

FILE NAME: Insurance Industry (INS)

DATE: 1974

DOC#: INS081

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Transactions of The Assoc. of Life Insurance
Medical Directors of America - TOC, Presentation [Industrial Carcinogens] &
Attendee List

TRANSACTIONS
of
The Association of
Life Insurance Medical Directors
of America
EIGHTY-SECOND ANNUAL MEETING

Paul S. Entmacher, M. D.

Editor

VOL. LVII

PRESS OF
Recording & Statistical Division
SPERRY RAND CORPORATION
New York City

1974

CONTENTS OF VOLUME LVII

	Page
Officers, Executive Council for Former Officers	v
Guide to Professional Conduct	xi
Opening Remarks, President Richard A. Nelson	1
Remarks:	
James C. Emmet, M.D.	2
Benoit Boucher, M.D.	3
The Medical Information Bureau	5
Joseph C. Wilberding	
Remarks:	
Roger A. Bush, M.D.	10
For Crisis Sake, What's Next	13
Russell B. Roth, M.D.	
Papers:	
Genetic and Other Biochemical Bases of Human Behavior	31
Mark D. Altschule, M.D.	
The Outpatient Management of Psychoses	48
Shervert H. Frazier, M.D.	
Treadmill Testing—"Nuts & Bolts"	66
I. Thomas Wilson, C.R.T.	
They Thymus Comes of Age	86
Vaughn P. Simmons, M.D.	
The Problem of Human Obesity (Abstract)	124
Jules Hirsch, M.D.	
Twentieth Century Stress and the Subendocardium of the Left Ventricle	130
Robert S. Eliot, M.D.	
The Power of Wordless Persuasion	147
William J. Beeners, D.D.	
Surgery for Coronary Artery Disease	150
Thomas Killip, M.D. Committee Reports:	
Committee Reports:	
Maurice E. Rougraff, M.D.	170
Bruce W. Vale, M.D.	172
Robert S. Long, M.D.	174
Emmacher, Paul S., M.D.	176
Richard, M.D.	179
Remarks:	
Ernst Tanner, M.D.	195
Roger A. Bush, M.D.	195

**RECENT DEVELOPMENTS
IN INDUSTRIAL CARCINOGENS**

ROBERT E. ECKARDT, MD, PhD

*Director, Medical Research Division
Esso Research and Engineering Company
Linden, N.J.*

Printed with permission of Journal of Occupational Medicine

It is a real pleasure for me to have been invited to talk tonight to your combined meeting. I am quite convinced that cooperative action between industrial hygienists, industrial physicians and industrial nurses can do nothing but improve the health of the American worker. As all of you are quite aware, that goal, the improvement of the health of the American worker, is a new national objective since the passage of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970. Inspections of industry by compliance officers is the method that will be used to insure that regulations promulgated under the law will be met. But especially in the health area it will be the physicians, nurses and industrial hygienists who will have to implement the regulations. This is why educational activities carried on by the three societies, both individually and jointly, have such an important impact on implementation.

Another important joint venture of the three professional disciplines has been the creation of the Joint Accreditation Commission which brings together nurses, industrial hygienists, physicians and health physicists to develop a set of standards for occupational health programs. Representatives of our national societies, the IMA, the AAIN, the AIHA, the AAOM, the ACGIH, the Health Physics Society and the American Academy of Industrial Hygiene are working together with the Kettering Laboratory of the University of Cincinnati as a subcontractor under a contract from the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) to develop these standards. Once they have been developed and found acceptable by industry, labor, government and the professions, they will be put into operation. Accrediting an occupational health program will be something like accrediting a hospital. An industry which wishes to have its program accredited will apply for such to the Commission. An inspection team will be dispatched to review the medical, nursing, industrial hygiene and, if applicable, the health physics activities

within the industry. Their interaction with each other and with management will be reviewed, and if the program is found to measure up to the standards, a certificate "accrediting" the program will be issued to the industry. The certificate will be good for a period of three years when reaccreditation through reinspection will be required. If any major changes occur in the industry before the three years are up, immediate reinspection may be required and the certificate recalled if the standards are not being met. When this program of accreditation is ready to be put into action, we certainly hope that each of you will lend it your full support and cooperation by urging your company to apply for accreditation. We anticipate that in the future this Certificate of Accreditation of an Occupational Health Program will be as proudly displayed as a hospital accreditation certificate is, and that, if a company loses its accreditation, it will immediately take the corrective measures which will permit its reinstitution. I hope you will forgive me for straying so far from the topic of my talk tonight, but I think this is such an important activity that you should be aware of it, if you are not already.

My topic for today is one about which there is much confusion and also much misunderstanding. This in turn leads to the development of fears and apprehensions. As in clinical medicine, the word cancer in industry immediately seems to lead to despair, whereas our approach should be straightforward and intelligent. I think of cancer in industry just as I do about any other potentially toxic problem in industry. What we need when a problem develops is knowledge - knowledge of the process, knowledge of the chemistry, knowledge of the exposure. The more we know the more likely we are to arrive at satisfactory solutions. In this respect, then, cancer in industry is like any other problemsolving.

