

October 17, 1936

Dr. Robert Kehoe
College of Medicine
University of Cincinnati
Ohio

Dear Dr. Kehoe:

I received the results of the analysis of tissues of [REDACTED] sometime ago. I thank you very much for this and for the loan of the enclosed reprints which I am returning.

I am rather late in answering your letter but wished to study this matter at some length before writing. It will be perfectly alright for you to turn over your report to the lawyer on this case.

We are required by the Workmen's Compensation laws in this state to report findings in connection with Workmen's Compensation claims. So I suppose it would be the proper thing for me to send these results to the Commission but will wait your reply before doing this. As the attorney in this case may think it best that the report come from you directly to the Industrial Commissioner although I do not suppose it matters much either way.

I am in accord with every thing you have to say in your letter with the exception that I believe the variations in the results between the samples of the same tissues are more likely to be due to values being near the limit of accuracy of the method rather than to the variation in actual amounts in various parts of the given organ or tissue. At least, it is true in my experience with larger amounts of poisons that distribution in a given organ is nearly uniform.


ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY LABORATORY
A. BARTON HEPBURN HOSPITAL
OGDENSBURG, N. Y.

page 2--

October 17, 1936

In the above however, is only a minor question and I wish to thank you again for your assistance and advice in this case.

Very truly yours,



F. W. Porro, M. D.
Director.

P. S. It is possible that we may somewhat rarely have requests for lead analysis and tissue, urine, and feces. The time required for infrequent analysis is so great that I wonder if your institution would undertake the analysis of specimens sent from some distance and on what terms this might be done?

KE 0021962