ER-881

E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS & COMPANY
INCORPORATED
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19898

SAFETY, HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS

January 30, 1985

WILLIAM E. NEELD, JR., M.D.
DIRECTOR, MEDICAL DIVISION
CHAMBERS WORKS
DEEPWATER, NJ 08023

X-RAY REPORTS DATED JANUARY 18, 1985

Your memo about asbestos related x-ray reports has been referred to me. Olin Allen will discuss your very pertinent concern with his group. Thank you for bringing this to our attention.

MEDICAL DIVISION

R. Keith Whiting

R. Keith Whiting, M.D.
Manager, Medical Services Section

RKW:brs

OR-4001 MU-270 R-1

DU PONT

E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS & COMPANY

CHAMBERS WORKS

DEEPWATER, NEW JERSEY 08023

Rec'd - you may want to meet this by Ollen.
OSA
Will speak to group

RECEIVED
JAN 23 1985
Burford W. Culpepper, M.D.

January 18, 1985

~~B. W. Culpepper, M.D.~~ BWC
ERD - N-11,400
Wilmington (W)

X-RAY REPORTS FROM DU PONT-RETAINED RADIOLOGISTS

CW Medical only reacts (or does not react) to the reports from Du Pont radiologists, regardless what chest x-ray readings are reported as by non-Du Pont radiologists, in suspected asbestos exposure cases.

When the Du Pont radiologist places the infamous stamped description on our x-ray reading card, that case is entered into the CW Asbestos System. If nothing else, this protocol yields a consistency in the handling of asbestos cases.

In cases where additional oblique views do not confirm the original suspicions suggested by the PA chest views, I believe these negative findings should be so described in the x-ray report back to CW Medical. That description should suffice in making it unnecessary to place the infamous "stamp" on the chart.

The attached copy of a CW x-ray reading report presents a problem when 2 dissimilar descriptions are entered at the same entry location. By following the dates on the x-ray reading card, beginning 10/9/84, you can reconstruct what occurred here. The recall was to clarify an uncertainty observed on the PA view. The oblique views failed to demonstrate the changes the reader was uncertain of in the PA view - these negative observa[illegible] written in at 10/18/84. Simultaneously, the infamou[illegible] is placed next to the written report. Trying to explai[illegible] conflicting reports to an agitated worker is not an easy [illegible]

Could you speak with the Radiology group and encourage them to try to maintain consistency in reporting.

William E. Neeld, Jr., M.D.
Director, Medical Division

WEN/saw
Attach.
330N

DUP 0948055

BETTER THINGS FOR BETTER LIVING . . . *THROUGH CHEMISTRY*

DU 039006

RADIOGRAPHIC REPORTS

CHAMBERS WORKS - MEDICAL DIVISION

9-24-32

Name McKie, William C. No. 60246

Area FT Area No.

Area Area No.

Date 9-9-82 X-Ray No. 27 Tech. TC

Region Chest Emp. ☐ Annual ☒ Special ☐ Recheck ☐ Injury ☐

Significant Findings

Interpretation

N3

NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE

McH. Peters, M.D.

M.D. Date SEP 10 1982

Date 9-6-83 X-Ray No. 8 Tech.

Region Chest Emp. ☐ Annual ☒ Special ☐ Recheck ☐ Injury ☐

Significant Findings

Interpretation

NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE

McH. Peters, M.D.

M.D. Date SEP 00 1983

Date 10-9-84 X-Ray No. 8 Tech.

Region Chest Emp. ☐ Annual ☒ Special ☐ Recheck ☐ Injury ☐

Significant Findings

Interpretation

Please do both shallow obliques for pleural thickening.

H. J. STEIN, M.D. M.D. Date OCT 15 1984

Date 10-18-84 X-Ray No. 2426 Tech.

Region Chest Emp. ☐ Annual ☒ Special ☐ Recheck ☐ Injury ☐

Significant Findings

Interpretation

These findings, although not diagnostic nor indicative of any specific condition or etiology, are consistent with changes which could be due to previous exposure to asbestos or other irritant materials. Further evaluation of previous work and personal histories, including hobbies, should be considered.

A. R. [illegible], M.D. M.D. Date OCT 23 1984

DU 039007

DUP 0948056