First of all, we have to have some knowledge of the experimental methods used by oncologists to test for carcinogenicity. In the case of the skin, the tests are relatively straightforward. You will note that I say "relatively" because in fact even a skin carcinogenesis test has many elements that may serve to complicate it. Thus we know that mice, hamsters and rabbits do develop skin cancers in response to chemical agents painted on the skin, while rats and guinea pigs seem to be refractory, and monkeys are resistant, taking long periods to do so. Thus the mouse has generally become the animal species used in this type of experiment. Painting is usually done three times a week. If done more frequently the material applied may so severely

damage the skin as to make interpretation of results difficult. If done less frequently the latent period for cancer development may be so long that the animals die before developing cancer. Painting should be done with a minimum of rubbing to avoid damaging the skin. Some workers even use a micropipette so that no rubbing at all is done, just letting the material spread over the shaved skin in an accurately measured dose at each application. The animals should be weighed periodically because, if the material being painted is toxic, it may interfere with their growth, and we know that this in turn will interfere with tumor development.

Because a skin-painting experiment takes so long—up to two years—people are always looking for shortcuts. They want a chemical test or an accelerated biological test that will give them the answer in a few days or a few weeks. However desirable this may be, so far none of them have held up when examined closely. Thus it was found that some carcinogens would cause atrophy of the sebaceous glands of the skin, and this was once proposed as a "quickie" test. However, in a study of 130 compounds, Bock & Mund (1) found that some carcinogenic compounds did not cause sebaceous gland atrophy while some non-carcinogenic compounds did. Some chemical tests may have limited application, but because of the wide variety of chemical compounds that have been shown to have carcinogenic activity, it would be anticipated that no single chemical test would have universal applicability. Suffice it to say that an expert panel, convened to advise the Food & Drug Administration, concluded that no truly satisfactory short-term tests had been sufficiently proven to substitute for long-term carcinogenesis tests (2).

Some people have recommended that the material to be tested simply be injected or implanted subcutaneously into rats or mice. This has the advantage of a known dose and minimal handling of the animals (3). But this method so far has been shown to produce malignant tumors (sarcomas) in response to cellophane, Dacron, polyethylene, polyvinyl chloride, silastic, Pliofilm, Nylon, polymethyl methacrylate, polystyrene, Saran, Ivalon, Kel-F, Teflon, silk (4) and glass cover slips (5). In our hands we found that a complex oil, shown to be carcinogenic to the skin when painted, caused a local abscess when injected subcutaneously which subsequently sloughed out without tumor formation. Thus this route of testing may have limited application, especially if tumors are produced at a site distant from the site of injection, but if sarcomas at the site of injection are the only

tumors formed, interpretation of their significance becomes extremely difficult.

Another suggested test is that of implanting the material in the bladder of mice. This suggestion arose, I believe, from an entire misconception. Thus in the study of bladder tumors occurring in workers in the dye industry, betanaphthylamine, when administered subcutaneously, was shown to produce bladder tumors in dogs but not in mice. In searching for the reason for this discrepancy, it was found that both man and dogs excrete 2-amino-1-naphthol as a metabolite of beta-naphthylamine in their urine whereas mice do not. Dr. Georgianna Bonser in England concluded that maybe beta-naphthylamine was not the carcinogen after all but that the metabolite 2-amino-1-naphthol was. To test out this theory, she impregnated small wax pellets with 2-amino-1-naphthol and implanted them surgically into the bladders of mice. Sure enough, the mice developed bladder cancer. However, subsequently it has been shown that cholesterol, glass, stearic acid, magnesium stearate, hexadecanol, octadecanol and naphthalene (6) (the old-fashioned moth ball) all produced bladder tumors and cancers when implanted into the mouse bladder. None of these are suspected of being carcinogenic. Thus this test does not seem to be a very sound one.

Incidentally, these studies of the metabolism of beta-naphthylamine have led to extensive metabolic studies and brisk scientific arguments about what truly is the carcinogenic agent. One school supports the 2-amino-1-naphthol metabolite as the carcinogen, whereas another believes that hydroxylation of the nitrogen atom of the amino group (so-called N hydroxylation) is the carcinogen, while yet others believe different metabolites are the true carcinogen. These studies have been fascinating from a biochemical standpoint and have served to keep many cancer biochemists hard at work in the laboratory but probably do not have much practical implication for industrial cancer prevention.

However, the studies of the implantation of cholesterol, glass, stearic acid and other materials into the bladder, previously mentioned, may have serious practical considerations for other studies. Thus, diethylene glycol and other materials are claimed by some to be carcinogenic on the basis that they have produced bladder cancer in mice. However, such tumors and cancers never developed until a bladder stone was produced. The question then arises—is the material a carcinogen, or is the stone the carcinogen? It is interesting to note that the first experiments with cyclamates were under-

taken with such bladder implantation techniques and had to be discounted until the feeding experiments were completed. Even now the cyclamate picture is not clear because there is some question that the experimental animals may have been infected with bladder parasites. It is well known that Bilharzia, a bladder infestation of humans by *Schistosoma hematobium*, is associated with bladder cancer. In Egypt, where Bilharzia is endemic, bladder cancer incidence is quite high. The schistosomes enter the body through the skin from water in which the Egyptians are walking in caring for their fields. From there they enter the bloodstream and ultimately end up in the bladder. Incidentally, the secondary reservoir for *Schistosoma hematobium* is the snail, and much work is going on trying to find an agent that will kill the snail without producing other undesirable ecological effects. The approach is like killing the mosquito to eliminate malaria.

