

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

WT/DS135/R
18 September 2000

(00-3353)

EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES – MEASURES AFFECTING ASBESTOS AND ASBESTOS – CONTAINING PRODUCTS

Report of the Panel

The report of the Panel on *European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos and Asbestos – Containing Products* is being circulated to all Members, pursuant to the DSU. The report is being circulated as an unrestricted document from 18 September 2000 pursuant to the Procedures for the Circulation and Derestriction of WTO Documents (WT/L/160/Rev.1). Members are reminded that in accordance with the DSU only parties to the dispute may appeal a panel report. An appeal shall be limited to issues of law covered in the Panel report and legal interpretations developed by the Panel. There shall be no *ex parte* communications with the Panel or Appellate Body concerning matters under consideration by the Panel or Appellate Body.

Note by the Secretariat: This Panel Report shall be adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) within 60 days after the date of its circulation unless a party to the dispute decides to appeal or the DSB decides by consensus not to adopt the report. If the Panel Report is appealed to the Appellate Body, it shall not be considered for adoption by the DSB until after the completion of the appeal. Information on the current status of the Panel Report is available from the WTO Secretariat.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. FACTUAL ASPECTS.....	3
A. BASIC DATA CONCERNING ASBESTOS	3
B. DECREE NO. 96-1133 OF 24 DECEMBER 1996 BANNING ASBESTOS.....	3
III. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES.....	5
A. CLAIMS BY THE PARTIES.....	5
B. FACTUAL ARGUMENTS.....	6
1. Introductory remarks	6
2. Economic and trade data	10
3. French legislation in its context	12
4. Circumstances of exposure to asbestos and asbestos-related pathologies	22
5. "Controlled" or "safe" use of chrysotile asbestos	53
6. Substitute fibres for chrysotile.....	72
7. The INSERM report	86
C. LEGAL ARGUMENTS.....	98
1. Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade ("TBT" Agreement).....	99
(a) Applicability of the TBT Agreement	99
(b) Article 2.1 of the TBT Agreement.....	106
(c) Article 2.2 of the TBT Agreement.....	108
(i) Legitimate objective.....	108
(ii) <i>"For this purpose, technical regulations shall not be more trade-restrictive than necessary to fulfil a legitimate objective, taking account of the risks non-fulfilment would create ..."</i> (Article 2.2, second sentence).....	109
(d) Article 2.4 of the TBT Agreement.....	135
(e) Article 2.8 of the TBT Agreement.....	146
2. General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)	147
(a) Applicability of Article III and/or Article XI of the GATT	147
(b) Article III:4 of the GATT	152
(i) Application of Article III:4 of the GATT	152
(ii) The concept of "like products"	153
(iii) Imported products ... shall not be subject to less favourable treatment	168
(c) Article XI of the GATT.....	171
(d) Article XX(b) of the GATT	174
(i) Nature of Article XX and the burden of proof	174
(ii) Policy to protect human health.....	175

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION
APPELLATE BODY

**European Communities – Measures
Affecting Asbestos and Asbestos-Containing
Products**

Canada, *Appellant/Appellee*
European Communities, *Appellant/Appellee*

Brazil, *Third Participant*
United States, *Third Participant*

AB-2000-11

Present:

Feliciano, Presiding Member
Bacchus, Member
Ehlermann, Member

I. Introduction

1. Canada appeals certain issues of law and legal interpretations developed in the Panel Report in *European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos and Asbestos-Containing Products* (the "Panel Report").¹ The Panel was established to consider claims made by Canada regarding French Decree No. 96-1133 concerning asbestos and products containing asbestos (*décret no. 96-1133 relatif à l'interdiction de l'amiante, pris en application du code de travail et du code de la consommation*) ("the Decree"), which entered into force on 1 January 1997.²

2. Articles 1 and 2 of the Decree set forth prohibitions on asbestos and on products containing asbestos fibres, followed by certain limited and temporary exceptions from those prohibitions:

Article 1

I. For the purpose of protecting workers, and pursuant to Article L. 231-7 of the Labour Code, the manufacture, processing, sale, import, placing on the domestic market and transfer under any title whatsoever of all varieties of asbestos fibres shall be prohibited, regardless of whether these substances have been incorporated into materials, products or devices.

¹WT/DS135/R, 18 September 2000.

²*Journal officiel*, 26 December 1996.

II. For the purpose of protecting consumers, and pursuant to Article L. 221.3 of the Consumer Code, the manufacture, import, domestic marketing, exportation, possession for sale, offer, sale and transfer under any title whatsoever of all varieties of asbestos fibres or any product containing asbestos fibres shall be prohibited.

III. The bans instituted under Articles I and II shall not prevent fulfilment of the obligations arising from legislation on the elimination of wastes.

Article 2

I. On an exceptional and temporary basis, the bans instituted under Article 1 shall not apply to certain existing materials, products or devices containing chrysotile fibre when, to perform an equivalent function, no substitute for that fibre is available which:

- On the one hand, in the present state of scientific knowledge, poses a lesser occupational health risk than chrysotile fibre to workers handling those materials, products or devices;
- on the other, provides all technical guarantees of safety corresponding to the ultimate purpose of the use thereof.

II. The scope of application of paragraph I of this Article shall cover only the materials, products or devices falling within the categories shown in an exhaustive list decreed by the Ministers for Labour, Consumption, the Environment, Industry, Agriculture and Transport. To ascertain the justification for maintaining these exceptions, the list shall be re-examined on an annual basis, after which the Senior Council for the Prevention of Occupational Hazards and the National Commission for Occupational Health and Safety in Agriculture shall be consulted.

The remaining operative provisions of the Decree contain additional rules governing the grant of an exception (Articles 3 and 4), the imposition of penalties for violation of the prohibition in Article 1 (Article 5), and the temporary exclusion of certain "vehicles" and "agricultural and forestry machinery" from aspects of the prohibition (Article 7). Further factual aspects of this dispute are set forth in paragraphs 2.1 – 2.7 of the Panel Report, and the Decree is reproduced in its entirety as Annex I in the Addendum to the Panel Report.³

3. Canada claimed that the Decree is inconsistent with a number of obligations of the European Communities under Article 2 of the *Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade* (the "TBT Agreement"), Articles III and XI of the *General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994* (the "GATT 1994"), and that, under Article XXIII:1(b) of the GATT 1994, the Decree nullified or

³ WT/DS135/R/Add.1, pp. 3-6.