDACTED] of 8 April 2016 (Our Ref Ares (2016)1692687), I would like to thank 3M for the information provided and the offer to provide further clarifications in a meeting.

I have taken note of the difficulties encountered when developing reliable analytical methods and, in the face of this, your support for SEAC's proposal for a 36 month deferral period.

At the moment there are no questions that would require explanations in a meeting. Nevertheless, it would be very helpful if you could provide in writing information on the following:

- 1) Does 3M's method development also cover 'PFOA related substances' and if so, which substances are included?
- 2) Whilst plastic articles are mentioned in the letter, if applicable, what other matrices are used in your method development?

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

European Commission
DG GROW
Unit D.1 - REACH
BREY [REDACTED] | 1040 Brussels
Tel: +32 2 [REDACTED]

This message represents solely the views of its author and can not in any circumstances be regarded as the official position of the Commission. It is intended solely for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please notify me as soon as possible.