Another approach that has been recommended to try to shorten the necessary test period for carcinogens is the use of newborn or very young (suckling) mice (7). It was suggested that an increased incidence and earlier appearance of malignancies could be obtained from a single injection of potent carcinogens. However, newborn rats did not respond in the same way as newborn mice (8). Rather, they responded like adult mice. Further, more complete studies have shown that this test method probably has few true advantages (9). It may be that the use of newborn or very young mice was predicated on the absence of detoxifying enzymes in the newborn which subsequently appear as the animal ages. It is known that a benzpyrene hydroxylase exists in the gastrointestinal tract (10), and it is further known that newborns are frequently lacking enzyme systems that are present in adults. Even today, however, there are those who strongly urge the newborn as a test animal system.

Turning to experimental lung carcinogenesis, it is now beginning to appear that multiple factors are probably necessary to produce lung cancers in experimental animals. Thus, in rats a combination of repeated influenza virus infections plus ozonized gasoline (11) or sulfur dioxide and benzo(a)pyrene (12) or 7,12-dimethylbenzo(a)anthracene plus india ink (13) or, in hamsters, hematite plus benzo(a)pyrene (14) all have led to lung cancer production. The evidence indicates that pure polyaromatic compounds like benzo(a)pyrene are rapidly removed from the lungs. However, when introduced with particles or with SO_2 which may impair the

ciliary removal mechanisms, residence time within the lung may be much prolonged, and it is this increased residence time which the experimentalists believe leads to the production of lung cancer. This experimental work on lung cancer is in its very early stages, and it is too soon yet to draw definite conclusions on it.

One very interesting lung cancer experiment in rats was that conducted by Laskin *et al* (15). These workers exposed rats to 0.1 ppm of bis(chloromethyl) ether six hours per day, five days per week for a total of 101 exposures. Nineteen out of 30 rats so exposed developed squamous cell cancers of the lung or cancers of the nasal epithelium. Of interest in this connection is that subsequently in a chemical plant in California where bis(chloromethyl) ether was produced several cases of lung cancer were reported. I have only seen newspaper-type reports of these cancers in these chemical workers, so I am not able to comment intelligently further on them. If in fact this is so, it may represent the first time the detection of a carcinogen by laboratory tests has subsequently been followed by the appearance of cancers in humans. Usually epidemiological studies have shown the presence of cancers in humans, and this in turn has led to an intensive search in the laboratory for the carcinogen. It is of interest that these workers have also been able to produce lung cancers in experimental animals with 2,3-dichloro-p-dioxane, ethyl bromoacetate and epichlorohydrin (16), although these are weak carcinogens. The demonstration of weak pulmonary carcinogenesis in animals with these compounds should alert everyone to minimize human exposures to them and to follow workers exposed to them with extra vigilance.

It should be mentioned that pulmonary carcinogenesis in animals has been demonstrated for beryllium (17), nickel compounds (18), and radioactive materials (19). Interestingly, even inhalation pulmonary carcinogenesis has not yet been demonstrated in experimental animals for chromates (20) or asbestos (21), although the former has produced malignancies when injected intrapleurally or intrapleurally (22), and the latter has produced malignancy when given intrapleurally (23) in animals. Finally, various epoxides, lactones and peroxy compounds have been shown to have a carcinogenic potential (24).

With this far-from-complete review of experimental carcinogenesis, which has been given only to emphasize the complexity of the problem, I should now like to turn to some interesting recent

epidemiological work. I shall not try to cover skin cancers in coal tar workers, mule spinners, cutting oil users, wax pressmen and the like, lung cancers in chromate workers, nickel refining, or asbestos workers (other than insulators), bladder cancers in the dye industry, nasal cancers in nickel refining and isopropyl alcohol manufacture, or bone sarcomas in radium dial painters because these have been covered in my book (25) or other works. Presumably we are discussing recent developments.

Much publicity has been given recently to asbestos as a pulmonary carcinogen and producer of pleural or peritoneal mesothelioma. Actually pulmonary cancer in asbestos workers has been recognized for 25-30 years (26). The condition was catapulted into prominence by the observations of Wagner (27) in South Africa among miners and processors of asbestos and especially by Selikoff and his co-workers (28) among insulation workers. What has made the work of Selikoff and his associates unusual has been their use of union records to study this condition. Because insulators usually don't work for one single employer but rather move from job to job wherever work is available and thus work for multiple employers, it was very difficult to obtain any kind of picture of what their health experience had been except through union records. When these were examined, it was found that of 632 workers who entered the trade before 1945 and were traced through 1962, 45 had died of cancer of the lung or pleura. Only 6-7 men would have been expected to die of lung or pleural cancer if the normal incidence had prevailed. Another interesting observation has been that the incidence of lung cancer among asbestos insulation workers who smoke cigarettes is far greater than for those who do not smoke (29). It is to be hoped that the industrial hygiene measures introduced among these workers will soon have this situation under control (30). There is still some dispute among workers in this field of whether the asbestos is a direct carcinogen or whether its carcinogenicity is due to certain oils that may be present in the asbestos naturally or through contamination (31, 32). Of perhaps more concern has been the observation that asbestos bodies can be found in the lungs of a very high percentage of people who come to autopsy and have never been exposed to asbestos in their work (33). Although this is of concern to many people, no one is yet prepared to say what its significance is. It is important not to jump to as yet unwarranted conclusions on this observation as I have often heard Dr. Selikoff say in talks on the subject.

