

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (GROW)
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2022 7:54 PM
To: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@aise.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@aise.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] (GROW) <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] (GROW) <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] (GROW) <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>
Subject: RE: DUCC Priorities on the REACH and CLP revision

Dear [REDACTED]
thank you for your documents. Probably it is best to have separate calls for REACH and CLP, so I take the liberty of replying only for REACH. For us it is fine to arrange a call but the period before CARACAL will be rather difficult, as we are also preparing the Regulatory Scrutiny board on 16 November, so the week after CARACAL would be easier – e.g. I could suggest 21 November 16.30-17.30.
Kind regards
[REDACTED]
P.S.: We do not foresee any discussion on the REACH revision at the upcoming CARACAL meeting, in case that was the reason for asking for a meeting before.

From: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@aise.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@aise.eu)>
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2022 2:58 PM
To: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)>
Subject: DUCC Priorities on the REACH and CLP revision
Importance: High

Dear [REDACTED] dear all,

I am writing on behalf of the Downstream Users of Chemicals Coordination group (DUCC) in relation to the REACH and CLP revision.

Firstly, please find attached two documents recently published by DUCC outlining the priorities of downstream user formulators for the revision of the REACH and CLP legislation.

To complement these high-level considerations, we would like to request a call to discuss our positions in more detail. In specific, we have a proposal regarding the GRA/Essential Use concept and analysis of alternatives that we would like to share with you with some examples coming from different DUCC industry sectors.

Would it be possible to organise a 1h call in November - potentially the week before the scheduled CARACAL - to exchange ideas?

Kind regards,

[Redacted signature block]

[Redacted] [@aise.eu](mailto:[Redacted]@aise.eu) • Mobile: +32 [Redacted]
www.ducc.eu • Boulevard du Souverain 165 • 1160 Brussels • Belgium
Transparency Register: 70941697936-72

The content of this e-mail is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not read, use or disclose this information. Please destroy this e-mail immediately and notify its sender.