

To: Cagen, Stuart Z SCC-CF-SS <stuart.cagen@shell.com>; Clegg, Patsy M SCC-CHSE-PC <patsy.clegg@shell.com>; Tsai, Shan P SHLOIL-SHS <Shan.Tsai@shell.com>
From: shan tsai <shantsai@hotmail.com>
Cc:
Bcc:
Received Date: 2004-11-11 06:10:07 GMT
Subject: RE: draft presentation for your review

Stuart,

The presentation materials look very good. I have only a few suggestions:

1. Epidemiology Conclusions Pre-1997: the clear risk at exposures is too high. May need to lower to >5ppm. Also change insufficient data to 1-5 ppm
2. Australian study - Add "at lower level of benzene exposure" after the first bullet of that slide
3. In the How is the SHS Distinct - what you mean by "the first-hand exposure reports"
4. In the Study #1 - Case Control Study, first bullet, delete "potentially"
5. Overall, too much detailed information in this presentation.

Shan

Taiwan

>From: "Cagen, Stuart Z SCC-CF-SS" <stuart.cagen@shell.com>
>To: "Clegg, Patsy M SCC-CHSE-PC" <patsy.clegg@shell.com>, "Tsai, Shan P
>SHLOIL-SHS" <Shan.Tsai@shell.com>, <shantsai@hotmail.com>
>Subject: draft presentation for your review
>Date: Tue, 9 Nov 2004 14:15:02 -0600
>
>
> <<Shanghai Health Study update 16 November 2004.ZIP>>
>
>
>Stuart Cagen
>
>Shell Chemical LP
>One Shell Plaza, 910 Louisiana, Houston, TX 77002-4916
>
>Tel: +1 713 241 1407 Fax: +1 713 241 3325 Other Tel: +1 832 646 3987
>Email: stuart.cagen@shell.com
>Internet: <http://www.shell.com/chemicals>
>
><< ShanghaiHealthStudyupdate16November2004.ZIP >>