186 EIGHTY-SECOND ANNUAL MEETING

As many people suspected, lung cancer incidence among uranium miners has been shown to be increased (34). Again, the risk seems to be much higher among smoking miners than among nonsmoking miners. There have been 62 lung cancer deaths among 3,414 white miners and 2 among 761 nonwhite miners. There seems to have been established a dose-response relationship which may hopefully permit the elimination of this disease in the future.

Recently an outbreak of bladder cancers occurred among workers in the electric cable industry (35). This apparently resulted from the former use of beta-naphthylamine as an antioxidant in the rubber sheathing used on the cables. Since this material has not been used by the rubber industry as an antioxidant since about 1945, it is anticipated that these tumors will soon disappear. However, it does point out the long latent period that can occur, and in searching for etiologic agents in occupational cancer, it may be important historically to go far back into the processes to find the responsible agent.

Among 8,047 workers in a metal smelter works, 147 respiratory cancer deaths among 1,877 total deaths were reported (36). The causative agent in this case was believed to be arsenic trioxide exposures by inhalation, although some relationship to SO_2 exposures was also demonstrated. This recalls the association between sulfur dioxide and benzo(a)pyrene in animals demonstrated by the NCI group and previously discussed (12).

A real surprise has been the demonstration in England (37) of an increased risk of nasal cancer occurring in woodworkers in the furniture industry. This seems to be associated with the use of hard woods. To date, no one has come forward with a good guess as to what the causative agent might be in this situation. Undoubtedly, this observation will lead to extensive laboratory studies, both chemical and biological, in an attempt to uncover the causative agent.

The accumulation in the literature of cases of leukemia following benzene exposures (38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43) leads to the inevitable conclusion that benzene is a leukemogenic agent, particularly in cases that have previously displayed a pancytopenia (44). Although this had long been suspected, the data reported in the literature was not sufficiently convincing to establish the leukemogenic nature of benzene. However, the more recent observations seem to establish this association beyond a doubt. More recent observations of chromosome changes in workers exposed to benzene (45,

46) lead further weight to the view that benzene is a leukemogenic agent and reducing them to a minimum cannot be accomplished.

It has been reported that the incidence of fluorine miners is 25 times that of the general population. This is the cause, in this case, in uranium mining.

A study of lung cancer (49) showed a dose-response relationship of the disease. Workers exposed to high levels of benzene (a) for more years (full-time) levels of benzene (a) occurred, although the nature of coal tar (b) such as phenols or (c) the increased incidence of the disease (d) the time elapse the disease.

I have tried to do this in experimental animals and have been able to do so. I believe that a good deal of information has been obtained from these studies. It is not yet clear whether or not the disease is caused by the benzene or by the phenols or by the increased incidence of the disease. It is not yet clear whether or not the disease is caused by the benzene or by the phenols or by the increased incidence of the disease.

46) lend further weight to the leukemogenic nature of benzene. In any event, in our own operations we are proceeding as if benzene was a leukemogenic agent, eliminating exposures wherever possible and reducing them to the lowest possible level where complete elimination cannot be accomplished.

It has been reported (47, 48) that lung cancer incidence in fluorspar miners is 29 times the expected rate. It was found in this situation that radon and radon daughter exposures are in excess of the maximum permissible concentrations, and it is believed that this is the cause. In this respect these lung cancers are similar to those seen in uranium miners and in the old Joachimsthal-Schneeberg mines.

A study of lung cancer among coke oven workers in the steel industry (49) showed that they suffered a 2 1/2 times increased incidence of that disease. This increased to 5 times among men who worked topside on the ovens and to 10 times if they worked 5 or more years full-time topside. It is likely that exposure to very high levels of benzo(a)pyrene and similar polycyclic aromatic compounds occurred, although it is quite possible, due to the complex chemical nature of coal tar, that other promoting or co-carcinogenic agents, such as phenols or nitrogenous compounds, have contributed to the increased incidence. Undoubtedly, intensive chemical and biological studies of this situation will be undertaken which will in time elucidate the causative agents and their comparative significance.

I have tried to develop for you some of the more recent developments in experimental carcinogenesis as applied to industrial problems and some recent epidemiological studies of industrial cancers. I believe our approaches to occupationally induced cancer should not differ from the approaches we use in any potential occupational toxicity problem. We should study and become intimately acquainted with the processes involved, not simply by studying engineering or flow diagrams, but by actually getting out into the work place and observing just what is done. This will give us some idea of the personal hygiene of the workers involved, as well as possible industrial hygiene measures that may be applicable. We should conduct appropriately designed toxicological tests, in this case carcinogenic tests, understanding fully the limitations and pitfalls of any such tests we conduct. We should carefully examine the workers involved to detect early signs of exposure or early pre-

cancerous changes. Thus appropriate urine or blood analyses for nickel or chromium, or for phenols in the case of benzene exposures, should be made. In some cases early biopsy or Papanicolaou smears of appropriate secretions should be undertaken.

At every opportunity we should stress the avoidance of unnecessary exposure. I can think of no area where the cooperative efforts of industrial hygienists, industrial nurses and industrial physicians can have a more salutary effect than in the control of industrial carcinogens and thus in the control of occupational cancers.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Bock, F.G., and R. Mund; A survey of compounds for activity in the suppression of mouse sebaceous glands. *Cancer Res.* 18:887, 1958.
2. Food and Drug Administration Advisory Committee on Protocols for Safety Evaluation: Panel on Carcinogenesis Report on Cancer Testing in the Safety Evaluation of Food Additives and Pesticides. *Toxicol. & Appl. Pharmacol.* 20:419, 1971.
3. Grasso, P., and L. Golberg; Subcutaneous sarcoma as an index of carcinogenic potency. *Food Cosmet. Toxicol.* 4:297, 1966.
4. Oppenheimer, B.S. *et al*; Further studies of polymers as carcinogenic agents in animals. *Cancer Res.* 15:333, 1955.
5. Shubik, P. *et al*; Studies on the toxicity of petroleum waxes. *Toxicol. & Appl. Pharmacol.* 4:Supplement, 1962.
6. Boyland, E., E.R. Busby, C.E. Dukes, P.L. Grover, and D. Manson; Further experiments on implantation of materials into the urinary bladder of mice. *Brit. J. Cancer* 18:575, 1964.
7. Pietra, G., H. Rappaport, and P. Shubik; The effects of carcinogenic chemicals in newborn mice. *Cancer* 14:308, 1961.
8. Della Porta, G.; Use of newborn and infant animals in carcinogenesis testing. *Food Cosmet. Toxicol.* 6:243, 1968.
9. Toth, B., and P. Shubik; Toxic effects of 7,12-dimethyl benz(a)anthracene in newborn and adult swiss mice. *Nature* 211:428, 1966.
10. Wattenberg, L.W. *et al*; Benzpyrene hydroxylase activity in the gastrointestinal tract. *Cancer Res.* 22:1120, 1962.
11. Whely, D.V., P. Kotin, P.R. Fowler, and J. Trivedi; The combined effect of repeated viral infection and exposure to carcinogenic aerosols on pulmonary tumor induction in C57 black mice. *Am. Assoc. for Cancer Res. Proc.* 3:278, 1961.
12. Kuschner, M., and S. Laskin; Combined effects of atmospheric pollutants. 10th International Cancer Conference, Houston, Texas, May 1970.
13. Shabad, L.M.; Experimental cancer of the lung. *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.* 28:1305, 1963.

14. Saffioti, U. *et al*; Intratracheal injection of particulate carcinogens into hamster lungs. *Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. Proc.* 4:59, 1963.
15. Laskin, S. *et al*; Tumors of the respiratory tract induced by inhalation of bis(chloromethyl) ether. *Arch. Environ. Health* 23:135, 1971.
16. Institute of Environmental Medicine; Research in Environmental Health Sciences. *Eighth Annual Report of Progress USPHS Center Grant ES 00260*, December 31, 1971, p. 68.
17. Vorwald, A.J., A.L. Reeves, and E.C.J. Urban; Experimental Beryllium Toxicology in H.E. Stokinger (Ed.), *Beryllium—Its Industrial Hygiene Aspects* Monograph of the Am. Indust. Hyg. Assoc. and U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Chapter 7, pp. 201-234, New York, Academic Press, 1966.
18. Sunderman, F.W., and A.J. Donnelly; Metastasizing pulmonary tumors in rats induced by the inhalation of nickel carbonyl. *Am. J. Pathol.* 46:1027, 1965.
19. Lisco, H.; Discussion at the Scientific Session of the Annual Meeting of the American Cancer Society, 1953.
20. Steffee, G.H., and A.M. Baetjer; Histopathologic effects of chromate chemicals. Report of studies in rabbits, guinea pigs, rats and mice. *Arch. Environ. Health* 11:66, 1965.
21. *Asbestosis in Experimental Animals. Brit. J. Ind. Med.* 20:1, 1963.
22. *Production of cancer in mice and rats by chromium compounds. J. Nat. Cancer Inst.* 27:530, 1960.
23. Smith, W.B., and R.B. Elasser; A transplantable mesothelioma induced by asbestos. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 54:550, 1965.
24. Van Duuren, B.L. *et al*; Carcinogenicity of epoxides, lactones, and peroxy compounds. IV. Tumor response in epithelial and connective tissue in mice and rats. *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.* 37:825, 1966.
25. Eckardt, R.E.; *Industrial Carcinogens*. New York, Grune & Stratton, 1959.
26. Annual Report of the Chief Inspector of Factories for the Year 1954. London, H.M.S.O., 1955:190-192, 1955.
27. Warren, J.C., C.A. Sleges, and P. Marchand; Diffuse pleural mesothelioma and asbestos exposure in the North Western Cape Province. *Brit. J. Ind. Med.* 12:181, 1955.
28. Selikoff, I.J., and B.C. Hammond; Asbestos exposure and neoplasia. *Am. J. Hyg.* 73:231, 1956.
29. Selikoff, I.J.; Asbestos exposure, smoking and neoplasia. *J.A.M.A.* 20:100, 1965.
30. Selikoff, I.J., Program Director. *Insulation Hygiene Progress Reports from the Industrial Hygiene Research Program*.
31. Selikoff, I.J.; Occurrence of Oils Containing 3,4-benzpyrene and related compounds. *Environ. Health Persp.* 13:43, 1962.
32. Selikoff, I.J.; Tumor induction by natural and contaminating asbestos. *Environ. Health Persp.* 13:43, 1962.
33. Selikoff, I.J., and B.C. Hammond; Environmental epidemiology. III. Comparison of occupational and environmental asbestos exposure. *Am. J. Hyg.* 73:231, 1956.

190 EIGHTY-SECOND ANNUAL MEETING

34. Lundin, F.E., J.W. Lloyd, E.M. Smith, V.E. Archer, and D.A. Holaday: Mortality of uranium miners in relation to radiation exposure, hardrock mining and cigarette smoking-- 1950 through September 1967 *Health Physics* 16:571, 1969.
35. Davies, J.M.: Bladder tumors in the electric-cable industry. *The Lancet* 2:143, 1965.
36. Lee, A.M., and J.F. Fraumeni: Arsenic and respiratory cancer in man: An occupational study. *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.* 42:1045, 1969.
37. Acheson, E.D., R.H. Cowdell, E. Hadfield, and R.G. Macbeth, Nasal cancer in woodworkers in the furniture industry. *Brit. Med. J.* 2:587, 1968.
38. Vigliani, E.C. and G. Saita: Benzene and leukemia *New Eng. J. Med.* 271:872, 1964.
39. Girard, R. *et al*: Malignant haemopathies and benzene poisoning *Med. Lavoro* 62:71, 1971.
40. Aksoy, M. *et al*: Acute leukemia due to chronic exposure to benzene *Am J Med.* 52:160, 1972.
41. DeGowin, R.L.: Benzene exposure and aplastic anemia followed by leukemia 15 years later. Semiannual Report to the Atomic Energy Commission, ACRH-19, Argonne Cancer Res. Hosp., Chicago, Ill., 1963, p. 31. *Nuclear Science Abstracts* 17:#28665, 1963.
42. Kähler, H.J. and H. Merker: A case of chronic myeloid leukemia after prolonged exposure to benzene. *Deut. Med. Woch.* 86:1135, 1961.
43. Forni, A. and L. Moreo: Cytogenic studies in a case of benzene leukemia. *Europ. J. Cancer* 3:251, 1967.
44. David, A.: Assessing the occupational character of leukemia in persons exposed to benzene. *Pracovni Lekarstvi* 16:13, 1964; *Occup. Safety Health Abstr.* 3(2): 114, 1965.
45. Forni, A. and L. Moreo: Chromosome studies in a case of benzene-induced erythroleukemia. *Europ. J. Cancer* 5:459, 1969.
46. Hartwich, G. and G. Schwanitz: Chromosome studies after chronic benzene exposure. *Disch. Med. Wschr.* 97:45, 1972.
47. de Villiers, A.J. and J.P. Windish: Lung cancer in a fluorspar mining community I. Radiation, dust, and mortality experience. *Brit. J. Ind. Med.* 8: 94, 1964.
48. Parsons, W.D. *et al*: Lung cancer in a fluorspar mining community II. Prevalence of respiratory symptoms and disability. *Brit. J. Ind. Med.* 8:110, 1964.
49. Lloyd, J.W.: Long-term mortality study of steelworkers. V. Respiratory cancer in coke plant workers. *J. Occup. Med.* 13:53, 1971.

REDAKING NELSON—Are there any questions for Dr. Eckardt?

DR. VERNER B. SIMONS (Colonial Penn Life, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania) moved that presentation very much. There were some questions that stimulated it. The first one, it's a very good question. It's close I think to what you said, that

PRESENT AT MEETING

Doctors Present:

A.B. Abrutyn
N.E. Adamson, Jr.
M.R. Alberg
M.D. Altschule
D.A. Anderson
B. Argano
W.H. Atkinson

F. Bach
J.W. Barch
R.H. Barr
P.G. Bartsch
S.F. Bassett
H.C. Bates, Jr.
A. Baumgart
R.E. Beamish
D.E. Bean
W.J. Beeners
G.E. Bell
M.F. Bell
G.W. Benson
B. Boucher
D.W. Bowne
W.R. Bradley
B.A. Bradlow
K.F. Brandon
D.J. Breithaupt
J. Brochier
H. Brodsky
A.E. Brown
R.F. Buchan
D.E. Bulluck
M.F. Bures
J.A. Burke
C.A. Burkhart
R.A. Bush
R.H. Butz

D.W. Cardwell
J.P. Carey
R.J. Cataldo
J.R. Cavett, Jr.
A.H. Clagett, Jr.
E. Clarke
W.S. Clough

H.A. Cochran, Jr.
W.F.X. Coffey
M.A. Compton
F.R. Congdon
C.E. Cook
H.K. Cooper, Jr.
R.A. Corne
K. Courage
R.H. Craig

S. Dann
A. DeBeer
T.G. Delhyanidis
J. Delvin
R.J. DiSalvo
A.H. Domm
D. Dove
R.L. Dross
L. Durieux

L.H. Earle, Jr.
C.M. Eberhart
R.E. Eckardt
J.R. Eddy
J.M. Edington
W.J. Elder
R.S. Eliot
J.C. Emmett
J.A. End
P.S. Entmacher
S.M. Erba

R.E. Fidelino ✈
D.M. Fleming
P.M.L. Forsberg
G.E. Fort
J.G. Fraser
C.R. Fravel
S.H. Frazier
E-M Fröhlich
Y. Fukuda

J. Gajewski
J.E.D. Gardam
J. Geerling
W.R. Gilliam

J.P. Glover, Jr.
G. Goodkin
H.M. Goodman
A. Gootnick
A.C. Grunow
J.R. Gudger
T.F. Gumina
R.C. Haas
M. Hafer
L.M. Hall
R.M. Hansen
F.M. Hard
J.R. Harnes
S.B. Harper
D.M. Haskins
J.H. Hawkins
W.A. Henry
W.A. Herbert
E.V. Higgins
E.C. Hillman, Jr.
M. Hirao
J. Hirsch
C.M. Hoffman
D.N. Hernick
W.H. Hoyer
R.L. Howard
E.G. Howe
H.G. Howe, Jr.
J.C. Hoyt
W.E. Huckabee
C.H. Huddleston
G.I. Hull
G.P. Human
A.A. Humphrey
M.L. Hunt
W.J. Hunzicker
J.J. Hutchinson

E. Ikonen
C. Isoardi
F.E. Jenkins
T.P. Jernigan
J.W. Johnson, Jr.
H.B. Jones
N. Jones
A. Jous

s)
y

ompany

la

EIGHTY-SECOND ANNUAL MEETING

E. M. Kaimakliotis
D. J. Kane
E. G. Karp
J. B. Karns
R. Katz
F. R. Kellner
J. A. Kligour
T. Killip
C. T. Kirchmaier
R. C. Kirk
W. L. Kleinsasser
N. S. Kneel
H. S. Kosi
H. K. Kraus
J. R. Krueger

L. P. Laing
R. M. Landry
A. L. Larson
C. R. Lashley
K. A. Latta
D. Lawson
D. G. LeRoux
M. S. Leute
W. R. Leute, Jr.
B. M. Levy
L. D. Lewis
J. C. Lindner
J. E. Lloyd
C. H. Lodowski
J. C. Lombardi
R. B. Long
J. G. Loubser
D. H. Lukens

B. H. McGracken
H. M. McCue, Jr.
J. D. McGaughey, III
F. J. McGinn
T. J. McGinn, Jr.
M. Magiday
P. T. Mansure
W. L. Marr, III
A. T. Maters
H. H. Matthews
P. S. Metzger
J. Miller
W. N. Miller

E. L. Mitchell
P. T. Moore
S. R. Moore, Jr.
J. F. Moran, Jr.
R. H. Morgan
J. R. Murphy
R. T. Murray

S. A. Narins
R. A. Nelson
C. A. Nordin

R. D. O'Connor
R. J. Oehrig

D. Papadakos
H. K. Pawsey
J. S. Pearson
N. E. Peatfield
J. I. Peters, Jr.
J. Peytavy
F. I. Pitkin
K. W. Pleissner
T. E. Plucinski
A. A. Pollack
E. M. Pollak
C. P. Powell
K. A. Powell
S. Preschel
M. E. Purdy
R. S. Purkis
M. A. Puzak

C. L. Reeder
W. A. Reiter, Jr.
J. R. S. Remsberg
M. C. G. Rennie
A. Requema
J. C. Robinson
J. G. Ross
R. B. Roth
M. E. Rougraff
G. B. Ruffin
B. A. Ruggieri
R. A. J. Runyon
J. A. Ryan, Jr.

C. V. Sage

R. S. Schaaf
K. F. Schaefer
D. O. Schultz
I. Scott
R. C. Secor
D. Sheehan
J. D. Shields, Jr.
V. P. Simmons
G. A. Simpson
J. T. Smiley
H. A. W. Smith
J. M. Spall
C. H. Stark
H. F. Starr, Jr.
J. A. Stephens
H. R. Stettbacher
R. B. Stickler
R. Stock
A. H. Storm
D. J. Sullivan
T. Susaki
S. B. Sylvester

N. G. Talwalkar
F. Tanner
R. C. Teall
A. Teeger
J. A. Tesoriero
J. E. Todd

J. W. Unger
H. E. Ungerleider

B. W. Vale
A. L. Van Ness
E. E. Virts, Jr.

D. O. Wainwright
M. R. Walker, Jr.
J. G. Walsh
E. O. Walwyn-Jones
K. E. Ward
D. R. Warren
L. J. Warshaw
W. B. Waterman
J. M. Webber
J. Weekers
U. Weitz

G. M. Whalley
J. A. Wilmer
A. C. Wilson
J. R. Winkler
R. D. Wood
D. S. Young
T. S. Young
H. S. Zinn

J. Zinner
J. Zinner
J. Zinner
J. Zinner

COMPANIES AND THEIR REPRESENTATIVES 315

Business Men's Assurance Company of America

BMA Tower,
Kansas City, Mo. 64141 Tel (816) 753 8000
Clark H. Lentz, M.D. V.P. & Med. Director
Ivan E. Lloyd, M.D. Assoc. Med. Director
Rodolfo E. Fidelino, M.D. Asst. Med. Director

California Life Insurance Company

6420 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, Calif. 90048 Tel (213) 655-4920
Warren C. Breidenbach, M.D. Medical Director
Garth K. Graham, M.D. Medical Director

California-Western States Life Insurance Company

P.O. Box 959,
Sacramento, Calif. 95804 Tel. (916) 444-7100
Ralph C. Teall, M.D. Medical Director

Canada Life Assurance Company

330 University Avenue
Toronto, Ont., Canada M5G 1R8 Tel. (416) 368-7432
Raymond S. A. Purkis, M.D. Med. Vice President
Robert W. Graham, M.D. Asst. Med. Director
Bruce S. Hawkins, M.D. Asst. Med. Director

Canadian Premier Life Insurance Company

360 Broadway Avenue,
Winnipeg, Man. R3C0T7 Canada Tel (204) 956 1030
Barry J. Kaufman, M.D. Medical Director

Canadian Reassurance Company

95 St. Clair Avenue West
Toronto 7, Ont., Canada Tel (416) 925-2261
Jonathan C. Sinclair, M.D. Medical Director

Capitol Life Insurance Company

P.O. Box 1200,
Denver, Colo. 80201 Tel (303) 534-8900
John M. Foster, M.D. Medical Director

Central Life Assurance Company

P.O. Box 1555
Des Moines, Iowa 50304 Tel (515) 283-2371
George G. Young, M.D. Medical Vice-President

Charter National Life Insurance Company

8301 Maryland Avenue,
St. Louis, Missouri 63105 Tel (314) 725-7575
Joseph P. Costello, M.D. Medical Director

Christian Fidelity Life Insurance Company

316 Dallas Highway,
Waxahachie, Tex. 75165 Tel (214) 937-4420
Norman L. West, M.D. Medical Director

Citizens Standard Life Ins. Co.

P.O. Box 808,
Corpus Christi, Tex. 78403
McIver Furman, M.D. Medical Director

City Mutual Life Assurance Society, Ltd.

60-66 Hunter Street,
Sydney, Australia
George V. Hall, M.D. Chief Med. Officer

Civil Service Life Ins. Co.

989 Market St.,
San Francisco, Calif. 94103
Richard H. Barr, M.D. Medical Director

Coastal States Life Ins. Company

P.O. Box 7037, Station C,
Atlanta, Ga. 30309 Tel (404) 892-1200, Ext. 254
William H. Hill, M.D. Asst. Med. Director

Cologne Life Reinsurance Company

P.O. Box 300
Stamford, Conn. 06904 Tel (203) 327-4220
W. John Elder, M.D. V.P. & Med. Director

COMPANIES AND THEIR REPRESENTATIVES 315

Business Men's Assurance Company of America

BMA Tower,
Kansas City, Mo. 64141 Tel. (816) 753-8000
Clark H. Lentz, M. D. V. P. & Med. Director
Ivan E. Lloyd, M. D. Assoc. Med. Director
Rodolfo E. Fidelino, M. D. Asst. Med. Director

California Life Insurance Company

6420 Wilshire Boulevard,
Los Angeles, Calif. 90048 Tel. (213) 655-4920
Warren C. Breidenbach, M. D. Medical Director
Garth K. Graham, M. D. Medical Director

California-Western States Life Insurance Company

P.O. Box 959,
Sacramento, Calif. 95804 Tel. (916) 444-7100
Ralph C. Teall, M. D. Medical Director

Canada Life Assurance Company

330 University Avenue,
Toronto, Ont., Canada 1R8 Tel. (416) 368-7432
Raymond S. A. Purkis, M. D. Med. Vice President
Robert W. Graham, M. D. Asst. Med. Director
Bruce S. Hawkins, M. D. Asst. Med. Director

Canadian Premier Life Insurance Company

360 Broadway Avenue,
Winnipeg, Man. R3C0T7, Canada Tel. (204) 956-1030
Barry J. Kaufman, M. D. Medical Director

Canadian Reassurance Company

95 St. Clair Avenue West,
Toronto 7, Ont., Canada Tel. (416) 925-2261
Jonathan C. Sinclair, M. D. Medical Director